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## EARLY IONIAN THINKERS PART 2

## 8. XENOPHANES [XEN.]

Xenophanes was born in Colophon, a Greek city of Ionia, between 570 and 560 BC , according to the most plausible chronology; he himself states that he lived until a very advanced age ( $\mathbf{P 1}, \mathbf{D 6 1}$ ). A rhapsode by profession, he employs various poetic forms (dactylic hexameter, elegiac couplet, perhaps iambic trimeter), and he discusses a variety of subjects (customs, morality, theology, natural phenomena, human understanding), often in a free and polemical tone. This makes him difficult to classify as an author (his fragments also form part of the corpus of Greek elegiac poets). Aristotle's negative judgment of him (R12) certainly had lasting repercussions upon the reception of his thought: if it is true that he was legitimated philosophically by a construction that turned him into Parmenides' teacher and the ancestor of the Eleatic line of descent ( $\mathbf{R 1} 1-\mathbf{R 3}$ ), the presentation of his doctrine also suffered from a massive Eleatization, which, even if it was prompted by Xenophanes' view of divinity as one, nonetheless greatly distorted it (R5-R11). His spirit was an enlightened one, and his lasting influence can be perceived for example in the critique of traditional theology in Book 2 of Plato's Republic.
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## P
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## P1 (< Al) Diog. Laert. 9.18-20









 habent $\mathrm{BP}^{1}$ : om. F, del. $\mathrm{P}^{4} \quad 3^{3} \mathrm{~A} \nu a \xi \iota \mu \in ́ \nu \eta \nu$ Croenert

P2 (< A6) Ps.-Luc. Long. 20
 каì є̇ขєขท́коขта.

## XENOPHANES

## P

Family, City, Chronology (P1-P7)
P1 (< A1) Diogenes Laertius
[18] Xenophanes, from Colophon, son of Dexius or, as Apollodorus says, of Orthomenes [. . .]. After he had been exiled from his fatherland, he spent time in Zancle in Sicily and in Catania. ${ }^{1}$ [. . .] And, as Sotion says, he lived at the time of Anaximander. [. . .] and he lived to a very great age, as he himself says somewhere: [19] [ $\ldots=$ D66]. [. . .] [20] and he reached full maturity in the 60th Olympiad [540/36].
${ }^{1}$ Diels completes this possibly lacunose sentence as follows: "After he had been exiled from his fatherland, he spent time in Zancle in Sicily, <and having participated in the colony sent to Elea, he taught there;> and he spent time in Catania too."

## P2 (< A6) Ps.-Lucian, Long-lived Men

Xenophanes, the son of Dexinus [. . .] lived for ninety-one years.
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P3 (A7) Cens. Die nat. 15.3
[. . .] Xenophanes Colophonius maior annorum centum fuit.

P4 (< A8) Clem. Alex. Strom. 1.64.2
 Típaıos [FGrHist 566 F92] кала̀ 'I'́ $\rho \omega \nu \alpha$ то̀ $\nu$ इıкє入ı́as
 'А $\pi о \lambda \lambda o ́ \delta \omega \rho o s$ [FGrHist 244 F68c] $\delta \epsilon$ к катà $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \epsilon \sigma-$ $\sigma \alpha \rho \alpha \kappa о \sigma \tau \grave{\eta} \nu^{1}$ 'О $\lambda v \mu \pi \iota a ́ \delta \alpha \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \tau \alpha \kappa \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha \iota$

${ }^{1} \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \kappa \sigma \sigma \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ Ritter, cf. Diog. Laert. 9.20, sed $\tau \epsilon \sigma \sigma$. Sext. Emp. Adv. Math. 1.257

P5 (cf. A9) Eus. Chron. (Hier., Cyr.)
a Hier. Chron. 103b2
[ad Ol. 56] ${ }^{1}$ Xenofanes Colofonius clarus habetur.
${ }^{1}$ Ol. 56 OM: Ol. 56.2 AL: Ol. 57.2 B
b Hier. Chron. 103b23
[ad Ol. 60] ${ }^{1}$ Simonides lyricus et Phocylides clari habentur et Xenophanes physicus [. . .].
${ }^{\text {1 }}$ Ol. 59.4 Mac. PM: Ol. 60.1 N: Ol..60.2 OL: Ol. 61.3 B

## XENOPHANES

## P3 (A7) Censorinus, The Birthday

Xenophanes of Colophon lived more than one hundred years.

P4 (< A8) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata
[. . .] Xenophanes of Colophon [. . .], who, Timaeus says, lived at the time of Hieron, the ruler of Sicily, and of Epicharmus the poet; but Apollodorus [scil. says] that he was born during the 40th Olympiad (620/16) and that his life lasted until the times of Darius and Cyrus.

## P5 (cf. A9) Eusebius, Chronicle

## a

(Jerome) 56th Olympiad [= 556/52]: Xenophanes of Colophon is considered well known.

## b

(Jerome) 60th Olympiad [= 540/36]: Simonides the lyric poet and Phocylides are considered well known and Xenophanes the natural philosopher [. . .].
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c Cyrill. Alex. Jul. 1.15




P6 (A10, 14.8) Ps.-Iamb. Theol., p. 52.18-22




 cf. PYTH. a P5].

P7 (< A33) (Ps.-?) Hippol. Ref. 1.14.1
 є́ $\omega$ s Kи́pov $\delta \iota \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \iota \nu \epsilon \nu[. . .=\mathbf{R 2 0}]$.

## Teachers (P8-P9)

P8 (< A1) Diog. Laert. 9.18
 Bó $\tau \omega \nu$ оs ’A $\theta \eta \nu \alpha i ́ o v ~ \eta ้, ~ \omega ̈ s ~ \tau \iota \nu \epsilon \varsigma, ~ ’ A ~ \rho \chi \epsilon \lambda a ́ o v . ~$

## XENOPHANES

## c

(Cyril) 59th Olympiad [= 544/40]: Ibycus the lyric poet, Pherecydes the historian, Phocylides, Xenophanes [. . .] were alive.

P6 (A10, 14.8) Ps.-Iamblichus, The Theology of Arithmetic

For nearly 514 years are recorded from the Trojan War until Xenophanes the natural philosopher, the times of Anacreon and Polycrates, and the siege and removal of the Ionians by Harpagus the Mede; the Phocians who fled this founded Marseille [. . .]. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ A very rough synchronism that refers globally to the first half of the sixth century BC and seems to be based on a date for the fall of Troy that differs from Eratosthenes' (1184 BC).

## P7 (< A33) (Ps.-?) Hippolytus, Refutation of All Heresies

 Xenophanes of Colophon, son of Orthomenes. He lived until the time of Cyrus [. . .].
## Teachers (P8-P9)

## P8 (< A1) Diogenes Laertius

According to several authors he did not studywith anyone, according to several ones with Boton of Athens or, according to some, with Archelaus. ${ }^{1}$

[^0]P9 (< A2) Diog. Laert. 9.21
 227D FHS\&G] 'А $\nu \alpha \xi \iota \mu \alpha ́ \nu \delta \rho о v ~ ф \eta \sigma \grave{\nu} \dot{\alpha} \kappa о \hat{v} \sigma \alpha \iota$.

Xenophanes in Western Greece (P10-P14)
Xenophanes and Parmenides (P10-P11)
P10 (< A30) Arist. Metaph. A5 986b22
[. . . = R2] ó $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ П $\alpha \rho \mu \epsilon \nu i ́ \delta \eta s$ тov́тov $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \eta ́ s$ [. . .].

P11 (< A2) Diog. Laert. 9.21
 $\tau \eta s[. . .=\mathbf{P 9}]$.

Xenophanes and Empedocles (P12)
P12 (A5) Diog. Laert. 8.56
${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \rho \mu \iota \pi \pi o s \delta_{\epsilon}$ [Frag. 26 Wehrli] ov̉ П $\alpha \rho \mu \epsilon \nu i ́ \delta o v$, ヨ $\epsilon \nu o-$
 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ \mu \iota \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi о \pi о \iota \iota \alpha \nu$.

P9 (< A2) Diogenes Laertius
[. . .] Theophrastus says of him in his Epitome that he studied with Anaximander [cf. PARM. P6a].

> Xenophanes in Western Greece (P10-P14)
> Xenophanes and Parmenides (P10-P11)

## $\mathbf{P 1 0 ~ ( < ~ A 3 0 ) ~ A r i s t o t l e , ~ M e t a p h y s i c s ~}$

[. . .] for Parmenides is said to have been his pupil [. . .].

## $P 11$ (< A2) Diogenes Laertius

Parmenides, son of Pyres, from Elea, studied with Xenophanes [. . .].

Xenophanes and Empedocles (P12)
$P 12$ (A5) Diogenes Laertius
Hermippus [scil. says] that he [i.e. Empedocles] was a follower not of Parmenides but of Xenophanes, with whom he also spent some time ${ }^{1}$ and whose epic verse he imitated [. . .] [cf. EMP. P14].
${ }^{1}$ If we suppose that Xenophanes died ca. 460 BC at about one hundred years of age and that Empedocles was born ca. 484, this claim is not completely impossible chronologically, but it does remain very unlikely.
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Xenophanes and Hieron (P13)
P13 (A11) Plut. Reg. et imp. apophth. 4 175C
 оіккє́таs $\delta$ v́o $\tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \nu$ "ả $\lambda \lambda$ ’ "O $O \eta \rho o s$," $\epsilon \hat{i} \pi \epsilon \nu$, "ồ $\nu \sigma \grave{v}$ $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma v ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \varsigma, \pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ o \nu a s$ グ $\mu v \rho i ́ o v s ~ \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi \epsilon \iota ~ \tau \epsilon \theta \nu \eta \kappa \omega ́ s . " ~$

## Sold as a Slave? (P14)

P14 (< A1) Diog. Laert. 9.20
бокєî $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \pi \rho \hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta a \iota$ vinò <. . . каi $\lambda \epsilon \lambda v ́ \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \dot{v} \pi o ̀>{ }^{1}$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \Pi \nu \theta \alpha \gamma о \rho \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \Pi \alpha \rho \mu \epsilon \nu i ́ \sigma \kappa о v$ каі 'О $\rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \delta о v$, $\kappa \alpha \theta \alpha ́ ~ ф \eta \sigma \iota \Phi \alpha \beta \omega \rho i ̂ \nu o s ~ \epsilon ’ \nu ~ ’ А \pi о \mu \nu \eta \mu о \nu є v \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \omega$ [Frag. 46 Amato].

1 «. . . каì $\lambda \epsilon \lambda \hat{v} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ vinò̀ Diels, alii aliter

## Character (P15)

P15 (< Al) Diog. Laert. 9.20

 $\epsilon \dot{v} \theta v \mu i ́ \alpha s$ [Frag. 45 van Straaten] $\tau \alpha \imath ̂ \varsigma ~ i \delta i ́ \alpha \iota \varsigma ~ \chi \epsilon \rho \sigma i ̀ ~ \theta \alpha ́-$


## XENOPHANES

Xenophanes and Hieron (P13)
P13 (Al1) Plutarch, Sayings of Kings and Commanders To Xenophanes of Colophon, who said he could scarcely maintain two household slaves, he [i.e. Hieron] said, "But Homer, on whom you heap scorn, maintains more than ten thousand, dead though he is."

## Sold as a Slave? (P14)

## P14 (< Al) Diogenes Laertius

He is thought to have been sold into slavery by <. . . and ransomed by> the Pythagoreans Parmeniscus and Orestades, according to what Favorinus says in Book 1 of his Memoirs. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ A similar story is reported of Plato (cf. Diogenes Laertius 3.19-20).

See also P1, P17, P22, R2-R4

## Character (P15)

## P15 (< Al) Diogenes Laertius

Demetrius of Phalerum in his On Old Age, and Panaetius the Stoic in his On Cheerfulness, say that he buried his sons with his own hands, just like Anaxagoras [cf. ANAXAG. P38-P40].
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Apothegms (P16-P22)
P16 (< A12) Arist. Rhet. 2.23 1399b6-8

 $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega s$ रà $\rho \sigma \nu \mu \beta a i ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \mu \grave{\eta}$ єỉval $\tau o \grave{s} \theta \epsilon o v ́ s \pi o \tau \epsilon$.

P17 (< A13) Arist. Rhet. 2.23 1400b5-8

 $\dot{v} \pi о \lambda \alpha \mu \beta a ́ \nu o v \sigma \iota \nu, \mu \grave{\eta} \theta \rho \eta \nu \epsilon i \nu, \epsilon i \delta^{\prime}$ ä $\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o \nu, \mu \grave{\eta}$ $\theta \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu$.

## P18 (A14) Arist. Rhet. 1.15 1377a19-21


 i $\sigma \chi \nu \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\alpha} \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \pi \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \xi \alpha \iota ~ \grave{\eta} \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota \pi \rho о к \alpha \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \alpha \iota \tau о$.

P19 (A16) Plut. Vit. pud. $5530 \mathrm{E}-\mathrm{F}$
$\mu \grave{\eta} \quad \delta v \sigma \omega \pi \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \rho \quad \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \quad \delta \epsilon i ́ \sigma \eta \rho \quad \sigma \kappa \omega \pi \tau o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s . \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$

## XENOPHANES

Apothegms (P16-P22)
P16 (< A12) Aristotle, Rhetoric
[. . .] Xenophanes used to say that those who say the gods are born are just as impious as those who say they die, ${ }^{1}$ for in both cases the result is that there is a certain time when the gods do not exist.
${ }^{1}$ The apothegm is inspired by Xenophanes' theology, especially his criticism of the Homeric gods; cf. D7-D14.

## P17 (< A13) Aristotle, Rhetoric

[. . .] when the Eleans asked Xenophanes whether they should sacrifice to Leucothea and mourn her or not, he advised them that if they thought she was a goddess they should not mourn her, and if they thought she was human they should not sacrifice to her. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ Plutarch records a version of this saying three times, each time substituting the Egyptians for the Eleans and Egyptian divinities for Leucothea: Amat. 18.12 763D; Is. et Os. 70 379B; Superstit. 13 171E; see also Ps.-Plutarch Apophth. Lac. 26 228E.

P18 (A14) Aristotle, Rhetoric
And the saying of Xenophanes is fitting, that it is unfair for an impious man to challenge a pious man to an oath, for it is just like a strong man challenging a weak one to hit him or be hit.

## P19 (A16) Plutarch, On Being Ashamed

Do not be discountenanced or frightened when you are
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 $\mu$ мs.
${ }^{1} \dot{\omega} \mu o \lambda o ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ mss., corr. Matthaei

P20 (Al7) Plut. Comm. not. 46 1084E

 $\psi v \chi \rho \hat{\omega}$ av̉ $\tau \grave{\alpha} \varsigma \dot{\epsilon} \psi \eta \eta^{\sigma} о \mu \epsilon \nu$."

## P21 (< A1) Diog. Laert. 9.19




P22 (< Al) Diog. Laert. 9.20

 є̇ $\pi \iota \gamma \nu \omega \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \sigma o \phi o ́ \nu . " ~$

## XENOPHANES

mocked, but [scil. do] just like Xenophanes when Lasus of Hermione called him a coward when he refused to play dice with him: agree that you really are very cowardly and pusillanimous-with regard to shameful things.

## P20 (A17) Plutarch, On Common Conceptions

When someone said that he had seen eels living in hot water, Xenophanes said, "Well then, we shall boil them in cold water."

## P21 (< A1) Diogenes Laertius

He said that most things are inferior to mind; and that he met with tyrants as rarely (hêkista) or as agreeably (hêdista) as possible.

## P22 (< A1) Diogenes Laertius

When Empedocles said to him that the sage had not been discovered, he said, "That is just as one would expect: for the man who will be able to recognize the sage has to be a sage." ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ In certain gnomologies, this apothegm is attributed to Empedocles himself.

## See also P13

## XENOPHANES [21 DK]

## D

## Writings (D1-D6) <br> Meters and Subjects (D1)

D1 (< A1) Diog. Laert. 9. 18, 20






His Satires, Known Under the Title Mockeries (Silloi) (D2-D5)

D2 (> A20) Strab. 14.1.28
 $\sigma a s \delta_{\iota \alpha} \pi o \not \supsetneq \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$.

D3 (> A22) Procl. In Hes. Op. 286

${ }^{1}$ ヨ $\epsilon \nu о$ фá $\nu \eta \nu$ Pertusi

## XENOPHANES

> D
> Writings (D1-D6)
> Meters and Subjects (D1)

## D1 (< A1) Diogenes Laertius

[18] He wrote in dactylic hexameters, elegiac couplets, and iambs against Hesiod and Homer, deriding what they said about the gods. But he himself also performed as a rhapsode his own compositions. [. . .] [20] He also composed poetry on the foundation of Colophon and on the colonization of Elea in Italy, two thousand verses.

> His Satires, Known Under the Title Mockeries (Silloi)(D2-D5)

D2 (A20) Strabo, Geography
[. . .] and Xenophanes the natural philosopher, who composed Mockeries in verses.

D3 (> A22) Proclus, Commentary on Hesiod's Works and Days
And why do we need to speak of these [scil. celebrated polemicists: Archilochus, Hipponax, Timocrates, and Me-
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 $\tau \alpha \iota\rangle^{3} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \phi \iota \lambda о \sigma o ́ \phi \omega \nu ~ к а і ~ \pi о ə \eta \tau \omega ิ \nu$.



D4 (A23) Schol. ABT ad Il. 2.212b

 ó $\Theta \epsilon \rho \sigma i ́ \tau \eta s$ rov̀s $\dot{\alpha} \rho i ́ \sigma \tau o v s$.

${ }^{1}$ av̉ ós Diels

D5 (A24) Ar. Did. in Stob. 2.1.17
Eєvoфávovs $\pi \rho \omega \dot{\tau} \tau o v$ 入óros $\hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu$ єis $\tau o v ̀ s ~ " E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \alpha s$


 т́́тvктац [D49.4b].

Xenophanes, an Admirer of Thales

## See THAL. R1

A Traditional Title: On Nature (D6)

## D6

a (cf. ad B30) Schol. Genav. ad Il. 21.196


## XENOPHANES

trodorus], when Xenophanes <is said> to have composed absurd Mockeries against all the philosophers and poets because of a certain mean-spiritedness he felt toward contemporary philosophers and poets?

## D4 (A23) Scholia on Homer's Iliad

Mockeries were composed already first of all not by Xenophanes but by Homer; in them he mocks (sillainei) Thersites himself and Thersites mocks the best men [cf. Il. 2.211-42].

## D5 (A24) Arius Didymus in Stobaeus, Anthology

Xenophanes was the first author of a discourse worthy of mention that came to the Greeks playfully rebuking the audacities of other people and at the same time demonstrating his own piety, on the idea that god knows the truth, "but opinion extends over all men."
${ }^{1}$ The translation here reflects what seems to be Arius Didymus' understanding of this phrase, which differs from the one we provide at D49.

Xenophanes, an Admirer of Thales

## See THAL. R1

A Traditional Title: On Nature (D6)

## D6

a (cf. ad B30) Geneva Scholia on Homer's Iliad
Xenophanes in his On Nature: [. . .].
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b (< B39) Pollux Onom. 6.46

c (< A36) Stob. 1.10.12
$\gamma \rho a ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ є́ $\nu \tau \hat{\varphi}$ П $\Pi \rho \grave{\imath} \phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma[. . .=\mathbf{D 2 7 ]}$.

From Xenophanes' Poem(s) in Dactylic
Hexameters (D7-D59)
The Gods (D7-D21)
Mistaken Beliefs about the Gods. . . (D7-D15)
. . . Propagated by the Ancient Greek Poets (D7-D11)

D7 (< A19) Diog. Laert. 2.46



D8 (B11) Sext. Emp. Adv. Math. 9.193
 ơ $\sigma \sigma a \pi \alpha \rho ’ \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi о \iota \sigma \iota \nu$ ỏ $\nu \epsilon i ́ \delta \epsilon a$ каì 廿óүоs є́ $\sigma \tau^{\prime} \iota \nu$,
$\kappa \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \mu \circ \iota \chi \epsilon \cup ́ \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i ̉ a ̉ \lambda \lambda \eta ́ \lambda o v s \dot{a} \pi a \tau \epsilon v ́ \epsilon \iota \nu$.

## XENOPHANES

b (< B39) Pollux, Onomasticon
[. . .] in Xenophanes' On Nature [. . .].
c (< A36) Stobaeus, Anthology
For he writes in his On Nature: [. . .].

> From Xenophanes' Poem(s) in Dactylic
> Hexameters (D7-D59)1
> The Gods (D7-D21)
> Mistaken Beliefs about the Gods. . (D7-D15)
> . . Propagated by the Ancient Greek Poets
> (D7-D11)
${ }^{1}$ Some of the fragments in this section are only one verse long or take the form of paraphrases; in principle they could come from elegiac poems instead, but they have been placed here because of the affinity of their subject matter.

D7 (< A19) Diogenes Laertius
[. . .] and Xenophanes of Colophon [scil. rivaled with Homer] after his death [. . .].

D8 (B11) Sextus Empiricus, Against the Natural Philosophers

## Homer and Hesiod have attributed to the gods all things

That among men are sources of blame and censure:
Thieving, committing adultery, and deceiving each other.

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

D9 (B12) Sext. Emp. Adv. Math. 1.289
 $\phi \alpha ́ \nu \eta$
$\dot{\omega} \varsigma \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \tau^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \phi \theta \epsilon \in \gamma \xi \alpha \nu \tau o \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \theta \epsilon \mu i \sigma \tau \iota \alpha{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \rho \gamma \alpha$,



D10 (B10) Hdn. $\pi . \delta \iota \chi \rho .$, p. 16.20
 D11 (B13) Aul. Gell. Noct. 3.11.2
alii Homerum quam Hesiodum maiorem natu fuisse scripserunt, in quis Philochorus et Xenophanes, alii minorem [. . .].
. . . or Deriving from Self-Projections (D12-D14)
D12 (B14) Clem. Alex. Strom. 5.109.1 (et al.)
 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \sigma \phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \rho \eta \nu \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \sigma \theta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha$ є' $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu \phi \omega \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu \tau \epsilon \delta^{\prime} \epsilon \mu a s$ $\tau \epsilon$.

1 incertum utrum v. 1 trimeter iambicus sit an potius hexameter dactylicus mancus: ita Eus. PE 13.13.36 (ex Clem.),

 Bergk

D9 (B12) Sextus Empiricus, Against the Professors
According to Xenophanes of Colophon, Homer and Hesiod

## Sang of many lawless deeds committed by the gods: <br> Thieving, committing adultery, and deceiving each other. ${ }^{1}$

${ }^{1}$ The second line is identical with the third line of the preceding fragment and is not mentioned in Sextus' explanation after his quotation here; its presence here may be due to scribal error or an inopportune authorial reminiscence, but it cannot be excluded that the verse was deliberately repeated by Xenophanes.

## D10 (B10) Herodian, On Syllables with Double Value

Since from the beginning all have learned
according to Homer

## D11 (B13) Aulus Gellius, Attic Nights

Some have written that Homer was older than Hesiod, among them Philochorus and Xenophanes, others that he was younger [. . .].
. . . or Derivingfrom Self-Projections (D12-D14)

## D12 (B14) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata

## But mortals think that gods are born <br> And have clothing, voice, and bodily frame just like theirs. ${ }^{1}$

${ }^{1}$ The first line is transmitted as an iambic trimeter.

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

D13 (B16) Clem. Alex. Strom. 7.22.1
Aïióotés $\tau \epsilon<\theta \epsilon o v ̀ s ~ \sigma \phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v s>\sigma \iota \mu o v ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda a \nu a ́ s$ $\tau \epsilon$
 $\pi \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota>$.
$1<\theta \epsilon o v ̀ s ~ \sigma \phi \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o v s>$ Diels $\quad \sigma \iota \mu o v ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \alpha \nu a s ~ \tau \epsilon$ Diels: $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda a \nu a s$ $\sigma \iota \mu o v ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon \mathrm{mss} . \quad 2 \Theta \rho \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon ́ s$ Diels: $\Theta \rho \hat{a ̂ \kappa \epsilon s} \mathrm{mss}$. $\gamma \lambda a v \kappa о$ ѝs каi $\pi v \rho \rho o \grave{s}$ Diels: $\pi v \rho \rho o \grave{s}$ каi $\gamma \lambda a v \kappa о v ́ s ~ m s s$. <фаб८ $\pi \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota>$ Diels

D14 (B15) Clem. Alex. Strom. 5.109.1 (et al.)

 ${ }_{\alpha}{ }^{\nu} \nu \delta \rho \in \varsigma$,

 є่ $\pi$ óóov̀


 Theod. Cur. 3.72 KBL: $\ddot{\eta}$ é $\bar{\prime} \dot{\phi} \phi a v \tau \epsilon s$ Theod. MSCV $2 \ddot{\eta}$ Clem. Eus. Theod.: $\omega$ s Heise 3 ónoías Theod.: ó $\mu \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ Clem. Eus. $4<\kappa \epsilon\rangle$ Sylburg 5 є̈кабтоь Herwerden:


## XENOPHANES

D13 (B16) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata
The Ethiopians <say that their gods are> snubnosed and dark-skinned,
And the Thracians that they have blue eyes and red hair.

D14 (B15) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata
But if oxen, <horses> or lions had hands Or could draw with their hands and create works like men, Then horses would draw the shapes of gods like horses, and oxen like oxen, And they would make the same kinds of bodies As each one possessed its own bodily frame.

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

Belief in Divination Is Mistaken (D15)

## D15

a (A52) Aët. 5.1.2 (Ps.-Plut.) [ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \mu \alpha \nu \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ ]

b (< A52) Cic. Div. 1.5
[. . .] Colophonius Xenophanes unus, quideosesse diceret, divinationem funditus sustulit; reliqui vero omnes praeter Epicurum [. . .] divinationem probaverunt.

Correct Beliefs about the Gods (D16-D20)
God Is Unlike Humans in Shape and
Action (D16-D19)
D16 (B23) Clem. Alex. Strom. 5.109.1


 oṽ่тє $\delta \in ́ \mu a s ~ \theta \nu \eta \tau o i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu$ ó $\mu$ oíıos ov̀тє $\nu o ́ \eta \mu a$.

2 ov̋ $\tau \epsilon$ prius Sylburg: ov̉ $\tau \iota \mathrm{ms}$. ov̋ $\tau \epsilon$ alt. Diels: ov̉סє̀ ms.

D17 (B24) Sext. Emp. Adv. Math. 9.144


## Belief in Divination Is Mistaken (D15)

## D15

a (A52) Aëtius
Xenophanes and Epicurus abolish divination.
b (< A52) Cicero, On Divination
[. . .] Xenophanes of Colophon is the only one [scil. among the most ancient philosophers] to have said that the gods exist, but to have abolished divination completely; all the others approved of divination, except for Epicurus [. . .].

> Correct Beliefs about the Gods (D16-D20)
> God Is Unlike Humans in Shape and Action (D16-D19)

D16 (B23) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata
Xenophanes of Colophon, who teaches that god is one and bodiless, does well when he asserts,

One god, among both gods and humans the greatest,
Neither in bodily frame similar to mortals nor in thought.

D17 (B24) Sextus Empiricus, Against the Natural Philosophers

As a whole he sees, as a whole he thinks, and as a whole he hears. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ Sextus cites this line without naming its author; the attribution to Xenophanes is based essentially upon doxographical notices (cf. in particular D20 and R6[6]).

D18 (B25) Simpl. In Phys., p. 23.20
 крабаívєє.

D19 (B26) Simpl. In Phys., p. 23.11-12



1 ả $\epsilon$ mss., corr. Karsten $\kappa \iota \nu o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{F}$ : $\kappa \iota \nu o v ́ \mu \in \nu o \nu$ DE

A Doxographical Summary of
Xenophanes' Theology (D20)
D20 (< Al) Diog. Laert. 9.19

 бıv каì áídıo [. . .].

## An Anonymous Fragment Sometimes <br> Attributed to Xenophanes (D21)

D21 (Frag. dub. 47 Gentili-Prato) Philop. Aetern., p. 582.21-23 Rabe (et al.)

$1 \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ Philop. Aetern., Olymp. In Alc., p. 30 Westerink: $\theta \epsilon \omega \hat{\omega}$ Philop. In An., p. $188.26 \quad \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \eta$ Philop.: $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ Olymp.

## XENOPHANES

D18 (B25) Simplicius, Commentary on Aristotle's Physics

But without any toil, by the organ of his mind (noou phrêni) ${ }^{1}$ he makes all things tremble.
${ }^{1}$ Xenophanes uses noou as a qualifying, explanatory genitive in order to make clear that in the case of his god the cognitive organ ( $p h r e \hat{n}$ ) is not the usual one, which is connected with human psychic states such as emotions and passions.

D19 (B26) Simplicius, Commentary on Aristotle's Physics

He always stays in the same place, not moving at all,
And it is not fitting that he travel now to one place, now to another.

> A Doxographical Summary of
> Xenophanes' Theology (D20)

D20 (<A1) Diogenes Laertius
[. . .] as a whole he sees and as a whole he hears, but he does not breathe; he is completely mind and thought and is eternal [. . .].

## An Anonymous Fragment Sometimes <br> Attributed to Xenophanes (D21)

D21 ( $\neq$ DK) Philoponus, Commentary on Aristotle's On the Soul

All things are full of god, his ears are everywhere

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

каì $\delta \iota \alpha ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \tau \rho \alpha ́ \omega \nu ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ a ̉ \nu \alpha ̀ ~ \chi \theta o ́ \nu \alpha ~ к а i ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \delta \iota ’ ~ \alpha v ̉ \tau о \hat{v}$


## Natural Phenomena（D22－D48）

Three Doxographical Summaries Deriving Probably from Theophrastus（D22－D24）

D22（＜A33）（Ps．－？）Hippol．Ref．1．14．3－6
 $\gamma^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ є́ка́ $\sigma \tau \eta \nu$ 六 $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \nu$ ．$\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon} \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ ä $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho о \nu$



 ［．．．］［5］ó $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ 宺 $\nu o \phi a ́ \nu \eta s$ $\mu \hat{\imath} \xi \iota \nu \quad \tau \hat{\eta} s \quad \gamma \hat{\eta} s \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$


 $\Sigma v \rho a \kappa о v ́ \sigma \alpha \iota \varsigma ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ є́ $\nu \tau \alpha i ̂ \varsigma ~ \lambda a \tau о \mu i ́ \alpha \iota s ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota ~ \epsilon \dot{v} \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau v ́-$

 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta a \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma i ́ \omega \nu .{ }^{4}$［6］$\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ 白 $\phi \eta \sigma \iota \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ ő $\tau \epsilon$

[^1]
## XENOPHANES

And through stones, along the whole earth, and in man
Himself, whatever be the thought that he [i.e. man] hides in his chest. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ The attribution to Xenophanes, proposed by Lebedev in F. Capasso et al., Studi di filosofia preplatonica (Naples, 1985), pp. 13-15, remains very uncertain. For the phrase "all things are full of gods" (which is also transmitted instead of "all things are full of god"), cf. THAL. D10.

> Natural Phenomena (D22-D48)

Three Doxographical Summaries Deriving Probably from Theophrastus (D22-D24)

D22 (< A33) (Ps.-?) Hippolytus, Refutation of All Heresies [3] [scil. he says] that the sun comes about every day out of small fires that are collected together, that the earth is unlimited and is surrounded neither by air nor by the heavens; and that there in an unlimited number of suns and moons, and that all things come from earth. [4] He said that the sea is salty because of the many mixtures that flow together in it. [. . .] [5] Xenophanes thinks that the land is mixed with the sea and that with time it is dissolved by moisture, saying that he has the following proofs: that shells are found inland and on mountains, and he says that in Syracuse the outlines of fishes and seals are found in quarries, in Paros the outline of coral in the depths of the stone, and on Malta marble slabs [scil. containing] all kinds of sea creatures. [6] He says that all this came about

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

$\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ є́ $\pi \eta \lambda \omega \dot{\omega} \theta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \alpha \iota, \tau o ̀ \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau v ́ \pi o \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\varphi} \pi \eta \lambda \hat{\omega}$ $\xi \eta \rho \alpha \nu \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$ ．${ }_{\alpha} \nu \alpha \iota \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau o v ̀ \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \sigma v s \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma$, ő $\tau \alpha \nu$ í $\gamma \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \nu \epsilon \chi \theta \epsilon i \sigma \alpha$ єis $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\theta \alpha ́ \lambda a \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu \pi \eta \lambda o ̀ s$


${ }^{5} \tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta \nu$ Diels：$\tau o \hat{\tau} \tau o$ mss．：oṽ $\tau \omega$ Karsten ${ }^{6} \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta o-$ $\lambda \eta^{\nu}$ Diels：$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ mss．：$\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta о \lambda \eta ́ \nu$ Roeper

D23（cf．A32）Ps．－Plut．Strom． 4 （Eus．PE 1．8．4）
［．．．＝R9］$\dot{\alpha} \pi о \phi \alpha i ́ \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \hat{\varphi} \chi \rho o ́ \nu \omega$ катафє $о о \mu \epsilon ́-$ $\nu \eta \nu \sigma v \nu \epsilon \chi \hat{\omega} s$ каì кат’ ỏ入í $\gamma о \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \epsilon i s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \theta a ́ \lambda \alpha \sigma-$
 $\pi \lambda \epsilon \iota o ́ v \omega \nu \pi v \rho \iota \delta i ́ \omega \nu^{1} \dot{\alpha} \theta \rho o i ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ．［．．．］$\alpha \pi \pi о \phi \alpha i ́ \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \delta \epsilon ̀$ $\kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ ä $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho о \nu$ єîvaı каì кат⿳亠 $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \rho о s ~ \mu \grave{\eta}$ $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ vi $\pi o ̀ ~ \alpha ́ \epsilon ́ \rho o s ~ \gamma i ́ \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ ä $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ є́к $\gamma \hat{\eta} s$ ，
 रívє $\sigma \theta a \iota$ ．
${ }^{1} \pi v \rho \iota \delta i \omega \nu$ Toupius：$\pi v \rho i ́ \omega \nu$ mss．：$\pi v \rho \iota \omega \nu$ prop．Mourelatos （per litt．）

D24（＜Al）Diog．Laert． 9.19
$\tau \grave{\alpha} \nu \epsilon ́ \phi \eta \sigma v \nu i ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\eta} S \dot{\alpha} \phi^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \lambda i ́ o v \dot{\alpha} \tau \mu i ́ \delta o s \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \phi \epsilon-$
 R8a，D20］$\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau$ ós $\tau \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \phi \dot{\eta} \nu \alpha \tau o$ ő $\tau \iota \pi \hat{\alpha} \nu \tau o ̀ ~ \gamma \iota \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$ $\phi \theta \alpha \rho \tau o ́ \nu$ Є̇ $\sigma \tau \iota \kappa \alpha i \hat{\eta} \psi v \chi \eta ̀ \pi \nu \epsilon \hat{v} \mu \alpha$ ．

## XENOPHANES

when everything was covered by mud long ago and the outline in the mud dried out. And that all human beings are destroyed when the earth deposited in the sea becomes mud; and that then generation begins again, and that this change happens in all the worlds.

## D23 (cf. A32) Ps.-Plutarch, Stromata

[. . .] He asserts that earth is deposited continually and little by little, and with time it goes into the sea. And he says that the sun is produced by the collecting together of many small fires. [. . .] And he asserts that the earth is unlimited and is not surrounded by the air in every part; and that everything comes about from earth; but he says that the sun and the other heavenly bodies come to be from clouds.

## D24 (< A1) Diogenes Laertius

The clouds are formed when the vapor caused by the sun lifts them up and raises them up to what surrounds [scil. the earth]. [. . .] He was the first to assert that everything that comes about is perishable and that the soul is breath.

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

Earth and Water as Origins (D25-D27)
D25 (< A29, B29) Philop. In Phys., p. 125.30; Simpl. In Phys., p. 189.1
 фv́oviau.
 ő $\sigma \sigma a$ Simpl. F: $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu$ o̊ $\sigma a \quad \tau \in$ Simpl. D: $\pi \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \nu \theta^{\prime}$ ơ $\sigma \sigma a$ Simpl. ed.
 $\eta$ ท̇ठє̀ $\gamma i ́ \nu o \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ Philop.

D26 (B33) Sext. Emp. Adv. Math. $9.361,10.314$ (et al.) $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~s} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \gamma \alpha i ́ \eta s \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tilde{v} \delta a \tau o s$ є̇к $\gamma \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma \theta a$. $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ om. (Ps.-?) Hippol. Ref. 10.7

D27 (A36, B27) Sext. Emp. Adv. Math. 10.313
 $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \boldsymbol{v} \tau \hat{a}$.

Clouds as Cosmological Principles (D28-D40)
The Sun and Moon Are Clouds (D28-D35)
The Nature of the Sun and Moon (D28-D29)
D28 (A40) Aët. 2.20 .3 (Stob. 1.25.1a-b, cf. Ps.-Plut., Theod. 4.21, Eus. PE 15.23) [ $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ ov̉ $\sigma i ́ a s ~ \dot{\eta} \lambda i ́ o v$ ]
a


## XENOPHANES

Earth and Water as Origins (D25-D27)
D25 (< A29, B29) Philoponus and Simplicius, Commentary on Aristotle's Physics

Earth and water are everything that comes into being and grows. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ In the manuscripts of Simplicius, the line is erroneously attributed to Anaximenes.

D26 (B33) Sextus Empiricus, Against the Natural Philosophers

For all of us came about from earth and water.
D27 (A36, B27) Sextus Empiricus, Against the Natural Philosophers

For from earth come all these [or: all] things, and into earth all end up.

Clouds as Cosmological Principles (D28-D40)
The Sun and Moon Are Clouds (D28-D35)
The Nature of the Sun and Moon (D28-D29)
D28 (A40) Aëtius
a
Xenophanes: the sun is made out of clouds that have been ignited.
${ }^{1}$ E $\epsilon \nu o \phi \alpha ́ \nu \eta$ s . . . $\tau$ ò̀ $\tilde{\eta}^{\text {® }} \lambda \iota o \nu$ habet Stob. la, om. Plut., sed cf. Theod.

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

b
 $\dot{\epsilon}^{3}{ }^{3} \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma$ vi $\gamma \rho \hat{\alpha} \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \theta v \mu \iota \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma, \sigma v \nu \alpha \theta \rho o \iota \zeta o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ §̀̀ $\tau o ̀ \nu$ $\eta^{\gamma \prime} \lambda \iota \circ \nu .{ }^{4}$

 $\gamma \epsilon ́ \gamma \rho a \phi \epsilon \nu$ Mansfeld et Runia $2 \mu \grave{\nu} \tau \tau \hat{\nu} \nu \quad \sigma v \nu \alpha \rho \rho o \iota \zeta o-$ $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ Stob.: $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \sigma \nu \nu a \theta \rho o \iota \zeta o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ Plut. 3 白к Plut.: om. Stob. $\quad{ }^{4}$ post $\eta_{\eta} \lambda \iota o \nu$ hab. $\grave{\eta} \nu \epsilon ́ \phi o s ~ \pi \epsilon \pi v \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu$ Plut., є́к $\nu \epsilon \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \pi v \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ Eus. (cf. Stob. la)

D29 (A43) Aët. 2.25.4 (Ps.-Plut. 2. 28. 1; Stob. 2.29.5)
a [ $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ ov̉ $\sigma^{\prime} \alpha_{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \eta \varsigma$ ]

${ }^{1} \pi \epsilon \pi \iota \lambda \eta \mu \epsilon \in \nu o \nu$ П: $\pi \epsilon \pi v \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu \mathrm{~m}: \pi \epsilon \pi v \rho \omega \lambda \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu \mathrm{M}: \pi \epsilon-$ $\pi v \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu \pi \epsilon \pi \iota \lambda \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu$ Mansfeld et Runia
b $\left[\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\iota} \phi \omega \tau \iota \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu \tau\right]$

c [ $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath}$ є́к $\lambda \epsilon \dot{\prime} \psi \epsilon \omega \varsigma \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} \nu \eta s$ ]
ヨ $\epsilon \nu о ф \alpha ́ \nu \eta s$ каì тท̀̀ $\mu \eta \nu \iota \alpha i \alpha \nu ~ a ̉ \pi o ́ к \rho v \psi \iota \nu ~ к а \tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma \beta \epsilon ́ \sigma \iota \nu$.

## XENOPHANES

## b

Xenophanes: [scil. the sun is made] out of little fires that are collected together out of the moist exhalation, and these form the sun by being collected together. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ The version in Stobaeus attributes this explanation to Theophrastus. J. Mansfeld and D. T. Runia, Aëtiana, vol. 2 (Leiden, 2009), p. 530, suggest that the source said, "Xenophanes, as Theophrastus says in his Physics, . . "

## D29 (A43) Aëtius

## a

Xenophanes: [scil. the moon is] a compressed cloud.

## b

[. . .] Xenophanes, [. . .]: it [i.e. the moon] possesses its own light.

## c

Xenophanes: the monthly disappearance too [scil. of the moon comes about] by extinguishing.

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

The Course and Effects of the Sun (D30-D33)
D30 (B31) Heracl. Alleg. 44.5





D32 (A46) Aët. 3.4.4 (Stob.) [ $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \nu \epsilon \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \dot{o} \mu i \not \chi \lambda \eta \mathrm{~s}$





 $\sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s} \kappa \alpha \grave{i} \delta \iota \alpha \tau \mu i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \alpha ̀ \pi \nu \epsilon \dot{\mu} \mu a \tau \alpha \cdot \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \epsilon \iota \gamma \grave{a} \rho$ $\delta \iota \alpha \rho-$

${ }^{1}$ кà̀ ms ., corr. Karsten $\quad 2 \tau$ ms., corr. Karsten

D33 (A42) Aët. 2.30.8 (Stob.) [ $\pi \epsilon \rho i \grave{\epsilon} \epsilon \mu \phi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s} \alpha u ̉ \tau \hat{\jmath} \mathrm{~S}$ (scil. $\left.\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \sigma \in \lambda \eta^{\prime} \nu \eta \mathrm{s}\right)$ ]

Eєvoфávŋs $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \eta ̛ \lambda \iota o \nu ~ \chi \rho \eta ́ \sigma \iota \mu o \nu ~ \epsilon i v a \iota ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$
 $\delta \iota o i ́ \kappa \eta \sigma \iota \nu, \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ठє̀ $\sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \eta_{\nu \eta \nu} \pi \alpha \rho \in ́ \lambda \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$.

## XENOPHANES

The Course and Effects of the Sun (D30-D33)

## D30 (B31) Heraclitus, Homeric Allegories

The sun, rushing over the earth and warming it

D31 (< A41a) Aëtius
[. . .] The same man [i.e. Xenophanes]: the sun proceeds onward infinitely but it seems to move in a circle because of the distance.

D32 (A46) Aëtius
Xenophanes: what happens in the upper regions [i.e. in the atmosphere] has as efficient cause the warmth of the sun. For when the moisture is drawn up from the sea, the sweet part is separated out because of its fineness, condenses to form clouds, drips down as rain because of compression, and exhales the winds. For he writes explicitly, "the sea is the source of water."

D33 (A42) Aëtius
Xenophanes: the sun is useful for the generation and organization of the world and of the living beings in it, but the moon is irrelevant.
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## Disappearances of the Sun (D34-D35)




 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \nu \nu \cup ́ \kappa \tau \alpha \quad \phi \alpha \nu \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota$.

D35 (< A41a) Aët. 2.24 .9 (Stob.; cf. Ps.-Plut.) [ $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\iota}$ ध́к$\lambda \epsilon i ́ \psi \in \omega s \dot{\eta} \lambda i ́ o v]$




 D31].
${ }^{1} \tau \grave{\alpha}$ om. Plut. $\quad{ }^{2} \epsilon$ є́ $\mu \pi i ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ Plut. ${ }^{3}$ оíкоv $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta$, Stob. $\quad{ }^{4} \dot{v} \pi o \phi \alpha i ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ Stob. Plut. M: $\mathfrak{v} \pi o \mu \epsilon \in ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ Plut. $\mathrm{m} \Pi$

## The Heavenly Bodies and Other Luminous <br> Celestial Phenomena Are Clouds (D36-D38)

D36 (A38) Aët. 2.13.14 (Theod., Ach. Tat.) [ $\tau i ́ s \dot{\eta}$ ov̉ ${ }^{\prime} i ́ a$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ddot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \omega \nu, \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i \not \partial \quad \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \hat{\omega} \nu]$
a Theod. Cur. 4.19
 í $\tau \tau \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, \sigma \beta \epsilon \nu \nu \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v s$ бє̀ $\mu \epsilon \theta^{’} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \nu \nu v ́ \kappa \tau \omega \rho \pi \alpha ́-$ $\lambda_{\iota \nu} \dot{\alpha}^{\nu} \alpha \zeta \omega \pi v \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota, \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha ́ \pi \epsilon \rho \tau о \grave{\varsigma} \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \kappa \alpha s$.

## XENOPHANES

## Disappearances of the Sun (D34-D35)

D34 (A41) Aëtius
Xenophanes: [scil. the eclipses of the sun come about] by extinguishing, ${ }^{1}$ and a different one comes about in turn in the east. He has also reported in passing an eclipse of the sun that lasted a whole month and again a total eclipse, of which the effect was that the day appeared to be night.
${ }^{1}$ This chapter of Aëtius is about eclipses, but this explanation bears rather on sunset. The important point for Xenophanes seems to have been disappearance in general.

## D35 (< A4la) Aëtius

Xenophanes: there are many suns and moons according to the latitudes, sections, and zones of the earth, and sometimes the disk falls down onto some section of the earth uninhabited by us, and in this way, as though its fall left behind an empty space, it makes an eclipse appear [. . .].

> The Heavenly Bodies and Other Luminous
> Celestial Phenomena Are Clouds (D36-D38)

D36 (A38) Aëtius

## a

Xenophanes says that [scil. the heavenly bodies] come about out of clouds that have been ignited; they are extinguished by day and are kindled again at night like coals.
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b Ach. Tat. Introd. Arat. 11
 $\nu \alpha \iota ~ \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi v ́ \rho \omega \nu$ каì $\sigma \beta \epsilon \in \nu \nu v \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \alpha ’ \nu \alpha ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \dot{\omega} \sigma \alpha \nu \epsilon \grave{\iota}$
 є' $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \tau о \lambda \eta \hat{\eta}$, öтє $\delta є ิ \sigma \beta \epsilon ́ \nu \nu v \nu \tau \alpha \iota, \delta v ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s$.

D37 (A44) Aët. 3.2.11 (Ps.-Plut.) [ $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \kappa о \mu \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ каì

 $\sigma v \sigma \tau \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ پ̈ кı${ }^{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$.

D38 (A39) Aët. 2.18.1 (Ps.-Plut.) [ $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda o v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \Delta \iota \sigma \sigma \kappa о ́ \rho \omega \nu]$
 $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ \nu \epsilon \phi \epsilon ́ \lambda \iota a ~ \epsilon i ̉ \nu \alpha \iota ~ к \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi о \iota \alpha ̀ \nu ~ \kappa \iota ́ \nu \eta \sigma \iota \nu \pi \alpha-$ $\rho \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ \mu \pi о \nu \tau \alpha$.

## The Rainbow Too Is a Cloud (D39)

D39 (B32) Schol. BLT Eust. ad Il. 11.27b
 $\pi о \rho \phi v ́ \rho \epsilon о \nu$ каì фоьขíкєоу каì $\chi \lambda \omega \rho o ̀ \nu ~ i \delta ́ \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota$.

## b

Xenophanes says that the heavenly bodies have come about out of clouds that have been ignited, and that they are extinguished and are kindled again like coals, and that, when they are kindled, we have the impression of a rising, and when they are extinguished, that of a setting.

D37 (A44) Aëtius
Xenophanes: all things of this sort [i.e. comets, shooting stars, and other luminous phenomena] are groups or motions of clouds that have been ignited.

D38 (A39) Aëtius
Xenophanes: the phenomena similar to stars that appear on boats [i.e. St. Elmo's fire] are small clouds that gleam because of their particular kind of motion.

The Rainbow Too Is a Cloud (D39)
D39 (B32) Scholia on Homer’s Iliad
And what they call Iris, this too by nature is a cloud,
Purple, red and greenish yellow to look on.
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Lightning（D40）
D40（A45）Aët．3．3．6（Stob．）$[\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \beta \rho o \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\kappa \epsilon \rho \alpha \nu \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \eta \rho \omega \nu \tau v \phi \omega \nu \omega \nu]$
 $\nu \epsilon \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa i ́ \nu \eta \sigma \iota \nu$.

## The Earth（D41－D45）

D41（B28）Ach．Tat．Intr．Arat． 4
 ท’є́ $\iota \pi \rho о \sigma \pi \lambda a ́ \zeta о \nu, \tau o ̀ ~ к а ́ \tau \omega ~ \delta ’ ~ \epsilon ’ s ~ a ̈ \pi \epsilon \iota \rho о \nu ~$ ікрєіَтац．
$1 \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \rho a \rho$ Maass：$\pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \rho \alpha \nu \mathrm{V}$ ：$\pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \rho a s \mathrm{M} \quad 2$ クु́ $\rho \iota$ Diels：каì $\hat{\rho} \in \hat{\imath} \mathrm{mss}$ ．：ai $\theta \hat{\epsilon} \rho \iota$ Karsten

D42（＜A47）Aët．3．9．4（Ps．－Plut．）［ $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ каi $\tau i s ~ \dot{\eta}$ $\tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta s$ ov̉𧰨ía каì $\pi o ́ \sigma \alpha \iota]$


${ }^{1} \beta$ á $\theta$ os AE：$\mu$ é $\rho o s$ Mm：del．Diels

D43（＜A47）Aët．3．11．2（Ps．－Plut．；cf．Eus．PE 15．57．2） ［ $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \theta \prime \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{\sigma} \gamma \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~s}$ ］
［．．．＝THAL．R24］ヨ $\epsilon \nu o \phi \alpha ́ \nu \eta s \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau \eta \nu \cdot \epsilon i s ~ \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho o \nu$ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho^{1}{ }^{\epsilon} \rho \rho \iota \zeta \hat{\omega} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$.
${ }^{1} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ Eus．：om．Plut．

## XENOPHANES

Lightning (D40)
D40 (A45) Aëtius
Xenophanes: lightning flashes come about because of the illumination of clouds in motion.

## The Earth (D41-D45)

D41 (B28) Achilles Tatius, Introduction to Aratus' Phaenomena

This is the limit of the earth: above, one sees it at our feet
Pressing against the air; but below, it stretches down to the unlimited.

D42 (< A47) Aëtius
Xenophanes: [scil. the earth] is rooted from its lower part down to an unlimited depth and is made out of solidified air and fire.

D43 (< A47) Aëtius
[. . .] Xenophanes: [scil. the earth] is first, for it is rooted in the unlimited. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ This statement has led to an error in the doxographic tradition; see Stobaeus 1.22.3b (2) (DOX. T17).
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D44 (B37) Hdn. Mon. Lex. 2, p. 936.19
 $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ Diels: $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu \mathrm{ms}$.

D45 (A48) Ps.-Arist. Mir. ausc. 37 833al5-17



The Sea (D46)
D46 (B30) Crates in Schol. Genav. in Il. 21.196




 $\tilde{v} \delta \omega \rho$,
ả $\lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma a s ~ \pi o ́ v \tau o s ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \epsilon \omega \rho ~ \nu \epsilon \phi ' ́ \omega \nu ~ a ̉ \nu ́ ́ \mu \omega \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~$ каі̀ $\pi о \tau \alpha \mu \omega ิ \nu \cup \mathrm{I}-\cup \cup \mathrm{I}-\cup \cup \mathrm{l}-\cup \cup \mathrm{l}$ - -

1 Aá $\alpha a \sigma \sigma^{\prime}$ Nicole: $\theta a ́ \lambda a \sigma \sigma \eta \mathrm{~ms}$. 2-3 suppl. Diels:

 Nicole: ai $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} H \mathrm{H} \text { cum ras. ms. } \quad 5 \nu \epsilon \phi \epsilon \lambda \omega \nu \text { Nicole }\end{aligned}$

XENOPHANES
D44 (B37) Herodian, On Particular Usages
And in some caves, water drips down.

D45 (A48) Ps.-Aristotle, On Marvelous Things Heard Xenophanes says that the [scil. fire] in Lipari once ceased for sixteen years and resumed in the seventeenth.

The Sea (D46)
D46 (B30) Geneva Scholia on Homer's Iliad (derived from Crates of Mallos)
Xenophanes in his On Nature:
The sea is the source of water and the source of the wind.
For neither would in the clouds <the force of the wind come about,
That blows out> from within, without the great sea,
Nor the streams of rivers, nor the rainy water of the air:
But the great sea is the begetter of clouds, winds,
And rivers . . .
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## The Soul (D47-D48)

D47 (A50) Macr. In Somm. 1.14.20
[. . .] Xenophanes ex terra et aqua [. . .].

D48 (A51) Tert. An. 43.2
[. . .] Anaxagoras cum Xenophane defetiscentiam [. . .].

Epistemological Considerations (D49-D53)
D49 (B34) Sext. Emp. Adv. Math. 7.49, 7.110 (cf. 7.51), 8.326 (et al.)
 $\tau \iota \varsigma$ є' $\sigma \tau \alpha \iota$

$\epsilon i ̉ \gamma a ̀ \rho$ каì $\tau \grave{a} \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \tau v ́ \chi o \iota ~ \tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu$ $\epsilon i \pi \omega \nu$,
$\alpha u ̉ \tau o ̀ s ~ o ̛ ~ \mu \omega s ~ o v ̉ к ~ o i ̂ \delta \epsilon \cdot ~ \delta o ́ к о s ~ \delta ’ ~ \epsilon ่ \pi i ̀ ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma \iota ~$ тє́тขктаь.
 Emp. 7.49 NA, Diog. Laert. 9.72 BF, $\epsilon \hat{i} \delta \epsilon \nu$ Diog. Laert. P)

## The Soul (D47-D48)

D47 (A50) Macrobius, Commentary on Cicero's Dream of Scipio
[. . .] Xenophanes: [scil. the soul is composed] out of earth and water [. . .]. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ This is doubtless an illegitimate inference based on D26 (cf. D25).

D48 (A51) Tertullian, On the Soul
[. . .] Anaxagoras together with Xenophanes [scil. say that sleep is] exhaustion [. . .].

Epistemological Considerations (D49-D53)
D49 (B34) Sextus Empiricus, Against the Logicians
And thus there has never been any man, nor will there ever be one,
Who knows what is clear about the gods and whatever I say about all things.
For even if he happened most to say something perfect,
He himself nonetheless does not know: opinion is set upon all things.
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D50 (B35) Plut. Quaest. conv. 9.14 746B

$\delta \epsilon \delta o \xi \alpha ́ \sigma \theta \omega$ Wilamowitz: $\delta \epsilon \delta o \xi \alpha ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ m s s .: ~ \delta \epsilon \delta o ́ \xi \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \iota$ Karsten

D51 (B36) Hdn. $\pi$. $\delta \iota \chi \rho .$, p. 16.22
ó $\pi \pi o ́ \sigma a \delta \eta ̀ ~ \theta \nu \eta \tau o i ̂ \sigma \iota \pi \epsilon \phi \eta_{\nu} \nu \sigma \iota \nu \epsilon i \sigma o \rho a ́ a \sigma \theta a \iota$

D52 (B38) Hdn. Mon. Lex. 2, p. 946.23
$\epsilon i ̉ \mu \grave{\eta} \chi \lambda \omega \rho o ̀ \nu$ є̈ $\phi v \sigma \epsilon \theta \epsilon o ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \iota, \pi o \lambda \lambda o ̀ \nu$ є̈фабкор
$\gamma \lambda$ v́ $\sigma \sigma о \nu \alpha$ $\sigma \hat{v} \kappa \alpha \pi \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota . \cup|-\cup \cup|-\cup \cup \mid--$
 $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu$ coni. Diels

D53 (B18) Stob. 1.8.2; 3.29.41
ov̀тoı $\dot{\alpha} \pi \pi^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ $\theta \epsilon o i ̀ ~ \theta \nu \eta \tau o i ̂ \sigma^{\prime} \dot{v} \pi \epsilon ́ \delta \epsilon \iota \xi \alpha \nu$,

 1.8.2 P

D50 (B35) Plutarch, Table Talk
Then let this be accepted as opinions similar to real things

## D51 (B36) Herodian, On Syllables with Double Value

All the things that appear ${ }^{1}$ to mortals to look upon
${ }^{1}$ Or "all the things that they [scil. the gods] reveal."

## D52 (B38) Herodian, On Particular Usages

If god had not created the yellow honey, they would say that
Figs are much sweeter.

D53 (B18) Stobaeus, Anthology
The gods have not indicated all things to mortals from the beginning,
But in time, by searching, they find something more that is better.
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## Other Fragments from Poems in Dactylic Hexameters (D54-D58)

D54 (B22) Athen. Deipn. 2.44 54E




 $\phi \epsilon ́ \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon ;$
 $1 \pi \alpha \rho \omega ́ \delta a \iota s$ mss., corr. Menagius $4 \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \tau \eta$ mss., corr. Diels

D55 (B17) Schol. in Aristoph. Equit. 408b $\beta a ́ \kappa \chi o v s[. ..] \kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau o v ̀ s ~ к \lambda a ́ \delta o v s ~ o v ̂ s ~ o i ~ \mu v ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \iota ~ \phi ́ ́ \rho о v \sigma \iota . ~$


 Wachsmuth

D56 (B21a) Schol. in Il. 7 (P.Oxy. 1087 Col. 2.40-41)


# Other Fragments from Poems in Dactylic <br> Hexameters (D54-D58) 

D54 (B22) Athenaeus, Deipnosophists
Xenophanes of Colophon in his parodies [i.e. doubtless the Mockeries]:

## Beside the fire, during the winter, one should say these sorts of thing,

Reclining on a soft couch, having eaten one's fill,
Drinking sweet wine and nibbling chickpeas:
"Who are you among men and from where?
How old are you, my good man?
How old were you when the Mede came?"

D55 (B17) Scholia on Aristophanes' Knights
bakkhoi [. . .] and the branches that mystic initiates carry. Xenophanes mentions them in his Mockeries:

Around the sturdy house stand <bakkhoi> of fir.

D56 (B21a), Scholia on Homer's Iliad
The word Erukos [scil. to designate Mt. Eryx in Sicily] is found in Xenophanes in Book 5 of his Mockeries.
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D57 (B39) Pollux Onom. 6.46
 vovs $\epsilon \mathfrak{v} \rho \omega \dot{\nu}$.

D58 (B41) Tzetz. In Dion. Perieg. 940 et Comm. ad Tzetz.
$\sigma \iota \lambda \lambda о \gamma \rho a ́ \phi o s ~ \delta \epsilon ́ ~ \tau \iota s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma \iota ~ \mu а к \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \gamma \rho a ́ \phi \epsilon \iota, ~$

$\sigma \iota \lambda \lambda о \gamma \rho a ́ \phi o s \nu \hat{v} \nu$ ó $\Xi \epsilon \nu о \phi a ́ \nu \eta s$ є́ $\sigma \tau \grave{\kappa} \kappa \alpha i$ ó Tí $\mu \omega \nu \kappa \alpha i$ $ั$ є $\tau \epsilon \rho \circ \iota$ [Testim. 15 Di Marco].

> From Xenophanes' Poem(s) in Elegiac Couplets (D59-D69)
> Social Norms (D59-D63)

D59 (B1) Athen. Deipn. 11.7 462C
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$
 $\sigma \tau \epsilon \phi a ́ \nu o v s$,
 $\kappa \rho a \tau \grave{\eta} \rho \delta^{\prime}$ є́ $\sigma \tau \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon \sigma \tau o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ่ v ф \rho o \sigma v ́ \nu \eta s \cdot$ 5 ä̀ $\lambda \lambda o s \delta^{\prime}$ oî̀os étoî $\mu o s$, ôs ov̂̃oтє́ $\phi \eta \sigma \iota$ $\pi \rho o \delta \omega \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$,
$2 \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ Dindorf: $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \tau \iota \theta \epsilon \grave{\iota}$ mss.



## D57 (B39) Pollux, Onomasticon

[. . .] having found kerason (i.e. "cherry tree") for the tree in Xenophanes' On Nature.

D58 (B41) Tzetzes, Commentary on Dionysius Periegetes (and a commentary on Tzetzes)

A certain writer of Mockeries writes the si- (scil. of siros, i.e. "storage pit") long,
Lengthening it perhaps, I suppose, because of the $r$.
Xenophanes is a writer of Mockeries, and also Timon, and others.

> From Xenophanes' Poem(s) in
> Elegiac Couplets (D59-D69)
> Social Norms (D59-D63)

D59 (B1) Athenaeus, Deipnosophists
For now the floor is truly purified, and the hands of all,
And the wine cups. One person puts plaited garlands on us,
Another proffers fragrant ointment in a saucer.
The mixing bowl stands full of good cheer,
And other wine, which promises never to betray, 5 is ready,
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 ка $\theta \alpha$ ро́v.

$\tau v \rho o v ̂$ каi $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \iota \tau o s ~ \pi i ́ o \nu o s ~ a ̉ \chi ~ Ө o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta . ~$ $\beta \omega \mu o ̀ s \delta^{\prime} \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \nu \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu \stackrel{\wedge}{\alpha} \nu \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \sigma o \nu \pi a ́ \nu \tau \eta$ $\pi \epsilon \pi v ́ \kappa \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \iota$,
 $\chi \rho \grave{\eta} \delta \epsilon ̀ \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \theta \epsilon o ̀ \nu \dot{v} \mu \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu \epsilon \bar{v} \phi \rho o \nu a s$ ă้ $\nu \delta \rho a s$
 $\sigma \pi \epsilon i ́ \sigma a \nu \tau a s ~ \delta \grave{~ к а i ̀ ~ \epsilon v ̉ \xi a \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v s ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \delta i ́ к а \iota a ~}$ ठv́vaб才aı
$\pi \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu(\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ ब̂̀ $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \pi \rho о \chi \epsilon \iota \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon-$ $\rho o \nu)$,
 ойкк $\delta^{\prime}$ ä $\nu \in v \pi \rho о \pi o ́ \lambda o v, \mu \eta ̀ \pi a ́ \nu v ~ \gamma \eta \rho a \lambda \epsilon ́ o s . ~$
 ả $\nu a \phi a i ́ \nu \eta$,

 ov̉סє́ 〈 $\tau \iota\rangle \mathrm{K} \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha v ́ \rho \omega \nu, \pi \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\pi \rho о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$,
$15 \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ Bergk: $\tau \epsilon$ mss. $\quad 17 \boldsymbol{v} \beta \rho \iota s$ Musurus: $\ddot{v} \beta \rho \epsilon \iota s$ mss. $\quad \delta^{\prime}$ post $\pi i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ habent mss., del. Bergk $19 \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha-$ фaív $\eta$ Hermann: ả $\nu a \phi a i ́ \nu \epsilon \iota$ mss. 20 ©s oi Koraïs: $\omega \sigma \eta$ A: $\dot{\omega} s \dot{\eta} \mathrm{E} \quad \tau o ̀ \nu$ ös mss.: $\tau o ́ v o s$ Diels $\quad 21 \delta_{\iota} \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota \nu \mathrm{A}: \delta_{\iota}$ $\pi \epsilon \iota$ E: $\delta_{\iota} \epsilon \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \omega \nu$ Fränkel

Honey-sweet in the clay jars, scented of flowers.
In the middle, incense sends up a sacred aroma.
The water is cold, sweet, and pure.
Blond loaves of bread lie nearby and a majestic table
Laden with cheese and rich honey.
An altar is in the middle, decorated everywhere with flowers;
On all sides song and festive joy fill the house.
First, cheerful [or: sensible] men should sing hymns to the god
With words of good omen and pure speech.
Then, after having made libation and prayed to be able to act
Justly-for this is more appropriate (?)-
It is not presumptuous to drink so much that one can still go home
Without a servant as guide, unless one is too old.
Praise that man who after drinking reveals noble thoughts,
According to his memory, and him who [scil.
speaks] about excellence;
And do not recount the battles of Titans or of Giants
Or of Centaurs, inventions of earlier men,
$22\langle\tau \iota\rangle$ Meineke: $\alpha \hat{v}$ Bergk: $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ Hermann: $\tau \epsilon$ Ludwig $\pi \lambda \alpha \alpha^{-}$ $\sigma \mu a \tau \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \rho o \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ Schweighaüser: $\pi \lambda a \sigma \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \pi \rho o \tau \epsilon \rho \rho \nu$ mss.
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$\hat{\eta} \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \iota a \varsigma ~ \sigma \phi \epsilon \delta \alpha \nu a ́ \varsigma, \tau o i ̂ \varsigma ~ o v ̉ \delta e ̀ \nu ~ \chi \rho \eta \sigma \tau o ̀ \nu$ ${ }^{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota$,


$23 \sigma \phi \epsilon \delta a \nu a ́ s$ Osann：фє $\boldsymbol{\delta}$ סóvas mss．<br>24 〈 $\delta \grave{\text { ć }}$ 〉 Scaliger ảja日óv Hermann

D60（B5）Athen．Deipn． 11.18 782A
 $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \chi \epsilon ́ a s, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{v} \delta \omega \rho$ каì ка $\theta \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \theta \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ \theta v$ ．

2 є $\gamma \chi \chi$ vías mss．，corr．Casaubon

D61（B2）Athen．Deipn． 10.6 413F

$\hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \theta \lambda \epsilon v ́ \omega \nu, \stackrel{้}{\epsilon} \nu \theta a \quad \Delta \iota o ̀ s \tau \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s$
 ทै каi $\pi v \kappa \tau о \sigma v ́ \nu \eta \nu ~ a ̉ \lambda \gamma \iota \nu o ́ \epsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha \nu ~ \epsilon ै \chi ~ \chi \omega \nu$, єїтє тò $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu o ̀ \nu ~ a ̈ ́ \epsilon \theta \lambda о \nu$ ô $\pi а \gamma к \rho a ́ \tau \iota о \nu ~ к а \lambda є ́ o v \sigma \iota \nu, ~$

$\kappa \alpha i ́ ~ к \epsilon \pi \rho о є \delta \rho i ́ \eta \nu ~ ф а \nu \epsilon \rho \eta े \nu ~ \epsilon ’ \nu ~ a ̉ \gamma \omega ิ \sigma \iota \nu ~ a ̈ \rho o \iota \tau о$ $\kappa \alpha i ́ ~ к \epsilon \nu ~ \sigma i ̂ \tau^{\prime} \epsilon i ้ \eta ~ \delta \eta \mu о \sigma i ́ \omega \nu ~ к \tau \epsilon \alpha ́ \nu \omega \nu$
 $\pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon \rho \alpha \nu \mathrm{~ms}$ ． $8 \sigma i ̂{ }^{\prime}$ єi̋ $\eta$ Diels：$\sigma \iota \tau \epsilon \iota \eta \mathrm{ms}$ ．：$\sigma i ́ \tau \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ Kaibel
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Or fierce civil strife, in which there is no profit at all:
But always have good forethought about the gods.

D60 (B5) Athenaeus, Deipnosophists
Nor when mixing in the wine cup would one first pour in
Wine, but instead water and then on top of it pure wine.

D61 (B2) Athenaeus, Deipnosophists
But if someone were to carry off victory by swiftness of foot
Or in the pentathlon, where the precinct of Zeus lies
Beside the streams of the Pisa in Olympia, or by wrestling,
Or because he knows the art of painful boxing
Or the frightful discipline that they call the pankration:
Then he would be more glorious to look upon for his fellow citizens,
And he would get a conspicuous seat of honor in the competitions,
And his food would come out of the people's expense
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$\pi \rho о к \rho і ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \rho ீ ळ ́ \mu \eta \nu ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \theta \hat{\eta} s$ бофíךs.
oṽँтє $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ єỉ $\pi v ́ \kappa \tau \eta s$ ả $\gamma a \theta$ òs $\lambda \alpha o i ̂ \sigma \iota \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon i ́ \eta$ ov̌ ${ }^{\prime} \epsilon i ̉ \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \theta \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ ov̀ $\tau \epsilon \pi \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \sigma \mu o \sigma u ́ \nu \eta \nu$,
 $\pi \rho о ́ \tau \iota \mu о \nu$


 $\tau \hat{\omega}$,
 ov̉ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \pi \iota a i ́ \nu \epsilon \iota ~ \tau \alpha v ̂ \tau \alpha \mu v \chi o v ̀ s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$.
$10 \kappa \epsilon \pi a ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ Schweighaüser: $\kappa^{\prime} \epsilon i \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha \mathrm{~ms}$.
$15 \lambda \alpha o \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota$ $\mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon i ́ \eta$ Stephanus: $\lambda a o i ̂ \sigma \iota \nu$ ढ̈ $\tau$ ’ $\epsilon \ell \eta \mathrm{ms}$.

D62 (B3) Athen. Deipn. 12.31 526A
$\dot{\alpha} \beta \rho o \sigma v ́ \nu a s \delta_{\epsilon} \mu a \theta o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon s$ ả $\nu \omega \phi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in a s \pi a \rho a ̀$ $\Lambda \nu \delta \hat{\omega} \nu$,

From the city, and he would receive a gift that would be an heirloom for him,
Or else if with horses, he would get all these things-
But he would not be worthy as I am. For better than strength
Of men or horses is our wisdom.
But this custom is quite haphazard, and it is not just
To prefer strength to good wisdom.
For neither if there were a good boxer among the people,
Nor someone good at the pentathlon or at wrestling
Nor if in swiftness of foot (which is most honored
Of all the competitions for strength in the games of men),
Would the city because of him [or: this] be in a better state of lawfulness.
The city would derive little pleasure from him,
If someone wins in the competitions besides the banks of the Pisa,
For this does not fatten the city's store chambers.

D62 (B3) Athenaeus, Deipnosophists
After they [scil. the Colophonians] had learned about useless luxuries from the Lydians,
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 $a v ̉ \chi a \lambda \epsilon ́ o \iota, \chi a i ́ \tau \eta \sigma \iota \nu \dagger a ̉ \gamma a ́ \lambda \lambda o \mu \epsilon \nu \dagger \epsilon \dot{v} \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon ́ \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota \nu$

$1 \dot{\alpha} \phi \rho o \sigma v ́ \nu a s \mathrm{~ms}$., corr. Schneider $2 \eta \sigma \sigma a \mid \nu \epsilon v \mathrm{~ms}$., corr. Dindorf 5 रaí $\eta \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda o \mu \in \nu$ ' Casaubon: $\chi \alpha i ́ \tau \eta s$ $\dot{\epsilon} \nu$ ả $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$ Hermann: $\chi \alpha i ́ \tau \eta \sigma \iota \nu \dot{a} \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota$ Wilamowitz: alii alia

D63 (< B4) Pollux Onom. 9.83
[.. .] $\Lambda v \delta о i ́, ~ к а \theta \alpha ́ ~ ф \eta \sigma \iota ~ \Xi є \nu о ф а ́ \nu \eta s ~[s c i l . ~ \pi \rho \omega ́ \tau о \iota ~ є ’ к о-~$ $\psi \alpha \nu \nu o ́ \mu \iota \sigma \mu \alpha]$.

> From a Poem about Old Age and
> Death? (D64-D67)

D64 (B7) Diog. Laert. 8.36

 $\dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \eta^{\prime}$.
 $\kappa \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \boldsymbol{\vartheta}$ Өо $\nu$.
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## As long as they were free from hateful tyranny,

They would go forth to the agora wearing mantles all purple,
Not less than a thousand all in all,
Boastful, twe pride ourselves $\dagger$ on their wellordered locks,
Drenched with perfume from refined ointments.

D63 (< B4) Pollux, Vocabulary
[. . .] the Lydians, according to what Xenophanes says, [scil. were the first to mint coins].

> From a Poem about Old Age and
> Death? (D64-D67)

D64 (B7) Diogenes Laertius
About the fact that he [i.e. Pythagoras] became a different person at different times, Xenophanes provides testimony in his elegiac poem that begins,

Now I will pass over to another story, and I shall show the path.

What he says about him is as follows:

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

каі́ $\pi о \tau \epsilon ́ \mu \iota \nu \sigma \tau v ф \epsilon \lambda \iota \zeta о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v ~ \sigma \kappa v ́ \lambda а к о s$ $\pi a \rho \iota o ́ \nu \tau \alpha$

 $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}, \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \stackrel{้}{\epsilon} \gamma \nu \omega \nu \phi \theta \epsilon \gamma \xi \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s \dot{\alpha} i ́ \omega \nu$."

2 фaбì $\mathrm{B}: \phi a \sigma i ́ \gamma$ ' РФ: фабì $\gamma o \hat{\nu} \nu \mathrm{~F}$

D65 (B20) Diog. Laert. 1.111
 $\lambda о ф \omega ́ \nu \iota o s ~ \dot{\alpha} к \eta к о є ́ \nu \alpha \iota ~ ф \eta \sigma i, ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \tau \tau \alpha \rho \alpha ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~ \pi \epsilon \nu \tau \eta \eta^{-}$ кодта каі̀ є́като́ข.

D66 (B8) Diog. Laert. 9.19

 $\gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$.
 тoîs,


D67 (B9) Etym. Gen. ad $\gamma \hat{\eta} \rho a s 42$ Calame $\dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho o ̀ s ~ \gamma \eta \rho \epsilon ́ \nu \tau o s ~ \pi o \lambda \lambda o ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \phi a v \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o s$

And they say that when he was once passing by a puppy that was being mistreated, He took pity and said these words:
"Stop beating it, since this is truly the soul Of a dear friend whom I recognized on hearing him cry out."

D65 (B20) Diogenes Laertius
As Xenophanes of Colophon says he heard [scil. Epimenides] lived for 154 [scil. years].

D66 (B8) Diogenes Laertius
Already seven and sixty years have been tossing about
My thought throughout the land of Greece;
And at that time there had already been twentyfive more since my birth,
If I myself know how to speak truly about these things.

D67 (B9) Etymologicum Genuinum
Much more feeble than an old man
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References to Other Poets (D68-D69)
D68 (< B21) Schol. in Aristoph. Pac. 697



D69 (B6) Athen. Deipn. 9.6, 368F
 $\tau \alpha v ́ \rho o v ~ \lambda a \rho \iota \nu o \hat{v}, \tau i ́ \mu \iota o \nu ~ a ̉ \nu \delta \rho i ̀ ~ \lambda a \chi \epsilon i ̂ \nu$,
 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \lambda \eta^{\prime} \xi \epsilon \iota$,


$3 \dot{a} \phi i ́ \xi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ mss., corr. Karsten

An Isolated Word in Uncertain Meter (D70)

D70 (< B40) Etym. Gen. B. 338
$\beta \rho o ́ \tau \alpha \chi o s, \tau o ̀ \nu \beta a ́ \tau \rho \alpha \chi o \nu{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{I} \omega \nu \epsilon \mathrm{s}$, [. . .] каі $\pi \alpha \rho a ̀ ~ \Xi \epsilon \nu o-$

${ }^{1}$ ヨ $\epsilon \nu o \phi \alpha^{\prime}(\nu \epsilon \iota)$ A: $\Xi \epsilon \nu 0 \phi(\hat{\omega} \nu) \tau(\iota) \mathrm{B}$

References to Other Poets (D68-D69)
D68 (< B21) Scholia on Aristophanes' Peace
Simonides was accused of being avaricious [. . .] for this reason Xenophanes calls him a "skinflint."

D69 (B6) Athenaeus, Deipnosophists
You sent the thighbone of a kid goat, but you received the rich leg
Of a fatted bull, an honored piece to obtain for a man
Whose fame will reach through all of Greece and will not cease
As long as the race of Greek songs will live. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ The absence of context makes the point unclear, but it has of ten been thought that this is an ironic swipe at a fellow poet (perhaps Simonides, cf. D68).

An Isolated Word in Uncertain Meter (D70)
D70 (< B40) Etymologicum Genuinum
brotakhos: botrakhros [i.e. "frog"] in Ionic [. . .] and in Xenophanes.

## XENOPHANES [21 DK]

## R

First Mentions and Allusions<br>In Heraclitus

## See HER. D20

Parodies and Allusions in Epicharmus

## See DRAM. T2-T3

Imitations in Euripides
See DRAM. T72-T73

## Xenophanes as the Initiator of the Eleatic Line of <br> Descent of Greek Philosophy (R1-R3)

R1 (< A29) Plat. Soph. 242d



${ }^{1} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ Paris. 1808 (et Theod. Cur. 2.17): $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ BTW

# XENOPHANES 

R<br>First Mentions and Allusions In Heraclitus

See HER. D20

Parodies and Allusions in Epicharmus

## See DRAM. T2-T3

Imitations in Euripides
See DRAM. T72-T73

Xenophanes as the Initiator of the Eleatic Line of
Descent of Greek Philosophy (R1-R3)
R1 (< A29) Plato, Sophist
[The stranger from Elea:] Our Eleatic tribe, which begins with Xenophanes and even earlier, explain in their stories that what are called 'all things' is one [cf. DOX. T4].
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R2 (< A30) Arist. Metaph. A5 986b21-22


R3 (< A8) Clem. Alex. Strom. 1.64.2
 $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \rho \chi \in \iota[. .=\mathbf{D 4}]$.

## The Eleatization of Xenophanes (R4-R11)

R4 (< A31) Simpl. In Phys., p. 22.26-23.14 (= Theophr. [Frag. 224 FHS\&G]

 $\mu \circ \hat{v} \nu$ ヨ $\epsilon \nu о \phi \alpha ́ \nu \eta \nu$ тò $К о \lambda о \phi \omega ́ \nu \iota o \nu$ тò $\Pi \alpha \rho \mu \epsilon \nu i ́ \delta o v$

 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \eta \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \tau o v ́ \tau o v$ סó ${ }^{\prime} \eta s$.

 $\epsilon i ̉ \nu \alpha \iota$. $\pi \lambda \epsilon \iota o ́ \nu \omega \nu \gamma \alpha ́ \rho, \phi \eta \sigma i ́ \nu$, ő $\nu \tau \omega \nu$ ó $\mu$ оí $\omega s$ vi $\pi \alpha ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ ả $\nu \alpha ́ \gamma к \eta \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ к \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon i ̂ \nu \cdot \tau o ̀ ~ \delta \grave{\iota} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ к \rho a ́ \tau \iota \sigma \tau о \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~$ a้ $\rho \iota \sigma \tau о \nu$ өєós.


${ }^{1}$ It has often been doubted that Theophrastus could have been the author of a doxography that, probably combining the

## XENOPHANES

R2 (<A30) Aristotle, Metaphysics
Xenophanes, the first of those [scil. together with Parmenides and Melissus] to have taught the One [. . .].

## R3 (< A8) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata

Xenophanes of Colophon is the founder of the Eleatic school [. . .].

## The Eleatization of Xenophanes (R4-R11)

R4 (< A31) Theophrastus in Simplicius, Commentary on Aristotle's Physics
Theophrastus says that Xenophanes of Colophon, the teacher of Parmenides, supposes that the principle is one, or that what is and the whole are one, and that it is neither limited nor unlimited, nor moving nor at rest; and he [i.e. Theophrastus] agrees that recording this man's opinion belongs to a different field of research rather than to the one concerning nature. ${ }^{1}$

For Xenophanes said of this one and whole that it is god. He demonstrated that he is one on the basis of the fact that he is the strongest of all: for, he says, if there were more than one, ruling would necessarily belong to all of them in a similar way; but god is the strongest and best of all.

He demonstrated that he is ungenerated on the basis of the fact that what comes about must come about either

Platonic tradition of Xenophanes' Eleatic filiation (cf. R1) with the naiveté noted by Aristotle (in R12), attributes theses to Xenophanes that he certainly never maintained.
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ov้ $\tau \epsilon \delta \grave{\alpha}$ ä $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho o \nu$ oṽ $\tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho a \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu$ єỉvaı, $\delta \iota o ́ \tau \iota$
 ov̋ $\tau \epsilon \tau \epsilon \in \lambda o s, \pi \epsilon \rho \alpha i ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ ठє̀ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̆ \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \alpha ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \lambda \epsilon i ́ \omega$.




 $\mu \grave{\eta} \kappa \iota \nu \epsilon i ̂ \sigma \theta \alpha \iota[. . .=\mathbf{D 1 9 ]}$, ои $\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \grave{\eta} \rho \epsilon \mu i ́ \alpha \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$

 $\nu \eta^{\prime} \nu[\ldots=\mathbf{R 5}]$.
${ }^{1} \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ Usener post Karsten: $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota$ mss.

R5 (< A31) Simpl. In Phys., p. 23.14-20
[. . . = R4] Nıкó入аоs Sє̀ ó $\Delta \alpha \mu \alpha \sigma к \eta \nu o ̀ s ~ \omega ́ s ~ a ̈ \pi \epsilon \iota \rho о \nu ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~$



${ }^{1}$ The attribution, found frequently in the doxography, of sphericity to Xenophanes' god goes back perhaps to Aristotle, if
from what is similar or from what is dissimilar. But he says that what is similar cannot be affected by what is similar, for it is not more appropriate for the similar to generate than to be generated out of what is similar. And if it came about from the dissimilar, then being would come from not-being. And in this way he demonstrated that he is ungenerated and eternal.

And that he is neither unlimited nor limited, since on the one hand unlimited is what does not exist, as it has neither a beginning nor a middle nor an end, and on the other hand what makes a limit with regard to one another is a plurality of things.

In the same way he abolishes both motion and rest. For immobile is what does not exist, for neither could something else go toward it nor could it go toward something else; and what moves is a plurality of things more than one, for one thing exchanges its place with another. So that when he says that he stays in the same place and does not move [. . . = D19], he says that it stays at rest not in the sense of that rest which is opposed to motion, but in the sense of motionlessness deprived of motion and rest [. . .].

R5 (< A31) Simplicius, Commentary on Aristotle's Physics
[. . .] Nicolaus of Damascus asserts in his On Gods that he [i.e. Xenophanes] says that the principle is unlimited and motionless, while Alexander says that it is limited and spherical. ${ }^{1}$ But it is clear from what has been said earlier
it is admitted that Xenophanes conceived his god by referring to the totality of the world (cf. R12) and that the world is spherical (what is not the case for Xenophanes, cf. D22 [3] and D31).
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$\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ov̉ $\tau \epsilon \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho o \nu$ ovै $\tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \alpha \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu$ av̉ $\pi o ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon i ́ \kappa \nu v \sigma \iota \nu$,




R6 (< A28) Ps.-Arist. MXG 3.1-11 977a13-b19

 ó $\mu$ óóov $\ddot{\eta}$ є́ $\xi$ ả $\nu o \mu o i ́ o v ~[a 15] ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \gamma \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu . ~$
 $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta^{\prime} \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \omega \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota \quad \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ ทै $\tau \epsilon \kappa \nu \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \iota$ ( $\tau \alpha v ̉ \tau \grave{\alpha}$





 ठıà $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ єîvaı $\tau \grave{\nu} \theta \epsilon$ óv.




 $\nu \alpha \mu \iota \nu \epsilon \hat{i} \nu \alpha \iota, \kappa \rho a \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\eta} \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota$, каì $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$
multa menda quae praesertim in ms. R exhibentur omit-
 ov̉к ő ő $\boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{o s}$ Gomperz
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that he demonstrates that it is neither unlimited nor limited, but that he says that it is limited and spherical since it is similar in every direction. And he says that it thinks all things, saying [ $\ldots=$ D18].

R6 (< A28) Ps.-Aristotle, On Melissus, Xenophanes, and Gorgias
[1] He says that is it impossible, if something exists, for it to come about, saying this with regard to god. For it is necessary that what comes about come about either from what is similar or from what is dissimilar. But neither of these is possible. For it is suitable neither that what is similar be engendered by what is similar any more than that it engender it (for, at least for things that are equal, all things are the same, and with regard to one another they are in a similar way) nor could the dissimilar come about out of what is dissimilar. [2] For if the stronger came about from the weaker or the larger from the smaller or the better (kreitton) from the worse, or if inversely worse things came about from better ones, then what is not would come about from what is-which is impossible. For these reasons god is etemal.
[3] But if god is the strongest (kratiston) of all things, he says that it is suitable that he be one. For if there were two or more, he would no longer be the strongest and best of them all. For each of the many ones, being a god, would be like this in the same way. For this is what a god and the power of a god is, to dominate (kratein) and not to be dominated, and to be the strongest of all. So that to the
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$\kappa \rho a ́ \tau \iota \sigma \tau o \nu^{2} \epsilon i ̂ \nu \alpha u . \ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \theta o ̀ ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \kappa \rho \epsilon i ́ \tau \tau \omega \nu$, калà $\tau о \sigma-$

 à̀ $\epsilon i ̉ \nu a l ~ \theta \epsilon o v ́ s \cdot ~ \pi \epsilon \phi v \kappa \epsilon ́ v a \iota ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon i ̂ o \nu ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ к \rho a \tau \epsilon i ̂-~$







 $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \mu \dot{\eta}, \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon i ̀ \nu \grave{\alpha} \nu \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \quad \dot{v} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\eta} \lambda \omega \nu \tau \grave{\alpha}$

 $\tau \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \tau \hat{\eta}[977 \mathrm{bl}] \delta^{\prime}$ ov̉ $\tau o \kappa o v ̂ \tau o \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu a \iota, a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \eta$.






 $\pi \epsilon \rho a \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath}$.
${ }^{2} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ к $\rho a \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota$ mss., corr. Karsten ${ }^{3} \theta \epsilon$ ò̀ mss.,
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degree that he is not stronger, he is not god either. [4] So on the hypothesis that they are a plurality, if they were stronger in some regards but less so in others, they would not be gods; for what is divine has the nature of not being dominated. [5] And if they were equal, they would not have the nature of god, who must be the strongest; but what is equal is neither better nor worse than what is equal. So that if god really exists and if he is like this, god is only one. For [scil. otherwise] he would not be able to do all the things he wanted to do; for he would not be able to, if they were a plurality. So he is only one.
[6] Being one, he is similar everywhere, and he sees and hears and possesses the other senses everywhere. For otherwise, his parts, being [scil. parts] of a god, would dominate and be dominated by each other-which is impossible.
[7] Being similar everywhere, he is spherical in shape: for he is not this here but not there, but everywhere.
[8] Being eternal, one, and spherical in shape, he is not any more unlimited than he is limited. For unlimited is what does not exist; for this possesses neither a middle nor a beginning nor an end nor any other part, and the unlimited is like this. But what exists could not be what does not exist. And things would not limit one another unless they were a plurality. But the one is similar neither to what does not exist nor to what is a plurality. For the one does not possess anything against which it is limited.

[^2]
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 $\tau o ̀ ~ o ̀ \nu \nu^{10} \epsilon i ̂ \nu a \iota ~ \epsilon \in \nu o ́ s . ~ \delta \iota \alpha ̀ ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau \alpha ~ \delta \grave{\eta} \kappa \iota \nu \epsilon i \sigma \theta a \iota \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \grave{\alpha} \nu \tau \grave{\alpha}$





 єîvau. [. . . = R14]
 ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} X o \iota s$ mss., corr. Diels post Apelt $\quad{ }^{12}{ }^{2} \rho \epsilon \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ mss., corr. Diels $\quad{ }^{13}$ а́кі́ข $\eta \tau о \nu$ mss., corr. Fülleborm

R7 (A37) Aët. 2.4.11 (Stob.) [ $\epsilon \mathfrak{i}$ a̛ $\left.{ }^{\prime} \phi \theta a \rho \tau o s ~ o ́ ~ к o ́ \sigma \mu o s\right] ~$
 ठıод каì äф $\theta a \rho \tau о \nu$ тò̀ ко́б $\mu о \nu$.

## R8

a (< Al) Diog. Laert. 9.19
 ' ${ }^{\prime}$ Хоv $\sigma \alpha \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega$ [ = D20].

## XENOPHANES

[9] But a one like this, which he says is god, neither moves nor is immobile. For immobile is what does not exist; for neither could something else go toward it nor could it go toward something else. And what moves are things that are more than one; for it is necessary that one thing move toward another. So nothing could move toward what does not exist; [10] for what does not exist exists nowhere. But if things exchange places with one another, then what exists would be more than one. For these reasons two things or more than one could move, while what could rest and be immobile is nothing. [11] But the one does not rest any more than it moves; for it is similar neither to what does not exist nor to what are many.

And god being in this way in all these regards, he is eternal and one, similar and spherical, is neither unlimited nor limited, neither resting nor in motion. [. . .]

## R7 (A37) Aëtius

Xenophanes, Parmenides, Melissus: the world is ungenerated, etemal, and indestructible.

## R8

a (< Al) Diogenes Laertius
[. . .] the substance of god is spherical, and it possesses nothing similar to a human [. . .].
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b (> A4) Cic. Acad. 2.118
Xenophanes paulo etiam antiquior unum esse omnia, neque id esse mutabile, et id esse deum neque natum umquam et sempiternum, conglobata figura.

R9 (cf. A32) Ps.-Plut. Strom. 4 (Eus. PE 1.8.4)

 $\nu o v s$, ov̈ $\tau \epsilon \gamma^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$ ovै $\tau \epsilon \phi \theta o \rho a ̀ \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi o \lambda \epsilon i ́ \pi \epsilon \iota, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda^{’} \epsilon \hat{i} \nu \alpha \iota$




 $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu \delta \iota \alpha \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota$. [. . . = D23] ả $\pi о \phi \alpha i ́ \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \delta є ̀ ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~$
 ő $\sigma \iota \nu \nu \delta \sigma \pi o ́ \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha i ́ ~ \tau \iota \nu \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \cdot$ '̇ $\pi \iota \delta \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta \alpha i ́ \tau \epsilon \mu \eta$ -
 каӨó入ov каi $\mu \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu$ ب́ $\rho o s$ [. . .].

R10 (< A49) Aristocl. Philos. 7 (Eus. PE 14.17.1)




## XENOPHANES

b (> A4) Cicero, Prior Academics
Xenophanes, who is even a little earlier [scil. than Anaxagoras, said] that all things are one, and that this does not change, and that it is a god who is never born and is eternal, of a spherical shape.

## R9 (cf. A32) Ps.-Plutarch, Stromata

Xenophanes of Colophon went his own way, one that differed from all of those we mentioned earlier [i.e. Thales, Anaximander, Anaximenes]: he accepts neither generation nor destruction, but says that the whole is always similar. For, he says, if it were generated, then necessarily it would not have existed earlier; but what does not exist could not come about, nor could what does not exist make anything nor could anything come about from the action of what does not exist. And he asserts that the senses are deceptive, and in general he also slanders, together with them, reason itself. ${ }^{1}$ [. . .] And he asserts about the gods that there is no supremacy among them, for it would not be in conformity with piety for one of the gods to be subject to a master; and that none stands in need of any of them or of anything at all; and that he hears and sees as a whole and not in some part [. . .].
${ }^{1}$ This last phrase goes in the direction of the Skeptical interpretation (cf. R15-R23); contrast R10.

## R10 (< A49) Aristocles, On Philosophy

For they [i.e. the philosophers Aristocles is about to mention] think that perceptions and representations (phantasiai) must be rejected and that trust must be placed in reason alone. For Xenophanes, Parmenides, Zeno, and
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 $\mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu a ̂ \sigma \theta a i ́ ~ \tau \iota \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \phi \theta \epsilon i \rho \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \iota \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota$ тò $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha ́ \pi \alpha \nu$.

## R11 (< A36) Theod. Cur. 4.5


 $\rho a \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu$, ov̉ $\gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \tau o ́ \nu, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda$ ’ áídıo каі̀ $\pi \alpha ́ \mu \pi \alpha \nu$ áкív $\eta-$ $\tau o \nu . \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu \delta^{\prime} a \hat{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \epsilon \tau \bar{\omega} \nu \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu \epsilon \in \pi \iota \lambda \alpha \theta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s, \epsilon \notin$



## Peripatetic Criticisms (R12-R14)

R12 (< A30) Arist. Metaph. A5 986b18-27

 $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \quad \pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho a \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu$ ó $\delta^{\prime}$ ă $\left.\pi \epsilon \iota \rho o ́ \nu ~ \phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu ~ \epsilon i ̂ \nu a \iota ~ a v ̉ \tau o ́\right) . ~$
 oủס̀̀ $\tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{S} \phi \hat{v} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\sigma}$



 cf. PARM. R12].

[^3]
## XENOPHANES

Melissus were the first to say things of this sort [. . .]. That is why these thought that what exists is one and that what is other does not exist, and that nothing comes about or perishes or moves at all.

## R11 (< A36) Theodoret, Cure of the Greek Maladies

Xenophanes [. . .], who was the originator of the Eleatic sect, said that the whole is one, spherical, and limited, not generated, but eternal and entirely motionless. Then, forgetting these statements, he said that all things are generated out of earth; for this verse is his: [ . . = D27].

## Peripatetic Criticisms (R12-R14)

## R12 (<A30) Aristotle, Metaphysics

Parmenides seems to have treated of the one according to definition, and Melissus according to matter; that is why the former says that it is limited, the latter that it is unlimited [cf. PARM. D8.47; MEL. D3-D5]. But Xenophanes [. . .] said nothing that was clear, nor does he seem to have touched upon the nature of either of these [scil. the definition of the form and matter], but with reference to the whole world (ouranos) he says that the one is god. ${ }^{1}$ So, as we have said, for the purposes of the present investigation [scil. the investigation of the first causes], these men [i.e. Xenophanes, Parmenides, and Melissus] should be disre-garded-and two of them, Xenophanes and Melissus, completely, since they are a bit too unsophisticated [. . .].
${ }^{1}$ Or "god is the one." Cf. D16.
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R13 (< A47)
a Arist. Cael. 2.13 294a21-24
oi $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ Sıà $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ ä $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho o \nu \tau o ̀ ~ \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \omega ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \gamma \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \epsilon i ̂ \nu \alpha i$
 $\stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ Е $\epsilon \nu o \phi \alpha ́ \nu \eta s$ ó Ko入oфஸ́vıos, íva $\mu \eta ̀ \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau ’$

${ }^{1} \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \pi^{\prime} \ldots \lambda^{\prime}$. . $\gamma o \nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{~s}$ H et recc.: om. EJ
b Simpl. In Cael., p. 522.7-11
 $\mu \grave{\eta}$ є́v $\tau v \chi \dot{\omega} \nu, \pi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \tau o ̀ ~ к а ́ \tau \omega ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \rho o s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \gamma \hat{\eta} s$ ä $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho o \nu$
 $\kappa \alpha ́ \tau \omega \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \gamma \hat{\eta} \varsigma \tau o ́ \pi о \nu$ каì $\alpha i \theta \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha$ ä $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho о \nu$ каi $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau о \hat{\imath} \tau о$
 ov̈ $\tau \epsilon \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ ó ’А $\rho \iota \sigma \tau o \tau \epsilon \in \lambda \eta s \delta \iota \epsilon \sigma a ́ \phi \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu[. .].$.

## R14 (< A28) Ps.-Arist. MXG 4 977b21-979a9

[. . . = R6] [1] $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ o v ̉ \nu \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \gamma \iota \gamma \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$





multa menda quae praesertim in ms. R exhibentur omittimus 1 add. Brandis
 $\mathrm{L}: \hat{\eta} \mathrm{R}$

## XENOPHANES

## R13 (< A47)

a Aristotle, On the Heavens
It is for this reason that some people state that the lower part of the earth is unlimited, saying that its roots go to the unlimited, like Xenophanes of Colophon: the reason is so that they don't have the trouble of searching for the cause.
b Simplicius, Commentary on Aristotle's On the Heavens Since I could not find the verses of Xenophanes on this subject, I do not know whether he says that it is because the lower part of the earth is unlimited that the earth is at rest, or because the space below the earth and the aether are unlimited that the earth, which goes down to the unlimited, seems to be at rest. For neither has Aristotle made this clear [. . .].

R14 (< A28) Ps.-Aristotle, On Melissus, Xenophanes, and Gorgias
[. . .] [1] First then, he too, like Melissus, assumes that what comes about comes about from what is. And yet what prevents what comes about from coming about neither from the similar <nor from the dissimilar >, but from what is not? Furthermore, if indeed all things come about from the similar or from the dissimilar, god would no more be ungenerated than all other things-but this is impossible.
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 aै $\lambda \lambda \alpha$ áı́ठıa $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$.
[2] ${ }^{\prime} \tau \iota ~ к \rho \alpha ́ \tau \iota \sigma \tau о \nu ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \theta \epsilon o ̀ \nu ~ \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota, ~ \tau о \hat{v} \tau о ~ \delta v \nu \alpha \tau \omega ́ \tau \alpha-~$
 $\nu o ́ \mu о \nu, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ крєítтovs $\epsilon i ̂ \nu \alpha \iota ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \eta ́ \lambda \omega \nu$ oi $\theta \epsilon o i ́$.
 $\theta \epsilon o \hat{v} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ó $\mu о \lambda о \gamma і ́ \alpha \nu$.
[3] $\tau o ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к \rho a ́ \tau \iota \sigma \tau o \nu ~ \epsilon i ̂ \nu \alpha \iota ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \theta \epsilon o ̀ \nu ~ o v ̉ \chi ~ o v ̃ \tau \omega s ~ i ́ \pi о \lambda \alpha \mu-~$ $\beta \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu^{3} \lambda \epsilon \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \tau \alpha,{ }^{4} \dot{\omega} \varsigma \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \stackrel{a}{ } \lambda \lambda o ~ \tau \iota \tau o \iota \alpha v ́ \tau \eta ~ \dot{\eta} \tau o \hat{v} \theta \epsilon o \hat{v}$ $\phi v ́ \sigma \iota \varsigma, \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ av̉ $\tau o \hat{v} \delta^{\prime} \alpha ́ \theta \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$, $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon i ́ z o i ́ ~ \gamma \epsilon$

 $\nu \epsilon \iota a \nu$. $\theta \epsilon ́ \lambda o \iota ~ \delta$ ' $ٌ \nu \nu$ ov̉ $\delta \epsilon i s ~ o v ̃ \tau \omega ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \theta \epsilon o ̀ \nu ~ \phi a ́ \nu \alpha \iota ~ к \rho a ́ \tau \iota-~$











[^4]
## XENOPHANES

So that either there is nothing else besides god, or else all the other things are eternal.
[2] Furthermore, he assumes that god is the most powerful (kratiston), meaning by this the one that has the most power (dunatôtaton) and is the best. But this does not seem to be in accordance with usage, but rather the gods are thought to be superior (kreittous) to one another in many ways. So it is not from customary opinion that he took this point as established.
[3] He is said to assume that god is the most powerful not in the sense that the nature of god would be this with regard to something else, but rather with regard to its own condition, since in that case nothing would prevent his being superior not by his own supremacy and force, but by the weakness of the others. But no one would wish to say that it is in this sense that he has stated that god is most powerful, but because he exists in the best possible condition, and lacks nothing for existing perfectly well; for if he is in a condition <like this one >, then doubtless this [i.e. being the most powerful] will accrue to him too. [. . .] [6] Furthermore, if he is like this, for what reason would he be spherical and not possess instead some other shape, because he hears in every part and dominates in every part? For when we say of white lead that it is white in every part, we only mean that whiteness is its color in all of its parts. If so, then what prevents it from being the case there too that he sees, hears, and dominates in every part in the sense that, whatever part of him one might take, it
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 $\tau о \hat{\tau} о \quad \sigma \phi \alpha \iota \rho о \epsilon \iota \delta \hat{\eta}$.
[7] ${ }^{\epsilon} \tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \stackrel{a}{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho o \nu\langle\epsilon \hat{i} \nu \alpha \iota\rangle{ }^{9} \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon \pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \alpha ́ \nu \theta \alpha \iota \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha ́$



 ä $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho o ́ \nu$ є่ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu ;$




 $\phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota .{ }^{13}$ [. . .]

 $\alpha$ ข̉兀ò̀
 $\mu \epsilon \sigma \sigma o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu$ í $\sigma о \pi \alpha \lambda \epsilon ́ s[. . .=$ PARM. D8.48-49].

тò $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \pi \epsilon ́ \rho a s ~ \tau \iota \nu o ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \alpha ̉ \nu a ́ \gamma к \eta \eta^{14} \epsilon i ̂ \nu \alpha \iota, ~ o ̛ ̉ ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \tau о \iota ~ \pi \rho o ́ s ~$
 $\dot{\omega} \varsigma \pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \alpha \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \epsilon ́ \epsilon \phi \epsilon \xi \hat{\eta} \varsigma^{15}{ }^{15} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho o \nu,{ }^{16} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda{ }^{\prime}$

 Diels $\quad 13$ ov̉ $\delta \epsilon ̀ \nu \delta \iota a \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota$ Bergk: ôio $\delta \iota a \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota$ L: oîos $\delta \iota a-$ $\phi \quad \rho \epsilon i ̂ \mathrm{R} \quad 14 \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha ́ \gamma \kappa \eta$ й $\sigma \omega \mathrm{s} \mathrm{R}: \dot{a} \nu \alpha ́ \gamma \kappa \eta \nu \mathrm{~L}$

## XENOPHANES

will have these properties? But just as little as for the white lead, it is not necessary that god have for this reason a spherical shape.
[7] Further, how is it possible that, being a body and having a magnitude, he be neither unlimited nor limited, since that is unlimited which does have a limit but is capable of receiving a limit, and a limit comes about in a magnitude and a plurality and in everything that is quantitative? So that if he does not have a limit, although he is a magnitude, he is unlimited?
[8] Furthermore, if he is spherical, he must necessarily have a limit: for he has extremities, if indeed he has his own center from which they are most distant. But he has a center, since he is spherical: for what has the same distance from its center to its extremities is spherical; and for a body, to have extremities or limits does not differ at all [. . .].
[13] Furthermore, what prevents god, if he is one, from being limited and having limits, as Parmenides says that, being one, he is

> On every side, similar to the volume of a wellrounded ball,

Everywhere balanced equally starting from its center [. . . = PARM. D8.48-49]?

For it is necessary that the limit be of something, but not necessarily with regard to something, and it is not necessary that what has a limit have a limit with regard to something, like what is limited with regard to the unlimited that is contiguous, but to be limited is to possess extremities,

[^5]
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${ }^{17} \tau o \hat{v}$ Bekker: $\tau \hat{\varphi}$ L: om. R $\quad{ }^{18}$ et ${ }^{19}$ suppl. Kern: ov̉ $\delta \alpha$ (lac. X litt. L, V litt. R) ö́ $\tau \iota(\tau \iota$ R) (lac. VII litt. L, V litt. R) $\mu o ́ \nu o \nu$
 Bergk post Apelt: lac. V litt. mss.

22 add. Bergk: $\phi \in$ (lac. VII litt.) L: om. R

> The Skeptical Tradition (R15-R23) Xenophanes' Special Role in Timon of Phlius' Mockeries (Silloi) (R15-R16)

R15 ( $\neq$ DK) Diog. Laert. 9.111 (= Timo Frag. 1 Di Marco)


## XENOPHANES

but what possesses extremities does not necessarily possess them with regard to something. [. . .]
[15] Once again, on the question of the motionlessness of what is [. . .]: would one not assume that not to move and to be immobile are not identical, but rather that the one is the negation of moving [. . .], while one speaks of "being immobile" if the thing possesses this in a certain way [. . .]? [. . .]
[18] Further, even if the reason why god and the one do not move is because it is a plurality of things that move by going toward one another, what prevents god too from moving toward something else? For <he nowhere says> that he <is> only <one>, but only that he is the only god.
[19] But even if this is so, what prevents <god's > parts from <moving> toward one another while god moves in a circle? For he certainly will not say, like Zeno, that a one like this is multiple. For he himself says that god is a body, whether he means by that this totality or something else; for if he were bodiless, how could he be spherical?

> The Skeptical Tradition (R15-R23)
> Xenophanes' Special Role in Timon of Phlius'
> Mockeries (Silloi) (R15-R16)

## R15 ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ ) Diogenes Laertius

Of the Mockeries [Silloi] there are three [scil. books] in which, being a Skeptic, he [i.e. Timon] reviles and mocks
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 $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\varrho} \tau \rho i ́ \tau \omega \tau \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\nu} \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$.

R16 (< A35) Sext. Emp. Pyrrh. Hyp. 1.224

 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o \nu \tau \alpha$ [Frag. 59 Di Marco]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{\omega} s \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \epsilon ̉ \gamma \grave{\omega} \nu \text { ő } \phi \epsilon \lambda о \nu \pi v \kappa \iota \nu o \hat{v} \nu o ́ o v ~ a ̉ \nu \tau \iota \beta о \lambda \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota
\end{aligned}
$$

 $\tau \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota o \nu$ ä $\tau v \phi o \nu, \delta \iota \prime \hat{\omega} \nu \phi \eta \sigma \iota[F r a g .60$ Di Marco]

${ }^{1} \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ ヨ $\epsilon \nu 0 \phi \alpha ́ \nu \eta \nu$ post $\epsilon \pi \pi \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma a s$ mss., del. Kayser $\quad 2 \dot{\alpha} \mu$ фотє $о$ ó $\beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau о$ LM: $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi о \tau \epsilon \rho o ́ \beta \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau \alpha$ EAB: $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi о \tau \epsilon \rho о \beta \lambda \epsilon$ $\pi \tau o v$ dubit. Bekker ${ }^{3} \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \nu \theta \dot{\eta} \rho \iota \sigma \tau o s$ Bergk: $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \nu \theta \dot{\eta} \rho \iota \sigma \tau o s$ mss. $\quad 4$ ö $\pi \pi \eta$ Fabricius (ut vid.): ó $\pi \eta$ ML: ő $\pi o v \mathrm{EAB}$

## XENOPHANES

all the dogmatic philosophers in the form of a parody. In the first one he speaks in the first person, the second and third ones are in the form of dialogue. For he introduces himself questioning Xenophanes of Colophon about each of them, and the latter describes them for him, in the second book the more ancient ones, in the third the more recent ones.

## R16 (< A35) Sextus Empiricus, Outlines of Pyrrhonism

For after he [i.e. Timon] had praised him [i.e. Xenophanes] in many passages, to the point of dedicating his Mockeries [Silloi] to him, he represented him lamenting and saying,

If only I too had gotten a share of a solid intelligence And looked at both sides. But I was fooled by a deceitful method,
Since I was born long ago and did not bother about any kind of
Examination. For in whatever direction I directed my mind,
Everything dissolved into one and the same thing: all that exists,
Drawn in every direction, always became immobile in a single, similar nature.
This is why he calls him "not too arrogant" and not entirely "without arrogance," when he says,

Xenophanes, not too arrogant, censor of Homer's deceit,

5 ध่ $\pi \iota \kappa o ́ \pi \tau \eta \varsigma$ edd.: $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \pi \iota \kappa o ́ \pi \tau \eta \nu$ Diog. Laert. 9.18: $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \kappa \omega ́ \pi \tau \eta \nu$ mss.
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 $\langle\dot{\alpha} \tau \rho \epsilon \mu \hat{\eta}\rangle^{7} \dot{\alpha} \sigma \kappa \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \nu о \epsilon \rho \dot{\sigma} \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu^{8} \dot{\eta} \epsilon$ ̀ $\nu o ́ \eta \mu \alpha$.

 $\delta_{\iota} \epsilon ́ \sigma v \rho \in \nu[. . .=R 21 a]$.
 cett.: $\epsilon i$ ì̀̀ $\nu$ Diels: ôs $\tau o ̀ \nu$ Roeper $\quad 7$ < $\alpha \tau \rho \epsilon \mu \hat{\eta}>$ Diels
$8 \nu 0 \epsilon \rho \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \circ \nu$ Diels: $\nu \varnothing \epsilon \rho \omega \tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ mss.

## Was Xenophanes Skeptical or <br> Dogmatic? (R17-R22)

R17 (< A25) Cic. Acad. 2.74
Parmenides Xenophanes [. . . = R27] increpant eorum adrogantiam quasi irati, qui cum sciri nihil possit audeant se scire dicere.

R18 (< A49) Aët. 4.9.1 (Stob.) [ $\epsilon \dot{i} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon i ̂ s ~ \alpha i ́ \alpha i \sigma \theta \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \iota s$ каi ф $\alpha \nu \tau \alpha \sigma i ́ \alpha \iota]$


R19 (< Al) Diog. Laert. 9.20
 а’ката́入 $\eta \pi \tau \alpha$ єî̀aı $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha, \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu о$.

## XENOPHANES

Fashioned a god far from humans, everywhere equal, <Untrembling,> unscathed, more thoughtful than thought.
For he called him "not too arrogant" inasmuch as he was free of arrogance in a certain regard, and an insulter of Homer's deceit since he despised the deceit found in Homer.

> Was Xenophanes Skeptical or
> Dogmatic? (R17-R22)

## R17 (< A25) Cicero, Prior Academics

Parmenides and Xenophanes [. . .] attack, almost in anger, the arrogance of those who dare to say that they know, when nothing can be known.

R18 (< A49) Aëtius
[. . .] Xenophanes [. . .]: sense perceptions are deceptive.

## R19 (< Al) Diogenes Laertius

Sotion says, mistakenly, that he was the first to say that all things are inapprehensible.
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R20 (< A33) (Ps.-?) Hippol. Ref. 1.14.1
 $\tau \omega \nu, \epsilon i \pi \grave{\omega} \nu$ oṽ $\tau \omega \varsigma \cdot[\ldots=\mathbf{D 4 9 . 3 - 4}$ with a textual variant $]$.

## R21 Sext. Emp.

a (cf. A35) Pyrrh. Hyp. 1.225

 $\theta \epsilon \grave{o} \nu \sigma v \mu \phi v \hat{\eta} \tau o \imath ̂ \varsigma \pi a ̂ \sigma \iota \nu$, єîvaı $\delta \grave{̀} \sigma \phi a \iota \rho о \epsilon \iota \delta \hat{\eta}$ каì


b ( $=\mathrm{DK}$ ) Adv. Math. 7.48-50





c ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ ) Adv. Math 7.110


$\mathbf{R 2 0}$ (< A33) (Ps.-?) Hippolytus, Refutation of All Heresies
[. . .] He was the first to assert the inapprehensibility of all things, when he said the following: $[\ldots=\mathrm{D} 49.3-4$ with a textual variant].

## R21 Sextus Empiricus

a (cf. A35) Outlines of Pyrrhonism
[. . .] Xenophanes affirmed dogmatically, against the conceptions of all other humans, that the whole is one, that god is [scil. consubstantially] mixed with the nature of all things, and that he is spherical, impassive, unchangeable, and rational; from this it follows that it is easy to indicate the difference between Xenophanes and us [i.e. Pyrrhonian Skeptics].

## b ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ ) Against the Logicians

[. . .] Xenophanes, according to some people, adopts this position [scil. the one that abolishes the criterion] when he says that all things are inapprehensible, in the verses where he writes, $[\ldots=$ D49]. For in these verses he seems to mean by "clear" what is true and known [. . .] and by "man" the human being, using the specific term instead of the general one [. . .]

## c ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ ) Against the Logicians

According to those who interpret Xenophanes differently [scil. from R21b], when he says, [ $\ldots=\mathbf{D 4 9}$ ] he does not
$\lambda \eta \psi \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \iota \rho \epsilon i \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \mu о \nu \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \nu \kappa \alpha i ̀ \alpha ̉ \delta \iota \alpha ́ \pi \tau \omega-$

 $\tau \eta ́ \rho \iota o \nu$ үívєбӨaı катà $\tau о \hat{v} \tau o \nu ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \delta o \xi a \sigma \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu, ~$ $\tau 0 v \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \iota \tau \grave{\nu} \tau o \hat{v}$ єíкóтos ả入入à $\mu \grave{\eta}$ $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \tau o \hat{v} \pi \alpha \gamma i ́ o v$ Є่ $\chi$ ó $\mu \in \nu о \nu$.

## R22 (A35) Ps.-Gal. Hist. phil. 7


 $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ $\theta \epsilon \grave{\nu} \nu \pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho a \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu$ лоүєкò $\nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ́ \beta \lambda \eta \tau o \nu$ [. . .].

> An Epicurean Criticism of Xenophanes' Theology (R23)

R23 (A34) Cic. Nat. deor. 1.28
tum Xenophanes, qui mente adiuncta omne praeterea, quod esset infinitum, deum voluit esse, de ipsa mente item reprehenditur ${ }^{1}$ ut ceteri, de infinitate autem vehementius, in qua nihil neque sentiens neque coniunctum potest esse.

1 reprehenditur $N O B^{2} F M$ :reprehendeturdett.:reprehenderet $B^{1}$ : reprehenderetur $A C$

## XENOPHANES

seem to abolish all apprehension whatsoever but only that which is scientific and infallible, and to accept that which is probable; for this is what is shown by the phrase "opinion is set upon all things" [= D49.4], so that according to him what the criterion is is reason belonging to opinion, i.e. the reason of what is probable, and not the one that possesses solidity.

## R22 (A35) Ps.-Galen, Philosophical History

[. . .] Xenophanes was in aporia about all things, and held as his only dogmatic view that all things are one and that this is god, who is limited, rational, and changeless [. . .].

See also R10

An Epicurean Criticism of Xenophanes'
Theology (R23)

## R23 (A34) Cicero, On the Nature of the Gods

[Velleius, an Epicurean:] Next, Xenophanes, who claimed that god, once the mind has been added, is everything else, which is unlimited, is refuted in the same way as all the others concerning the mind itself, but more severely concerning the unlimited, for in this there can be nothing that either perceives or is connected.
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## A Problem of Interpretation: Does Everything Come from Earth According to <br> Xenophanes? (R24-R26) <br> Aristotle's Report (R24)

R24 (cf. ad A36) Arist. Metaph. A8 989a3-10





 ở каì $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota \nu, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ oi $\pi o \lambda \lambda o i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu$ $\theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu$ [. . .];

The Disagreement of the Traditions (R25-R26)
R25 (< A36)
a Theod. Cur. 4.5
 $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \delta \grave{\eta} \tau o ́ \delta \epsilon \tau o ̀ ~ \epsilon ’ \pi o s ~ \epsilon ’ \sigma \tau i ́ \nu \cdot[. . .=\mathbf{D 2 7}]$.
b Ps.-Olymp. Ars sacra 24
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## A Problem of Interpretation: Does Everything Come from Earth According to <br> Xenophanes? (R24-R26) <br> Aristotle's Report (R24)

## R24 (cf. ad A36) Aristotle, Metaphysics

Each of the others [scil. besides those who take the fire as the primary material element, i.e. essentially Heraclitus] recognizes that the element of bodies is like this [i.e. very fine]; at least none of those who are later and who say that there is only one ${ }^{1}$ has judged that earth is the element, evidently because of the thickness of its texture, while each of the three elements has found a judge in its favor, for some people say that it is fire, others water, and others air. But then why do they not also mention earth, like most people? [. . .]. ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{1}$ On the basis of these indications one can maintain that Aristotle is deliberately setting aside Xenophanes. ${ }^{2}$ Aristotle goes on to attribute to Hesiod the idea that the Earth is born first of all; this is not strictly true, cf. COSM. T11.1-2.

The Disagreement of the Traditions (R25-R26)

## R25 (< A36)

a Theodoret, Cure of the Greek Maladies
[. . .] he said that all things are borm out of earth; for this verse is his: $[\ldots=\mathbf{D 2 7}]$.
b Ps.-Olympiodorus, On the Sacred Art
No one has held the view that earth is a principle except Xenophanes of Colophon.
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R26 (A36) Gal. In Hipp. Nat. hom. 1.2







 $\gamma \rho a ́ \phi \in \iota[$ Frag. 231 FSH\&G].

## Judgments on Xenophanes' Poetry (R27-R29)

R27 (< A25) Cic. Acad. 2.74
Parmenides Xenophanes minus bonis quamquam versibus sed tamen illi versibus [. . . = R17].

R28 (cf. A26) Phil. Prov., ed. Aucher
a 2.39, pp. 74.31-44, 75.1-2
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R26 (A36) Galen, Commentary on Hippocrates' On the Nature of Man

And some of the interpreters have lied badly about Xenophanes, like Sabinus, who writes in his own words, "For neither do I say that a human being is entirely air, like Anaximenes, or water, like Thales, or earth, like Xenophanes somewhere." For nowhere is Xenophanes found to be asserting this. [. . .] And Theophrastus would have included Xenophanes' opinion in his summaries of physical opinions, if this had been the case.

> Judgments on Xenophanes' Poetry (R27-R29)

## R27 (< A25) Cicero, Prior Academics

Parmenides and Xenophanes, although in less good verses [scil than Empedocles'], but nonetheless in verses [. . .].

R28 (cf. A26) Philo of Alexandria, On Providence

## a

But neither Xenophanes, nor Parmenides, nor Empedocles, nor any other theologian was possessed by poetry as if he had been divinely inspired; nevertheless, embracing the observation of this nature with joy, and dedicating their whole lives entirely to piety and the glory of the gods, theyturned out to be good men, but not gifted poets. They
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 tie qtintighl gnjg wunge tintur:
b 2.42, p. 76.26-29




R29 (A27) Athen. Deipn. 14.32 632C

 $\nu \alpha \iota \pi \hat{a} \sigma \alpha \nu$ ध́avтô $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi o i ́ \eta \sigma \iota \nu \dot{a} \phi \rho о \nu \tau \iota \sigma \tau \grave{\imath} \pi о \lambda \lambda o v ̀ \varsigma^{2}$


 $\pi o \iota o ̀ s ~ к a i ̀ ~ \tau \omega ิ \nu ~ \lambda o \iota \pi \hat{\omega} \nu$ oi $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \rho o \sigma a ́ \gamma o \nu \tau \epsilon S$ s $\pi o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha}$
 $\dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \theta \mu o i ̂ s ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{n} \tau \alpha ́ \xi \epsilon \iota ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho \omega \nu^{3} \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \sigma \kappa о \pi о \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$
 $\gamma \alpha \rho o ̀ s ~ \mu \eta ं \tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon$ є́ov $\rho o s$.
 del. Meineke
${ }^{3}$ post $\mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho \omega \nu$ suppl. e.g. $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ́ o v s$ Kaibel ${ }^{4}\left\langle\mu \eta^{\prime} \tau \epsilon>\right.$ Meineke
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would have had to receive inspiration from above, grace from Heaven, meters, harmonies, divine and heavenly dactyls, if they were to leave behind real poems, as a perfect prototype of writing that would also be a good model for others.

## b

So why did Empedocles, Parmenides and Xenophanes and the crowd of their emulators not receive the inspiration of the Muses when discussing theology? ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ Both texts translated by Irene Tinti.
R29 (A27) Athenaeus, Deipnosophists
It is clear from the case of Homer too that the very ancient [scil. poets] were naturally disposed toward music: for since he composed all his poetry to be sung, he thoughtlessly makes many verses that have a short syllable instead of a long one at the beginning of the first foot, or that display the same feature in a middle foot or at the end of the verse. By contrast, Xenophanes, Solon, Theognis, Phocylides, and also Periander of Corinth, the elegiac poet, and all those who do not add a melody to their poems, polish off their verses in conformity with the numbers and order of the metrical feet and take care that none of them is irregularly shortened at either the beginning, the middle, or the end.
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## Xenophanes in The Assembly of Philosophers (R30)

R30 ( $\neq$ DK) Turba Phil. Sermo IX, p. 82.1-8 Plessner ait Eximenus: quod Deus suo verbo omnia creavit, quibus dixit: estote, et facta sunt cum aliis quatuor elementis, terra, aqua, aere et igne, quae invicem copulavit, et commixta sunt inimica. videmus enim ignem aquae inimicum esse et aquam igni, et utrumque terrae et aeri. Deus tamen ea pace copulavit, quousque ad invicem dilecta sunt. ex his igitur quatuor elementis omnia creata sunt, coelum, thronus, angeli, sol, luna, stellae, terra et mare ac omnia, quae in mari sunt, quae varia sunt et non similia, quorum naturas Deus diversas fecit, sicut et creationes.

## XENOPHANES

## Xenophanes in The Assembly of <br> Philosophers (R30)

R30 ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ ) The Assembly of Philosophers
Eximenus [i.e. probably Xenophanes ${ }^{1}$ ] said: "God created all things through His Word: He said to them, 'Be!' and they came to be, together with the other four elements, earth, water, air, and fire, which He conjoined reciprocally, and the things that were hostile were mixed together. For we see that fire is hostile to water and water to fire, and both of them to earth and air. Nonetheless, God conjoined them together in peace, so that they are reciprocally amicable. Thus out of these four elements all things were created: heaven, the throne, the angels, the sun, the moon, the stars, the earth, and the sea, and everything that is in the sea. All these things are different and not similar, as God made their natures diverse, just like the creatures too."
${ }^{1}$ Identified as Xenophanes by Plessner, as Anaximenes by Ruska.

## 9. HERACLITUS [HER.]

Heraclitus' activity can be situated toward the end of the sixth century BC. The general form of his book is controversial: was it made up of a series of relativelyindependent reflections and maxims, as is rather suggested by the sentences or groups of sentences that are transmitted, and as is most often thought, or of a more unified text, indeed of a continuous argument, as is sometimes suggested? In any case, no proposed arrangement can seriously claim to reflect the original sequence of Heraclitus' book-notoriously, Diels, in despair at the many incompatible proposals that had already been put forward at his time, placed the fragments as far as possible in the alphabetical sequence of the authors who cited them. Our own order (like other, different ones before ours) aspires only to suggest possible associations and to gather together, for convenience of consultation, fragments that seem to form thematic groupings.

Heraclitus' work with the Greek language is remarkable and distinctive. Paradox, antithesis, etymology, semantic and syntactic ambiguity-all the features that led antiquity to speak of Heraclitus "the Obscure"-are put into the service of a mode of thought that undoes commonplace identifications and classifications. Whether this approach, which often takes on the appearance of a de-
nunciation, is subordinated to a critique of identity in general or is, on the contrary, in the service of a higher identity-called god or logos-is a question that already divided the ancient interpreters (Aristotle vs. the Stoics). In any case, Heraclitus is the early Greek philosopher who in antiquity became the object of the largest number of divergent interpretations-of which the most celebrated one remains that of Plato, who attributes to him a conception of becoming in perpetual "flux"-and even today he continues to fascinate and divide his readers.
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## OUTLINE OF THE CHAPTER

## P

Father, City, Chronology (P1-P2)
Royal Family (P3)
Teachers (P4-P5)
Political Activity (P6-P8)
Character (P9-P12)
Arrogance (P9-P10)
Melancholy (P11-P12)
Book (P13)
Sayings (P14-P15)
Death (P16-P17)
Iconography (P18)

## D

From Near the Beginning of Heraclitus' Book (D1-D2)
Axiological Considerations (D3-D28)
Most People Do Not Understand (D3-D9)
The Best Are Few (D10-D14)
Against Accepted Views and Practices (D15-D28)
Against Conventional Religion (D15-D18)
Against Various Men (D19-D28)
Against Men Accepted as Wise (D19-D20)
On Homer (and Archilochus) (D21-D24)
On Hesiod (D25)
On Thales (THAL. R1)
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> On Pythagoras (D26)
> On Someone Else? (D27)
> A Global Condemnation (D28)

Epistemological Considerations (D29-D45)
All Humans Think (D29-D30)
The Senses as Sources of Knowledge (D31-D34)
Acquiring Knowledge (D35-D40)
The Enigmatic Expression of Knowledge (D41-D42)
What Is Wisdom? (D43-D45)
Fundamental Principles (D46-D88)
All Things Are One (D46)
The Opposites (D47-D81)
The Unity of Opposites (D47-D62)
War (D63-D64)
Flux (D65-D66)
Cyclical Alternation of Opposites (D67-D72)
Divine Perspective, Human Perspective (D73D77)
Animal Perspectives, Human Perspective (D78D81)
Cosmic Fire (D82-D88)
Parts of the World and Physical Phenomena (D89-D97)
The Sun (D89-D91)
The Moon (D92)
The Stars (D93-D95)
Meteorological Phenomena (D96-D97)
A Doxographical Presentation of Heraclitus' Physics
(cf. R46)
Human Beings (D98-D123)
The Soul (D98-D104)
Human Laws (D105-D110)
Human Behavior (D111-D117)
Eschatological Considerations (D118-D123)
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## R

Heraclitus' Book: Commentaries, Form, Contents (R1R14)

Attested Ancient Commentaries (R1-R2)
Characterizations of the Contents of Heraclitus' Book (R3-R4)
Heraclitus the Obscure (R5-R14)
Characterizations of His Style (R5-R11)
Two Examples of Divergent Interpretations of an
Aphorism (R12-R13)
A Facetious Falsification (R14)
Reception of Heraclitus' Doctrine (R15-R92)
Parody and Allusion in (Ps.-?) Epicharmus (DRAM. T5-T8)
A Polemic in Parmenides? (R15)
Hippocratic Adaptations of Heraclitean Ideas and
Language (MED. T9-T12)
The Heracliteans (R16-R26)
Heraclitus' Reputation (R16)
Plato on the Heracliteans (R17-R18)
A Report by Aristotle on the Heracliteans (R19)
Reports by a Peripatetic on the Heracliteans (R20R21)
Cratylus (R22-R26)
Heraclitus in the Derveni Papyrus (DERV. Col. 4) Plato (R27-R34)

Plato's Debt to Heraclitus and the Heracliteans (R27-R28)
Discussions and Utilizations of Heraclitus' Doctrines in Plato (R29-R34)

On the Doctrine of Flux (R29-R30)
On Unity and Multiplicity (R31)
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A Criticism of the Doctrine of Fitting-Together (R32)
On the Doctrine of Multiple Perspectives (R33)
An Application to Old Age (R34)
Summaries and Criticisms in Aristotle (R35-R43)
On Cosmic Fire (R35)
On the Doctrine of Flux (R36)
On the Coexistence of Opposites (R37-R39)
On the Identity of Opposites (R40-R41)
On Belief and Knowledge (R42)
On the Soul (R43)
Doxographies of Platonic-Peripatetic Inspiration (R44-R46)

A Systematization in Terms of Contraries (R44)
Two Systematizations in Terms of Physics (R45R46)
Reports Reflecting a Platonic-Aristotelian Appropriation (R47-R49)

Motion (R47)
The Soul (R48)
The Heavens (D49)
Stoics (R50-R66)
Stoic Appropriations (R50-R56)
Zeno (R50-R51)
Cleanthes (R52)
Chrysippus (R53)
-Marcus Aurelius (R54-R55)
An Anonymous Paraphrase (R56)
Some Characteristic Stoic Doctrines Linked with
Heraclitus (R57-R66)
Various Subjects (R57)
Human Reason, Divine Reason (R58-R60)
Cosmic Fire (R61-R63)
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The Great Year (R64)
Evaporations (R65)

```Human Development (R66)
```

Epicurean Polemics (R67-R69)

```Heraclitus Among the Skeptics (R70-R73)Aenesidemus: Skepticism Leads to Heraclitus(R70-R71)
```

Sextus Empiricus: Heraclitus Was Not a Skeptic (R72-R73)
Philo: Heraclitus' Doctrines Derive from the Hebrew

```Bible (R74-R76)Christian Appropriations and Polemics (R77-R87)Justin Martyr (R77)Tatian (R78)Clement of Alexandria (R79-R85)
```

(Ps.-?) Hippolytus (R86)
Theodoret (R87)
Neoplatonic Appropriations (R88-R92)
Plotinus (R88-R89)
Porphyry (R90)
Iamblichus (R91)
Proclus (R92)

```Invective, Praise, and Variations on Heraclitean Themesin Greek Poetry and Literature (R93-R99)
    Scythinus of Teos (R93)
    Timon of Phlius (R94)
    Epigrams (R95-R98)
    Theodoridas (R95)
    Meleager(?) (R96)
    Anonymous Epigrams (R97-R98)
    A Parody (R99)
```
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The Text of Heraclitus: Some Examples of Multiple Versions of the Same Phrase (R100-R102)
"A Nature Tends to Hide." (= D35) (R100)
"A Dry Soul: Wisest and Best." (= D103) (R101)
"It Is Better to Hide One’s Ignorance." (= D113) (R102)
Doubtful and Pseudepigraphic Texts (R103-R117)
Variations of Heraclitean Aphorisms Attributed to
Democrates or Democritus (R103-R107)
Other Doubtful Aphorisms (R108-R116)
Selections from an Apocryphal Correspondence (R117)

## HERACLITUS [22 DK]

## P

Father, City, Chronology (P1-P2)
P1 (< Al) Diog. Laert. 9.1, 3


 ү́коута.


P2 (< Ala) Suda H. 472
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## P

Father, City, Chronology (P1-P2)
P1 (< A1) Diogenes Laertius
[1] Heraclitus, son of Bloson or, as some say, of Heracon, from Ephesus. He reached his full maturity during the 69th Olympiad [504/500 BC]. [. . .] [3] he died at the age of sixty [cf. P16[3]].

P2 (< Ala) Suda
Heraclitus, son of Bloson or of Bautor, others say of Heracis, from Ephesus. [. . .] He lived during the 69th Olympiad [504/500] during the time of Darius, son of Hystaspes [. . .].
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Royal Family (P3)
P3 (< Al) Diog. Laert. 9.6
[. . .cf. P9[6]] $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \kappa \chi \omega \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota[. ..] \tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\omega} \tau \hat{\eta} s \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \alpha s$.

Teachers (P4-P5)
P4 (< A1) Diog. Laert. 9.5
 $\mu \alpha \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \rho ’$ є́ $\alpha \nu \tau o \hat{v}$. $\Sigma \omega \tau i ́ \omega \nu \delta \epsilon ́ \phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ [Frag. 30
 [. . . = P16[5]].
${ }^{1} \delta_{\iota} \zeta_{\eta} \sigma \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota$ Casaubon: $\delta_{\iota} \zeta_{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \mathrm{PF}: \delta_{\iota}\left\langle\zeta{ }_{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \mathrm{~B}^{2}\right.$ ( $\alpha$ in ras.): $\delta_{\iota} \zeta \dot{\eta} \sigma \theta a \iota \Phi h$

P5 (< Ala) Suda H. 472


 үорєíov.

Political Activity (P6-P8)
P6 (< Al) Diog. Laert. 9.2-3




## Royal Family (P3) ${ }^{1}$

## P3 (< A1) Diogenes Laertius

He renounced the title of king in favor of his brother.
${ }^{1}$ According to the historian Pherecydes, cited by Strabo (Geogr. 14.3), the descendants of Androclus, the founder of Ephesus, were called by the title of kings and enjoyed certain distinctive honors.

## Teachers (P4-P5)

P4 (< A1) Diogenes Laertius
He studied with no one, but he said that he searched for himself [cf. D36] and that he learned everything from himself. But Sotion says that some people said that he studied with Xenophanes [. . .].

P5 (< Ala) Suda
[. . .] He was not the disciple of any of the philosophers, but was educated by his [or: by] nature and diligence. [. . .] Some people said that he studied with Xenophanes and Hippasus the Pythagorean.

## Political Activity (P6-P8)

P6 (< A1) Diogenes Laertius
When he was asked by them [i.e. the Ephesians] to give them laws, he scomed to do so, since the city was already dominated by its bad constitution. [3] And he withdrew
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$\mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha i ́ \delta \omega \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \zeta \epsilon \cdot \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \delta^{\prime} \alpha \dot{\jmath} \tau \grave{\partial} \nu$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'Е $\phi \epsilon \sigma i \omega \nu$, " $\tau i$, ${ }^{\omega}$ ка́кь $\sigma \tau о \iota, \theta \alpha \nu \mu \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau \epsilon ;$ " $\epsilon \hat{i} \pi \epsilon \nu$. " ${ }^{\prime}$


## P7 (< A3b) Plut. Garr. 17 511B

 $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ ó $\mu о \nu о$ ías, ả $\nu \alpha \beta a ̀ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \beta \hat{\eta} \mu \alpha$ каi $\lambda \alpha \beta \grave{\omega} \nu \psi v$ $\chi \rho о \hat{v} \kappa v ́ \lambda \iota \kappa \alpha$ каі̀ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \phi \iota ́ \tau \omega \nu$ є́ $\pi \iota \pi \alpha ́ \sigma a s$ каì $\tau \hat{\varrho} \gamma \lambda \eta^{\eta}-$




P8 (< A3) Clem. Alex. Strom. 1.65.4




> Character (P9-P12)
> Arrogance (P9-P10)

P9 (< A1) Diog. Laert. 9.1, 5, 6, 15
[1] $\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda o ́ \phi \rho \omega \nu$ ठє̀ $\gamma \epsilon ́ \gamma о \nu \epsilon \pi \alpha \rho$ ’ ó $\nu \tau \iota \nu \alpha o v ิ \nu \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ v i \pi \epsilon \rho o ́-~$





## HERACLITUS

into the temple of Artemis, where he spent his time playing dice with the children [cf. D76]; when the Ephesians gathered around him he asked, "Why are you surprised, you wretches? Is it not better to do this than to engage in politics with you?"

## P7 (< A3b) Plutarch, On Garrulity

[. . .] when his fellow citizens asked him to give his opinion about concord, he went up to the rostrum, took a goblet of cold water, sprinkled some barley groats into it and stirred it with mint [cf. D59]; then he drank it out and went away-he had shown them that to be satisfied with one's circumstances and to feel no need for luxuries preserves cities in peace and concord.

## P8 (< A3) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata

For Heraclitus, the son of Bloson, persuaded the tyrant Melancomas to relinquish his rule. He looked down upon King Darius, who invited him to come to Persia [cf. R117].

Character (P9-P12)
Arrogance (P9-P10)

## P9 (< A1) Diogenes Laertius

[1] He was surpassingly haughty and contemptuous, as is clear from his book, in which he says, [. . . = D20]. For "What is wise is one" [. . . = D44]. [. . .] [5] He was astonishing from childhood, for when he was young, he said that he knew nothing, but, when he had grown up, he said
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є́ $\gamma \nu \omega \kappa \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha \iota$. [. . .] [6] $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i ̂ o \nu ~ \delta ’ ~ a v ̉ \tau o \hat{v} \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \mu \epsilon \gamma а \lambda о ф \rho o-~$
 F10 $=$ Frag. 10 Giannattasio Andria]. $\epsilon^{\prime} \kappa \chi \omega \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \epsilon \lambda \phi \hat{\iota} \tau \hat{\eta} s \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \epsilon$ ías. [. . .] [15] $\Delta \eta \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \rho \iota o s \delta_{\epsilon} \phi \eta$ -
 $\alpha \dot{v} \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \phi \rho о \nu \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \iota$, $\delta o ́ \xi \alpha \nu$ '’ $\chi о \nu \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \mu \pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau \eta \nu, \kappa \alpha-$ $\tau \alpha \phi \rho о \nu o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon$ vi $\pi o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 'Е $\phi \epsilon \sigma i ́ \omega \nu$ € $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \theta \alpha \iota \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu$ $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ оікєєїа.

## P10

a (< T143 Mouraviev) Arist. EN 7.5 1146b29-30


b (< T144 Mouraviev) Ps.-Arist. MM 2.6 1201b5-9





Melancholy (P11-P12)
P11 (< Al) Diog. Laert. 9.6 (= Theophr. Frag. 233 FHS\&G)

that he knew everything. [. . .] [6] Antisthenes gives evidence in his Successions for his haughtiness: for he renounced the title of king in favor of his brother. [. . .] [15] Demetrius says in his Homonyms that he also looked down on the Athenians, so great was his renown, and that, being scomed by the Ephesians, he preferred his personal matters.

## P10

a ( $\neq$ DK) Aristotle, Nicomachean Ethics
For certain people are not less convinced about their opinions than others are about their knowledge-[scil. the case of] Heraclitus shows this.
b ( $=\mathrm{DK}$ ) Ps.-Aristotle, Magna Moralia
For if an opinion is strong because it is firm and unshakeable, it will not at all differ from knowledge [. . .]. For example, Heraclitus of Ephesus has this kind of opinion about the things about which he held an opinion.

Melancholy (P11-P12)
P11 (< A1) Theophrastus in Diogenes Laertius
Theophrastus says that it was because of his melancholy [...].
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P12 (68 A21) Sotion Пє $\rho \grave{\imath}$ ỏ $\rho \gamma \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s}$ in Stob. 3.20.53



Book (P13)
P13 (< A1) Diog. Laert. 9.6
 R5c].

Sayings (P14-P15)
P14 (< Al) Diog. Laert. 9.12
 $\dot{v} \mu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \varsigma \lambda \alpha \lambda \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon$."

P15 (< A9) Arist. PA 1.4 645a17-21

 $\pi \rho o \sigma \iota o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \epsilon i ̂ \delta o \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \theta \epsilon \rho o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \hat{̣} ~ i \pi \nu \hat{\varphi}$,



## HERACLITUS

P12 (68 A21) Sotion, On Anger, in Stobaeus, Anthology Instead of becoming angry, Heraclitus, among the sages, was overcome by tears, and Democritus by laughter [cf. ATOM. P46-P48].

## Book (P13)

## $P 13$ (< Al) Diogenes Laertius

He deposited it [scil. his book] in the temple of Artemis [. . .].

Sayings (P14-P15)

## P14 (< A1) Diogenes Laertius

They say that when he was asked why he kept silent, he said, "So that you can chatter."

## P15 (< A9) Aristotle, Parts of Animals

Just as what Heraclitus is reported to have said to strangers who wanted to meet him-they were approaching him, but they stopped when they saw that he was warming himself by the oven; but he told them not to hesitate but to enter, saying to them, "For there are gods here too"-so too [. . .].
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Death (P16-P17)
P16 (< Al) Diog. Laert. 9.3, 4, 5

 $\tau о \iota$ каì $\delta \iota \alpha ̀ ~ \tau о \hat{\tau} \tau о ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \tau \rho a \pi \epsilon i s ~ \epsilon i s ~ v i \delta \epsilon \rho о \nu ~ к а \tau \hat{\eta} \lambda \theta \epsilon \nu \epsilon i s$











 $\beta o ́ \lambda \beta \iota \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon i ̂ \nu \alpha \iota ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \delta \iota \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta$ о $\lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu о \eta \theta$ є́ $\nu \tau \alpha$



 [Frag. 20 Gigante].
 Cobet: $\kappa \epsilon \iota \omega \omega \sigma \alpha$ Diels
 ví $\rho o ̀ \nu$ Cobet

## HERACLITUS

## Death (P16-P17)

## P16 (< Al) Diogenes Laertius

[3] In the end he became a misanthrope and withdrew, passing his time in the mountains and eating herbs and plants. But when he came down with dropsy because of this, he returned to the city and asked the doctors, with a riddle, whether they were capable of changing a rainstorm into a drought; they did not understand, so he buried himself in an ox stall, hoping that he would be dried out by the warmth of the manure. But he did not achieve anything in this way either, and he died at the age of sixty [cf. P1]. [...] [4] Hermippus says that he asked the doctors whether it was possible to reduce his intestines and their moisture by drying them out; when they said it was not, he placed himself in the sunlight and told his slaves to smear him with cow dung. Stretched out in this way, he died the next day and was buried in the agora. Neanthes of Cyzicus says that he stayed there because he could not tear off the cow dung himself and that, since he was unrecognizable because of his transformation, he was eaten by dogs. [. . .] [5] But Sotion says [. . .] that Ariston says in his On Heraclitus that he was cured of his dropsy too but died of a different disease; and Hippobotus says the same thing.
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P17 (< Ala) Suda H. 472



 $\sigma \alpha \nu$ oi $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ ă $\mu \mu \omega \chi \omega \sigma \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ ф $\alpha \sigma i ̀ \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi о \theta a \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu$.

## HERACLITUS

## P17 (< Ala) Suda

When he came down with dropsy, he did not allow the doctors to cure him in the way they wished, but he himself smeared his whole body with cow dung and let this be dried out by the sun; as he was lying there, dogs came upon him and tore him to pieces. Others say that he died covered in sand.

See also R78

Iconography (P18)
P18 (p. 144.25-30 and II, p. 3 DK) Richter I, pp. 80-81 and Figures 306-13; Richter-Smith pp. 127-29 and Figures 88-90; Koch, "Ikonographie," in Flashar, Bremer, Rechenauer (2013), I.1, pp. 223, 224.

## HERACLITUS [22 DK]

## D

From Near the Beginning of Heraclitus' Book (D1-D2)

D1 (B1) Sext. Emp. Adv. Math. 7.132 (et al.)
 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \rho[. . . c f . \operatorname{R59[132]}] \phi \eta \sigma^{\prime} \cdot$

 ảкоv́ $\alpha a \nu \tau \epsilon s^{3}$ тò $\pi \rho \hat{\epsilon} \tau о \nu \cdot \gamma \iota \nu о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \pi a ́ \nu-$



${ }^{1} \tau o \hat{v}$ Sc̀ Ps.-Hippol. Ref. 9.9.3: $\quad$ ôv̂ Clem. Alex. Strom. 5.111.7, Arist. Rhet. 1407bl6-17: non hab. Sext.
${ }^{2} \dot{a} \epsilon \dot{i}($ ai $\epsilon i)$ Clem., Ps.-Hipp.: om. Sext.

${ }^{4} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ non hab. Sext.
${ }^{5}$ ónoîa ধ́ $\gamma \grave{\omega}$ Ps.-Hipp.

## HERACLITUS

## D

## From Near the Beginning of Heraclitus' <br> Book (D1-D2) ${ }^{1}$

${ }^{1}$ Although Sextus Empiricus and Aristotle (Rhetoric 3.5 1407bl4) both indicate that Di comes from the beginning of Heraclitus' book, it was most likely not the very first sentence but was preceded by something along the lines of "This is the account (logos) of Heraclitus of Ephesus."

D1 (B1) Sextus Empiricus, Against the Logicians
For this is what the abovementioned man [i.e. Heraclitus] [. . .] says at the beginning of his book On Nature [or: of his remarks about nature]:

And of this account (logos) that is-always-humans are uncomprehending, both before they hear it and once they have first heard it. For, although all things come about according to this account (logos), they resemble people without experience of them, when they have experience both of words and of things of the sort that I explain when I analyze each [scil. of them] in conformity with its nature and indi-
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 ขov $\alpha$ а.

D2 (< B2) Sext. Emp. Adv. Math. 7.133





Axiological Considerations (D3-D28)
Most People Do Not Understand (D3-D9)
D3 (B17) Clem. Alex. Strom. 2.8

 кє́ovo兀.

D4 (B34) Eus. PE 13.42 (et al.)
 $\sigma \iota \nu \mu a \rho \tau v \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \pi a \rho \epsilon o ́ v \tau a s \dot{a} \pi \epsilon \in \hat{\nu} a \iota$.
cate how it is. But other men are unaware of all they do when they are awake, just as they forget all they do while they are asleep.

D2 (< B2) Sextus Empiricus, Against the Logicians
[. . .] a little later he adds that therefore we ought to follow what is in common (for xunos [i.e. the Ionic term] means "in common"):

But although the account (logos) is in common (xunos), most people live as though they had their own thought (phronêsis).

> Axiological Considerations (D3-D28)
> Most People Do Not Understand (D3-D9)

D3 (B17) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata
Many people, as many as encounter things, do not think (phronein) that they are such [scil. as they are], and even after they have learned about them they do not understand them, but they think [scil. that they do].

D4 (B34) Eusebius, Evangelical Preparation
Being uncomprehending, when they have heard they resemble deaf people-the saying bears witness about them: "being present, they are absent."
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D5 (B19) Clem. Alex. Strom. 2.24.5
ả $\pi \imath ́ \sigma \tau o v s ~ \epsilon i ̉ \nu \alpha i ́ ~ \tau \iota \nu a s ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota \sigma \tau v ́ \phi \omega \nu ~ ‘ Н \rho a ́ к \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau o ́ s ~ \phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu . ~$ "ảкои̂бaı ov̉к є́ $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota ~ o v ̉ \delta ’ ~ \epsilon i ̉ \pi \epsilon i ̂ \nu . " ~$

D6 (< B70) Iambl. An. in Stob. 2.1.16
 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \rho \omega ́ \pi \iota \nu \alpha$ ठo乡á $\sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$.

D7 (< B74) M. Aur. 4.46
[. . .] ov̉ $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}\langle\dot{\omega} \varsigma\rangle^{1} \pi \alpha i ̂ \delta a s ~ \tau о к \epsilon \omega ́ \nu \omega \nu^{2}$ [scil. $\pi o \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ каì $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu][. . .=\mathbf{R 5 4}]$.
${ }^{1}\langle\dot{\omega} \varsigma\rangle$ Koraïs $\quad 2 \tau о \kappa \epsilon \omega \dot{\omega} \omega \nu$ Headlam: $\tau о \kappa \epsilon ́ \omega \nu ~ \hat{\omega} \nu$ mss.

D8 (B87) Plut. Aud. 7 41A; Aud. poet. 28D


D9 (B97) Plut. An seni res. publ. ger. sit 7787C $\kappa v ́ \nu \epsilon \varsigma ~ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \beta a v ́ \zeta o v \sigma \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \stackrel{̊}{\partial} \nu \mu \grave{\eta} \gamma \iota \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \omega \sigma \iota$.

## The Best Are Few (D10-D14)

D10 (B104) Procl. In Alc. I, p. 117
ỏ $\rho \theta \hat{\omega}$ s ov้̉ каì ó $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \alpha i ̂ o s ~ ‘ Н \rho а ́ к \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau о s ~ a ̉ \pi о \sigma к о р а к і \zeta є \iota ~$



D5 (B19) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata
Criticizing some people as unbelieving, Heraclitus says: "not knowing how to hear or speak" [or: they do not know how to speak either].

D6 (< B70) Iamblichus, On the Soul
[. . .] Heraclitus thought that human opinions are children's toys.

D7 (< B74) Marcus Aurelius, Meditations
[. . .] we should not [scil. act and speak] <like> the children of our parents [. . .].

D8 (B87) Plutarch, How to Listen; How the Young Man Should Read Poetry
A dull-witted [or: fainthearted] man tends to be alarmed by every account (logos).

D9 (B97) Plutarch, Whether the State should be Governed by an Old Man
Dogs bark at whomever they do not know.
The Best Are Few (D10-D14)
D10 (B104) Proclus, Commentary on Plato's First Alcibiades
Rightly then the noble Heraclitus curses the mass of men as being mindless and irrational. For he says, "What is their intelligence or understanding? They believe
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 oi $\pi$ од入оі̀ какоí, ỏ入íyoı Sè à ảaOoí."
 $\tau \in$ ms.

D11 (B39) Diog. Laert. 1.88
 $\gamma o s \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \stackrel{a}{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$.

D12 (B49) Theod. Prodr. Epist. 1, p. 1239 (et al.)

${ }^{1}{ }^{\epsilon} \mu \mathrm{a}$ © Gal. De dignosc. puls., 8, p. 773 Kühn: om. Theod. 2 éà̀ ${ }^{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma \tau o s{ }^{\text {ñ om. Gal. }}$

D13 (B29) Clem. Alex. Strom. 5.59.5, cf. 4.50.2


${ }^{1}$ öк $\kappa \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ Bernays: oủ $\begin{gathered} \\ \omega \\ \sigma \\ \\ \epsilon\end{gathered}$ Clem. 4: ö $\pi \omega$ s Clem. 5
D14 (B121) Strab. 14.2 (et al.)



 ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu$."
the people's bards and take the crowd as their teacher, for they do not know that 'most men are bad' [cf. Bias, MOR. T35.6.1] and that the good are few."

D11 (B39) Diogenes Laertius
In Priene was born Bias, the son of Teutames, who is held in greater account (logos) than the others.

D12 (B49) Theodore Prodromus, Letters
One man, for me, is ten thousand, if he is the best.

## D13 (B29) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata

The best men choose one thing instead of all others, the ever-flowing fame of mortals; but most men are sated like cattle.

D14 (B121) Strabo, Geography
All the adult Ephesians ought to hang themselves and to leave the city to beardless boys: for they exiled Hermodorus, the one man of them who was most beneficial, saying, "Let there not be one man among us who is the most beneficial-otherwise, may he be elsewhere and among others."

[^6]
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Against Accepted Views and Practices (D15-D28) Against Conventional Religion (D15-D18)

D15 (B5) Aristocr. Theos. 2.68 (et al.)

 ठокоíך $\epsilon$ ढ̈ $\tau \iota \varsigma ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \iota ф \rho a ́ \sigma \alpha \iota \tau о ~ o v ̃ \tau \omega ~$
 $\tau \alpha \iota,{ }^{2}$ о́коі̂оע єї $\tau \iota s$ סó $о \iota \sigma \iota ~ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \chi \eta \nu \epsilon$ v́oıто, ${ }^{3}$ ov̉ $\tau \iota \gamma \iota-$ $\nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \omega \nu$ $\theta \epsilon o v ̀ s ~ o v ̉ \delta ’ ~ \eta ̃ \rho \omega a s ~ o i ̈ \tau \iota \nu \epsilon ́ s ~ \epsilon i \sigma \iota . ~$
 ${ }^{3}$ post $\lambda \epsilon \sigma \chi \eta \nu \epsilon$ v́oı $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ hab. $\theta \dot{v} \epsilon \iota \nu$ ms., secl. Neumann

D16 (B15) Clem. Alex. Protr. 2.34.5


 そovбıน.
${ }^{1} \epsilon_{\iota \prime} \rho \gamma \alpha \sigma \tau^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha}{ }^{\prime} \nu$ Schleiermacher: $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \rho \gamma \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha \iota \mathrm{ms}$.

D17 (< B68) Iambl. Myst. 1.11


D18 (B14) Clem. Alex. Protr. 2.22.2 (cf. Eus. PE 2.3.37)


Against Accepted Views and Practices (D15-D28)
Against Conventional Religion (D15-D18)
D15 (B5) Aristocritus, Theosophy
They are purified in vain, because they are polluted (miainomenoi) by blood, just as if someone who had stepped into mud cleaned himself with mud; if any [scil. other] human noticed him doing this, he would think that he was mad (mainesthai). And they pray to these statues, just as if someone were to converse with houses, not knowing who the gods and heroes are.

D16 (B15) Clement of Alexandria, Protreptic
If it were not for Dionysus that they performed the procession and sang the hymn to the shameful parts (aidoia), most shamefully (anaidestata) would they be acting; but Hades is the same as Dionysus, for whom they go mad (mainesthai) and celebrate maenadic rites.

D17 (< B68) Iamblichus, On the Mysteries
Heraclitus calls them [i.e. obscene rituals and hymns] cures.

D18 (B14) Clement of Alexandria, Protreptic
To whom does Heraclitus of Ephesus address his proph-
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тó入oıs, $\mu a ́ \gamma o \iota s, \beta a ́ \kappa \chi o \iota s, \lambda \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota s, \mu v ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \iota s \cdot \tau o v ̂ \tau o \iota s$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \tau \grave{\alpha}$ 的 $\nu \alpha \tau o \nu$, $\tau$ ov́тoıs $\mu \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \hat{v} \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \tau \grave{̀} \pi \hat{v} \rho$. $\tau \grave{\alpha} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \nu о \mu \iota \zeta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$ катà à $\nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi о \nu s \mu v \sigma \tau \eta{ }^{\prime} \rho \iota \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \iota-$ $\epsilon \rho \omega \sigma \tau \grave{\imath} \mu \boldsymbol{\mu} \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu \tau \alpha \iota$.

Against Various Men (D19-D28)
Against Men Accepted as Wise (D19-D20)
D19 (< B28) Clem. Alex. Strom. 5.9.3

app. vid. ad $\mathbf{R 8 3}$

D20 (B40) Diog. Laert. 9.1 (et al.)
 $\delta a \xi \epsilon \kappa \alpha i \begin{aligned} & ~ \Pi v \theta a \gamma o ́ \rho \eta \nu ~ a v ̂ \tau i ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon ~ \\ & \mathrm{X} \epsilon \nu о ф а ́ \nu \epsilon \alpha ́ ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ̀ ~\end{aligned}$ ${ }^{\text {' }}$ Екатаі̂оע.
${ }^{1}$ є́ $\chi \dot{\epsilon} \iota \nu$ post $\nu o ́ o \nu$ hab. Clem. Alex. Strom. 1.93.2; Athen. Deipn. 13.91

On Homer (and Archilochus) (D21-D24)

## D21 (B42) Diog. Laert. 9.1



esies? To night-wanderers, Magi, Bacchants, Maenads, and initiates (mustai). It is to these that he threatens what comes after death, to these that he prophesies the fire [cf. D84]. For they are initiated (mueisthai) impiously into the mysteries (mustêria) that are recognized among men.

> Against Various Men (D19-D28)
> Against Men Accepted as Wise (D19-D20)

D 19 (< B28) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata
Of those who have opinions (dokeontes), it is the man who enjoys the highest opinion (dokimôtatos) who knows. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ Text uncertain; we suppose that the word that follows in Clement's text ("maintains") is a gloss by him, but it is sometimes corrected to "to preserve" and attributed to Heraclitus (cf. R83).

D20 (B40) Diogenes Laertius
Much learning does not teach intelligence: for otherwise it would have taught it to Hesiod and Pythagoras, and again to Xenophanes and Hecataeus.

On Homer (and Archilochus) (D21-D24)

## D21 (B42) Diogenes Laertius

He said that Homer deserved to be driven out of the competitions and thrashed, ${ }^{1}$ and Archilochus likewise.
${ }^{1}$ Rapizesthai may be intended to recall rapsôidesthai ("to be recited by a rhapsode").
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D22 (B56) (Ps.-?) Hippol. Ref. 9.9.6






${ }^{1}{ }^{\epsilon} \lambda \alpha ́ \beta o \mu \epsilon \nu$ Bernays: $\kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon \lambda \alpha ́ \beta o \mu \epsilon \nu \mathrm{~ms}$.

D23 (A22) Arist. EE 7.1 1235a25-28





D24 (B105) Schol. AT in Il. 18.251


 $\mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \iota \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$."

D22 (B56) (Ps.-?) Hippolytus, Refutation of All Heresies
Regarding the knowledge of things that are evident, humans are fooled in the same way as Homer, who was wiser than all the other Greeks. For boys who were killing lice fooled him by saying, "The ones we see and grasp, we leave behind; the ones we do not see or grasp, we take away."

D23 (A22) Aristotle, Eudemian Ethics
Heraclitus criticizes the poet [i.e. Homer] who wrote, "If only strife would vanish from gods and men!" (Il. 18.107): for there would not be any harmony (harmonia) if there were not high-pitched and low-pitched, nor would there be any animals without female and male, which are opposites.

## D24 (B105) Scholia on Homer's Iliad

"He [i.e. Polydamas] was Hector's comrade, and they were born the same night" [Il. 18.107]: It is on the basis of this verse that Heraclitus calls Homer an astronomer, and also of the one in which he says, "I say that there exists no man who has ever escaped his fate" [Il. 6.488].
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On Hesiod (D25)

## D25

a (B57) (Ps.-?)-Hippol. Ref. 9.10




$$
{ }^{1} \epsilon \dot{v} \phi \rho o ́ v \eta \nu \text { Miller: } \epsilon \dot{v} \phi \rho o \sigma v ́ \nu \eta \nu \mathrm{~ms} .
$$

b (< B106) Plut. Cam. 19

 $\rho a s \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \sigma \eta s$ $\mu i ́ \alpha \nu$ ov̂ $\sigma \alpha \nu$ [. . .]

On Thales

## See THAL. R1

On Pythagoras (D26)
D26 (B129) Diog. Laert. 8.6
 $\pi \omega \nu \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$, каì є’к $\lambda \epsilon \xi a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s ~ \tau a v ́ \tau \alpha s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \varsigma$ $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho a \phi a ̀ s ~ \epsilon ่ \pi о \iota \eta \sigma \sigma a \tau o ~ \epsilon \in a v \tau o v ̂ ~ \sigma o \phi i ́ \eta \nu, \pi o \lambda v \mu a \theta i ́ \eta \nu$, какотєұขíŋ $\nu$.

On Hesiod (D25)

## D25

a (B57) (Ps.-?) Hippolytus, Refutation of All Heresies
The teacher of the most people is Hesiod; they are certain (epistasthai) that it is he who knows (eidenai) the most things-he who did not understand (gignôskein) day and night, for they are one.

## b (< B106) Plutarch, Camillus

[. . .] Heraclitus disparaged Hesiod for thinking that some [i.e. days] are good, others bad, saying that he did not know that the nature of every day is one [. . .]

See also R12

On Thales

See THAL. R1

On Pythagoras (D26)
D26 (B129) Diogenes Laertius
Pythagoras, son of Mnesarchus, devoted himself to investigation more than all other men, and after he had made a selection of these writings [scil. probably: the writings of other people] he devised his own wisdom: much learning, evil artifice.

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

On Someone Else? (D27)
D27 (< B81) Philod. Rhet. 1, Col. 57.12-13; cf. Col. 62.89, p. 351 (cf. p. 354 Sudhaus)

1 'ॄ̇ $\sigma$ đì om. Col. 62.8

A Global Condemnation (D28)
D28 (< B28) Clem. Alex. Strom. 5.9.3


## Epistemological Considerations (D29-D45) <br> All Humans Think (D29-D30)

D29 (B113) Stob. 3.1.179
$\xi v \nu o ́ v ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota ~ \pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \phi \rho o \nu \epsilon ́ \epsilon \iota \nu . ~$

D30 (B116) Stob. 3.5.6
ả $\nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi о \iota \sigma \iota \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota \quad \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota ~ \gamma \iota \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ é $\omega v \tau o v ̀ s ~ к а i ̀ ~$ $\sigma \omega \phi \rho о \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu$.

## On Someone Else? (D27)

D27 (< B81) Philodemus, Rhetoric
[. . .] he is the chief of glib speakers [. . .]. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ This phrase is sometimes referred to Pythagoras, to whom Diogenes Laertius 8.8 attributes a text entitled Kopides (according to a plausible emendation of Diels).

## A Global Condemnation (D28)

D28 (< B28) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata
Justice will seize hold of those who fabricate lies and of those who bear witness to them.

Epistemological Considerations (D29-D45)
All Humans Think (D29-D30)
D29 (B113) Stobaeus, Anthology
Thinking (phroneein) is in common for all.

D30 (B116) Stobaeus, Anthology
All humans have a share in knowing themselves and in thinking with moderation (sôphronein).

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

The Senses as Sources of Knowledge (D31-D34)
D31 (B55) (Ps.-?) Hippol. Ref. 9.9.5
ơ $\sigma \omega \nu^{1}$ oै $\psi \iota \varsigma$ ảкоخ̀ $\mu a ́ \theta \eta \sigma \iota s, \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ є’ $\gamma \grave{\omega} \pi \rho о \tau \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \omega$.
${ }^{1}$ ö $\sigma \omega \nu$ Miller: ö $\sigma o \nu \mathrm{~ms}$.

D32 (< B101a) Polyb. 12.27
ò $\phi \theta a \lambda \mu o i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \ddot{\omega} \tau \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \iota \beta \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \iota \mu \alpha ́ \rho \tau v \rho \epsilon s$.

D33 (B107) Sext. Emp. Adv. Math. 7.126
 $\beta a \rho \beta a ́ \rho o v s \psi v \chi a ̀ s$ є́ $\chi o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$.

D34 (B7) Arist. Sens. 5443 a 23
 $\gamma \nu o \hat{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu$.

## Acquiring Knowledge (D35-D40)

D35 (B123) Them. Orat. 5, p. 69b; cf. 12, p. 159b $\phi v ́ \sigma \iota s ~ к \rho v ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \phi \iota \lambda \epsilon i ̂$. Cf. app. ad R100

D36 (B101) Plut. Adv. Col. 20 1118C
є่ $\delta \iota \zeta \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \eta \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \omega v \tau o ́ \nu$.
154

The Senses as Sources of Knowledge (D31-D34)
D31 (B55) (Ps.-?) Hippolytus, Refutation of All Heresies All the things of which sight and hearing are knowledge (mathêsis) ${ }^{1}$ I honor most.
${ }^{1}$ Many interpreters understand: "all the things of which there is sight, hearing, knowledge . . ."

D32 (< B101a) Polybius, Histories
The eyes are more accurate witnesses than the ears.
D33 (B107) Sextus Empiricus, Against the Logicians
Bad witnesses for humans are the eyes and ears of those who possess barbarian souls.

D34 (B7) Aristotle, On Sensation
If all the things that exist became smoke, the nostrils would be able to identify them.

> Acquiring Knowledge (D35-D40)

D35 (B123) Themistius, Oration
A nature tends to hide. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ The phrase is transmitted in different forms by numerous authors (cf. R100).

D36 (B101) Plutarch, Against Colotes
I searched for myself.

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

D37 (B18) Clem. Alex. Strom. 2.17.4



D38 (B86) Plut. Cor. 38 (et al.) $\dot{a} \pi \iota \sigma \tau i ́ \eta 1{ }^{1} \delta \iota \alpha \phi v \gamma \gamma a ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \mu \eta े \gamma \iota \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \kappa \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$. ${ }^{1}$ ä $\pi \iota \sigma \tau i ́ \eta$ Clem. Alex. Strom. 5.88.5

D39 (B22) Clem. Alex. Strom. 4.4.2
$\chi \rho v \sigma$ ò $\nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ oi $\delta \iota \zeta$ ท́ $\mu \in \nu o \iota \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \pi o \lambda \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ ó $\rho v ́ \sigma \sigma o v \sigma \iota$


D40 (B35) Clem. Alex. Strom. 5.140.6
 $\delta \rho a s$ єỉvaı ка $\theta^{\prime}$ 'Н $\rho a ́ \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau о \nu . ~$

## The Enigmatic Expression of Knowledge (D41-D42)

D41 (B93) Plut. Pyth. orac. 21 404D
 ov้тє к $\rho v ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta \mu \alpha i ́ \nu \epsilon \iota$.

## HERACLITUS

D37 (B18) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata
If one does not expect the unexpected one will not find it (exeurein), for it cannot be searched out (anexereunêton) nor arrived at (aporon).

D38 (B86) Plutarch, Coriolanus
Because of disbelief, it escapes being known.

D39 (B22) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata
Those who search for gold dig up much earth and find little.

D40 (B35) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata
For according to Heraclitus, men who love wisdom must be investigators into very many things. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ It is uncertain whether the whole sentence is to be attributed to Heraclitus or only some parts of it, and whether in particular the term philosophoi ("men who love wisdom") belongs to him and what exactly it means here.

> The Enigmatic Expression of Knowledge (D41-D42)

D41 (B93) Plutarch, On the Pythian Oracles
The lord whose oracle is the one in Delphi neither speaks nor hides, but gives signs.

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

D42 (< B92) Plut. Pyth. orac. 6 397A
 [. . .].

What Is Wisdom? (D43-D45)
D43 (B108) Stob. 3.1.174
 $\check{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \gamma \iota \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu$ oั $\tau \iota \sigma о \phi o ́ \nu$ є̇ $\sigma \tau \iota \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \kappa є \chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \mu \epsilon ́-$ $\nu 0 \nu$.

D44 (B41) Diog. Laert. 9.1
 $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau a \delta \iota \alpha ̀ ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$.


``` \(\kappa\) - \(\mathrm{P}^{1}(\mathrm{Q})\)
```

D45 (B32) Clem. Alex. Strom. 5.115.1
 Zұขòs ö $\nu о \mu$.

Fundamental Principles (D46-D88)
All Things Are One (D46)
D46 (< B50) (Ps.-?) Hippol. Ref. 9.9.1



D42 (< B92) Plutarch, On the Pythian Oracles
The Sibyl with her raving mouth, according to Heraclitus [. . .].

What Is Wisdom? (D43-D45)
D43 (B108) Stobaeus, Anthology
Of all those whose accounts (logoi) I have heard, no one has arrived at the point of knowing that what is wise is separated from all.

D44 (B41) Diogenes Laertius
One thing, what is wise: to know the thought (gnômê) that steers all things through all things.

D45 (B32) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata
One thing, what is wise: it does not want and does want to be called only by the name of Zeus.

> Fundamental Principles (D46-D88)
> All Things Are One (D46)

D46 (< B50) (Ps.-?) Hippolytus, Refutation of All Heresies After you have listened not to me (emos) but to the account (logos), it is wise to recognize (homologein) that all things are one.

[^7]
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## The Opposites（D47－D81） <br> The Unity of Opposites（D47－D62）

D47（＜B10）Ps．－Arist．Mund． 5 396b20－22（et al．）

 єُ $\xi$ évòs $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau a$ ．
${ }^{1} \sigma v \nu \alpha ́ \psi ı \epsilon$ A $^{2}$ CEGT：$\sigma v \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \psi \iota \epsilon S$（superscriptum $\nu$ ）Lp：$\sigma v \lambda-$ $\lambda \eta$ ク́ $\psi \iota \epsilon \mathrm{P}: \sigma v \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \psi \epsilon \iota$ є’s Stob．1．40．5 2 каì del．Zeller 3 каì om．F Fl 2

D48（B67）（Ps．－？）Hippol．Ref．9．10．8


 $\nu \grave{\nu} \nu$ є́кá $\sigma \tau \boldsymbol{\tau}$ ．
$1 \epsilon \dot{v} \phi \rho o ́ v \eta$ Miller：$\epsilon \dot{v} \phi \rho \alpha ́ \nu \theta \eta \mathrm{~ms} . \quad 2\langle\pi \hat{v} \rho\rangle$ Diels ${ }^{3}$ 〈ô〉 óкó $\tau \alpha \nu$ Marcovich

D49（＜B5l）（Ps．－？）Hippol．Ref．9．9．2（et al．）


 Os．369B，Tranquil．an．ms．D，Porph．Antr． 29

> The Opposites $(D 47-D 81)$
> The Unity of Opposites $(D 47-D 62)$

D47 (< B10) Ps.-Aristotle, On the World
Conjoinings: wholes and not wholes, converging and diverging, harmonious dissonant; and out of all things one, and out of one all things.

D48 (B67) (Ps.-?) Hippolytus, Refutation of All Heresies God: day night, winter summer, war peace, satiety hunger. He changes just as <fire>, when it is mixed together with incense, is named according to the scent of each one.

D49 (< B51)(Ps.-?) Hippolytus, Refutation of All Heresies They do not comprehend how, diverging, it accords with itself: ${ }^{1}$ a backward-turning fitting-together (harmoniê), as of a bow and a lyre. ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{1}$ Or, following Plato's paraphrase (Symposium 187A, cf. R32), "it converges with itself." 2 The bow and the lyre are the two fundamental attributes of Apollo.

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

D50 (B54) Plut. An. proc. 27 1026C $\dot{\alpha} \rho \mu о \nu i ́ \eta ~ a ̉ \phi a \nu \eta ̀ s ~ \phi а \nu \epsilon \rho \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ к \rho \epsilon i ́ \tau \tau \omega \nu$.

D51 (B60) (Ps.-?) Hippol. Ref. 9.10.4


D52 (< B59) (Ps.-?) Hippol. Ref. 9.10.4 $\gamma \nu a ́ \phi \omega \nu^{1}$ ódòs $\epsilon \dot{v} \theta \epsilon i a$ каì бко入॰${ }^{\eta}$.
${ }^{1} \gamma \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \phi \omega \nu$ Marcovich: $\gamma \rho a \phi \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$ ms.: $\gamma \nu \alpha \phi \epsilon \epsilon^{\prime} \omega$ Bernays

D53 (B48) Etym. Mag. s.v. Bíos, p. 198.26


D54 (B103) Porph. Quaest. Hom. ad Il. 14.200
 $\kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau o ̀ \nu{ }^{`} \mathrm{H} \rho a ́ \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau о \nu$.

D55 (B23) Clem. Alex. Strom. 4.10.1

${ }^{1} \eta ้ \delta \epsilon \sigma \alpha \nu$ Sylburg: $\neq \delta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \mathrm{ms}$.: ${ }^{\prime} \delta \epsilon \epsilon \iota \sigma \alpha \nu$ Höschel

D50 (B54) Plutarch, On the Generation of the Soul in Plato's Timaeus
Invisible fitting-together (harmoniê), stronger than a visible one.

D51 (B60) (Ps.-?) Hippolytus, Refutation of All Heresies The way upward and downward: one and the same.

D52 (< B59) (Ps.-?) Hippolytus, Refutation of All Heresies The way of carding-combs: straight and crooked. ${ }^{1}$

1 (Ps.-?) Hippolytus' paraphrase (R86[4]) helps explain this difficult and corrupt sentence.

D53 (B48) Etymologicum Magnum
The name of the bow (cf. biós) is life (bíos), but its work is death.

D54 (B103) Porphyry, Homeric Questions on the Iliad For on the circumference of a circle, the beginning and the end are in common, according to Heraclitus. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ It is uncertain how much of this sentence is to be attributed to Heraclitus besides the Ionic term "in common."

D55 (B23) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata
They would not know the name of Justice if these things [i.e. unjust actions?] did not exist.

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

D56 (B111) Stob. 3.1.177
$\nu o v ̂ \sigma o s ~ v i \gamma \iota \epsilon i ́ \eta \nu ~ \epsilon ่ \pi о i ́ \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu ~ \eta ̛ \delta v ̀ ~ к а i ̀ ~ a ̉ \gamma a \theta o ́ \nu, ~ \lambda \iota \mu o ̀ s ~ к o ́-~$ $\rho о \nu, \kappa \alpha ́ \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha ́ \pi \alpha v \sigma \iota \nu$.

D57 (< B58) (Ps.-?) Hippol. Ref. 9.10.3



 ảүaӨ̀̀ каì $\tau \grave{\alpha} \varsigma \nu o ́ \sigma o v s \dagger .{ }^{2}$
${ }^{1} \mu \iota \sigma \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{~ms}$., corr. Wordsworth $\quad 2 \tau \grave{\alpha}$ ả $\gamma a \theta$ à каì $\tau \grave{\alpha} \mathrm{s}$ עóvovs ms.: $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ каì ai $\nu o \hat{v} \sigma o \iota$ Wilamowitz, alii alia

D58 (B84a) Plot. 4.8.1
$\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda o \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \pi \alpha v ́ \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$.

D59 (B125) Theoph. Vert. 9 (et al.)
[. . .] каì о́ кขкєஹ̀ข $\delta \iota i ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha{ }^{1}{ }^{1} \kappa \iota \nu o v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о s$.
1 覑 $\alpha \tau \alpha \iota$ < $\mu \grave{\eta}$ > Bernays, cf. Ps.-Alex. Probl. 3.42 (p. 11.16-


## HERACLITUS

D56 (B111) Stobaeus, Anthology
Illness makes health sweet and good, hunger does so for satiety, toil for repose.

D57 (< B58) (Ps.-?) Hippolytus, Refutation of All Heresies Doctors, Heraclitus says, cutting, cauterizing, badly mistreating their patients in every way, complain that they do not receive an adequate payment from their patients-and are producing the same effects, $\dagger$ benefits and diseases $\dagger .{ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ The passage is very uncertain, regarding not only its text but also what is to be assigned to Heraclitus and what to (Ps.-?) Hippolytus. It seems to illustrate the unity of opposites, good and bad, by the example of doctors.

D58 (B84a) Plotinus, Enneads
Changing, it remains at rest.
D59 (B125) Theophrastus, On Dizziness

## A kukeôn too separates out if it is stirred. ${ }^{1}$

${ }^{1}$ Or, with a different text, "A kukeôn too separates out if it is not stirred." Kukeôn is a drink made out of a suspended mixture of barley, cheese, and water or wine.

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

D60 (< B124) Theophr. Metaph. 7al4
$\check{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \dagger \sigma \grave{\alpha} \rho \xi^{1} \dagger^{1} \epsilon \boldsymbol{i} \kappa \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \chi \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu^{2} \dot{o}^{3} \kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau o s \delta^{4} \kappa o ́-$ $\sigma \mu o s$.
${ }^{1} \sigma \grave{\alpha} \rho \xi$ mss.: $\sigma \alpha ́ \rho o \nu$ Bernays: $\sigma \omega \rho o ̀ s$ Usener, alii alia: an $\sigma \omega$ $\rho \hat{\omega} \boldsymbol{\rho}$ ? $\quad 2 \kappa \epsilon \chi \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ mss.: - $\eta$ Bergk: -o Usener $\quad 3 \delta$ del. Bergk $4 \dot{o}$ del. Usener

D61 (B122) Suda A. 398 et A. $1762(\mathrm{ad} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \iota \sigma \beta \alpha \tau \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu)$ [. . .] ả $\gamma \chi \iota \beta a \sigma i ́ \eta \nu{ }^{`} \mathrm{H} \rho а ́ к \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau о s$.

D62 (B8) Arist. EN 9.2 1155b4-6
 $\delta \iota \alpha \phi \epsilon \rho о ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda i ́ \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ á $\rho \mu о \nu i ́ \alpha \nu \kappa \alpha i ̀ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha \kappa \alpha \tau$ ’ $\epsilon \rho \iota \nu$ $\gamma^{\prime} \nu \in \sigma \theta a \iota$.
War (D63-D64)

D63 (B80) Orig. Cels. 6.42


 macher: $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \rho \in i ̂ \nu$ mss. ${ }^{3} \chi \rho \epsilon \epsilon \dot{\omega}$ Diels: $\chi \rho \epsilon \omega \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha$ mss.

D60 (< B124) Theophrastus, Metaphysics
Like $\dagger$ flesh $\dagger$ of things spread out at random, the most beautiful order (kosmos).

D61 (B122) Suda
an approach: Heraclitus. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ This isolated word may belong to the theme of the fittingtogether of opposites.

D62 (B8) Aristotle, Nicomachean Ethics
[. . .] Heraclitus [scil. says] that what is opposed converges [cf. D47], and that the most beautiful harmony (harmonia) comes out of what diverges [cf. D49], and that all things come about by strife [cf. D63].

War (D63-D64)
D63 (B80) Origen, Against Celsus
One must know that war is in common, that justice is strife, and that all things come about by strife and constraint.

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

D64 (B53) (Ps.-?) Hippol. Ref. 9.9.4
$\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \varsigma \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \pi a \tau \eta ́ \rho$ є́ $\sigma \tau \iota, \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \beta a \sigma \iota-$ $\lambda \epsilon v ́ \varsigma, ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \theta \epsilon o v ̀ \varsigma ~ \epsilon ้ \delta \epsilon \iota \xi \epsilon ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{~} \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi$

Flux (D65-D66)

## D65

a (B49a) Heracl. Alleg. 24.4
$\pi о \tau \alpha \mu о i ̂ s ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~ a v ̉ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \epsilon ’ \mu \beta a i ́ \nu o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ̀ ~ о v ̉ к ~ \epsilon ’ \mu \beta a i ́-~$ $\nu 0 \mu \epsilon \nu, \epsilon i ̂ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa a i ̀ ~ o v ̉ \kappa ~ \epsilon i ̂ \mu \epsilon \nu$ [cf. R9].
b (< B12) Cleanthes apud Ar. Did. in Eus. PE 15.20.2


c (< A6) Plat. Crat. 402a
 ठє̀v $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \iota$ каì $\pi о \tau \alpha \mu о \hat{v}$ คо $\hat{\eta}$ ả $\pi \epsilon \iota \kappa \alpha ́ \zeta \omega \nu ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ o ै \nu \tau \alpha ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota ~$

d (< T353 Mouraviev) Sen. Epist. 58.23
hoc est, quod ait Heraclitus: "in idem flumen bis descendimus et non discendimus." manet enim idem fluminis nomen, aqua transmissa est.

D64 (B53) (Ps.-?) Hippolytus, Refutation of All Heresies War is the father of all and the king of all, and has revealed that the ones are gods and the others humans, and has made the ones slaves and the others free.

> Flux (D65-D66)

## D65

a (B49a) Heraclitus, Homeric Allegories
We step and we do not step into the same rivers, we are and we are not [cf. R9].
b (< B12) Cleanthes in Arius Didymus in Eusebius, Evangelical Preparation
It is always different waters that flow toward those who step into the same rivers.
c (< A6) Plato, Cratylus
[Socrates:] Heraclitus says something like this: that all things flow and nothing remains; and comparing the things that are to the flowing of a river, he says that you could not step twice into the same river.
d ( $\neq \mathrm{DK})$ Seneca, Letters to Lucilius
This is what Heraclitus says: "Into the same river we do and do not step twice." For the name 'river' remains the same, but the water passes by.

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

D66 (< T156 Mouraviev) Arist. Metaph. A6 987a32
[. . .] $\tau \alpha i ̂ s{ }^{〔} \mathrm{H} \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \epsilon i ́ o \iota s ~ \delta o ́ \xi \alpha \iota s, ~ \dot{\omega} s \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha i-$
 ov̋ $\sigma \eta$ s [. . .].

Cyclical Alternation of Opposites (D67-D72)
D67 (B126) Schol. in Tzetz. In Il., p. 126
$\tau \grave{\alpha} \psi v \chi \rho \grave{\alpha}$ $\theta$ є́ $\rho \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota, \theta \epsilon \rho \mu o ̀ \nu \psi v ́ \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota, ~ v i \gamma \rho o ̀ \nu ~ a v ̉ a i ́ \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$, $\kappa \alpha \rho \phi а \lambda \epsilon ́ о \nu$ עотіॅєє兀а.

D68 (B88) Ps.-Plut. Cons. Ap. 10 106E



${ }^{1}$ $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ ò del. Reiske

D69 (A19) Plut. Def. orac. 11 415E
oi $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ' $\dot{\eta} \beta \omega \dot{\nu} \tau \omega \nu$ ’ [Hes. Frag. 304.2 Merkelbach-West]

 '่ $\xi$ avi $\tau o \hat{v} \gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu$ ó $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \varsigma$.

D66 ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ ) Aristotle, Metaphysics
[. . .] the Heraclitean doctrines according to which all perceptible things are constantly flowing and there is no knowledge about them [. . .].

## Cyclical Alternation of Opposites (D67-D72)

D67 (B126) Tzetzes, Scholia on Commentary on Homer's Iliad

Cold things become warm, warm becomes cold, wet becomes dry, parched becomes moist.

D68 (B88) Ps.-Plutarch, Consolation to Apollonius
There is the same within, what is living and what is dead, what is awake and what is sleeping, and what is young and what is old; for these, changing, are those, and those, changing in turn, are these. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ The last phrase probably belongs not to Heraclitus but to Ps.-Plutarch.

D69 (A19) Plutarch, The Obsolescence of Oracles
Those who read "of men who have reached puberty" [i.e. in a fragment of Hesiod] define a generation as lasting thirty years, according to Heraclitus, for it is in this period of time that a parent produces an offspring from himself that produces offspring.

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

D70 (B62) (Ps.-?) Hippol. Ref. 9.10.6
ảӨávaтoı $\theta \nu \eta \tau o i ́, ~ \theta \nu \eta \tau o i ̀ ~ a ̉ \theta a ́ \nu a \tau o \iota, ~ \zeta ผ ิ \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ к \epsilon i ́-~$ $\nu \omega \nu \theta a ́ \nu a \tau o \nu, \tau o ̀ \nu \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \epsilon ́ \kappa \epsilon i ́ v \omega \nu ~ \beta i ́ o \nu ~ \tau \epsilon \theta \nu \epsilon \hat{\omega} \tau \epsilon s$.

D71 (B26) Clem. Alex. Strom. 4.143



${ }^{1} \epsilon \dot{v} \phi \rho o ́ v \eta$ Sylburg: $\epsilon \dot{v} \phi \rho о \sigma v ́ \nu \eta \iota \mathrm{~ms} . \quad 2 \dot{\alpha} \pi o \theta a \nu \omega \dot{\nu}$ secl. Wilamowitz $\quad{ }^{3} \dot{a} \pi \sigma \sigma \beta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon i$ is ő $\psi \epsilon \iota s$ secl. Stählin $\quad{ }^{4}$ post $\epsilon \tilde{v} \delta \omega \nu$ hab. ms. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \beta \epsilon \sigma \theta \epsilon i \varsigma$ oै $\psi \epsilon \iota \varsigma$, del. Wilamowitz

D72 (< B21) Clem. Alex. Strom. 3.21.1 (cf. 5.105.2)
 $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{v} \delta o \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ ṽ $\pi \nu 0$.

> Divine Perspective, Human
> Perspective (D73-D77)

D73 (B102) Porph. Quaest Hom. ad Il. 4.4



D70 (B62) (Ps.-?) Hippolytus, Refutation of All Heresies Immortals mortals, mortals immortals, living the death of these, dying the life of those.

D71 (B26) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata
A human being, in the night, lights [haptesthai] a lamp for himself, dead, ${ }^{1}$ his eyes extinguished; living, he touches on [haptesthai] a dead man when sleeping; when awake, he touches on [haptesthai] a sleeping man.
${ }^{1}$ This word seems to be a gloss by Clement.

D72 (< B21) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata
Death is whatever we see when awakened; whatever we see when sleeping is slumber.

> Divine Perspective, Human
> Perspective (D73-D77)

D73 (B102) Porphyry, Homeric Questions on the Iliad For god, all things are beautiful, good, and just, but humans have assumed that some things are unjust, others just.

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

D74 (B78) Orig. Cels. 6.12
 ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi$ Хє.

D75 (B79) Orig. Cels. 6.12
 $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \nu \delta \rho o ́ s . ~$

D76 (B52) (Ps.-?) Hippol. Ref. 9.9.4
 $\lambda$ クí $\eta$.

D77 (B83) Plat. Hipp. mai. 289b $\dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu$ ó бофஸ́tazos $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \theta \epsilon o ̀ \nu ~ \pi i \theta \eta к о s ~ \phi а-~$ $\nu \epsilon i ̂ \tau a \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~ \sigma о ф i ́ a ~ к а i ̀ ~ к а ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau о ı ̂ s ~ a ̆ ̀ \lambda \lambda o ı s ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma \iota \nu . ~$

> Animal Perspectives, Human
> Perspective (D78-D81)

D78 (B61) (Ps.-?) Hippol. Ref. 9.10.5
$\theta \alpha ́ \lambda a \sigma \sigma a$, $v \delta \omega \rho$ ка $\theta a \rho \omega ́ \tau a \tau о \nu$ каì $\mu \iota а \rho \omega ́ \tau a \tau о \nu, ~$
 äтотоу каì ö入є́ $\theta \rho \iota о \nu$.

D74 (B78) Origen, Against Celsus
The human character does not possess judgments (gnômai), but the divine one possesses them.

D75 (B79) Origen, Against Celsus
A grown man is called puerile by a divinity, just as a child is by a grown man.

D76 (B52) (Ps.-?) Hippolytus, Refutation of All Heresies A lifetime (aiôn) ${ }^{1}$ is a child playing, playing checkers: the kingship belongs to a child.
${ }^{1}$ aiôn designates the vital force or the duration of a life.
D77 (B83) Plato, Greater Hippias
The wisest human being will seem to be a monkey compared to a god in wisdom, beauty, and everything else.

> Animal Perspectives, Human
> Perspective (D78-D81)

D78 (B61) (Ps.-?) Hippolytus, Refutation of All Heresies The sea, the purest water and the foulest: for fish it is drinkable and life-giving, but for humans undrinkable and deadly.

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

D79 (< B9) Arist. EN $10.51176 a 7$
[. . .] övovs $\sigma v ́ \rho \mu a \tau^{’}$ ä $\nu$ є̂ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu \hat{\eta} \chi \rho v \sigma o ́ \nu$.

## D80

a (B13) Clem. Alex. Strom. 1.2.2

b (B37) Colum. Agric. 8.4
[. . .] si modo credimus Ephesio Heracleto qui ait sues caeno, cohortales aves pulvere vel cinere lavari.

D81 (B82) Plat. Hipp. mai. 289a
 $\sigma \nu \mu \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$.
$1 \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\omega} \pi \omega \nu$ Bekker: ${ }^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ mss.

Cosmic Fire (D82-D88)
D82 (< B64) (Ps.-?) Hippol. Ref. 9.10.7
$\tau \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon^{1} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ оíaкíל $\epsilon \iota ~ к \epsilon \rho a \nu \nu o ́ s . ~$
${ }^{1} \tau \grave{\alpha} \delta$ è ms., corr. Sauppe

D83 (< B16) Clem. Alex. Paed. 2.99.5
$\tau o ̀ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \delta \hat{v} \nu o ́ \nu \pi o \tau \epsilon \pi \omega ิ s$ ă้ $\nu \tau \varsigma$ 入á $\theta o \iota ;$
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## HERACLITUS

D79 (< B9) Aristotle, Nicomachean Ethics
Asses would choose sweepings rather than gold.

## D80

a (B13) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata
Pigs take greater pleasure in mire than in pure water.
b (B37) Columella, On Agriculture
[. . .] at least if we believe Heraclitus of Ephesus, who says that pigs bathe in filth, barnyard poultry in dust or ashes.

D81 (B82) Plato, Greater Hippias
The most beautiful monkey is ugly compared with the human race.

Cosmic Fire (D82-D88)
D82 (< B64) (Ps.-?) Hippolytus, Refutation of All Heresies
All these things the thunderbolt steers.

D83 (< B16) Clement of Alexandria, Pedagogue
How could one possibly escape the notice of what never sets?

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

D84 (B66) (Ps.-?) Hippol. Ref. 9.10.6
$\pi a ́ \nu \tau a ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \hat{v} \rho$ є̇ $\pi \epsilon \lambda \theta \grave{\nu} \nu \kappa \rho \iota \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \kappa а \tau а \lambda \eta ́ \psi \epsilon \tau a \iota$.

D85 (B30) Clem. Alex. Strom. 5.105.2 (et al.)
ко́ $\sigma \mu о \nu \tau o ́ \nu \delta \epsilon,{ }^{1} \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \dot{a} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu,{ }^{2}$ oṽ $\boldsymbol{\tau} \epsilon \tau \iota \varsigma ~ \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$

 $\nu \mathbf{v} \mu \in \nu о \nu \boldsymbol{\mu} \epsilon \in \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\rho}$.
${ }^{1}$ đóv $\delta \epsilon$ Plut. An. proc. 1014a; Simpl. In Cael. 294.15-16 (et 294.6): om. Clem. $\quad 2 \pi o ̀ \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a ́ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ o m . ~ P l u t . ~ S i m p l . ~$

D86 (< B31) Clem. Alex. Strom. 5.105.3, 5 (et al.)
$\pi v \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \rho o \pi \alpha i ́, ~ \pi \rho \omega ̂ \tau o \nu ~ \theta a ́ \lambda a \sigma \sigma a, ~ \theta a \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \eta s ~ \delta \grave{~} \tau \grave{̀}$

 $\pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \in \nu^{1} \eta{ }^{\boldsymbol{\eta}} \nu \hat{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \gamma \hat{\eta}$.
${ }^{1} \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \theta \epsilon \nu$ Eus. PE 13.13.31: $\pi \rho \hat{\omega} \tau o \nu$ Clem.
D87 (B90) Plut. E ap. Delph. 8 388E
$\pi v \rho o ́ s ~ \tau \epsilon^{1} \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha \mu o \iota \beta \grave{\eta} \tau \grave{\alpha}^{2} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ [. . .] каі̀ $\pi \hat{v} \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \alpha^{\nu}-$ $\tau \omega \nu$ öк $\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho^{3} \chi \rho v \sigma \sigma \hat{v} \chi \rho \eta{ }^{\prime} \mu a \tau \alpha$ каì $\chi \rho \eta \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \chi \rho v$ $\sigma$ ós.
${ }^{1} \tau \epsilon \mathrm{X}^{3} \mathrm{gB}$ : om. cett. $\quad 2 \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha \mu o \iota \beta \grave{\eta} \tau \grave{\alpha}$ Diels: $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha \mu o i ́-$ $\beta \eta \tau \alpha \iota \mathrm{X}^{1} \mathrm{~F}^{1} \mathrm{D}$ : $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon i \beta \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ cett.: $\dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \alpha \mu o \iota \beta \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \grave{\alpha}$ Bernardakis


D84 (B66) (Ps.-?) Hippolytus, Refutation of All Heresies When the fire has come upon all things, it will judge them and seize hold of them.

D85 (B30) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata
This world order (kosmos), the same for all, none of the gods or humans made it, but it always was and is and will be: fire ever-living, kindled in measures and extinguished in measures.

D86 (< B31) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata
Turnings of fire: first sea; then half of the sea, earth; and the other half, lightning storm (prêstêr). ${ }^{1}[\ldots]$ It spreads out as sea and its measure reaches the same account (logos) as it was before it became earth.
${ }^{1}$ There is no exact equivalent for this term in English. Cf. D96.

D87 (B90) Plutarch, On the Letter E in Delphi
All things are in exchange for fire, and fire for all things, just like goods for gold and gold for goods.

D88 (< B65) (Ps.-?) Hippol. Ref. 9.10.7
[. . .] Х $\rho \eta \sigma \mu о \sigma v ́ \nu \eta \nu$ каї ко́ $\rho о \nu$.

> Parts of the World and Physical Phenomena $(D 89-D 97)$
> The Sun $(D 89-D 91)$

## D89

a (F 3-94 Mouraviev) P. Derv. Col. IV.5-9 [= DERV. Col. IV.5-9].




b (B3) Aët. 2.21.4 (Ps.-Plut.) [ $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \mu \epsilon \gamma^{\epsilon} \theta$ Oovs $\left.\dot{\eta} \lambda i ́ o v\right]$

c (B94) Plut. Exil. 11 604A

 бovaıข.

D88 (< B65) (Ps.-?) Hippolytus, Refutation of All Heresies
[Scil. fire is] shortage and satiety.

> Parts of the World and Physical
> Phenomena $(D 89-D 97)$
> The Sun $(D 89-D 91)$

D89
a ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ ) Derveni Papyrus
[. . .] Heraclitus [. . .] said, "The sun" in accordance with the nature of the world (?) "is of the breadth of a human foot," not exceeding that size. For if it exceeds at all its own limits, "the Erinyes will find it out."

## b (B3) Aëtius

Heraclitus: [scil. the size of the sun is] the breadth of a human foot.
c (B94) Plutarch, On Exile
"The sun will not overstep measures," says Heraclitus; "otherwise, the Erinyes, Justice's helpers, will find it out."

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

D90 (< B100) Plut. Quaest. Plat. 8.4 1007D-E






## D91

a (< B6) Arist. Meteor. 2.2 355al3-14

b (< T 135 Mouraviev) Schol. in Plat. Rep. 498a



 $\tau \alpha \iota$.


## HERACLITUS

D90 (< B100) Plutarch, Platonic Questions
[. . .] the sun, which is the overseer and observer of these things [i.e. limits and periods], becomes the collaborator of the god who leads and is first, by limiting, judging, revealing, and illuminating the changes and seasons that bring all things, according to Heraclitus. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ It is uncertain how much of this sentence belongs to Heraclitus.

## D91

a (< B6) Aristotle, Meteorology
The sun [. . .] is new every day.
b ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ ) Scholia on Plato's Republic
Heraclitus of Ephesus, a natural philosopher, said that when the sun arrives at the western sea, it sets in it and is extinguished; then, passing under the earth and arriving in the east, it is kindled once again; and this is repeated incessantly.

See also R46 [7, 10, 11]

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

The Moon (D92)
D92 (< T 212 Mouraviev) Aristarch. Samius in Comm. in Od. 20.156 (P.Oxy. 3710; vol. 53 [1986] 96-99, ed. Haslam)
a (> F 80A ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Mouraviev) Col. 2.36-37, 43-47



 $\pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\nu} \nu a s$.
b (F 80A ${ }^{\text {c Mouraviev) Col. 3.7-11 }}$

 $\left.\pi \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \tau \frac{̣}{[ } \nu \nu\right]^{2} \dot{v} \pi о \mu \epsilon \tau \rho o \nu \mid \dot{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \eta \iota \sigma \iota \iota \gamma^{\prime}$.

${ }^{1} \tau \rho[\iota \tau \alpha \hat{\imath} o s]$ Haslam $\quad 2 \tau \grave{o}[\nu]$ Haslam

## The Stars (D93-D95)

D93 (< B120) Strab. 1.6
a
ท̉ov̂s каì є́ $\sigma \pi \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha s ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \mu а \tau \alpha ~ \dot{\eta}$ ă $\rho \kappa \tau о s$.
184

## The Moon (D92)

D92 ( $\neq$ DK) Aristarchus of Samos in an anonymous commentary on Homer's Odyssey ${ }^{1}$

## a

The fact that eclipses [scil. occur] on the day of the new moon is made clear by Aristarchus of Samos, who writes, "[. . .] Heraclitus [scil. says]: 'When the months meet, it [i.e. the moon] changes during the days following its ap-pearance-the first day on the new moon, the secondsometimes during fewer ones [scil. days], sometimes during more.'"

## b

The moon that appears on the third day appears on the sixteenth day as a full moon, fourteen days later; it decreases with regard to what remains during thirteen days.
${ }^{1}$ Although both of these texts, whose expression and meaning are obscure, are doubtless based upon some statement made by Heraclitus, it is difficult to derive from them anything more than an indication that Heraclitus must have spoken about the phases of the moon. For another text mentioning the moon in relation to Heraclitus, see also R49.

> The Stars (D93-D95)

D93 (< B120) Strabo, Geography
a
The limits of East and West: the Bear.

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

## b



D94 (B99) Ps.-Plut. Aqu. et ign. comp. 7.957A (et al.)
 $\phi \rho o ́ v \eta$ â $\nu \hat{\eta} \nu$.
 Clem. Alex. Protr. 1.113.3

D95 (< T 564 Mouraviev) Theon Al. In Ptol. Almag. 1.3, p. 340.5



Meteorological Phenomena (D96-D97)
D96 (A14) Aët. 3.3.9 (Stob.) [ $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \beta \rho o \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \pi \omega \nu$ $\kappa \in \rho \alpha \nu \nu \omega \hat{\nu} \pi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \rho \omega \nu \tau v \phi \omega \dot{\nu} \omega \nu]$
'Нрáклєєтоs $\beta \rho o \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ بє̀ $\kappa$ катà $\sigma v \sigma \tau \rho o \phi a ̀ s ~ a ̉ \nu \epsilon ́ \mu \omega \nu$ $\kappa \alpha \grave{\nu} \nu \epsilon \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ каì $\epsilon \mu \pi \tau \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s \pi \nu \epsilon \nu \mu a ́ \tau \omega \nu$ єis $\tau \grave{\alpha} \nu \epsilon \in \phi \eta$,
 $\pi \rho \eta \sigma \tau \hat{\eta} \rho \alpha s \delta_{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ \nu \epsilon \phi \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi \rho \eta \eta_{\sigma \epsilon \iota s} \kappa \alpha \grave{~} \sigma \beta \epsilon \in \sigma \epsilon \iota s$.
${ }^{1} \theta v \mu \omega \omega \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$ mss., corr. Schuster
186

## b

## Opposite to the Bear [Arktou]: the watcher [ouros] of bright Zeus [i.e. Arcturus]. ${ }^{1}$

${ }^{1}$ Strabo seems to be citing two brief sentences from Heraclitus in order to illustrate the meaning of the term arktos and is connecting them himself with his own conjunction 'and'; other scholars have taken the quotation to involve one single, longer sentence. The translation 'watcher' is uncertain (other possible renderings are 'wind' or 'boundary'), and the interpretation of the passage is controversial.

D94 (B99) Ps.-Plutarch, Whether Water or Fire is More Useful
If there were no sun, on account of the other stars it would be night.

D95 ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ ) Theon of Alexandria, Commentary on Ptolemy's Almagest
The fact that the stars are kindled and extinguished, according to Heraclitus [. . .].

## Meteorological Phenomena (D96-D97)

D96 (A14) Aëtius
Heraclitus: thunder [scil. is caused] by the gathering of winds and clouds and the crashing of winds into the clouds, lightning by the kindling of evaporations, lightning storms (prêstêres) ${ }^{1}$ by the burning and extinguishing of the clouds.
${ }^{1}$ See note on D86.

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

D97 (ad Al4, Nachtrag I, p. 492.6) Sen. Quaest. nat. 2.56 Heraclitus existimat fulgurationem esse velut apud nos incipientium ignium conatum et primam flammam incertam, modo intereuntem, modo resurgentem.

## A Doxographical Presentation of <br> Heraclitus' Physics

## See R46

> Human Beings (D98-D123)
> The Soul (D98-D104)

D98 (B45) Diog. Laert. 9.7


${ }^{1} \pi \epsilon i \rho a \tau \alpha$ (iam Hermann) $i \omega ̀ \nu$ Diels: $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho a \tau \epsilon^{*} o \nu B^{2}\left(\hat{\epsilon}^{*}\right.$ in ras.): $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho a \tau \epsilon$ ' ò $\mathrm{P}^{1}(\mathrm{Q}): \pi \epsilon \iota \rho a \tau \alpha \iota o \nu \mathrm{~B}^{1}: \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \hat{\alpha} \tau \alpha \iota$ ồ $\mathrm{FP}^{4}$
 $\beta a \theta \grave{v} \mathrm{BP}^{1}(\mathrm{Q})$

D99 (B115) Stob. 3.1.180a ( $\Sigma \omega \kappa \rho a ́ \tau o v s^{1}$ )

${ }^{1}$ Heraclito trib. Schenkl $\quad 2$ an $\psi v \chi \grave{\eta}$ ?

## HERACLITUS

D97 (ad Al4 = Nachtrag I, p. 492.6) Seneca, Natural Questions
Heraclitus thinks that sheet lightning is like, among us, the attempt and the first uncertain flame of beginning fires, which die down at one moment and come to life again at another.

## A Doxographical Presentation of Heraclitus' Physics

## See $\mathbf{R 4 6}{ }^{1}$

1 We consider that the doxography transmitted by Diogenes Laertius belongs more to the reception of Heraclitus, in the sense of providing a cosmological interpretation of various statements by him, than to simple doctrinal information.

> Human Beings (D98-D123)
> The Soul (D98-D104)

D98 (B45) Diogenes Laertius

## He who travels on every road would not find out the limits of the soul in the course of walking: so deep is its account (logos).

D99 (B115) Stobaeus, Anthology
An account (logos) that increases itself is that of the soul. ${ }^{1}$

[^8]
## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

D100 (B36) Clem. Alex. Strom. 6.17.2 (et al.)

 $\psi v \chi \dot{\eta}$.
${ }^{1} \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota$ Clem., Phil. Aeter. mund. 108 UHP: $\psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s \in i$ Ps.Hippol. Ref. 5.16.4: $\psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s$ Phil. M 2 v́סazos Phil.

D101 (B77) Porph. Antr. 10
[. . .] ő $\theta \epsilon \nu$ каĭ ${ }^{`} Н \rho a ́ к \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau о \nu ~ \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota ~ \phi a ́ \nu \alpha \iota ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \psi \iota \nu ~ \mu \grave{\eta}{ }^{1}$ $\theta \alpha ́ \nu \alpha \tau o \nu \dot{v} \gamma \rho \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota[c f . ~ R 90 a] . ~$

1 ท̂ Diels, каì Kranz

D102 (< B12) Cleanth. apud Ar. Did. in Eus. PE 15.20.2 $\psi u \chi a i$ ả $\pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \gamma \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \theta \nu \mu \iota \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota$.

D103 (B118) Mus. Ruf. in Stob. 3.5.8 (et al.) av̂ך $\psi v \chi \eta \dot{\eta}, \sigma о \phi \omega \tau a ́ \tau \eta ~ \kappa а i ̀ ~ a ̀ \rho i ́ \sigma \tau \eta . ~$ app. cf. ad R101

D104 (Bl17) Stob. 3.5.7

 $\psi \nu \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \stackrel{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \chi \omega \nu$.

## HERACLITUS

D100 (B36) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata
For souls it is death to become water, for water it is death to become earth; but out of earth, water comes to be, and out of water, soul.

D101 (B77) Porphyry, The Cave of the Nymphs in the Odyssey
[. . .] that is why [scil. probably according to Numenius] Heraclitus also says that for souls it is a pleasure, and not death, to become moist.

D102 (< B12) Cleanthes in Arius Didymus in Eusebius, Evangelical Preparation
Souls evaporate from moist things.
D103 (B118) Musonius Rufus in Stobaeus, Anthology
A dry soul: wisest and best. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ The phrase is transmitted in different forms by numerous authors (cf. R101), and the exact text is uncertain.

D104 (B117) Stobaeus, Anthology
When a man has become drunk, he is led stumbling by a slave, a mere boy; he does not know where he is going, his soul is wet.

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

## Human Laws (D105-D110)

D105 (B114) Stob. 3.1.179
$\xi \imath ̀ \nu ~ \nu o ́ \omega ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o \nu \tau a s ~ i \sigma \chi v \rho i \zeta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \chi \rho \eta ̀ ~ \tau \hat{̣} \xi v \nu \hat{Q} \pi \alpha ́ \nu-$ $\tau \omega \nu$, ӧк $\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ עо́ $\mu \omega$ то́入ıs каі $\pi о \lambda \grave{v}^{1}$ i $\sigma \chi v \rho о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega s$.

 दُ $\xi \alpha \rho \kappa \epsilon i ̂ ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \gamma i ́ \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota . ~$
${ }^{1} \pi o \lambda \grave{v}$ Schleiermacher: $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota s$ ed. Trincavelliana: $\pi o ́ \lambda \iota o s$ Preller

D106 (B44) Diog. Laert. 9.2
$\mu \alpha ́ \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \chi \rho \grave{\eta} \tau o ̀ \nu \delta \hat{\eta} \mu о \nu$ vint̀ $\rho \tau o \hat{v} \nu o ́ \mu о v^{1}$ öк $\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho^{2}$ $\tau \epsilon i \chi$ єоs.
${ }^{1}$ post $\nu o ́ \mu o v$ hab. $\dot{v} \pi \grave{\iota} \rho$ $\tau o \hat{v} \gamma \iota \nu o \mu \epsilon ́ v o v \mathrm{BP}^{1}$, del. $\mathrm{P}^{\mathrm{x}}$ (om. Q)


D107 (B47) Diog. Laert. 9.73
$\mu \grave{\eta} \epsilon i \kappa \hat{\eta} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mu \epsilon \gamma i ́ \sigma \tau \omega \nu \sigma v \mu \beta a \lambda \lambda \omega ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$.

D108 (B33) Clem. Alex. Strom. 5.115.2 (et al.) $\nu o ́ \mu o s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \beta o v \lambda \lambda \hat{\eta}^{1} \pi \epsilon i ́ \theta \in \sigma \theta a \iota$ évós.
${ }^{1} \beta o v \lambda \hat{\eta}(=\beta o v \lambda \hat{\eta})$ Eus. PE 13.13.42 I': $\beta$ ov ${ }^{2} \grave{\eta}$ Clem., Eus. cett.
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Human Laws (D105-D110)
D105 (B114) Stobaeus, Anthology
Those who speak with their mind (xun noôi) must rely (iskhurizesthai) on what is in common (xunôi) for all, just as a city does on its law, and much more strongly (iskhuroterôs) [scil. than a city]. For all human laws are nourished by one law, the divine one: for it dominates as much as it wants to, and it suffices for all, and there is some left over.

D106 (B44) Diogenes Laertius
The people must fight for their law just as for their city wall.

D107 (B47) Diogenes Laertius
Let us not agree in a haphazard way about the most important things. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ We presume that "the most important things" refers to politics.

D108 (B33) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata
It is also a law to obey the plan of just one man.
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D109 (B84b) Plot. 4.8.1
ка́ $\mu \alpha \tau o ́ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~ a v ̉ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \mu о \chi \theta \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ a ̆ ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota . ~$

D110 (< B11) Ps.-Arist. Mund. $6401 \mathrm{al0}$ (et al.)
$\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \hat{\epsilon} \rho \pi \epsilon \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \hat{\eta}^{1} \nu \epsilon \in \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$.
${ }^{1} \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \hat{\eta}$ Stob. 1.1.36: $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu$ Ps.-Arist.

## Human Behavior (D111-D117)

D111 (B119) Stob. 4.40 .23 (et al.)
$\hat{\eta}^{\hat{\eta}} \theta \mathrm{os} \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \dot{\prime} \pi \varphi^{1} \delta \alpha^{i} \mu \omega \nu$.
$1 \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi(\omega$ Stob.: -ov Plut. Quaest. Plat. 999E: - $\omega \nu$ Alex. Fat. p. 170.16

D112 (B43) Diog. Laert. 9.2


D113 (< B95) Plut. Quaest. conv. 3.1 644F
 $\boldsymbol{\pi} \boldsymbol{\tau} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu}$ [. . .].

D114 (B112) Stob. 3.1.178
a
$\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \mu \epsilon \gamma i ́ \sigma \tau \eta$.
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D109 (B84b) Plotinus, Enneads
It is wearisome to work hard for the same ones and to be ruled by them. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ The words "and to be ruled by them" may be a gloss by Plotinus.

D110 (< B11) Ps.-Aristotle, On the World
Every beast is driven to pasture by blows.

Human Behavior (D111-D117)
D111 (B119) Stobaeus, Anthology
Character, for a human, is his personal deity.
D112 (B43) Diogenes Laertius
One must extinguish arrogant violence (hubris) more than a conflagration.

D113 (< B95) Plutarch, Table Talk
It is better to hide one's ignorance, as Heraclitus says [. . .]. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ The phrase is transmitted in different forms by numerous authors (cf. R102).

D114 (B112) Stobaeus, Anthology
a
To be moderate: the greatest virtue.
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b
 ov $\tau \alpha$.
${ }^{1} \kappa \alpha \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ Valckenaer

D115 (< A21) Clem. Alex. Strom. 2.130. 2
 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \epsilon \dot{\jmath} \alpha \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \iota \nu$.

D116. (B85) Plut. Cor. 22 (et al.)
$\theta \nu \mu \hat{\varphi} \mu a ́ \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi o ́ v \cdot$ ô $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \stackrel{a}{a} \nu \theta \epsilon \in \lambda \eta$, $\psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s$ $\dot{\omega \nu \epsilon i ̄ \tau \alpha \iota . ~}$

D117 (B110) Stob. 3.1.176


## Eschatological Considerations (D118-D123)

D118 (< B20) Clem. Alex. Strom. 3.14.1

 $\nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota$.
${ }^{1}$ This is probably Clement's paraphrase. $\quad{ }^{2}$ Or perhaps: "to be born as allotted deaths for those who leave children behind."

Wisdom: to speak the truth and to act in conformity with the nature [scil. of each thing], understanding it. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ These two quotations are linked in Stobaeus by an "and" that seems to derive from Stobaeus, not Heraclitus. The grammar and meaning of the second sentence are uncertain.

D115 (< A21) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata
[. . .] Heraclitus of Ephesus [scil. said that the goal of life is] contentment.

D116 (B85) Plutarch, Coriolanus
To fight against an ardor (thumos) is hard: for whatever it wants, it purchases it at the price of the soul [i.e. of life]. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ Aristotle quotes this aphorism with approval several times (EN 2.2 1105a7-8; EE 2.7 1223b22-24) but interprets thumos (ardor, passion) restrictively as referring only to anger.

D117 (B110) Stobaeus, Anthology
For humans, that whatever they wish happens is not better.

Eschatological Considerations (D118-D123)
D118 (< B20) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata
When they have been born they want to live and to have their allotted deaths (moroi), or rather to have their repose, ${ }^{1}$ and they leave children behind to be born as allotted deaths. ${ }^{2}$
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D119 (B96) Strab. 16.4.26
$\nu \epsilon ́ \kappa v є s$ кот $\boldsymbol{\nu}^{\prime} \omega \nu$ є̇к $\beta \lambda \eta \tau о ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \iota$.

D120 (B27) Clem. Alex. Strom. 4.146
 ov̉ס̀̀ Soкє́ovơı

D121 (B98) Plut. Fac. orb. lun. 28 943E ai $\psi v \chi \alpha i \stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \sigma \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime}$ А $А \iota \delta \nu$.

D122 Theod. Cur. 8.39 (cf. Clem. Alex. Strom. 4.16.1, 4.49.3)
a (B24)
$\dot{\alpha} \rho \eta \iota \phi a ́ \tau o v s$ $\theta \epsilon o i ̀ \tau \iota \mu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota$ каì ă $\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi о \iota$.
b (B25)


D123 (< B63) (Ps.-?) Hippol. Ref. 9.10
 $\dot{\epsilon} \gamma \epsilon \rho \tau i ̀ \zeta \omega \nu \tau \omega \nu^{1} \kappa \alpha i ̀ \nu \epsilon \kappa \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$.
${ }^{1}$ є́ $\gamma \epsilon \rho \tau \iota \zeta o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \mathrm{~ms}$., corr. Bernays

D119 (B96) Strabo, Geography
Corpses are more to be thrown out than manure.

D120 (B27) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata
What awaits humans after they have died is everything that they do not expect nor suppose.

D121 (B98) Plutarch, On the Face in the Moon Souls perceive smells in Hades.

D122 Theodoret, Cure of the Greek Maladies
a (B24)
Gods and humans honor those men whom Ares has slain.
b (B25)
Greater deaths (moroi) obtain greater portions (moirai).

D123 (< B63) (Ps.-?) Hippolytus, Refutation of All Heresies
For the one who is there they rise up and become wakeful guardians of the living and of the dead.

## HERACLITUS [22 DK]

## R

Heraclitus' Book: Commentaries, Form, Contents
(R1-R14)
Attested Ancient Commentaries (R1-R2)

## R1 Diog. Laert.

a (< Al) 9.15

 $\kappa \lambda \epsilon$ íß $\eta \mathrm{s}$ ó Подтєко̀s [Frag. 39 Wehrli, cf. R1b] K $\lambda \epsilon \alpha ́ \nu \theta \eta s$ [cf. R1d] $\tau \epsilon^{1}$ каì $\Sigma \phi \alpha \imath ̂ \rho o s ~ o ́ ~ \Sigma ̌ \tau \omega \iota \kappa o ́ s ~[c f . ~ R 1 e], ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$
 каì $\Delta \iota o \nu v ́ \sigma \iota o s ~ \tau \hat{\nu} \nu$ §є̀ $\gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \omega ̂ \nu \Delta \iota o ́ \delta o \tau o s[. . .=$ R3b].
${ }^{1}$ ó $\pi o \nu \tau \kappa \kappa$ òs post $\tau \epsilon$ hab. mss., del. Bake
b ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ ) 5.86, 88
[. . . = R1a] $\phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \delta^{\prime}$ av̇тô̂ [scil. Heraclides] $\sigma v \gamma-$ $\gamma \rho a ́ \mu \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ [. . .] 'Нраклєíтov є́ $\xi \eta \gamma \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma \delta^{\prime}$ [. . .] [Frag. 22 Wehrli].
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## R

Heraclitus' Book: Commentaries, Form, Contents (R1-R14)
Attested Ancient Commentaries (R1-R2)

## R1 Diogenes Laertius

a (< Al)
There are many people who have explained his treatise: among them, Antisthenes, Heraclides of Pontus, Cleanthes, Sphaerus the Stoic, and also Pausanias called 'the Heraclitist,' Nicomedes, and Dionysius; ${ }^{1}$ and among grammarians, Diodotus [. . .].
${ }^{1}$ None of these authors is known or datable; the Antisthenes mentioned is certainly identical with the 'Heraclitist' mentioned at 6.19.

## b ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ )

Treatises byhim [i.e. Heraclides of Pontus] are in circulation: [. . .] Interpretations of Heraclitus, in four books [. . .].
c (< Al) 9.5


d $(\neq \mathrm{DK}) 7.174$


e ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}) 7.178$
 [. . .] [SVF 1.620].

R2 (T 469 Mouraviev) Lampr. Libr. Plut. n. 205 Treu $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau o \hat{v} \tau i ́ \epsilon ้ \delta o \xi \epsilon \nu{ }^{`} \mathrm{H} \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \epsilon i ́ \tau \omega$.

## Characterizations of the Contents of Heraclitus' Book (R3-R4)

R3 (< Al) Diog. Laert.
a 9.5
 є́ $\chi о \nu \tau о \varsigma ~ \Pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \phi v ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma, ~ \delta ı \eta ̂ \rho \eta \tau \alpha \iota ~ \delta ’ ~ \epsilon i s ~ \tau \rho \epsilon i s ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o v s, ~$
 $\gamma \iota \kappa o ́ v$.
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c (< Al)
Ariston says in his book On Heraclitus [. . .].
d ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ )
He [i.e. Cleanthes] has left behind the following books:
[. . .] Interpretations of the Doctrines of Heraclitus, in four books [. . .].
e ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ )
He [i.e. Sphaerus] wrote the following books: [. . .] On Heraclitus, in five books [. . .].

R 2 ( $\neq$ DK) Lamprias, Index of Plutarch's Works On Heraclitus' Opinions.

> Characterizations of the Contents of Heraclitus' Book (R3-R4)

## R3 (< A1) Diogenes Laertius

## a

The book of his that is in circulation is entitled On Nature [or: is about nature] as a whole, but it is divided into three accounts (logoi), about the universe, on politics, and on theology.
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b 9.15
［．．．＝Rla］$\Delta \iota o ́ \delta o \tau o s$, ôs ov̉ $\phi \eta \sigma \iota \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \phi v ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ єîvaı $\tau o ̀ ~ \sigma v ́ \gamma \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha, \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \pi о \lambda \iota \tau \epsilon i \alpha a, \tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \phi v_{-}$

c 9.12
Є̇ $\pi \iota \gamma \rho a ́ \phi o v \sigma \iota \delta^{\prime} \alpha v ̉ \tau \hat{\varrho}$ oi $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$＂Mov́ $\sigma \alpha \varsigma$ ，＂oi $\delta \grave{\text { è＂} \Pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~}}$ $\phi v ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ ，＂$\Delta \iota o ́ \delta o \tau o s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$＂d́к $\rho \iota \beta$ ѐs oiáкı $\sigma \mu \alpha \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \theta-$ $\mu \eta \nu \beta$ ィ́ov，＂ä $\lambda \lambda о \iota \dagger \gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu \eta \nu \dot{\eta} \theta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \rho o ́ \pi o v$ кó $\tau \mu о \nu$ є́ $\nu o ̀ s$ $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \xi v \mu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \dagger^{1}{ }^{1}$
${ }^{1} \tau \rho o ́ \pi o v$ кó $\sigma \mu о \nu \mathrm{mss}$ ．：кó $\sigma \mu о \nu$ $\tau \rho o ́ \pi \omega \nu$ Suda locus desperatus，alii alia tempt．：$\gamma \nu \omega \dot{\mu} \eta$ s Patillon，$\gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta \nu$ グ $\tau o \iota$ Ber－


## R4（＜T 687 Mouraviev）Sext．Adv．Math． 7.7




Heraclitus the Obscure（R5－R14） Characterizations of His Style（R5－R11）

R5 Diog．Laert．
a（A4） 2.22 （cf．9．11）



## b

[. . .] Diodotus, who says that the treatise is not about nature but about the constitution, and that the remarks about nature serve as examples.

## c

Some people entitle it [i.e. his book] The Muses, others On Nature; Diodotus calls it "an accurate rudder for setting life straight," others $\dagger$ "thought of characters way world one of all things." ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ The text is hopelessly corrupt; we translate its words without reproducing fully its syntax.

R4 ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ ) Sextus Empiricus, Against the Logicians
The question has also been studied regarding Heraclitus whether he is not only a natural philosopher but also a moral philosopher.

> Heraclitus the Obscure (R5-R14)
> Characterizations of His Style (R5-R11)

## R5 Diogenes Laertius

a (A4)
They say that Euripides, after he had given Heraclitus' treatise to him [i.e. Socrates], asked, "What do you think
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 $\sigma \nu \nu \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha \cdot \pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \Delta \eta \lambda i ́ o v \gamma \epsilon ́ \tau \iota \nu o s \delta_{\epsilon} i \tau \alpha \iota ~ к а \lambda \nu \mu \beta \eta \tau о \hat{v}$."
b (< A1) 9.12
 iбторєî̀ є่ $\nu \tau \hat{Q} \mathrm{~K} \alpha \tau \alpha \kappa о \lambda \nu \mu \beta \eta \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~K} \rho a ́ \tau \eta \tau \alpha^{1} \tau \iota \nu \alpha \pi \rho \hat{\omega}-$

 $\sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \nu \alpha u ̉ \tau \hat{\omega}$.

${ }^{1} \kappa \rho \alpha ́ \tau \eta \tau \alpha \mathrm{~F}: \kappa \rho \alpha ́ \tau \eta \mathrm{BP}^{1} \quad 2$ ồ $\mathrm{P}:$ om. BF

c (< Al) 9. 6-7
 $\gamma \rho a ́ \psi \alpha \iota$, ő $\pi \omega s$ oi $\delta v \nu a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota<\mu o ́ \nu o \iota>{ }^{1} \pi \rho o \sigma i ́ o \iota \epsilon \nu$ av̉ $\tau \hat{\varrho}$ $\kappa \alpha i ̀ \mu \grave{\eta}$ є̇к $\tau о \hat{v}$ ठ $\eta \mu \omega ́ \delta o v s ~ \epsilon \dot{v} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \phi \rho o ́ \nu \eta \tau о \nu ~ ท ̂ ̉ . ~[. ~ . ~]. ~ \Theta \epsilon o ́-~$ $\phi \rho a \sigma \tau o s \delta^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \phi \sigma \iota \nu$ [Frag. 233 FHS\&G] ínò $\mu \epsilon \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi o-$

 $\gamma \rho a ́ \mu \mu \alpha \tau \iota$ каì бафิิs є́к $\beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon^{2} \kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau o ̀ \nu \nu \omega \theta \epsilon ́-$
 $\tau \epsilon \beta \rho \alpha \chi$ v́тŋs каì $\tau$ ò $\beta$ а́ $\rho о$ о $\tau \hat{\eta} s$ є $\rho \mu \eta \nu \epsilon i ́ a s ~ \dot{\alpha} \sigma v ́ \gamma к \rho \iota \tau о \nu . ~$
 BPF: $\epsilon \kappa \beta$ oậ $\tilde{\sigma} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ Bywater

## HERACLITUS

of it?" The other answered, "What I understand is splendid; I think that what I did not understand, is too; but it needs a Delian diver [i.e. a real expert]."

## b (< Al)

The grammarian Seleucus says that a certain Croton reports in his Diver that it was a certain Crates who was the first person to bring the book to Greece and that it was he who said that it needed a Delian diver who would not drown in it.

## c (< Al)

[. . .] Some people say that he took care to write it [i.e. his book] quite obscurely, in order that <only> those would have access to it who were capable of doing so and that it not be despised because of its having a popular character. [. . .] Theophrastus says that it was because of his melancholy [cf. P11] that he left some things unfinished and rewrote others in different ways. [. . .] [7] [. . .] Sometimes he lets fall a brilliant and clear utterance in his treatise, so that even the dullest man can easily understand it and acquire elevation of the soul; the brevity and the gravity of his style are incomparable.
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R6 (< A4) Arist. Rhet. 3.5 1407b11-18
ő $\lambda \omega s$ Sè $\delta \epsilon i ̂ ~ \epsilon v ̉ a \nu a ́ \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau o \nu ~ \epsilon i ̉ \nu \alpha \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \gamma \epsilon \gamma \rho a \mu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~$
 $\rho \underline{q} \delta \iota o \nu ~ \delta \iota \alpha \sigma \tau i \xi \alpha \iota, ~ \check{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \tau \grave{\alpha}{ }^{`} \mathrm{H} \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \epsilon i ́ \tau o v . ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$
 $\tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \pi \rho о ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha \iota, \tau \hat{\omega}$ v̈ $\sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\omega} \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu$, oîo $\nu$ є́ $\nu$


 $\sigma \tau i \xi \alpha \iota .{ }^{3}$
 ${ }^{2} \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega$ Susemihl: $\pi \rho о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega$ A: ó $\pi о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \beta \quad{ }^{3} \delta \iota \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \xi \alpha \iota$ secl. Kassel

R7 (< A4) Demetr. Eloc. 191-92
$\tau o ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \sigma a \phi \epsilon ̀ s ~[. ~ . ~]. ~ \epsilon ̉ \nu ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \sigma v \nu \delta \epsilon \delta \epsilon \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o ı s . ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \alpha ̉ \sigma v ́ \nu-~$


 $\sigma \tau o \nu \dot{\eta} \lambda v ́ \sigma \iota s$.

R8 (< T 301 Mouraviev) Cic. Fin. 2.5.15
[. . .] quod duobus modis sine reprehensione fit: si aut de industria facias ut Heraclitus, "cognomento qui इкотєıขós perhibetur, quia de natura nimis obscure memoravit," aut cum rerum obscuritas, non verborum, facit [. . .].
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R6 (< A4) Aristotle, Rhetoric
In general, what is written should be easy to read and easy to pronounce [. . .]. This is a feature lacking in [. . .] writings that are difficult to punctuate, like Heraclitus'. For it is hard work to punctuate Heraclitus' statements because it is unclear with what [scil. a given term] is connected, with what follows or what precedes, as in the beginning of his book: for he says, "And of this account that is always humans are uncomprehending" [cf. D1], and it is unclear if "always" is to be punctuated so as to go with the one or the other.

## R7 (< A4) Demetrius, On Style

Clarity depends [. . .] on connecting particles. For a whole that is asyndetic and unconnected is completely devoid of clarity, for in the absence of connection it is unclear where each phrase begins, as in Heraclitus' writings; and in fact it is usually the absence of connection that makes these obscure.

## $\mathbf{R 8}(\neq \mathrm{DK})$ Cicero, On Ends

[. . .] which [i.e. not being understood] can come about in two ways without being blameworthy: either if you produce this intentionally, like Heraclitus, "who is called "Obscure' because he wrote very obscurely on nature"; ${ }^{1}$ or when it is caused by the obscurity of the subject matter, not of the language [. . .].
${ }^{1}$ This phrase is generally considered to be a quotation from an unknown poet.
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R9 (> B49a, cf. B62) Heracl. Alleg. 24.3-5
ó $\gamma о \hat{\nu} \nu$ бкотєıขòs ‘Нра́клєıтоs $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \phi \hat{\eta}$ каi $\delta \iota \grave{\alpha} \sigma \nu \mu$ -
 $\hat{\omega} \nu \phi \eta \sigma^{\prime} \cdot[. . .=\mathbf{D 7 0}$ with textual variants] каi $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ [. . . = D65a]. ő $\lambda o \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota ̀ ~ \phi v ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s ~ a i \nu \iota \gamma \mu a \tau \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon \mathrm{~s}$ $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \eta \gamma o \rho \epsilon \hat{i}$.

R10 (< T 609 Mouraviev) Clem. Alex. Strom. 5.8.50.2




 $\tau \alpha \iota$.

R11 (< Ala) Suda H. 472
[. . .] каї 'Є' $\gamma \rho \alpha \psi \epsilon \pi о \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \pi о \iota \eta \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} s$.

> Two Examples of Divergent Interpretations of an Aphorism (R12-R13)

R12 (> B106) Sen. Epist. 12.7
ideo Heraclitus, cui cognomen fecit orationis obscuritas "unus," inquit, "dies par omni est" [cf. D25]. hoc alius aliter excepit. dixit enim parem esse horis, nec mentitur. nam si dies est tempus viginti et quattuor horarum,
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R9 (> B49a, cf. B62) Heraclitus, Homeric Allegories
Surely it is theologically that the obscure Heraclitus speaks of natural phenomena as being subjects that are unclear but that can be represented figuratively by means of symbols, when he says, $[\ldots=$ D70], and again, $[\ldots=$ D65a $]$. And he allegorizes enigmatically everything that concerns nature. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ Or: in his work On Nature.
R10 ( $\neq$ DK) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata
And we would find thousands upon thousands of things expressed enigmatically by philosophers and poets, and sometimes whole books show us their author's meaning in a concealed way, like the On Nature of Heraclitus, who is called 'the Obscure' for this very reason.

## $R 11$ (< Ala) Suda

[. . .] and he wrote many things poetically.

> Two Examples of Divergent Interpretations of an Aphorism (R12-R13)

## R12 (> B106) Seneca, Letters to Lucilius

Heraclitus, who owes his epithet [i.e. 'the Obscure'] to the obscurity of his style, said, "One day is the same as every day" [cf. D25]. Different people have interpreted this in different ways. For one said that it is equal in the number of hours, and he spoke truly: for if "day" means twenty-

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

necesse est omnes inter se dies pares esse, quia nox habet quod dies perdidit. alius ait parem esse unum diem omnibus similitudine: nihil enim habet longissimi temporis spatium, quod non et in uno die invenias, lucem et noctem [. . .].

R13 (cf. B30) Simpl. In Cael., p. 294.4-23
 $\kappa о ́ \sigma \mu о \nu, \pi о \tau \epsilon ̀ ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ є́к $\tau о \hat{v} \pi v \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma v \nu i ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu \alpha v ̉-$ $\tau o ̀ \nu \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ ~ \tau \iota \nu a s ~ \chi \rho o ́ \nu \omega \nu ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota o ́ \delta o v s, ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ o i ̂ s ~ \phi \eta \sigma \iota . ~ " \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho a ~$



 $\sigma \mu о v$ каі̀ $\tau \alpha ́ \delta \epsilon \gamma \epsilon ́ \gamma \rho \alpha \phi \epsilon$. "ко́б $\mu о \nu \tau o ́ \nu \delta \epsilon$ oṽ $\tau \epsilon \tau \iota \varsigma \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$

 $\gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \tau o ̀ \nu$ каì $\phi \theta \alpha \rho \tau o ̀ \nu \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \tau o ̀ \nu$ ко́ $\sigma \mu о \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \varsigma$ ảкои́є七 $\tau о \hat{v}$ кó $\sigma \mu о v \nu v \hat{\nu}$. "ои $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \mu \alpha \chi o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu a$," $\phi \eta \sigma i ́, ~ " \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota ~ \grave{\omega} s$





 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \epsilon$ í."
four hours, then all days must necessarily be equal, inasmuch as the night contains what the day loses. But another one said that one day is equal to all the others from the point of view of resemblance, because the extent of the very longest time contains nothing which you could not find in a single day-that is, light and night [. . .].

R13 (cf. B30) Simplicius, Commentary on Aristotle's On the Heavens

Heraclitus says that the world sometimes undergoes a conflagration and sometimes is reconstituted again out of the fire, following certain periods of time, when he says, "kindled in measures and extinguished in measures" [cf. D85]. [. . .] And Heraclitus, who expresses his wisdom by means of enigmas, is not signif ying either [i.e. besides Empedocles] what most people think he means. For in any case having said this about the generation of the world, as it seems, he has also written the following: "this world order, none of the gods or humans made it, but it always was" [cf. D85]. Except that Alexander, who wants Heraclitus to say that the world is generated and perishable, now understands "world" differently. He says, "He does not say anything contradictory, as someone might suppose; for he does not mean by 'world' here the organization of this world, but in general the things that exist and their arrangement, in virtue of which the change of the whole takes place alternately in both directions, sometimes into fire, sometimes into this world. For this sort of alternation in the changes of these things and this sort of world did not ever begin, but always existed."
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## A Facetious Falsification (R14)

R14 (T 574A, T 565 Mouraviev) Gal. In Hipp. Epid. 2 (CMG 5/10/1, p. 402.27)
[1] والأمر فيها عندي انته إنما ألحق تلك الأقاويل في كتب أبقراط بعض أهل الخبث، و هو يريد أن يفضح بها أولاتك السو فسسطائيين الأشقياء1 1 ويهتكهم ويتبيّن جههج، كما فعل رجل من أهل دهرنا يقال له لوقيانوس. [2] فإنته افتعل كتاباً
 إلى قوم وأتوا بهر رجلأ فيلسوفاً مقبول القول دصدقاً
 ياتّي بتأويلات في ذلك الكلام، وهو عند نفسه في غاية الحذق4 فافنضح بذلك. mss. $\mathrm{Al}=$ Istanbul, Aya Sofia MS 3592 , fol. 146b, line 16-fol. 147a, line 10; El = Madrịd, Escorial MS Árabe 804, fol. 122a, lines 3-10; M = Milan, Biblioteca Ambrosiana, MS B 135 Sup., fol. 82a


## El, M: الجد Al

Reception of Heraclitus' Doctrine (R15-R92)
Parody and Allusion in (Ps.-P) Epicharmus

## See DRAM. T5-T8

## HERACLITUS

## A Facetious Falsification (R14)

R14 ( $\neq$ DK) Galen, Commentary on Hippocrates' Epidemics II
[1] My view about them [scil. interpolated texts in works by Hippocrates] is that an ill-intentioned person appended these texts to the writings of Hippocrates, seeking to shame and disgrace those miserable sophists, so that their ignorance would be revealed, just as one of our contemporaries named Lucian ${ }^{1}$ has done. [2] For he forged a book in which he collected obscure words that have no meaning at all, and then attributed it to Heraclitus. He then gave it to some people who presented it to a philosopher whose utterances were well regarded and whom people found to be truthful and trustworthy. They asked him to explain it and comment on it for them. But this poor man did not realize that they only wanted to mock him. So, thinking himself to be extremely clever, he began to deliver expositions on the book, but brought disgrace upon himself as a result. ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{1}$ This Lucian may or may not be identical with Galen's celebrated contemporary, Lucian of Samosata. 2 Translated by Peter E. Pormann, based on an earlier version produced by Bink Hallum, and revised by Kamran Karimullah and Peter E. Pormann.

Reception of Heraclitus' Doctrine (R15-R92) Parody and Allusion in (Ps.-?) Epicharmus

See DRAM. T5-T8
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## A Polemic in Parmenides? (R15)

R15 (28 B6.4-9) Simpl. In Phys., p. 117.8-13, 78.3-4 (v. 8-9)


 $\kappa \omega \phi$ oì ó $\mu \hat{\varsigma} \tau v \phi \lambda о$ í $\tau \epsilon, \tau \epsilon \theta \eta \pi о ́ \tau \epsilon \varsigma$, ăкрı $\tau \alpha ~ \phi \hat{v} \lambda \alpha$, oîs $\tau \grave{o} \pi \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ o v ̉ \kappa ~ \epsilon i ̉ \nu \alpha \iota ~ \tau \alpha v ̉ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \nu \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \iota$ кои̉ $\tau \alpha v ̉ \tau o ́ \nu, \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \lambda i ́ \nu \tau \rho о \pi o ́ s ~ \epsilon ’ \sigma \tau \iota$ $\kappa \in ́ \lambda \epsilon v \theta o s$.
app. cf. PARM. D7

## Hippocratic Adaptations of Heraclitean Ideas and Language

See MED. T9, T12

> The Heracliteans (R16-R26)
> Heraclitus' Reputation (R16)

R16 (< Al) Diog. Laert. 9.6

 $\tau$ tíovs.

## HERACLITUS

A Polemic in Parmenides? (R15)

## R15 (28 B6.4-9) Parmenides D7

[. . . scil that road], which mortals who know
nothing
Invent, two-headed [scil. creatures]! For the helplessness in their
Breast directs their wandering mind; and they are borne along,
Deaf and likewise blind, stupefied, tribes undecided [or: without judgment],
Who suppose that "this is and is not" [or: that to be and not to be] is the same
And not the same, and that of all things [or: for all] the path is backward-turning.

> Hippocratic Adaptations of Heraclitean Ideas and Language

## See MED. T9, T12

> The Heracliteans (R16-R26)
> Heraclitus' Reputation (R16)

R16 (< A1) Diogenes Laertius
His treatise acquired such fame that followers of his even arose because of it, the people who are called the Heracliteans.
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Plato on the Heracliteans (R17-R18)
R17 (> 66.3) Plat. Theaet. 179d-180c






 $\mu^{\prime} \nu \omega \mathrm{s}$.
 $\dot{\epsilon} \xi[179 e] \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \hat{\eta} s, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ av่ $\tau o i ̀ ~ v i \pi o \tau \epsilon i v o \nu \tau \alpha \iota$.



 oioóv $\tau \epsilon \delta \iota a \lambda \epsilon \chi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota ~ \grave{\eta} \tau 0 \imath ̂ \mathrm{~s}$ oỉ $\sigma \tau \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu$. $\dot{\alpha} \tau \epsilon \chi \nu \hat{\omega} \mathrm{s} \gamma$ 人̀ $\rho$



 $\sigma \mu \iota \kappa \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu a \iota ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ a ̉ \nu \delta \rho a ́ \sigma \iota \nu ~ \dot{\eta} \sigma v \chi i ́ a s . ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda ’ ~ a ̈ \nu ~ \tau \iota \nu \alpha ́$

 $\lambda \alpha \beta \epsilon i ̂ \nu \tau i \epsilon \epsilon \rho \eta \kappa \epsilon \nu, \dot{\epsilon} \tau \epsilon \in \rho \omega \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \eta \eta_{\xi} \eta \kappa \alpha \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \tau \omega \nu о \mu \alpha-$
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Plato on the Heracliteans (R17-R18)
R17 (>66.3) Plato, Theaetetus
[Socrates:] [. . .] So we must go closer [. . .] to examine this being in motion and give it a tap to find out whether it rings true or is defective. For the battle that has taken place concerning this is no minor one and has not involved only a few people.
[Theodorus:] Indeed, it is far from minor, and it is even spreading very much across Ionia. For it is with great vigor that Heraclitus' companions lead the chorus that proclaims this account.
[Socrates:] That, my dear Theodorus, is just why we must examine it all the more, and from [179e] the beginning, just as they themselves present it.
[Theodorus:] Absolutely. For, about these Heraclitisms or, as you say, these Homerisms and other ideas that are even more ancient [cf. DOX. T2], it is just as impossible to converse with those Ephesians who profess to be experts in them, as it is with lunatics. For, honestly, their behavior is entirely in accordance with their writings, and they are not at all capable of sticking with the argument and the question, or of calmly answering and asking in turn; [180a] indeed, the absence of even the smallest bit of calmness in them exceeds this 'not at all.' But if you ask one of them something, they draw enigmatic little phrases as though from a quiver and shoot them; and if you try to get an explanation of what he has said, you will be hit by another unheard-of phrase. You will never get anywhere with any of them-nor, for that matter, do they with one another,
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$\pi \alpha ́ \nu v \phi v \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau o v \sigma \iota \tau o ̀[b] \mu \eta \delta \grave{\nu} \beta_{\epsilon} \beta \alpha \iota o \nu$ є́ $\hat{\alpha} \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu \alpha \iota \mu \eta \tau^{\prime}$
 є́ $\mu о \grave{~} \delta о к є \hat{\imath}$, av̉ $\tau \grave{o} \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \sigma \iota \mu о \nu ~ \epsilon i ̂ \nu \alpha \iota \cdot \tau о v ́ \tau \omega ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu v ~ \pi о \lambda \epsilon-~$ $\mu о \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$, каі̀ ка $\theta^{\prime}$ o̊ $\sigma о \nu ~ \delta v ́ \nu \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \iota ~ \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \chi o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu ~ \epsilon ’ \kappa \beta \alpha ́ \lambda-~$ $\lambda o v \sigma \iota \nu$.
[ $\Sigma \Omega$.] $\iota \sigma \omega s, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \Theta \epsilon o ́ \delta \omega \rho \epsilon, \tau o v ̀ s ~ a ̆ \nu \delta \rho a s ~ \mu \alpha \chi o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v s ~ \epsilon \in \omega ́-~$


 $\pi о \imath \eta \sigma \iota$.
[ $\Theta \mathrm{E}.] \pi$ oíoıs $\mu \alpha \theta \eta \tau \alpha i ̂ \varsigma, ~ \omega ̂ ~ \delta \alpha \iota \mu o ́ \nu \iota \epsilon ; ~ o v ̉ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$




R18 (< T 112 Mouraviev) Plat. Crat. 411b-c
 $\mu \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \cup ́ \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, ô каi $\nu v \nu \delta \grave{\eta}$ є́ $\nu \epsilon \nu o ́ \eta \sigma \alpha$, őть oi $\pi \alpha ́ \nu v \pi \alpha-$ $\lambda \alpha \iota o \iota ̀ ~ \stackrel{\alpha}{\nu} \theta \rho \omega \pi o \iota$ oi $\tau \iota \theta \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota \tau \grave{\alpha}$ ỏ $\nu o ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \pi \alpha \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ \mu \alpha \lambda-$ $\lambda o \nu, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \kappa \alpha i \quad \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \nu \hat{v} \nu$ oi $\pi o \lambda \lambda o i ̀ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma o \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$, ívò

 $\sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ каì $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega s$ ф'́ $\rho \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$. $\alpha i \tau \iota \omega \nu \tau \alpha \iota$



 $\kappa \alpha \grave{\imath} \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon \in \sigma \epsilon \omega s \dot{\alpha} \epsilon i ́$.
but they take great care to [b] allow nothing firm to be established, either in argument or in their souls, supposing, I guess, that this would be something stable. And it is this that they wage total war against and that they banish everywhere as far as they can.
[Socrates:] But maybe, Theodorus, you have only seen those men when they were battling and have not been present when they are at peace: for they are not your comrades. But I suppose they say these kinds of things at school [or: at leisure] to their disciples, whom they wish to make similar to themselves.
[Theodorus:] What disciples, my good man? None of these [c] people ever becomes the disciple of another, but they grow up on their own, from whatever place each of them happens to have been inspired, and one man thinks that the other knows nothing at all [. . . ].

## R18 ( $=$ DK) Plato, Cratylus

[Socrates:] By the dog, I think that it was a pretty good inspiration that occurred to me just now: that the very ancient men who established names, like many of the present-day philosophers, more than anything got dizzy because of the intense whirling they were subjected to when they attempted to investigate the condition of the things that are, and that because of this they suppose that things are whirling around and moving in all ways possible. But they say that the cause for this opinion is not the internal affection that they feel but the fact that the nature of things is such that none of them is at rest or stable, but that they are flowing, are being borne along, and are always full of every kind of motion and generation.
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## A Report by Aristotle on the Heracliteans (R19)

R19 (< 65.4) Arist. Metaph. Г5 1010a7-12







Reports by a Peripatetic on the
Heracliteans (R20-R21)
R20 (< Nachtrag II, pp. 421-22) Ps.-Arist. Probl. 13.6 908a28-34

 $\tau \epsilon \rho о \nu, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ $\tau \iota \nu \grave{s} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ท̀ $\rho a \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \iota \zeta o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ фабív, ö $\tau \iota$

 §є̀ ov̂pov; [. . .]

R21 (> 66.2) Ps.-Arist. Probl. 23.30 934b23-24, 32-36
 $\mu \nu \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \theta \epsilon \rho \mu о ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu ~ \tau о \hat{v} \pi о \tau i ́ \mu o v . ~ \delta ı o ̀ ~ к а i ̀ ~ ф а \sigma i ́ ~ \tau \iota \nu \epsilon \varsigma ~$




## HERACLITUS

## A Report by Aristotle on the Heracliteans (R19)

## R19 (< 65.4) Aristotle, Metaphysics

Furthermore, observing that all this [i.e. perceptible and indeterminate] nature is in motion, and that "true" cannot be said about anything that is changing, [scil. they thought] that it is evidently not possible to say something true about what is changing completely in every way. It was on the basis of this idea that the most extreme doctrine blossomed forth among those we have mentioned, that of those who claim that they are Heraclitizing and the one held by Cratylus [. . .].

## Reports by a Peripatetic on the Heracliteans (R20-R21)

R20 (< Nachtrag II, pp. 421-22) Ps.-Aristotle, Problems Why, if one eats garlic, does the urine smell, whereas when other things that have a strong odor are eaten, it does not smell? Is it, as some of the Heraclitizers say, that an evaporation takes place, just as in the universe, so too in the body, and that, when it has cooled off again, moisture forms there and urine here? [. . .]

## R21 (> 66.2) Ps.-Aristotle, Problems

This [scil. because the sun attracts the lighter elements of a liquid] is why the upper parts [scil. of the sea] are also warmer [scil. besides being salty]; for salty [scil. water] is warmer than potable. And that is why some of the Heraclitizers say that stones and earth are produced from the drying out and solidifying of potable water and that the sun is an evaporation coming from the sea.
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Cratylus (R22-R26)
R22 (< T 78 Mouraviev) Plat. Crat. 436e-437a


 [KP.] $\pi \alpha ́ \nu v \sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho \alpha$, каì ó $\rho \theta \hat{\omega} s \gamma \epsilon \sigma \eta \mu \alpha i ́ \nu \epsilon \iota$.

R23 (< 65. 4) Arist. Metaph. Г5 1010a12-15
K $\rho \alpha \tau v ́ \lambda o s[.$. .] ôs $\tau o ̀ ~ \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon v \tau \alpha i ̂ o \nu ~ o v ̉ \theta \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \stackrel{̣}{\varphi} \epsilon \tau o \delta \epsilon i ̂ \nu \lambda \epsilon ́-$




## R24 Plat. Crat.

a (65.5) 383a-b






b (T 57 Mouraviev) 390d-e
[ $\Sigma \Omega$.] [. . .] кai K $\rho a \tau v ́ \lambda o s a ̉ \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ фv́ $\sigma \epsilon \iota$


## HERACLITUS

## Cratylus (R22-R26)

R22 ( $\neq$ DK) Plato, Cratylus
[Socrates:] [. . .] we say that names signify being for us, on the idea that everything is going, moving, and flowing. Do you think that they indicate something else?
[Cratylus:] This is absolutely how it is, and they signify correctly.

R23 (< 65.4) Aristotle, Metaphysics
[. . .] Cratylus, who in the end thought that it was necessary not to say anything but merely moved his finger, and who reproached Heraclitus for saying that it is not possible to step twice into the same river [cf. D65]-for he himself thought that this was not possible even once.

## R24 Plato, Cratylus

a (65.5)
[Hermogenes:] Cratylus here says, Socrates, that there exists by nature a correct name for each of the things that are, and that a name is not whatever some people say who have agreed to use it, uttering some part of their own language, but that there exists naturally a certainly correctness of names that is identical for all, both Greeks and non-Greeks (barbaroi).

## b ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ )

[Socrates:] And Cratylus says the truth when he says that names belong by nature to things, and that not just anyone
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 $\beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi о \nu \tau \alpha$ єis $\tau \grave{̀} \tau \hat{\eta} \phi v ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota$ oै $\nu о \mu \alpha$ ö $\nu$ є́ка́ $\sigma \tau \varphi$ каì $\delta v \nu \alpha ́-$
 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \sigma^{\sigma} \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta a ́ s$.

R25 (< 65.1) Plat. Crat. 429d-e
[ $\Sigma \Omega$.] [. . .] ő $\mu \omega \varsigma ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \tau o \iota ~ \epsilon i \pi \epsilon ́ ~ \mu о \iota ~ \tau о \sigma o ́ \nu \delta \epsilon \cdot ~ \pi о ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu ~ \lambda \epsilon ́-~$ $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ov̉ $\delta о к \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ бoı $\epsilon \mathfrak{i} \nu a \iota ~ \psi \epsilon v \delta \hat{\eta}, \phi a ́ \nu a \iota ~ \delta \epsilon ́ ; ~$
[KP.] ov̌ $\mu$ o九 ठокєî ov̉סє̀ фávaı.

R26 (< 65.2) Aeschin. Socr. in Arist. Rhet. 3.16 1417b1-2 $\kappa \alpha i$ ผ́s $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \mathrm{~K} \rho a \tau v ́ \lambda o v$ Aí $\sigma \not \chi^{\prime} \nu \eta s$ [VI A92 G ${ }^{2}$ ], ő $\tau \iota \delta \iota \alpha-$ $\sigma^{\prime} \zeta \omega \nu, \tau o i ̂ \nu \chi \in \rho \circ \hat{\imath} \nu \delta \iota \alpha \sigma \epsilon i \omega \nu$.

Heraclitus in the Derveni Papyrus

## See DERV. Col. IV (cf. D89a)

> Plato $(R 27-R 34)$
> Plato's Debt to Heraclitus and the
> Heracliteans $($ R27-R28)

R27 Arist. Metaph.
a (< 65.3) A6 987a32-b1



## HERACLITUS

is a craftsman of names, but only that man who looks to the name that exists by nature for each thing and is capable of putting its form into letters and syllables.

R25 (< 65.1) Plato, Cratylus
[Socrates:] But all the same, tell me this much: do you think that it is not possible to speak anything false, but that it is possible to say it?
[Cratylus:] On my view it is not possible to say it either.
R26 (< 65.2) Aeschines the Socratic in Aristotle, Rhetoric And as Aeschines [scil. said] about Cratylus, he was hissing violently, shaking his fists.

Heraclitus in the Derveni Papyrus
See DERV. Col. IV (cf. D89a)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Plato }(\text { R27-R34) } \\
\text { Plato's Debt to Heraclitus and the } \\
\text { Heracliteans }(R 27-R 28)
\end{gathered}
$$

R27 Aristotle, Metaphysics
a (< 65.3)
In his youth, he [i.e. Plato] first became familiar with Cratylus and the Heraclitean doctrines, according to which all

[^9]
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b（T 99 Mouraviev）M4 1078b12－17

 $\gamma o \iota s \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha i \sigma \theta \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \epsilon i ̀ ~ \dot{\rho} \epsilon o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \iota-$

 $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \hat{i} \nu \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\rho} \epsilon o ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ є $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu \eta \nu$ ．

R28 Diog．Laert．
a（65．3） 3.6


 фı入обофоиิขт८．

## b（T 712 Mouraviev） 3.8

 $\Pi v \theta \alpha \gamma о \rho \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$ каі $\Sigma \omega \kappa \rho \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \cdot \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\nu} \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \alpha i \sigma \theta \eta \tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\kappa \alpha \theta{ }^{`} \mathrm{H} \rho \alpha ́ \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau о \nu, \tau \grave{\alpha}$ бє̀ $\nu о \eta \tau \grave{\alpha}$ кат⿳亠口冋 $\Pi v \theta a \gamma o ́ \rho \alpha \nu, \tau \grave{\alpha}$ ठє̀ $\pi о \lambda \iota \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \Sigma \omega \kappa \rho \alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu$ є́ф८入обóфєє．
perceptible things are constantly flowing and there is no knowledge about them, and he maintained these views later as well [. . .].
b ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ )
The theory of Forms occurred to its partisans because they had been convinced, concerning the truth, by the Heraclitean statements according to which all perceptible things are constantly flowing, so that if there is going to be knowledge about something and thought, there must be certain other natures that remain, besides the perceptible ones: for there is no knowledge about what flows.

## R28 Diogenes Laertius

a (65.3)
And, they say, afterward [i.e. after he had burned the tragedies he had written], having reached the age of twenty, he [scil. Plato] studied with Socrates. Once that man had died, he attached himself to Cratylus the Heraclitean and to Hermogenes, whose philosophy followed Parmenides' doctrines. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ This is an inference based on the position defended by Hermogenes in Plato's Cratylus on the arbitrary nature of language.
b ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ )
He [scil. Plato] made a mixture of the Heraclitean, Pythagorean, and Socratic doctrines: for his philosophy followed Heraclitus regarding the perceptibles, Pythagoras regarding the intelligibles, and Socrates regarding politics.

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

## Discussions and Utilizations of Heraclitus' <br> Doctrines in Plato (R29-R34) <br> On the Doctrine of Flux (R29-R30)

R29 (> A6) Plat. Crat. 401d-402c

 каi $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ ov̉ $\delta \epsilon ́ \nu \cdot \tau o ̀ ~ o v ̉ \nu ~ \alpha i ̌ \tau \iota o \nu ~ к \alpha i ~ \tau o ̀ ~ a ̉ \rho \chi \eta \gamma o ̀ \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$

 К $\rho o ́ \nu o \nu$ є́ $\pi \iota \sigma \kappa є ́ \psi \alpha \sigma \theta \alpha \iota . ~[. ~ . ~] ~ ف. ̉ \gamma \alpha \theta \epsilon ́, ~ \epsilon ́ \nu \nu \epsilon \nu o ́ \eta к \alpha ́ ~ \tau \iota ~ \sigma \mu \hat{\eta}-$ vos $\sigma o \phi i ́ a s$.
[EP.] $\pi o \hat{\iota} o \nu$ $\delta \grave{\eta} \tau o \hat{v} \tau o ;$
[ $\Sigma \Omega$.] $\gamma \epsilon \lambda o i ̂ o \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \pi \alpha ́ \nu v ~ \epsilon i ̉ \pi \epsilon i ̂ \nu$, oî $\mu \alpha \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \tau o \iota ~ \tau \iota \nu \grave{\alpha} \pi \iota-$ $\theta \alpha \nu o ́ \tau \eta \tau \alpha$ є’ $\chi о \nu$.
[EP.] $\tau i \nu \alpha ~ \tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta \nu ;$

 $\kappa \alpha i{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{O} \mu \eta \rho o s{ }^{\prime} \neq \lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu$.
[EP.] $\pi \hat{\omega} s$ то仑ิто $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \epsilon \iota \varsigma ;$
[ $\Sigma \Omega$.] $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \pi o v{ }^{`} Н \rho a ́ к \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau о \varsigma ~ o ̋ \tau \iota ~ " \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha ~ \chi \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ o v ̉-~$
Sє̀v $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \iota, " ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \pi о \tau \alpha \mu о \hat{v} \rho \circ \hat{\eta}$ ả $\pi \epsilon \iota \kappa \alpha ́ \zeta \omega \nu \tau \grave{\alpha}$ ő $\nu \tau \alpha$ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$
 D65c].
[EP.] $\notin \sigma \tau \iota \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$.



## HERACLITUS

> Discussions and Utilizations of Heraclitus'
> Doctrines in Plato (R29-R34)
> On the Doctrine of Flux (R29-R30)

R29 (> A6) Plato, Cratylus
[Socrates:] [. . .] As for those people who [i.e. explaining the name of Hestia, call the essence of things] "Ôsia," ${ }^{1}$ they seem to think, like Heraclitus, that all of the things that exist are in motion and that none rests in its place: for according to them the cause and originator is what "pushes" (ôthoun), and that is why it is quite appropriate that it is called "Ôsia." [. . .] After Hestia it is right to consider Rhea and Cronus [. . .] Dear friend, a swarm of wisdom has just come to my mind.
[Hermogenes:] What kind?
[Socrates:] It is quite ludicrous to say it, but I think there is something plausible about it.

## [Hermogenes:] How so?

[Socrates:] I seem to see Heraclitus proclaiming some ancient wise sayings, ones really dating from the time of Cronus and Rhea, and ones that Homer too uttered. [Hermogenes:] What do you mean? [Socrates:] Heraclitus says something like this: that all things flow and nothing remains; and comparing the things that are to the flowing (rhoê) of a river, he says that you could not step twice into the same river [cf. D65c].
[Hermogenes:] That is true.
[Socrates:] Well then, do you think that the man who gave the names 'Rhea' and 'Cronus' to the ancestors of the
${ }^{1}$ The term is phonetically very close to ousia (being, essence).

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

 $\phi о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho o \iota s ~ \dot{\rho} \epsilon v \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu$ ỏ $\nu o ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ $\theta \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ; ~ \check{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \alpha \hat{v}{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{O} \mu \eta$ pos
' $\Omega \kappa \epsilon \alpha \nu o ́ \nu \quad \tau \epsilon \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \epsilon \epsilon \nu \epsilon \sigma i ́ \nu, " \phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu$, "каі $\mu \eta \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha$ T $\eta \theta$ v́v [COSM. T10a].
oîmaı $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ каì 'H H íoסos [cf. Th. 776-77 and COSM. T7,

 ós $\rho \alpha \kappa \alpha \sigma \iota \gamma \nu \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \nu$ о́ $\mu о \mu \dot{\eta} \tau о \rho \alpha \mathrm{~T} \eta \theta \grave{v} \nu$ ő $\pi v \iota \epsilon \nu$. [COSM. T15]
 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau о \hat{v}{ }^{`} \mathrm{H} \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \epsilon i ́ \tau o v \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha ~ \tau \epsilon i ́ \nu \epsilon \iota$.

R30 (> 23 A6) Plat. Theaet. 152d-e
 $\sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̆ \lambda \lambda \eta \lambda \alpha \gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\eta} \phi \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu \epsilon i \hat{i} \nu \alpha \iota$,

 є́ $\xi \hat{\eta} s$ oi $\sigma о \phi \circ \grave{~} \pi \lambda \eta ̀ \nu$ Па $\rho \mu \epsilon \nu i ́ \delta o v ~ \sigma v \mu \phi \epsilon \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta \omega \nu, \Pi \rho \omega-$

other gods had something different in mind from Heraclitus? Do you suppose that it is by chance that he gave to both of them the names of flowing things (rheumata)? ${ }^{2}$ So too, Homer says,

Ocean, the origin of the gods, and their mother Tethys (Il. 14.201, 302);
and, I think, Hesiod too. And Orpheus too says somewhere,

Fair-flowing Ocean was the first to make a beginning of marriage,
He who wedded his sister Tethys, his mother's daughter.

Just look how all these statements agree with one another and tend toward Heraclitus' doctrines [cf. DOX. T3].
${ }^{2}$ The sound of the Greek term can allude to both Rhea and Kronos (cf. krênê, krounos).

R30 (> 23 A6) Plato, Theaetetus
[Socrates:] [. . .] It is from motion, change, and mixture with each other that all the things come about that we say exist, speaking incorrectly: for nothing ever exists, but it is always becoming. And on this point let us admit that all the sages except Parmenides in sequence were in agree-ment-Protagoras, Heraclitus, and Empedocles [. . .] [cf. DOX. T2].

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

## On Unity and Multiplicity (R31)

R31 (< A10, cf. B10) Plat. Soph. 242d-e
[ $\Sigma \Omega$.] ’Iádes $\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ [. . .] Mov̂ $\sigma \alpha \iota ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon \nu o ́ \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ ö ờ $\sigma v \mu$ $\pi \lambda \epsilon ́ \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi \alpha \lambda \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau о \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a$ каì $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ต́s $\tau o ̀ ~ o ̂ \nu$

 ai $\sigma v \nu \tau o \nu \omega ́ \tau \epsilon \rho a \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \operatorname{Mov} \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ [. . .].

## A Criticism of the Doctrine of Fitting-Together (R32)

R32 (cf. ad B51) Plat. Symp. 186e-187b

 $\mu \nu \alpha \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha \grave{~} \gamma \epsilon \omega \rho \gamma i ́ \alpha \cdot \mu о v \sigma \iota \kappa \grave{\eta}$ ठє̀ каì $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \grave{\imath} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \delta \eta-$












## HERACLITUS

## On Unity and Multiplicity (R31)

R31 (< A10, cf. B10) Plato, Sophist
[The stranger from Elea:] Ionian Muses [i.e. Heraclitus] [. . .] recognized that it would be safest to weave together both positions [i.e. monist and pluralist] and to say that being is at the same time many and one, and that it is held together by discord and friendship. For what is separated always comes together [cf. D47], as the more tense of these Muses say [. . .] [cf . DOX. T4].

## A Criticism of the Doctrine of Fitting-Together (R32)

R32 (cf. ad B51) Plato, Symposium
[Eryximachus:] All of medicine, I claim, is governed by this god [i.e. Eros], [187a] and the same applies to gymnastics and agriculture. As for music, it is obvious to anyone who pays even a little bit of attention that the same is true for it as for these others-as it is possible that Heraclitus too means to say, since he does not express it clearly with his words. For he says, "the one, diverging, converges with itself, like the fitting-together (harmoniê) of a bow and lyre" [cf. D49]. It is quite absurd to say that a harmony (harmonia) "diverges," or is made up of elements that still diverge. But perhaps what he meant was that it comes about out of [b] a sharp and a flat that at first diverge but then are accorded by the art of music. For obviously a harmony (harmonia) cannot be made up out of sharp and

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III


 $\nu \tau \alpha \iota, a ̉ \delta v ́ \nu \alpha \tau о \nu ~ \epsilon i ̉ \nu a \iota ~ \delta \iota a \phi \epsilon \rho o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \alpha v ̉ ~ к \alpha i ̀ \mu \eta ̀ ~ o ́ \mu o-$ $\lambda o \gamma o \hat{v} \nu \dot{a} \delta v ́ \nu \alpha \tau o \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu o ́ \sigma \alpha \iota[. .].$.

## On the Doctrine of Multiple Perspectives (R33)

R33 (> B82, B83) Plat. Hipp. mai. 289a-b
[ $\Sigma \Omega$.] [. . .] $\mu \alpha \nu \theta \alpha ́ \nu \omega, \hat{\omega}^{`} \mathrm{I} \pi \pi i \alpha, \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \grave{a}^{\rho} \rho \alpha \chi \rho \grave{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$

 $\kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \iota \sigma \tau o s ~ a i \sigma \chi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̉ \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu ~ \gamma \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \iota ~ \sigma v \mu \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu "[=$ D81], каì $\chi v \tau \rho \hat{\nu} \nu$ ท ка入入í $\sigma \tau \eta$ аi $\chi \chi \rho \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha \rho \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \gamma \epsilon ́-$
 $\tau \omega \mathrm{s}, \grave{\omega}^{`} \mathrm{I} \pi \pi i \alpha$;


 $\tau \iota \varsigma[\mathrm{b}] \sigma \nu \mu \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \eta$, ov $\tau \alpha v ̉ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \pi \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ o ̈ \pi \epsilon \rho ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\chi \nu \tau \rho \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \hat{\varrho} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \rho \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \quad \sigma \nu \mu \beta a \lambda \lambda o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu ;$ ov̉ $\chi \dot{\eta}$ $\kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda i ́ \sigma \tau \eta \pi \alpha \rho \theta \epsilon ́ \nu o s ~ \alpha i \sigma \chi \rho a ̀ ~ \phi \alpha \nu \epsilon i ̂ \tau \alpha \iota ; \geqslant \geqslant$ оv каi 'H $\mathrm{H} \alpha{ }^{\prime}-$ $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau o s ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau o v ̂ \tau o ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$, ồ $\sigma$ v̀ є $\pi a ́ \gamma \eta$, ơ $\tau \iota$ " $\alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega$ $\pi \omega \nu$ ó $\sigma о \phi \omega ́ \tau \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \theta \epsilon o ̀ \nu ~ \pi i ́ \theta \eta к о я ~ \phi а \nu \epsilon i ̂ \tau a \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~$ $\sigma о \phi i ́ a ̣ ~ к а i ̀ ~ к а ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~ \tau о i ̂ s ~ a ̆ ̀ \lambda \lambda o ı s ~ \pi a ̂ \sigma \iota \nu "[D 77] ; ~$
flat that still diverge. For a harmony (harmonia) is an accord, and an accord is a kind of agreement. And it is impossible for an agreement to result from things that diverge, as long as they are diverging. And inversely, what diverges and does not agree cannot fit together (harmosai) [. . .]."

## On the Doctrine of Multiple Perspectives (R33)

## R33 (> B82, B83) Plato, Greater Hippias

[Socrates:] [. . .] I know, Hippias, how we ought to respond to the man who asks us this question [scil. whether a beautiful pot is beautiful]: "My man, don't you know that Heraclitus is right to say, 'the most beautiful monkey is ugly compared with the human race' $[=$ D81], and the most beautiful pot is ugly compared with the race of girls, as Hippias the wise says?" Is that not right, Hippias?
[Hippias:] Absolutely, Socrates, you have answered perfectly.
[Socrates:] Then listen. For I know what he will say after this: "What, Socrates? If someone compares the race of girls to that of gods [b], will he not find the same thing as when comparing the race of pots to that of girls? Will not the most beautiful girl seem ugly? And does not Heraclitus, whom you adduce, say the same thing, that 'the wisest human will seem to be a monkey compared to a god in wisdom, beauty, and everything else?" [D77].

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

## An Application to Old Age (R34)

R34 (< T 134 Mouraviev) Plat. Rep. 6 498a-b

 $\alpha \hat{\theta \iota \varsigma}$ ov̉к $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \notin \alpha ́ \pi \tau o \nu \tau \alpha \iota$.

Summaries and Criticisms in Aristotle (R35-R43) On Cosmic Fire (R35)

R35 (> A10) Arist. Phys. 3.5 205al-7
ő $\lambda \omega s$ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ каì $\chi \omega \rho i ̀ s ~ \tau o \hat{a}$ ä $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho o \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu \alpha i ́ ~ \tau \iota ~ a v ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, ~ a ̉ \delta v ́-$
 $\sigma \theta \alpha \iota \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \nu \tau \iota \alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu, \stackrel{\circ}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho{ }^{`} \mathrm{H} \rho \alpha ́ \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau o ́ s \phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu \stackrel{\circ}{\alpha} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha$ $\gamma^{\prime} \gamma \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a i ́ \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon \pi \hat{v} \rho$ [. . .] $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \xi$


On the Doctrine of Flux (R36)
R36 (T172 Mouraviev) Arist. Cael. 3.1 298b29-33


 $\kappa \alpha \sigma \iota \beta o v ́ \lambda \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ă $\lambda \lambda о \iota \tau \epsilon \pi о \lambda \lambda о \grave{~ к \alpha i ̀ ~}{ }^{〔} \mathrm{H} \rho a ́ \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota-$ тоs ó ’Еф'́́бıos.

## HERACLITUS

## An Application to Old Age (R34)

R34 ( $\neq$ DK) Plato, Republic
When they [i.e. those who have studied dialectic] reach old age, except for a few of them they are extinguished, much more than the Heraclitean sun, insofar as they are not rekindled [cf. D91].

Summaries and Criticisms in Aristotle (R35-R43) On Cosmic Fire (R35)

R35 (> A10) Aristotle, Physics
In general, independently of the question of knowing whether one of them [i.e. the elements] is unlimited, it is impossible that the whole, even if it is limited, either be or become one of them, as Heraclitus says that all things become fire at one time [. . .]; for all things are transformed from one contrary into the other, as for example from warm into cold.

See also EMP. D79a

On the Doctrine of Flux (R36)
R36 ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ ) Aristotle, On the Heavens
Others say that all things come about and flow, and that nothing exists stably, except for one thing alone that subsists, out of which all these other things are produced naturally by changing their form. This is what many people seem to have meant, and especially Heraclitus of Ephesus.

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

## On the Coexistence of Opposites (R37-R39)

R37 (> A7) Arist. Metaph. Г3 1005b23-26


 ن́ $\pi о \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$.

R38 (< T 148 Mouraviev) Arist. Metaph. $\Gamma$
a 7 1012a24-26
є’оькє $\delta$ ’ ó $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ ‘ Н \rho а к \lambda \epsilon i ́ \tau о v ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o s, ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau \alpha ~ \epsilon i ̂ \nu \alpha \iota ~$ $\kappa \alpha \grave{\iota} \mu \grave{\eta} \epsilon \hat{i} \nu \alpha \iota, \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha}^{\pi} \pi \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \pi o \iota \in \hat{\imath} \nu[. .].$.

## b 8 1012a33-b2

 ó $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ ö $\tau \iota \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau ’ \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta}$ каі̀ $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha \psi \epsilon v \delta \hat{\eta}$, каì $\chi \omega \rho \grave{\varsigma} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ 入ó $\gamma \omega \nu$ є́кá $\tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \tau о v ́ \tau \omega \nu, \stackrel{\otimes}{\omega} \sigma \tau \tau^{\prime} \epsilon^{\prime \prime} \pi \epsilon \rho$ ảסv́vaта є́кєîva, каì $\tau \alpha v ิ \tau \alpha ~ a ̉ \delta v ́ \nu \alpha \tau о \nu ~ \epsilon i ̂ \nu \alpha u . ~$

R39 (< T 149 Mouraviev) Arist. Metaph. K5 1062a30b11
 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \tau o \iota \tau o ̀ \nu \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ $\tau \iota \theta \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$ ả $\pi o ́ \delta \epsilon \iota \xi \iota s . \tau \alpha \chi \epsilon ́ \omega s \delta^{\prime}$ a้ $\nu$

 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu a s ~ \phi a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s ~ \delta \nu \nu a \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon i ̂ \nu a \iota ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha v ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu a ̉ \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \hat{v} \epsilon-$

## HERACLITUS

On the Coexistence of Opposites (R37-R39)
R37 (> A7) Aristotle, Metaphysics
It is impossible for anyone to think that the same thing both is and is not, as some people believe that Heraclitus said-for it is not necessary that what one says one also think.

R38 ( $\neq$ DK) Aristotle, Metaphysics

## a

The doctrine of Heraclitus, who says that all things are and are not [cf. D65, R9, R15], seems to make everything true [. . .].

## b

These theses [scil. that nothing is true and that everything is true] are virtually identical with those of Heraclitus: for he who affirms that everything is true and everything is false also affirms each of these propositions separately, so that if they are impossible, it is also impossible that the first one [scil. be true].

R39 ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ ) Aristotle, Metaphysics
There is no simple demonstration regarding this question [i.e. whether something can be and not be at the same time], but there is a demonstration against the person who poses it. And perhaps if someone asked Heraclitus himself in this way, he would oblige him to recognize that it is

[^10]


 єî̀aí $\tau \epsilon$ каì $\mu \grave{\eta} \epsilon i ̉ \nu \alpha \iota$ ка $\theta \alpha ́ \pi \epsilon \rho$ үà $\rho$ каi $\delta ı \eta \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$
 $\theta \epsilon \hat{v} \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$, $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu$ à $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \rho o ́ \pi о \nu$ каì $\tau о \hat{v} \sigma v \nu \alpha \mu \phi о \tau \epsilon ́ \rho о v$ каì $\tau o \hat{v} \sigma v \mu \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \epsilon \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v$ каӨáтєן $\mu \iota \alpha ̂ \varsigma ~ \tau \iota \nu o ̀ s ~ \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \phi \alpha ́-$


 $\tau o ̀ ~ \phi \alpha ́ \nu \alpha \iota ~ \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \mu i ́ \alpha \nu ~ a ̉ \lambda \eta \theta \hat{\eta} \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \phi \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \dot{v} \pi \alpha ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu$. єi $\delta^{\prime}$
 є่ $\nu \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$ каi $\pi \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \lambda \hat{\omega} \varsigma$ ả $\nu \alpha \iota \rho о v ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ $\tau \grave{o ̀} \delta \iota \alpha \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon-$ $\sigma \theta a \iota$.
 huc transp. Ross (qui coni. in app. ov̀日èv $\hat{\eta} \tau \tau o \nu ~ \dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \pi o ́ \phi a \sigma \iota s \mathfrak{\eta})$ $4 \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \mathrm{~A}^{\mathrm{b}}: \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \grave{\epsilon} \mathrm{s} \stackrel{\prime}{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha \iota \mathrm{EJ}$

## On the Identity of Opposites (R40-R41)

R40 (< T 152 Mouraviev) Arist. Phys. 1.2 185b19-25
 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ i \mu a ́ \tau \iota о \nu, ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ ' Н \rho а к \lambda \epsilon i ́ т о v ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma о \nu ~ \sigma v \mu \beta \alpha i ́ \nu \epsilon \iota ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu ~$



never possible for contradictory statements to be true about the same matters; but as it is, it was because he himself did not understand what he was saying that he adopted this view. And in general, if what he states is true, then this statement itself (I mean that the same thing can be and not be at one and the same time) would not be true. For just as if they are taken separately, the affirmation is no more true than the negation, in the same way if there is a single affirmation of their conjunction and combination, the negation will not be more true than the whole statement taken as an affirmation. And furthermore, if one cannot affirm anything truly, then this affirmation itself, according to which there is no such thing as a true affirmation, would be false. And if anything can be, then what those people say who raise this kind of objection and completely destroy discussion would be refuted.

## On the Identity of Opposites (R40-R41)

R40 ( $\neq$ DK) Aristotle, Physics
If all things are one in their definition, as are clothing and dress, then it turns out that they [i.e. Parmenides and Melissus] are embracing Heraclitus' account: for the essence of the good and of the evil will be identical, as well as that of the good and the not good, so that good and not good will be identical, as well as human and horse, and
 $\tau о \hat{v} \mu \eta \delta \epsilon ́ \nu-\kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau o ̀ ~ \tau о \iota \omega \delta i ̀ ~ \epsilon i ̂ \nu \alpha \iota ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau о \sigma \omega \delta i ̀ ~ \tau \alpha v ̉ \tau o ́ \nu . ~$

R41 (< T 151 Mouraviev) Arist. Top. 8.5 159b30-33



 oṽ'т $\omega$ 入єктє́oข.

## On Belief and Knowledge (R42)

R42 (< T 143 Mouraviev) Arist. EN 7.3 1146b26-30


 $\delta^{\text {' }} \mathrm{H} \rho а ́ к \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau о \varsigma . ~$

## On the Soul (R43)

R43 (< A15) Arist. An. 1.2 405a25-26
 $\pi \epsilon \rho \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \theta \nu \mu i ́ \alpha \sigma \iota \nu, \epsilon_{\epsilon} \xi \widehat{\eta} \varsigma \tau \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \sigma v \nu i ́ \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \iota \nu$.

## HERACLITUS

their statements will no longer bear on the fact that all things are one, but on nothing, and the same for being of such a kind and being in such a quantity.

## R41 ( $=$ DK) Aristotle, Topics

That is why too those who cite others' opinions, for example that the good and the evil are the same thing, as Heraclitus said, do not concede that the contraries are not present at the same time in the same thing, not because this is not their own opinion, but because this is what one must say according to Heraclitus.

## On Belief and Knowledge (R42)

R42 ( $\neq$ DK) Aristotle, Nicomachean Ethics
For some of those who have a certain opinion have no doubt, but think that they know exactly. [. . .] For certain people are not less convinced about their opinions than others are about their knowledge-[scil. the case of] Heraclitus shows this [cf. P10a].

## On the Soul (R43)

R43 (< A15) Aristotle, On the Soul
Heraclitus too [scil. like Diogenes of Apollonia] says that the principle is the soul, since it is an evaporation, and out of this evaporation he composes everything else.

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

Doxographies of Platonic-Peripatetic Inspiration
(R44-R46)
A Systematization in Terms of Contraries (R44)
R44 (< 28 A46, 31 A86) Theophr. Sens. 1
 $\delta \hat{v} \epsilon \dot{\imath} \sigma i ́ \nu$ oi $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \tau \hat{\omega}$ ó $\mu o i ́ \omega$ $\pi o \iota o \hat{v} \sigma \iota \nu$, oi $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega}$

 $\tau o \nu^{1} \tau \hat{\varrho} \hat{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\epsilon} \nu \alpha \nu \tau i ́ \varphi$.
${ }^{1}$ каі $\Delta \eta \mu$ о́к $\rho \iota \tau о \nu$ malit Philippson

> Two Systematizations in Terms of Physics (R45-R46)

R45 (> 22 A5, cf. 18.7) Simpl. In Phys., p. 23.33-24.11 (< Theophr. Frag. 225 FHS\&G)

 $\alpha ̉ \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$ [24] $\pi \hat{v} \rho$ є́ $\pi о i ́ \eta \sigma \alpha \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \rho \chi \grave{\eta} \nu$ каì $\epsilon \kappa \pi v \rho o ̀ s$


 $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau о \varsigma \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$. $\pi о \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ §є̀ каì $\tau \alpha ́ \xi \iota \nu \tau \iota \nu \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \grave{\imath}{ }^{1} \chi \rho o ́ \nu о \nu$



# Doxographies of Platonic-Peripatetic Inspiration (R44-R46) <br> A Systematization in Terms of Contraries (R44) 

R44 (< 28 A46, 31 A86) Theophrastus, On Sensations
With regard to perception, most general opinions are of two kinds: some people explain it by what is similar, others by what is contrary: Parmenides, Empedocles, and Plato by what is similar, those who follow Anaxagoras and Heraclitus by what is contrary.

## Two Systematizations in Terms of <br> Physics (R45-R46)

R45 (> 22 A5, cf. 18.7) Simplicius, Commentary on Aristotle's Physics
Hippasus of Metapontum [cf. HIPPAS. D4] and Heraclitus of Ephesus [scil. said like the other philosophers who admit a principle that is one and in motion, cf. DOX. T14] that it is one, in motion, and limited, but [24] they established fire as the principle and make beings come to be out of fire by condensation and rarefaction, and dissolve them again into fire, on the idea that this is the one nature that is a substrate. For Heraclitus says that all things are an exchange of fire [cf. D87]; and he establishes a certain order and a determinate period for the transformation of the world in conformity with a certain necessity that is fixed by destiny [cf. D85]. And it is clear that these too arrived at this opinion from having observed the genera-
${ }^{1} \kappa \alpha \grave{ } \mathrm{E}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{F}: \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{D}: \pi \alpha \rho \grave{a} \mathrm{E}$ 2 ává $\gamma \kappa \eta \nu$ gloss. iud. Usener

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

 $\chi \omega \rho о \hat{\nu} \nu$ каì $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda о \omega \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \theta \epsilon \rho \mu o ́ \tau \eta \tau о s$ $\theta \epsilon$ -


 $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \lambda \dot{v} \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota, \lambda \epsilon \pi \tau о \mu \epsilon \rho \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau \alpha \tau o \nu ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ aै $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \hat{v} \rho$, тоvิтo ò̀ $\epsilon \ddot{\eta} \eta \mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ~ \sigma \tau o \iota \chi \epsilon \hat{\iota} о \nu .{ }^{3}$
 $\tau \epsilon \varsigma$ тò $\sigma \tau o c \chi \epsilon i o \nu$ (p. 24.12) hab. mss.

R46 (< A1) Diog. Laert. 9. 7-11

## a



 $\pi \hat{\eta} s^{1} \dot{\eta} \rho \mu o ́ \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \tau \grave{\alpha}$ ő $\nu \tau \alpha \cdot$ каì $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha ~ \psi v \chi \omega ̂ \nu$ єîvaı каì

 $\mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \theta$ os oios фаі̀єєтац [. . .].
 mías Diels

## b

 $\pi \hat{v} \rho$ єîval $\sigma \tau о \iota \chi \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ каì $\pi v \rho o ̀ s ~ \dot{\alpha} \mu o \iota \beta \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$,


## HERACLITUS

tive, technical, and digestive properties of heat, its ability to pass through all things and to transform them all; for we have no information that they made it unlimited. Moreover, if an element is the smallest thing from which the others derive and into which they are dissolved, and if fire is the finest one of all, then it would be this one more than any other that would be the element.

## R46 (< A1) Diogenes Laertius

## a

[7] His opinions, speaking generally, are the following. All things are constituted out of fire and are dissolved into it [cf. D85-D87]. All things come about according to destiny [cf. D63], and the things that exist are fitted together thanks to the contrariety of their character [cf. D47D62]. And everything is full of souls and divinities. He also spoke about everything that happens in the world, and that the sun is the size that it appears to be [cf. D89] [...].

## b

[8] With regard to his detailed opinions, they are as follows. Fire is the element and all things are an exchange of fire [cf. D87], and they come about by rarefaction and condensation. But he does not explain anything clearly. All

[^11]
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 $\sigma \dot{v} \mu \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \alpha i ̂ \omega \nu a \cdot \tau о \hat{\tau} \tau o$ §̀̀ $\gamma^{\prime} \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \epsilon i \mu \alpha \rho \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$.



 $\mu \epsilon \nu o \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \hat{v} \rho$ दُ ${ }^{\prime} v \gamma \rho \alpha i ́ \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \sigma v \nu \iota \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon$
 $\pi \epsilon \sigma \theta a u \cdot \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ o ́ \delta o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \kappa \alpha ́ \tau \omega ~ \epsilon i ̂ \nu \alpha u . ~ \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~$


 тò ${ }^{\circ} \nu \omega$ ódós. $\gamma i \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ ả $\nu a \theta v \mu \iota a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \pi o ́ ~ \tau \epsilon \gamma \hat{\eta} S$ каì $\theta \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \eta \mathrm{~s}$, âs $\mu$ èv $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho a ̀ s ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ к а \theta a \rho a ́ s, ~ a ̂ s ~ \delta e ̀ ~$ $\sigma \kappa о \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \mathrm{~s} . \alpha \ddot{v} \xi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau \grave{o} \mu \epsilon \grave{\nu} \pi \hat{v} \rho \dot{v} \pi \grave{o} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$,


 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu a s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \lambda a \mu \pi \rho a ̀ s ~ a ̀ \nu \alpha \theta v \mu ı a ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s ~ a ̀ \pi o \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \phi \lambda o ́ \gamma a s$,
 $\dot{\eta} \lambda i ́ o v ~ \phi \lambda o ́ \gamma а ~ к а i ̀ ~ \theta \epsilon \rho \mu о \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu . ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \gamma \grave{a} \rho$ ä $\lambda \lambda a$ ä $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha$
 $\kappa \alpha \grave{~} \theta a ́ \lambda \pi \epsilon \iota \nu, \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta \epsilon ิ \sigma \epsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \nu \eta \nu \pi \rho o \sigma \gamma \epsilon \iota o \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \nu$ ov̉ $\sigma \alpha \nu$

things come about by contrariety and the totality of things flows like a river [cf. D65-D66]. The whole is limited and there is only one world [cf. D85]. It is generated out of fire and it burns up again [i.e. it becomes fire] according to certain periods [cf. D85], alternating, for the whole duration of time. And this comes about according to destiny. Of the contraries, the one that leads to generation is called war and strife [cf. D63, D64], the one that leads to the conflagration (ekpurôsis), agreement and peace [cf. D48, D49], and the changing is a way upward and downward [cf. D51], and it is according to this that the world comes about. [9] For as the fire becomes dense, it becomes moist, and when it collects together it becomes water, and when the water becomes solid it turns into earth [cf. D86]. And this is the downward way. But then in turn the earth spreads out and from it comes water [cf. D86], and from this comes everything else, since he derives almost everything from the evaporation from the sea; and this is the upward way. But there are evaporations that come from the earth and sea, some of them bright and pure, others dark. The fire increases because of the bright ones, the moisture because of the others. He does not explain what surrounds. But there are in it bowls turned over with their concave part facing us, in which bright evaporations that are pressed together produce flames, which are the heavenly bodies. [10] The brightest and hottest flame is that of the sun. For the other heavenly bodies are farther away from the earth and that is why they produce less light and heat; the moon is closer to the earth but does not move through the pure region. But the sun

[^12] mss., corr. Reiske
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 $\dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ є' $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu$ ठıá $\sigma \tau \eta \mu \alpha \cdot \tau o \iota \gamma \alpha ́ \rho \tau o \iota \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \rho \mu \alpha i ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ $\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i ̀ \phi \omega \tau i \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu$. $\epsilon^{\prime} \kappa \lambda \epsilon i ́ \pi \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \epsilon \eta_{\eta}^{\prime} \lambda \iota \nu \nu \kappa \alpha i ̀ \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \eta \nu$, ä $\nu \omega$ $\sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \phi о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \sigma \kappa \alpha \phi \hat{\omega} \nu$ гои́s $\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \tau \hat{\eta} s$
 $\alpha \mathfrak{v} \tau \hat{\eta}^{5} \kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \kappa \rho o ̀ \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \sigma \kappa \alpha ́ \phi \eta s$. $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i ̀ \nu v ́ \kappa \tau \alpha$

 Sıaфópovs ả $\nu \alpha \theta v \mu \iota \alpha ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota s$. [11] $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \grave{\alpha} \nu$








${ }^{4} \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota$ mss.: $\kappa \iota \nu \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \theta a \iota$ Reiske $\quad 5 \dot{\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\nu} \boldsymbol{\alpha} \boldsymbol{v} \tau \hat{\eta} \hat{\eta}$ Mouraviev:


> Reports Reflecting a Platonic-Aristotelian
> Appropriation (R47-R49)
> Motion (R47)

R47 (A6) Aët. 1.23.7 (Ps.-Plut., cf. Stob.) [ $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ кı $\kappa \nu \eta^{\prime}-$ $\sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma]$

lies in a diaphanous and unmixed [scil. region], and is at a proportionate distance from us; for that is why it gives more heat and light. Eclipses of the sun and moon occur when the bowls are turned upward; the monthly phases of the moon come about when the bowl turns around on itself little by little. Day, night, months, the seasons of the year, rainy years, winds, and similar phenomena come about because of different evaporations. [11] For the bright evaporation makes day when it is kindled in the circle of the sun, but when the contrary one prevails it produces night. And heat, increased by the bright one, makes summer, while moisture, made preponderant by the dark one, generates winter. He supplies the causes for other phenomena as well, along the same lines. But as for the earth, he does not explains what it is, nor about the bowls either. And these were his opinions.

Reports Reflecting a Platonic-Aristotelian
Appropriation (R47-R49)
Motion (R47)

R47 (A6) Aëtius
Heraclitus abolishes immobility and rest from the uni-
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 $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \tau o i ̂ s ~ a ̉ \iota \delta i ́ o \iota s, ~ \phi \theta \alpha \rho \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ бє̀ $\tau 0 i ̂ s ~ \phi \theta a \rho \tau o i ̂ s .{ }^{1}$
 Stob.

## The Soul (R48)

## R48

a (A15) Aët. 4.3.12 (Ps.-Plut.) [ $\epsilon i \quad \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha \dot{\eta} \psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha i \tau^{\prime} i s$


 є́ктòs каì $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma ~ \notin \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau o i ̂ s ~ a ̉ \nu \alpha \theta v \mu \iota a ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma, ~ o ́ ~ \mu о \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta ̂ . ~$
b (cf. ad A17) Aët. 4.7.2 (Theod. 5.23) [ $\pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ \dot{\alpha} \phi \theta \alpha \rho \sigma i ́ a s$ $\psi v \chi \hat{\eta} s]$
 $\epsilon i s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau o \hat{v} \pi \alpha \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \chi \omega \rho \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu \psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\bar{\epsilon} \phi \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$, oîa $\delta \grave{\eta}$ o $\mu о \gamma \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ ov̉ $\sigma \alpha \nu \kappa \alpha i$ ó $\mu о о v ́ \sigma \iota \frac{\nu}{}$.
c (T 782 Mouraviev) Macr. In Somn. 1.14.19
Heraclitus physicus scintillam stellaris essentiae.

## HERACLITUS

verse, for these belong to corpses; and [scil. he attributes] an eternal motion to eternal things, and a perishable motion to perishable ones.

## The Soul (R48)

## R48

a (A15) Aëtius
Heraclitus: the soul of the world is an evaporation of the moisture it contains, and the one that is in animals, which derives from the external evaporation and from the one that is in them, is of the same kind.
b (cf. ad A17) Aëtius
Heraclitus said that the souls that leave the body return to the soul of the whole, since their genus and substance are of the same nature.
c ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ ) Macrobius, Commentary on Cicero's Dream of Scipio
Heraclitus, the natural philosopher, [scil. calls the soul] a spark of the stars' substance.
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## The Heavens (D49)

R49 (31 A62) (Ps.-?) Hippol. Ref. 1.4.3
 є' $\emptyset \eta$ как $\omega \nu \nu \epsilon \sigma \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon i ̉ \nu \alpha \iota ~ к \alpha i ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \chi \rho \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \sigma \epsilon \lambda \eta ́ \nu \eta s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\kappa \alpha \kappa \grave{\alpha} \phi \theta \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu$ є́к $\tau о \hat{v} \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \gamma \hat{\eta} \nu \tau o ́ \pi о v \tau \alpha \theta \epsilon ́ \nu \tau \alpha, \pi \epsilon \rho \alpha \iota-$

 $\epsilon \not \epsilon \delta \sigma \xi \epsilon \nu$.

Stoics (R50-R66)
Stoic Appropriations (R50-R56)
Zeno (R50-R51)
R50 (< T 256 Mouraviev) Numen. in Eus. PE 14.5.11-12 (Frag. 25 Des Places)



 ó $\mu$ èv 'Нрáклєıто⿱ каì $\Sigma \tau i \grave{\lambda} \pi \omega \nu \alpha$ ă $\mu \alpha$ каì К $\rho a ́ \tau \eta \tau а$,

 'А $\rho \kappa є \sigma i \lambda a o s ~[. ~ . ~] ~.[c f . ~ S V F ~ 1.11] . ~$

## HERACLITUS

## The Heavens (D49)

R49 (31 A62) (Ps.-?) Hippolytus, Refutation of All Heresies

And as Empedocles said that the whole region near us is full of evils, and that evils spread as far as the moon, extending outward from the region around the earth, but do not go any farther, since the whole region beyond the moon is purer; this too was the view of Heraclitus [= EMP. D142].

> Stoics $($ R50-R66)
> Stoic Appropriations $($ R50-R56)
> Zeno (R50-R51)
$\mathbf{R 5 0}(\neq \mathrm{DK})$ Numenius, On the Infidelity of the Academy toward Plato, in Eusebius, Evangelical Preparation
[. . .] Consider now that he [i.e. Zeno the Stoic] also had his share in Stilpo and the Heraclitean doctrines. For when they [i.e. Arcesilaus and Zeno] were fellow students of Polemon and became rivals, the one [i.e. Zeno] took as his allies in their struggle Heraclitus and Stilpo together with Crates: Stilpo made him a fighter, Heraclitus austere, and Crates a Cynic. As for Arcesilaus [. . .].
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$\mathrm{R51}$ (> B12) Ar. Did. in Eus. PE 15.20.2-3 (Frag. 39 Diels)
 $\pi \alpha \rho a \tau \iota \theta \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma v ́ \gamma \kappa \rho \iota \sigma \iota \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ a ̈ \lambda \lambda o v s ~ \phi v$ $\sigma \iota \kappa о$ ́́s, $\phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ [SVF 1.141 et 519] ö $\tau \iota$ Z $\eta \nu \omega \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \nu$
 тоs. ßov入ó $\mu \epsilon \nu o s$ $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ є’ $\mu \phi \alpha \nu i ́ \sigma \alpha \iota$, òть ai $\psi v \chi \alpha i \quad$ ả $\nu \alpha-$
 $\pi о \tau \alpha \mu o i ̂ s ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ ov̈ $\tau \omega$ s [. . = D65a] $\dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \theta \nu \mu i ́ \alpha \sigma \iota \nu \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$


${ }^{1}$ aı̈ $\sigma \theta \eta \sigma \iota \nu \stackrel{\eta}{\eta}$ mss., corr. Wellmann

Cleanthes (R52)
R52 (C4) Cleanth. in Stob. 1.1.12 [SVF 1. 537]
$\sigma o \grave{\delta} \delta \grave{\eta} \pi \hat{\alpha} \varsigma$ ő $\delta \epsilon$ ко́ $\sigma \mu о \varsigma, ~ € ̀ \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \varsigma \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \gamma \alpha \hat{\imath} \alpha \nu$,
 $\kappa \rho \alpha \tau \epsilon і \tau \alpha \iota \cdot$
 $\dot{\alpha} \mu \phi \eta^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\eta}, \pi v \rho o ́ \epsilon \nu \tau^{\prime}, ~ \alpha i \epsilon \iota \zeta \omega ́ o \nu \tau \alpha ~ к \epsilon \rho \alpha \nu \nu o ́ \nu \cdot$

 $\phi о \iota \tau \hat{a}, \mu \iota \gamma \nu v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s \mu \epsilon \gamma a ́ \lambda o \iota s \mu \iota \kappa \rho o i ̂ s ~ \tau \epsilon \phi a ́ \epsilon \sigma \sigma \iota$.
$1 \delta \epsilon ̀ \mathrm{~ms}$., corr. Scaliger $\quad 2$ $\sigma o \imath ̂ \mathrm{~ms}$., corr. Ursinus
3 白 $\chi o \iota s$ ms., corr. Ursinus $\epsilon \in \grave{l}$ Brunck: $\dot{v} \pi$ ò ms.
$4 \pi v \rho o ́ \epsilon \nu \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \epsilon \iota \zeta \dot{\omega} о \nu \tau \alpha$ ms., corr. Wachsmuth

R51 (> B12) Arius Didymus in Eusebius, Evangelical Preparation
Concerning the soul, Cleanthes, citing the doctrines of Zeno in order to establish a comparison with the other philosophers of nature, says that Zeno defines the soul as an evaporation endowed with sensation, like Heraclitus; for, wanting to show that the souls that come from an evaporation are always intelligent, he has compared them to rivers, when he says, [ . . = D65a]. Thus Zeno affirms, like Heraclitus, that the soul is an evaporation, and he says that it is endowed with sensation [. . .].

## Cleanthes (R52)

R52 (C4) Stobaeus, Anthology
It is to you [i.e. Zeus] that this whole world order, whirling around the earth,
Is obedient, wherever you lead it, and it is willingly ruled by you-
Such is the servant you hold in your invincible hands,
The two-edged fiery ever-living lightning bolt: ${ }^{1}$
For under its blow ${ }^{2}$ all things in nature shudder;
With it you make straight the account in common, which through all things
Proceeds, ${ }^{3}$ mixing them with great lights and with small ones.
${ }^{1}$ Cf. D82. 2 Cf. D110. ${ }^{3}$ Cf. D2, D82.

[^13]
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## Chrysippus (R53)

R53 (< T 262 Mouraviev) Philod. Piet. Col. 14 (p. 18 Henrichs)
[13] $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha|\rho \alpha \pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma \iota \alpha \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \kappa \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu| \tau o \imath ̂ \varsigma ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \Phi v ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma \mid \gamma \rho \alpha ́-$ $\phi \in \iota$ [Chrysipp., SVF 2.636], $\mu \in \theta^{\prime} \hat{\omega} \nu$ є $ا ٓ \pi \alpha \mid \mu \epsilon \nu$ каì $\tau о i ̂ s$
 [. . .] каì | $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu o ̣[\nu] \kappa \alpha i ̀|~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \Delta[i ́] \alpha ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \alpha u ̛ ̃ o ̀ \nu ~| ~$


## Marcus Aurelius (R54-R55)

R54 (> B71-74, B76) M. Aur. 4.46




 ठıафє́ $\rho о \nu \tau \alpha \iota$, каi оîs каӨ' ì $\mu \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \nu ~ \epsilon ’ \gamma к v \rho о \hat{\imath \sigma \iota, ~ \tau \alpha v ̂ \tau \alpha ~}$
 $\tau \alpha \varsigma \pi о \iota \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ каì $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \cdot$ каì $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ каі̀ $\tau о ́ \tau \epsilon$ סокой $\mu \epsilon \nu \pi о \iota-$ $\epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ каì $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \cdot$ каì öть ov̉ $\delta \epsilon \hat{\imath}\langle\dot{\omega} \varsigma\rangle \pi \alpha i ̂ \delta \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau о к \epsilon \omega ́ \nu \omega \nu^{1}$ [= D7], $\tau о v \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \iota ~ к \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \psi \iota \lambda o ́ \nu ~ " \kappa \alpha \theta o ́ \tau \iota ~ \pi \alpha \rho \epsilon \iota \lambda \eta ́ \phi \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu$."
${ }^{1}$ cf. app. ad D7

## HERACLITUS

Chrysippus (R53)
R53 ( $\neq$ DK) Philodemus, On Piety
[13] He [i.e. Chrysippus] writes much the same things in his books On Nature, proceeding, after those we have discussed [i.e. Orpheus, Musaeus, Homer, Euripides, Cleanthes] to an assimilation with the doctrines of Heraclitus. [. . .] [21] In the third [scil. book, he says] that war and Zeus are the same thing, and that this is what Heraclitus says too [cf. D64].

## Marcus Aurelius (R54-R55)

R54 (> B71-74, B76) Marcus Aurelius
To always remember what Heraclitus says, that death for the earth is to become water, death for water is to become air, and for air, to become fire; and inversely [cf. D100]. And to remember the man who has forgotten where the road leads [cf. D104]. And this too: that from what they most incessantly associate with, the reason that administers the universe, they are at variance, and what they encounter every day seems foreign to them [cf. D3]. And that we should not act and speak like people who are sleeping [cf. D1] (for then too we think we are acting and speaking); and that we should not [scil. act and speak] <like> the children of our parents [= D7], that is, in ordinary language, in conformity with what we have received from tradition.
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R55 (B75) M. Aur. 6.42



## An Anonymous Paraphrase (R56)

R56 (B89) Ps.-Plut. Superst. 166C

 $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota .{ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ ả $\nu a \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon ́ \phi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \mathrm{D}$

> Some Characteristic Stoic Doctrines Linked with Heraclitus (R57-R66) Various Subjects (R57)

R57 (T 270 Mouraviev) Clem. Alex. Strom. 5.105.1


 $\pi о \iota \frac{v}{v} \kappa о ́ \sigma \mu о v \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \grave{\alpha} \alpha \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi о v$ каì $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \omega \nu$ $\psi v \chi \hat{\omega} \nu$ є่ $\pi \iota \delta \iota \alpha \mu о \nu \eta ̂ s ~[S V F ~ 2.590] . ~$

R55 (B75) Marcus Aurelius
I think that Heraclitus says that those who sleep are constructors and collaborators in what happens in the world.

An Anonymous Paraphrase (R56)

## $\mathbf{R 5 6 ~ ( B 8 9 ) ~ P s . - P l u t a r c h , ~ O n ~ S u p e r s t i t i o n ~}$

Heraclitus says that those who are awake have a world that is one and in common, but that each of those who are asleep turns aside into his own particular world [cf. D2].

> Some Characteristic Stoic Doctrines Linked with Heraclitus (R57-R66) Various Subjects (R57)

## R57 ( $\neq$ DK) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata

[. . .] What the most illustrious Stoics profess concerning the conflagration (ekpurôsis), the administration of the world, the individuality of the world and of the human being, and the persistence of our souls, is very similar to this [i.e. Heraclitus' doctrine].

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

Human Reason, Divine Reason (R58-R60)
R58 (A20) Calcid. In Tim. 251
Heraclitus vero consentientibus Stoicis [SVF 2.1198] rationem nostram cum divina ratione conectit regente ac moderante mundana: propter inseparabilem comitatum consciam decreti rationabilis factam, quiescentibus animis opere sensuum futura denuntiare; ex quo fieri ut appareant imagines ignotorum locorum simulacraque hominum tam viventium quam mortuorum. idemque asserit divinationis usum et praemoneri meritos instruentibus divinis potestatibus.

R59 (cf. A16) Sext. Emp. Adv. Math. 7.127-34
[127] [. . .] $\dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota ~ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \tau \hat{\omega} \phi v \sigma \iota \kappa \hat{\varrho}$ тò $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon ́ \chi o \nu ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s$ $\lambda о \gamma \iota \kappa o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon$ ồ каì $\phi \rho \epsilon \nu \hat{\eta} \rho \epsilon$ s. [. . .] [129] $\tau о \hat{v} \tau о \nu$ ov̉ $\nu \tau$ ì $\nu$


 $\sigma \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \alpha i \sigma \theta \eta \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \pi o ́ \rho \omega \nu \chi \omega \rho i \zeta \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \pi \rho o ̀ s$ $\tau o ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon ́ \chi o \nu \sigma v \mu \phi v i ́ a s$ ó $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu i ̂ \nu \nu o \hat{\nu}$, $\mu o ́ \nu \eta s \tau \hat{\eta} s \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha}$
 $\chi \omega \rho \iota \sigma \theta \epsilon i ́ s \tau \epsilon \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota$ ท̂̀ $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu$ єỉ $\chi \epsilon \mu \nu \eta \mu о \nu \iota-$
 $\alpha i \sigma \theta \eta \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \pi о ́ \rho \omega \nu \stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \delta \iota \alpha ́ \tau \iota \nu \omega \nu \theta \nu \rho i ́ \delta \omega \nu \pi \rho о \sigma \kappa v ́-$

 $\tau \epsilon \varsigma \tau \hat{\omega} \pi v \rho i ̀ \kappa \alpha \tau^{\prime} \dot{a} \lambda \lambda o i ́ \omega \sigma \iota \nu \delta \iota a ́ \pi v \rho o \iota \gamma i \nu o \nu \tau \alpha \iota, \chi \omega \rho \iota-$

## HERACLITUS

## Human Reason, Divine Reason (R58-R60)

R58 (A20) Calcidius, Commentary on Plato's Timaeus Heraclitus, with the approval of the Stoics, connects our reason with the divine reason that rules and governs the affairs of the world: being made conscious of the law of reason because of this inseparable connection, when our souls are resting it announces the future with the help of the senses. This is how it comes about that the images of unknown places and the likenesses of both living and dead men appear. And the same man defends the practice of divination and [scil. claims] that those who are deserving are forewarned by divine powers that instruct them.

## R59 (cf. A16) Sextus Empiricus, Against the Logicians

 [127] [. . .] this natural philosopher [i.e. Heraclitus] holds the view that the substance that surrounds us is rational and mindful. [. . .] [129] So according to Heraclitus, it is by inhaling this divine reason when we breathe that we become intelligent, and whereas we forget it when we sleep, we become mindful again when we are awake. For when we sleep, the channels of perception are closed and the mind within us is separated from its natural connection with what surrounds, and only the point of attachment, respiration, subsists like a kind of root, and when it is separated it loses the faculty of memory that it had before; [130] but then when it awakens, leaning toward the channels of perception as though toward windows and encountering what surrounds, it takes on the faculty of reason once again. In the same way as pieces of charcoal brought near to a fire are kindled according to a transformation but are extinguished when they are removed from
## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III





 $\theta \epsilon i ̂ o \nu, \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ o \hat{v}$ ката̀ $\mu \epsilon \tau о \chi \eta ̀ \nu ~ \gamma \iota \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$ 入оүєкоí, крь-








 тô̂ $\theta$ єíov 入óरov $\pi a ́ \nu \tau \alpha ~ \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau о \mu є ́ \nu ~ \tau \epsilon ~ к а i ̀ ~ \nu о o v ̂ \mu \epsilon \nu, ~$






${ }^{1}$ ov̂̀ mss., corr. Kayser

R60 (A16) Sext. Emp. Adv. Math. 8.286

 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon ́ \chi o \nu$.

## HERACLITUS

it, so too the portion coming from what surrounds, which resides with our bodies, in the state of separation becomes almost irrational, but in the state of union by most of the channels it is restored to its affinity with the whole. [131] Heraclitus says that this reason, which is in common and divine, and by participation in which we become rational, is the criterion of the truth; this is why what appears to all in common is reliable (for it is apprehended by the reason that is common and divine), while what is evident to one man alone is unreliable, for the opposite reason. [132] For this is what the abovementioned man says at the beginning of his book On Nature [or: of his remarks about nature], when in a certain way he is indicating what surrounds: "Of this account that is [. . .] just as they forget all they do while they are asleep" [= D1]. [133] After he has indicated explicitly in these words that it is by participation in divine reason that we do and think everything, a little later he adds that therefore we ought to follow what is in common (for xunos [i.e. the Ionic term] means "in common"): "But although the account is in common, most people live as though they had their own thought" [= D2]. This is nothing other than an explanation of the way in which the whole is organized [. . .].

R60 (A16) Sextus Empiricus, Against the Logicians
And yet Heraclitus says explicitly that the human being is not rational, and that only what surrounds is endowed with intelligence.

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

## Cosmic Fire (R61-R63)

R61 (T 303 Mouraviev) Cic. Nat. deor. 3.14.35
sed omnia vestri, Balbe, solent ad igneam vim referre Heraclitum, ut opinor, sequentes, quem ipsum non omnes interpretantur uno modo, qui quoniam quid diceret intellegi noluit, omittamus [SVF 2.421].

R62 (T 260, T 940 Mouraviev) Simpl. In Phys., p. 480.27-30
"’ $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \epsilon$ үà $\rho{ }^{〔} \mathrm{H} \rho \alpha ́ к \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau о s$ є́к $\pi v \rho o ̀ s ~ \pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \alpha \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$

 ov̂тóv $\tau \iota$ аìvíтєт $\tau \alpha \iota$, каì $\pi \hat{\alpha} \nu \sigma \hat{\omega} \mu \alpha \pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \alpha \sigma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu \epsilon i ̂-$ vai $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o v \sigma \iota \nu$ [SVF 2.603].

R63 (cf. ad B30) Simpl. In Cael., p. 294.4-7
 $\kappa o ́ \sigma \mu о \nu, \pi о \tau \grave{\epsilon}$ §̀̀ є́к $\tau о \hat{v} \pi v \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma v \nu i ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$
 " $\mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho \alpha$ वं $\pi \tau о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \varsigma ~ к \alpha i ̀ \mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho \alpha ~ \sigma \beta \epsilon \nu \nu र ́ \mu \epsilon \nu о \varsigma " ~[c f . ~$
 इт $\tau \iota \kappa$ оі́ [SVF 2.617].

## Cosmic Fire (R61-R63)

## R61 ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ ) Cicero, On the Nature of the Gods

But those [scil. Stoics] of yours, Balbus, have the habit of referring everything to the force of fire, following, I suppose, Heraclitus, whom they do not all interpret in the same way. But since he did not wish what he said to be understood, let us leave him aside.

R62 ( $\neq$ DK) Simplicius, Commentary on Aristotle's Physics
For Heraclitus said that all things come from a limited fire and that all things are resolved back into it. The Stoics too would be of this opinion. For the conflagration (ekpurôsis) makes an enigmatic allusion to something of this sort, and they say that every body is limited. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ For a Latin poetic version of this interpretation of Heraclitus, see Aetna 537-40.

R63 (cf. ad B30), Simplicius, Commentary on Aristotle's On the Heavens

Heraclitus says that the world sometimes undergoes a conflagration and sometimes reconstitutes itself again out of the fire, following certain periods of time, when he says, "kindled in measures and extinguished in measures" [cf. D85]. Later, the Stoics too came to share this opinion.

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

## The Great Year (R64)

R64 (> A13) Aët. 2.32.3-4 (Ps.-Plut.) [ $\pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\iota}$ єُ $\nu \iota \alpha v \tau o \hat{v}$,
 є́ $\nu \iota \alpha \nu \tau$ о́s]





Evaporations (R65)
R65 (> A11) Aët. 2.17.4 (Ps.-Plut.) [ $\pi o ́ \theta \epsilon \nu \phi \omega \tau i \zeta_{o \nu \tau \alpha \iota}{ }^{1}$ oi $\alpha \sigma \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon s$ ]


${ }^{1} \tau \rho$ éфov $\alpha a \iota$ Mansfeld et Runia

## Human Development (R66)

R66 (A18) Aët. 5.23 (Ps.-Plut.) [ $\pi o ́ \tau \epsilon \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \rho \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \dot{\delta}{ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega-$ $\pi o s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota o ́ \tau \eta \tau o s]$
 $\tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \iota o ́ \tau \eta \tau о \varsigma \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\tau} \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \delta \epsilon v \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \nu \dot{\epsilon} \beta \delta o \mu a ́ \delta \alpha, \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\eta} \nu$ ó $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa o ̀ s ~ к \iota \nu \epsilon i ̂ \tau \alpha \iota ~ o ̉ \rho \rho o ́ s ~[S V F ~ 2.764] . ~$.

## HERACLITUS

## The Great Year (R64)

R64 (> A13) Aëtius
[3] Heraclitus: [scil. the great year consists] of 10,800 solar years.
[4] Diogenes the Stoic: [scil. the great year consists] of 365 times the number of years of one [scil. great year] according to Heraclitus.

Evaporations (R65)
R65 (> All) Aëtius
Heraclitus and the Stoics: the stars are nourished by the evaporation coming from the earth.
See also R46b[9]

Human Development (R66)
R66 (A18) Aëtius
Heraclitus and the Stoics: humans reach maturity in the second period of seven years, when the seminal fluid is set in motion.

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

## Epicurean Polemics (R67-R69)

R67 (T 279 Mouraviev) Diog. Laert. 10.6-8
каì $\mu \eta ̀ \nu ~ к а і ~ Т 七 \mu о к \rho а ́ т \eta s ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu ~ \tau о і ̂ s ~ \epsilon ́ \pi \iota \gamma \rho а ф о \mu є ́ \nu о \iota s ~ E v ̉-~$
 [. . .].
${ }^{1} \epsilon \dot{v} \phi \rho a \nu \tau o \imath ̂ \varsigma \mathrm{FP}^{4}: \epsilon \dot{v} \phi$ áv $\nu \tau o \iota s \mathrm{BP}^{1}$

R68 (cf. Nachtrag I, p. 491.37) Lucr. 1.635-44
635 quapropter qui materiem rerum esse putarunt ignem atque ex igni summam consistere solo, magno opere a vera lapsi ratione videntur. Heraclitus init quorum dux proelia primus, clarus ob obscuram linguam magis inter inanis quamde gravis inter Graios qui vera requirunt. omnia enim stolidi magis admirantur amantque inversis quae sub verbis latitantia cernunt, veraque constituunt quae belle tangere possunt auris et lepido quae sunt fucata sonore.

## HERACLITUS

## Epicurean Polemics (R67-R69)

R67 ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ ) Diogenes Laertius
Timocrates in his book entitled Pleasantries [. . .] says [. . .] [scil. that Epicurus called] Heraclitus "Kukêtês" [i.e., "Kukeôn-drinker" or "Agitator"] [cf. D59].

R68 (cf. Nachtrag I, p. 491.37) Lucretius, On the Nature of Things

That is why those people who have thought that the matter of things
Is fire and that everything comes from fire alone
Seem to have fallen very far from true reason.
Among these, Heraclitus enters the fray as the first leader,
Famous for his obscure language, more among the empty-headed
Than among those serious Greeks who seek the truth; 640
For foolish people admire and love everything
That they perceive to lie hidden under words that conceal their meaning,
And they consider true what can pleasantly touch
Their ears and is adorned with a charming sound. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ Lucretius goes on to provide criticisms of the idea that fire is the sole material principle for all things (1.645-711).

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

R69 (T 376 Mouraviev) Diog. Oen. Frag. 6, Col. 3 1-3, 7-14 Smith







1-4 suppl. Usener $\quad 7-13$ suppl. Cousin $\quad 14 \pi \rho \alpha ́-$ $\gamma \mu[a \tau \alpha]$ Heberdey-Kalinka

## Heraclitus Among the Skeptics (R70-R73) Aenesidemus: Skepticism Leads to Heraclitus (R70-R71)

R70 (< T 694 Mouraviev) Sext. Emp. Pyrrh. Hyp. 1.210 [. . . cf. R72] oi $\pi \epsilon \rho i$ Aì $\eta \sigma i ́ \delta \eta \mu o \nu$ ढ’ $\lambda \epsilon \gamma \sigma \nu$ ó $\delta o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon i ̂ \nu \alpha \iota ~$
 фía , ठıó $\iota ~ \pi \rho о \eta \gamma \epsilon i ̂ \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau o \hat{v} \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \nu \tau i ́ a ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \alpha v ̉ \tau o ̀ ~$ ن́ $\pi \alpha ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \alpha ̉ \nu \alpha \nu \tau i ́ a ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ ~ \phi \alpha i ́ \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota, ~ к \alpha i ~ o i ~$ $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \sigma к \epsilon \pi \tau \iota к о і ̀ ~ \phi а i ́ \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma о v \sigma \iota ~ \tau \grave{\alpha ~ \epsilon ̇ \nu \alpha \nu \tau i ́ a ~ \pi \epsilon \rho i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~}$
 $\chi \epsilon \iota \nu \alpha v ̉ \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \chi o \nu \tau \alpha \iota$.

R69 ( $=$ DK ) Diogenes of Oenoanda
Now we shall criticize the men that we have mentioned [. . .] and first of all Heraclitus, since he was put first by us. [. . .] You are wrong, Heraclitus, to say that fire is an element; for it is neither indestructible, since we see that it is destroyed, nor capable of generating things . . .

> Heraclitus Among the Skeptics (R70-R73)
> Aenesidemus: Skepticism Leads to Heraclitus (R70-R71)

R70 ( $\neq$ DK) Sextus Empiricus, Outlines of Pyrrhonism [. . .] Aenesidemus and his followers said that the Skeptical school was a path leading to Heraclitus' philosophy, because the thesis according to which, concerning the same thing, the opposites appear, precedes logically the thesis according to which, concerning the same thing, the opposites exist, and the Skeptics say that the opposites appear, conceming the same thing, and that the Heracliteans passed from this to their also existing.

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

R71 Tert. An.
a (< T 651 Mouraviev) 9.5
non, ut aer sit ipsa substantia eius, etsi hoc Aenesidemo visum est et Anaximeni, puto secundum quosdam et Heraclito [. . .].
b (cf. ad B67a) 14.5
non longe hoc exemplum est a Stratone et Aenesidemo et Heraclito; nam et ipsi unitatem animae tuentur, quae in totum corpus diffusa et ubique ipsa, velut flatus in calamo per cavernas [. . .].

> Sextus Empiricus: Heraclitus Was Not a Skeptic (R72-R73)

R72 (< T 694 Mouraviev) Sext. Emp. Pyrrh. Hyp. 1.210,
 фıлобофías]
[210] ǒ $\tau \iota \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ o v ̉ \nu ~ a v ̃ \tau \eta ~ \delta \iota a \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a s$ ả $\gamma \omega \gamma \hat{\eta} s$,
 $\lambda \omega \nu$ ả $\pi о \phi \alpha i ́ \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \delta o \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \iota \kappa \hat{\omega} s, \hat{\eta} \mu \epsilon i ̂ s \delta^{\prime}$ ov̉ $\chi$ í. [. . .] $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \grave{\imath}$


 $\alpha u ̛ \tau o ̀ ~ \phi \alpha i ́ \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ o u ̛ ~ \delta o ́ \gamma \mu \alpha ~ \epsilon ’ \sigma \tau i ̀ ~ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \pi \tau \iota \kappa \omega ิ \nu ~ a ̀ \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$


R71 ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ ) Tertullian, On the Soul
a ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ )
Not that air itself is the substance of it [i.e. the soul], even if this was the view of Aenesidemus and Anaximenes, and I think also of Heraclitus, according to some people [. . .].
b (cf. ad B67a)
This example [i.e. of a water organ] is not very remote from Strato, Aenesidemus, and Heraclitus: for these too preserve the unity of the soul, which is diffused throughout the whole body and yet everywhere is itself, like the breath in a reed instrument throughout its cavities [. . .].

> Sextus Empiricus: Heraclitus Was Not a
> Skeptic (R72-R73)

R72 ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ ) Sextus Empiricus, Outlines of Pyrrhonism [That the Skeptical school differs from Heraclitus' philosophy.]
[210] The fact that it differs from our school is obvious. For Heraclitus makes dogmatic assertions about many unclear matters, while we do not. [. . .] But since Aenesidemus and his followers said that the Skeptical school was a path leading to Heraclitus' philosophy [. . .], we reply to them that the thesis according to which, concerning the same thing, the opposites appear, is not a doctrine of the Skeptics but a datum of experience, not only for the Skep-
${ }^{1}$ đov́rovs ML: aủzov̀s EAB

## EARLY GREEK PHILOSOPHY III

 ［．．．］［212］$\mu \dot{\eta} \pi о \tau \epsilon \delta \epsilon ̀$ ov̉ $\mu o ́ \nu o \nu ~ o v ̉ ~ \sigma v \nu \epsilon \rho \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath} \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ $\gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu \quad \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma{ }^{〔} \mathrm{H} \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau \epsilon$ iov фı入oбофías $\hat{\eta} \quad \sigma \kappa \epsilon \pi \tau \iota \kappa \grave{\eta}$





 $\kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha ́ \nu \omega$＂2 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ " o v ̉ \delta \grave{\iota} \nu$ ó $\rho i \zeta \zeta \omega " ~ \epsilon ́ \pi \iota \phi \theta \epsilon \gamma \gamma o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s$ ，
 $\tau \epsilon i o \iota s$ ．ä $\tau о \pi o \nu \delta \epsilon ́ \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \tau \iota \tau o ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \mu \alpha \chi o \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \nu$ ả $\gamma \omega \gamma \grave{\eta} \nu$ ó $\delta o ̀ \nu$

 $\phi \iota \lambda o \sigma o \phi i ́ a \nu$ ódò $\nu$ єî̀aı $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ ．
${ }^{2}$ ov̉ ката入a $\mu \beta \dot{\mu} \nu \omega$ Stephanus：ov̉ $\chi$ vi $\pi о \lambda a \mu \beta a ́ \nu \omega$ mss．

R73（＜A16）Sext．Emp．Adv．Math．7．126－27，133－34


 $\alpha i ̋ \sigma \theta \eta \sigma \iota \nu \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \pi \lambda \eta \sigma^{\prime} \omega \varsigma$ тоîs $\pi \rho о є \iota \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota s$ фvбıкоîs ä $\pi \iota \sigma \tau о \nu \epsilon i ̂ \nu \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \iota \kappa \epsilon \nu$ ，$\tau \grave{\nu} \delta$ §̀̀ $\lambda o ́ \gamma о \nu$ vi $\pi о \tau i ́ \theta \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \kappa \rho \iota-$


 $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu \kappa \rho \iota \tau \eta ̀ \nu \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon i ́ a s \dot{\alpha} \pi o \phi \alpha i ́ \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ ov̉ $\tau o ̀ \nu$ ó $\pi o \iota-$
tics but also for all other philosophers and for all human beings [. . .]. [212] But perhaps the Skeptical school not only does not make a contribution to the knowledge of Heraclitus' philosophy, but it even leads away from it, since the Skeptic rejects all of Heraclitus' dogmatic affirmations as rash and opposes the conflagration (ekpurôsis), opposes the thesis that, concerning the same thing, the contraries exist, disparages the dogmatic rashness of all of Heraclitus' doctrines, and asserts "I do not apprehend" and "I define nothing," as I said earlier. All of this is opposed to the Heracliteans. And it is absurd to say that an opposing school is a path leading to the very doctrine that it opposes; so that it is absurd to say that the Skeptical school is a path leading to Heraclitus' philosophy.

R73 (< A16) Sextus Empiricus, Against the Logicians
[126] Since Heraclitus for his part thought that the human being is equipped with two instruments with a view toward knowledge of the truth, perception and reason (logos), he held the view, much like the natural philosophers I discussed earlier, that of these two perception is untrustworthy, and he establishes reason as the criterion. But he refutes perception, saying in his own words, [ $\ldots=$ D33], which doubtless means the same thing as, "It belongs to barbarian souls to trust irrational perceptions." [127] But the reason that he indicates as judge of the truth is not just

[^14]

 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \theta \epsilon v ́ o \mu \epsilon \nu, \dot{\alpha}$ § $\grave{\alpha} \nu \nu$ í $\delta \iota \alpha ́ \sigma \omega \mu \epsilon \nu, \psi \epsilon v \delta o ́ \mu \epsilon \theta a$. [134] $\nu \hat{v} \nu$
 $\tau \eta \rho \iota o \nu ~ a ̉ \pi о \phi \alpha i ́ \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota, ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ к о \iota \nu \hat{\eta}$ ф $\eta \sigma \iota{ }^{3}$ фаıvó-
 $\kappa \alpha \tau$ ' iठía $\nu$ є́ка́ $\sigma \tau \omega \psi \epsilon v \delta \hat{\eta}$.
${ }^{3} \phi \eta \sigma \iota$ Bekker: $\phi a \sigma \iota$ mss.: $\pi \hat{a} \sigma \iota$ Natorp

Philo: Heraclitus' Doctrines Derive from the Hebrew Bible (R74-R76)

R74 (cf. Nachtrag I, p. 491.42) Phil. Quaest. Gen. 3.5, p. 178.11-25 Aucher






 nhutiul qjuququ puncptivide qhpu qptivg. qnp

 dtndumplentptuage h qưu:
any one, but the one that is in common and divine. What this is must be indicated briefly [. . .]. [133] [. . .] That is why, to the extent that we have in common the memory of this [scil. of divine reason] we are in the truth, while wherever we are in particularity we are in error. [134] For here too it is explicitly asserted that reason in common is the criterion, and he says that the things that appear in common are reliable, since they are discemed by reason in common, while the things that are particular to each individual are erroneous.

> Philo: Heraclitus' Doctrines Derive from the Hebrew Bible (R74-R76)

R74 (cf. Nachtrag I, p. 491.42) Philo, Questions on Genesis

But one must know that the parts of this world are divided into two as well, and established as opposites. The earth [scil. is divided] into mountainous areas and flat ones. And water into sweet and salt; the sweet is the potable one, which springs and rivers bring forth; and the salt is seawater. And the weather into winter and summer, and again into spring and autumn. And starting from this, Heraclitus wrote the book on nature; which [scil. he wrote] having learned from the theologian [i.e. Moses] the ideas about the opposites, and having added to it an infinity of laborious arguments. ${ }^{1}$
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## R75

a (T 339 Mouraviev) Phil. Quaest. Gen. 4.152, pp. 359.34360.6 Aucher

 Uuputunju uwh' ltwiup nqun t , h hip hul nqınju

 q4undru wut, tipt htuudp qungmju uwhi, to uthnup

 unuehí nquju:
b (T 338 Mouraviev) Phil. Leg. alleg. 1.33.107-8
 öтı $\theta a ́ \nu \alpha \tau o \nu ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ́ \pi i ̀ ~ \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho i ́ a ~ \pi \alpha \rho a \lambda \alpha \mu \beta a ́ v \epsilon \iota, ~ o v ̉ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~$ $\phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \iota \gamma \iota \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu . \phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \iota \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ov̉v $\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota, \kappa a \theta^{\prime}$ ồ $\chi \omega \rho i \zeta \zeta \epsilon-$ $\tau \alpha \iota \psi v \chi \grave{\eta}$ à $\pi o ̀ ~ \sigma \dot{\omega} \mu \alpha \tau o s, o ́ o ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \epsilon \epsilon \pi \grave{\imath} \tau \iota \mu \omega \rho i ́ a ~ \sigma v \nu i ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha \iota$,



 [cf. D70], $\dot{\omega} \nu \nu \hat{v} \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu$,o̊̀ $\tau \epsilon \zeta \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu, \tau \epsilon \theta \nu \eta \kappa v i ́ a s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} s \psi v$ -


 $\sigma \dot{\omega} \mu \alpha \tau о$.

## HERACLITUS

## R75

a ( $=\mathrm{DK}$ ) Philo, Questions on Genesis
The literal meaning [scil. of this verse, Gen. 25:8] does not cause any difficulty; but it is necessary to consider it in a more natural sense and give the following answer, namely that the death of this body is the life of the soul, since the soul lives its own incorporeal life. On this topic Heraclitus too, stealing the law and the opinion from Moses, like a thief, says, "We live their death and die their life" [cf. D70], thus suggesting that the life in this body is the death of the souls, while what is called 'death' is the most glorious and primary life, that of the soul. ${ }^{1}$

## ${ }^{1}$ Translated by Irene Tinti.

b ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ ) Philo, Allegories of the Sacred Laws
[107] When he [i.e. Moses] says, "to die by death" [cf. Gen. 2:17], notice that he is taking death as a punishment, not as the one that happens by nature; the one that happens by nature is the one by which the soul is separated from the body, whereas the one that is a punishment happens when the soul dies for the life of virtue and lives only for the life of vice. [108] And Heraclitus did well to follow the doctrine of Moses on this point, for he says, "we live their death and we die their life" [cf. D70], on the idea that now, while we are alive, our soul has died and is buried in the body as though in a tomb, but that if we die, then the soul lives its own life and is freed from the evil, dead body to which it was attached.
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$R 76$ (cf. Nachtrag I, p. 491.39) Phil. Her. 213-14
 $\pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu,{ }^{2} \tau \hat{\eta}$ s $\dot{\alpha} \rho \gamma i ́ a s$ каì $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \tau \eta \sigma i ́ \alpha s \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda \alpha \mu-$ $\beta \alpha ́ \nu \omega \nu$ оîктоу є́єка́ $\sigma \tau о \tau^{3} 3 \dot{\alpha} \phi \theta o ́ \nu \omega \varsigma^{4} \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \delta \iota \delta \alpha ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota, \kappa \alpha \theta \grave{\alpha}$ $\kappa \alpha i ̀ \nu \hat{v} \nu, \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau \iota \pi \rho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi о \nu$ є́ $\kappa \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \omega \nu$ $\theta$ '́ $\sigma \iota \nu$ ov̉ $\chi$ ó $\lambda о-$


 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \alpha o i ́ \delta \iota \mu о \nu ~ \pi \alpha \rho ’ ~ \alpha u ̛ \tau o i ̂ s ~ ‘ Н \rho a ́ к \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau о \nu ~ к \epsilon \phi а ́ \lambda \alpha \iota o \nu ~ \tau \eta ̂ s$


 $\tau \in \lambda \epsilon \hat{\iota} \sigma \theta a \iota$ [. . .].
${ }^{1} \dot{\epsilon} \rho \mu \eta \nu \epsilon \dot{v} \mathrm{~s}$ рар.: $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \mu \eta \nu \epsilon \nu \tau \eta ̀ \nu \mathrm{mss} . \quad{ }^{2} \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \alpha \dot{\sigma} \tau \omega \nu$ pap.
 $\sigma \tau o \nu$ mss. $\quad 4 \dot{\alpha} \phi \theta o ́ v \omega s$ Wendland: $\alpha \phi o \nu \omega s$ pap.: $\dot{\alpha} \phi \alpha \nu \hat{\omega}$ s mss.: $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \phi \alpha \nu \omega ิ s$ coni. Mangey

Christian Appropriations and Polemics (R77-R87)
Justin Martyr (R77)
R77 (T 601 Mouraviev) Just. M. Apol. 1.46.2-3

 $\pi \omega \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \chi \epsilon$. каì oi $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha ̀$ पó $\gamma о v \beta \iota \omega ́ \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma \mathrm{X} \rho \iota \sigma \tau \iota \alpha-$




R76 (cf. Nachtrag I, p. 491.39) Philo, Who is the Heir of Divine Things?
[213] Magnificently, then, the interpreter of the things of nature, feeling pity for our sloth and carelessness, teaches us generously every time, as he does now, the antithetical position of each of the things that are deprived of wholeness and result from divisions: for what is one is formed out of one and the other of contraries, and it is by its division that the contraries are known. [214] Is this not what the Greeks say that the great Heraclitus, much besung among them, put forward as the chief point of his philosophy and boasted as though it were a new discovery? Yet in fact it is a very ancient discovery by Moses that the contraries have come from the same thing and have the status of things divided [. . .].

Christian Appropriations and Polemics (R77-R87)
Justin Martyr (R77)
R77 ( $=$ DK) Justin Martyr, Apology
We have been taught that Christ is the firstborn son of God, and we indicated earlier that he is the Word, of which the whole human race has received a share. And those people who have lived with the Word were Christians, even if they were considered to be atheists, as for example, among the Greeks, Socrates, Heraclitus, and those men similar to them, and, among the barbarians, Abraham [. . .].
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Tatian (R78)
R78 (T 604 Mouraviev) Tat. Or. 3
$\tau o ̀ \nu \gamma \alpha ̀ \rho{ }^{〔} \mathrm{H} \rho a ́ \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau о \nu$ ov̉к ${ }^{\text {à } \nu}$ ả $\pi о \delta \epsilon \xi \alpha \iota ́ \mu \eta \nu$, " $\epsilon \mu \alpha \nu \tau o ̀ \nu$

 $\psi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi o i ́ \eta \sigma \iota \nu$ '่ $\nu \tau \hat{\varrho} \tau \hat{\eta} s$ ’А $\rho \tau \epsilon ́ \mu \iota \delta o s \nu \alpha \hat{\varrho} \mu \nu \sigma \tau \eta$ -
 $\gamma a ̀ \rho$ oîs $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda o \nu$ є́ $\sigma \tau \grave{\imath} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{~ \tau о и ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \phi a \sigma i ̀ \nu ~ E v ̉ \rho ı \pi i ́ \delta \eta \nu ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~}$ $\tau \rho \alpha \gamma \omega \delta$ отоьóv, катьó $\tau \tau \alpha$ каі $\alpha \nu \alpha \gamma \iota \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa о \nu \tau \alpha, \delta \iota \alpha ̀ \mu \nu \eta$ $\mu \eta s$ кат’ ỏ入íүоע тò ‘Нраклєíтоv бко́тоs $\sigma \pi о v \delta \alpha i ́ \omega s$ $\pi \alpha \rho a \delta \epsilon \delta \omega \kappa \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha \iota$. $\tau$ ov́тov $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ov̉̀ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ả $\mu \alpha \theta^{\prime} \alpha \alpha \nu$ ó $\theta a ́ \nu \alpha-$

 $\pi \lambda a ́ \sigma \alpha s$ є́avтóv, $\tau \hat{\eta}$ ко́т $\rho о v$ к $\rho \alpha \tau v \nu \theta \epsilon i ́ \sigma \eta s, \sigma v \nu о \lambda \kappa a ́ s$ $\tau \epsilon \tau o \hat{v} \pi \alpha \nu \tau o ̀ s ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \rho \gamma \alpha \sigma \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \eta s$ $\sigma \omega ́ \mu \alpha \tau o s, \sigma \pi \alpha \sigma \theta \epsilon i ̀ s$ є่ $\tau \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \boldsymbol{v} \tau \eta \sigma \epsilon$.
${ }^{1}{ }^{\epsilon} \delta \iota \zeta \eta \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \eta \nu$ Heraclitus

## Clement of Alexandria (R79-R85)

R79 (> B22) Clem. Alex. Strom. 4.4.1-2

 $\alpha v ̉ \tau o ́ ~ \pi o v ~ \tau o v ̋ \nu o \mu a ́ ~ \phi \eta \sigma \iota, \delta \iota \epsilon \sigma \tau \rho \omega \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha, ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi^{\prime}$ ă $\lambda \lambda o v$ єis
 $\epsilon i \rho \mu o ̀ \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu \mu \eta \nu v ́ o \nu \tau \alpha, \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \nu v ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$ S̀̀ a’ $\lambda \lambda o \tau \iota$.

## HERACLITUS

Tatian (R78)
R78 ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ ) Tatian, Oration to the Greeks
I would not accept Heraclitus, who says, "I have taught myself" [cf. D36], because he is self-taught and arrogant; and I would not praise him either for having mysteriously hidden his poem in the temple of Artemis so that it would be published only later [cf. P13]. For those people who study these matters say that the tragedian Euripides went there and read it, and, having memorized it little by little, eagerly transmitted Heraclitus' obscurity [cf. R5a]. Death confuted this man's ignorance: for when he came down with a dropsy, he practiced medicine like philosophy and smeared himself with cow dung; and when the dung hardened and caused contractions in his whole body, he died in convulsions [cf. P16-P17].

## Clement of Alexandria (R79-R85)

R79 (> B22) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata
These notices of ours, as we have of ten said for the sake of those who, without experience, read them freely, may well be a variegated patchwork, as their very title [scil. Stromata] indicates, one that passes constantly from one topic to another, revealing one thing in virtue of its series of the arguments, but signifying something else. [2] For
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[2] " $\chi \rho v \sigma o ̀ \nu ~ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ oi $\delta_{\iota} \zeta_{\eta}^{\eta} \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota, " ~ ф \eta \sigma i ̀ \nu ~ ‘ Н \rho a ́ к \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau о s, ~$
 [= D39], oi $\delta \grave{~} \tau o \hat{v} \chi \rho v \sigma o \hat{v}$ ö $\nu \tau \omega \varsigma \gamma^{\prime} \nu o v s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ̀ s ~$


R80 (T 643b Mouraviev) Clem. Alex. Strom. 6.27.1
 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha \epsilon \ddot{\epsilon} \lambda \eta \phi \epsilon \nu$.

R81 (> B18) Clem. Alex. Strom. 2.17.3-4



 ö $\nu \pi \alpha \nu \tau o ̀ \varsigma^{2} \mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi o \delta \epsilon$ є́к $\nu v \tau \alpha \iota \tau o ̀ ~ \dot{v} \pi o ̀ ~ \tau o \hat{v} \pi \rho \circ \phi \dot{\eta} \tau о v$ $\epsilon i \rho \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \nu$. "'є̀̀ $\nu \mu \eta ̀ \pi \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon v ́ \sigma \eta \tau \epsilon$, ov̉סє̀ $\mu \grave{\eta} \sigma v \nu \eta ̂ \tau \epsilon$."



${ }^{1} \mu \grave{\eta}$ Mayor: $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu \mathrm{ms} . \quad 2 \pi \alpha \nu \tau o ̀ s$ Dindorf: $\pi \alpha \dot{\nu} \nu \tau \omega \mathrm{ms}$.

R82 (> B30, B31) Clem. Alex. Strom. 5.104.1-105.1 (et al.)
 $1<\delta^{\prime}>$ Stählin ex Eus. PE 13.13.31

Heraclitus says, "Those who search for gold dig up much earth and find little" [= D39], but those who, really belonging to the golden race, quarry what is akin to them, will find much in little. ${ }^{1}$
${ }^{1}$ Cf. Clem. Alex, Strom. 5.14.140.4.
R80 ( $\neq$ DK) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata
I pass over in silence Heraclitus of Ephesus, who took over most [scil. of his doctrines] from Orpheus.

R81 (> B18) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata
If faith is nothing other than the apprehension by thought of what is said, and if this is called obedience, understanding, and persuasion, then no one will ever learn without faith, since it is not possible either without apprehension. [4] So that what has been said by the prophet-"if you do not have faith, neither will you ever understand" (Isa. 7:9)-is revealed to be absolutely true. And this is also what Heraclitus of Ephesus, paraphrasing this verse, said: "If one does not expect the unexpected one will not find it (exeurein), for it cannot be searched out (anexereunêton) nor arrived at (aporon)" [= D37].

R82 (> B30, B31) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata
[104.1] But it is Heraclitus of Ephesus [scil. rather than Empedocles] who is most clearly of this opinion [scil. that
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 $\gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \phi \theta a \rho \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon i ̂ \nu a l ~ \epsilon ́ \delta o \gamma \mu a ́ \tau \iota \zeta \epsilon \nu, ~ \mu \eta \nu v ́ \epsilon \iota ~$ $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ ढ̇ $\pi \iota \phi \in \rho o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$ " $\pi v \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \rho о \pi a \grave{~} \pi \rho \hat{\tau} \tau o \nu$ Өá入a $\sigma \sigma a$,




 [5] ơ $\pi \omega$ s $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta a ́ \nu \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ к а і ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ к \pi v \rho о \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha \iota$,

 $\sigma \tau o \iota \chi \epsilon i \omega \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} \alpha \dot{v} \tau \alpha ́[\ldots=\mathbf{R 5 7}]$.

 Heraclitus $\quad 4$ app. cf. ad D85<br>${ }^{5}$ app. cf. ad D86

R83 (> B28) Clem. Alex. Strom. 5.9.2-4


 $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi o \delta \epsilon i \xi \epsilon \omega \nu \quad \delta i \alpha ̀ \psi \iota \lambda \hat{\eta} s \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi i \sigma \tau \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s} \sigma \underline{\varrho} \zeta \epsilon \iota \nu \delta v-$

there will someday be a transformation of all things into fire]: he believes that there exists one world that is eternal and another one that is perishable, even though he knows that the one that results from the organization of the world (diakosmêsis) is not different from the other one, which is in a particular condition. [2] But he makes it clear that he knew that the world that is constituted from the totality of substance and endowed with a particular quality is eternal, for he says, "The world order, the same for all, [. . .] kindled in measures and extinguished in measures" [= D85]. [3] And the fact that he taught that this one is generated and perishable is indicated by the following words: "Turnings of fire: first sea [. . .] and the other half, lightning storm" [= D86]. [4] For he is saying potentially that fire, under the effect of Reason and God who directs the totality of things, turns, passing through air, into moisture which is like the seed of the organization of the world, which he calls the sea; and out of this then comes the earth, the heavens, and everything that it encompasses. [5] But the fact that it is regenerated and undergoes conflagration he shows clearly in the following words: "It spreads out as sea [. . .] it became earth" [= cf. D86]. The same applies in a similar way to the other elements.

## R83 (> B28) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata

It is for this reason that the apostle calls upon us "so that our faith not be in the wisdom of men," who proclaim that they use persuasion, "but in the power of God," the only one that is capable of saving by faith alone, even without proofs [1 Cor. 2:5]. [3] For "of those who have opinions,
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[= D19], $\phi v \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota{ }^{1}$ каi $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu \tau о \iota ~ к \alpha i ~ " \delta i ́ к \eta ~ к а \tau а \lambda \eta ́ \psi \epsilon-~$ $\tau \alpha \iota \psi \epsilon v \delta \hat{\omega} \nu \tau \epsilon ́ \kappa \tau о \nu a s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \mu a ́ \rho \tau v \rho a s "[=~ D 28], ~ o ́ ~ ’ Е \phi \epsilon ́-~$
 фıлобофías $\mu \alpha \theta \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ठıà $\pi v \rho o ̀ s ~ к а ́ \theta \alpha \rho \sigma \iota \nu ~ \tau \hat{\omega \nu} \kappa \alpha-$
 $\Sigma \tau \omega \iota к о$ [SVF 2.630].
${ }^{1} \phi v \lambda a ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota$ Schleiermacher: $\phi v \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$ mss.: Heraclito saepe trib. edd.

R84 (cf. B62) Clem. Alex. Paed. 3.1.5-2.1


 $\kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda o s ~ \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau i ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ a ̉ \lambda \eta \theta \iota \nu o ́ \nu, ~ к а i ̀ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ o ́ ~ \theta \epsilon o ́ s ~ \epsilon ̇ \sigma \tau \iota \nu . ~ \theta \epsilon o ̀ s ~$





 $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \theta \rho \omega \dot{\sigma} \pi \omega \nu$, каì $\tau о \hat{v} \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \delta \iota \alpha ́ \kappa о \nu о \varsigma, \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \delta \grave{\epsilon} \pi \alpha \iota \delta \alpha \gamma \omega-$ ${ }^{\prime}$ ós.
${ }^{1}$ ó ms., corr. Bernays $\quad 2$ wủzós ms.: ó aủ $o$ ós Bernays

R85 (cf. B26) Clem. Alex. Strom. 4.139.4-141.4

it is the man who enjoys the highest opinion who knows" [= D19], preserves; and indeed "Justice will seize hold of those who fabricate lies and of those who bear witness to them" [= D28], says the Ephesian. [4] For he too knew, from having learned about it from the barbarian philosophy, of the purification by fire of those who have lived in evil-what the Stoics later called "conflagration" (ekpurôsis).

R84 (cf. B62) Clement of Alexandria, Pedagogue
That man in whom the Logos is indwelling does not transform himself, he does not put on appearances, he possesses the form of the Logos, he is assimilated to God, he is beautiful, he is not beautified by cosmetics: he is beautiful in truth, for God is too. That man becomes God because he wants what God wants. So Heraclitus was right to say, "Immortals mortals, mortals immortals" [cf. D70]: for the Logos is the same. ${ }^{1}$ A manifest mystery: God in a man, and the man God, and the mediator accomplishes the will of the Father. For the mediator is the Logos which is in common for both, the Son of God, but also the Savior of mankind, a servant of the former and a pedagogue for us.
${ }^{1}$ This last phrase is doubtless a commentary by Clement.

R85 (cf. B26) Clement of Alexandria, Stromata
[139.4] For this reason the Lord too calls upon us to stay
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$\gamma \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota, \stackrel{\otimes}{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ oै $\nu \alpha \rho \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha \theta \alpha i ́ \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha i ́ ~ \pi o \tau \epsilon \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$

 $\lambda \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \pi \rho о \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota$ [. . .]. [140.2] "ä $\rho$ ’ ov̉ $\nu \mu \grave{\eta} \kappa \alpha \theta \epsilon v ́ \delta \omega$ $\mu \epsilon \nu$ ف̀s oi $\lambda o \iota \pi о$ ó, $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \gamma \rho \eta \gamma о \rho \hat{\omega} \mu \epsilon \nu$ каì $\nu \eta \dot{\eta} \phi \omega \mu \epsilon \nu$. oi
 $\mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota \nu v \kappa \tau o ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon \theta$ v́ov $\sigma \iota \nu \cdot \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon i ̂ \varsigma ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho a s$ oै $\nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma \nu{ }^{\prime} \phi \omega-$
 $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \kappa \epsilon \phi \alpha \lambda \alpha i ́ \alpha \nu$ є̇ $\lambda \pi i ́ \delta \alpha \sigma \omega \tau \eta \rho i ́ o v . "[141.1]$ ö $\sigma \alpha \delta^{\prime} \alpha v ̉$




 $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ oi " $\epsilon i \delta o ́ \tau \epsilon \varsigma ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \kappa \alpha \iota \rho o ́ \nu, " ~ 2 ~ к \alpha \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \pi o ́ \sigma \tau о \lambda о \nu, ~$

 $\pi \rho о є ́ \kappa о \psi \epsilon \nu, \dot{\eta}$ ठ̀ $\dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha$ クै $\gamma \gamma \iota \kappa \epsilon \nu . \dot{\alpha} \pi o \theta \dot{\omega} \mu \epsilon \theta \alpha$ ov้̉ $\tau \grave{\alpha}$

 $\tau \epsilon \alpha v \mathfrak{~} \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \gamma \gamma \epsilon \lambda i ́ \alpha s$ ö $\pi \lambda \alpha$ ф $\omega \tau o ̀ s ~ \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \phi о \rho \iota \kappa \omega ิ s$.
${ }^{1}$ cf. app. ad D71 $\quad 2$ каı $о$ о́ Rom. 13:11: кv́ $\rho \iota о \nu \mathrm{~ms}$.

> (Ps.-?) Hippolytus (R86)

R86 (cf. B1, B50-67) (Ps.-?) Hippol. Ref. 9.7-8, 9.9-10
[7.1] $\gamma \epsilon \gamma \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \tau \alpha i ́ ~ \tau \iota s ~ o ̉ \nu o ́ \mu a \tau \iota ~ N o \eta \tau o ́ s, ~ \tau \hat{\varrho} \gamma^{\gamma} \gamma^{\nu} \epsilon \iota \Sigma \mu v \rho-$
awake [cf. Matt. 24:42], so that our soul not be disturbed by passion even in a dream, but he ordains that we keep our constitution at night in an activity pure and immaculate as during the day [. . .]. [140.2] "So let us not sleep like the others but let us stay awake and sober. For those who sleep sleep at night, and those who get drunk get drunk at night. But we, who belong to the day, let us stay sober, having donned the corselet of faith and love and as a helmet the hope of salvation" [1 Thess. 5:6-8]. [141.1] And the same things as they say about sleep must also be understood about death. For both indicate the departure of the soul, the latter more, the former less-which can also be seen in Heraclitus. [2] "A human being, in the night, [. . ] awake he touches on a sleeping man" [= D71]. [3] For "blessed are those who know the right moment," according to the Apostle, "for it is time for you to awaken from your sleep; for our salvation is now closer than it was when we acquired our faith. The night is far gone, the day is near. So let us put aside the works of darkness, and let us don the weapons of light" [Rom. 13:1112]. [4] By "day" and "light" he is allegorically indicating the Son, and by "the weapons of light" he is metaphorically indicating the precepts.

> (Ps.-P) Hippolytus (R86)

R86 (cf. B1, B50-67) (Ps.-?) Hippolytus, Refutation of All Heresies
[7.1] There was a man named Noetus, originally from
 боү $\mu \dot{\prime} \tau \omega \nu$［．．．］．
 $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \sigma \kappa \alpha \lambda \iota ́ \alpha \nu$ є́к $\theta$ є́ $\sigma \theta \alpha \iota, \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu\left\langle\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu>{ }^{1} \tau \grave{\alpha}{ }^{`} \mathrm{H} \rho \alpha-\right.$

 $\sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma,{ }^{3} \dot{\alpha} \tau v \chi o ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \varsigma^{4}$ oi $\nu \hat{v} \nu \pi \rho o \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \tau \alpha \iota ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma$ aipé $\sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$

 $\sigma \alpha \iota \nu \tau^{\prime} \ddot{\alpha}^{5} \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \theta$＇́ov $\delta v \sigma \phi \eta \mu i ́ a s .[. .$.
$1<\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu>$ Marcovich $\quad 2 ⿳ ⺈ ⿴ 囗 十 \epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ Miller，$\delta \grave{\epsilon}$ add．Marcovich： $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \grave{\imath} \mathrm{ms} . \quad{ }^{3} \phi \alpha \nu \epsilon \omega \bar{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \nu \tau \alpha s$ Marcovich：фа $\bar{\epsilon} \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \alpha \iota \mathrm{ms}$. 4 à $\tau v \chi \grave{\partial} \nu$ Cruice：〈oîs oủk〉 év $\tau v \chi o ́ v \tau \epsilon \varsigma$ Marcovich $\quad 5 \pi \alpha v ́-$ $\sigma \alpha \iota \nu \tau^{\prime} \not{a} \nu$ Diels：$\pi \alpha v ́ \sigma o \nu \tau \alpha \iota \mathrm{~ms}$ ．
［9．1］${ }^{\text {© }} \mathrm{H} \rho \alpha{ }^{\prime} \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau о \varsigma ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ o v ̂ \nu ~ \phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu ~ \epsilon i ̂ \nu \alpha \iota ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \hat{\alpha} \nu ~ \delta \iota \alpha \iota \rho \epsilon-~$ $\tau o ̀ \nu ~ \dot{a} \delta \iota \alpha i \rho \epsilon \tau о \nu, \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \tau o ̀ \nu \dot{a} \gamma \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \tau o \nu, \theta \nu \eta \tau \grave{\partial} \nu \dot{\alpha} \theta a ́ \nu \alpha \tau o \nu$ ，
 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \tau о \hat{v}$ סó $\gamma \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \kappa о и ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \dot{\delta} \mu о \lambda о \gamma \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \sigma о ф o ́ \nu$

 $\sigma \iota \nu, \dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \mu \phi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon ́ \pi \omega \varsigma^{*}$＂ov̉ $\xi v \nu \iota a ̂ \sigma \iota \nu$ öк $\omega \mathrm{s} \delta \iota a-$







Smyma. He introduced a heresy based on the doctrines of Heraclitus. [. . .]
[8.1] [. . .] it seems that it remains for us to set out the evil teaching of his doctrines, first citing the opinions of Heraclitus the Obscure, and then demonstrating the Heraclitean character of those parts which the current leaders of the heresy know without knowing that they belong to the Obscure, but thinking that they belong to Christ; [2] but if they encountered them [i.e. Heraclitus' doctrines], they would be so ashamed that they would stop their atheist blasphemy. [. . .]
[9.1] Well then, Heraclitus says that the whole is divisible indivisible, generated ungenerated, mortal immortal, logos eternity, father son, a just god: "After you have listened not to me but to the doctrine, ${ }^{1}$ it is wise to agree that all things are one" [cf. D46], says Heraclitus. [2] And the fact that not all people know this or agree about it, he criticizes as follows: "They do not comprehend how, diverging, it accords with itself: a backwardturning fitting-together, as of a bow and a lyre" [= D49]. [3] The fact that the Logos is always, being the whole and for all of time, he says in the following way: "And of this account that is [. . .] indicate how it is" [cf. D1]. [4] The fact that the whole is a child and is the eternal king of the universe throughout eternity, he says

1 (Ps.-?) Hippolytus probably substitutes 'doctrine' (dogma) for 'account' (logos).

[^18]$\dot{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \pi \alpha i \zeta \omega \nu, \pi \epsilon \sigma \sigma \epsilon v ́ \omega \nu \quad \pi \alpha \iota \delta o ̀ s ~ \dot{\eta} \quad \beta a \sigma \iota \lambda \eta i \neq{ }^{\prime \prime}{ }^{4}$ [=D76]. ő $\tau \iota \delta \epsilon ́ \epsilon \in \sigma \tau \nu$ ó $\pi \alpha \tau \grave{\eta} \rho \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \gamma \epsilon \gamma o \nu o ́ \tau \omega \nu$
 $\nu \tau о \varsigma ~ \dot{\alpha} \kappa о$ v́o $\mu \epsilon \nu$. " $\pi о ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \varsigma ~ \pi a ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \pi a \tau \eta ́ \rho ~ \epsilon ’ \sigma \tau \iota ~$
 <. . . ${ }^{6}$ "á $\rho \mu о \nu i ́ \eta ~ o ̋ к \omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho ~ \tau o ́ \xi o v ~ к а i ̀ ~ \lambda v ́ \rho \eta s " ~[c f . ~$
 $\theta \rho \omega ́ \pi o \iota s, ~ \in ̇ \nu ~ \tau o v ́ \tau o \iota s ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota ~ " a ́ a \rho \mu o \nu i ́ \eta ~ a ̉ \phi a \nu \eta ̀ s ~ \phi a \nu \epsilon \rho \eta ̂ s$ $\kappa \rho \epsilon i ́ \tau \tau \omega \nu "[=\mathbf{D 5 0}] \cdot \epsilon ่ \epsilon \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon i ̂\left\langle\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho>^{9}\right.$ каì $\pi \rho o \theta \alpha \nu \mu a ́ \zeta \epsilon \iota$ $\pi \rho o ̀ ~ \tau o \hat{v} \gamma \iota \nu \omega \sigma \kappa о \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o v ~ \tau o ̀ ~ a ̆ \gamma \nu \omega \sigma \tau o \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau o v ~ \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ a ̉ o ́ \rho \alpha-~$

 $\mu a ́ \theta \eta \sigma \iota s, \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ є่ $\gamma \omega$ т $\pi \rho о \tau \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \omega>{ }^{10}[=\mathbf{D 3 1}], \phi \eta \sigma i, \tau o v-$ $\tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \iota \tau \grave{\alpha}$ ó $\rho \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} о \rho a ́ \tau \omega \nu$. < $\tau \alpha v ̉ \tau o ̀ ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha i>{ }^{11}$ ả $\pi \grave{o}$
 $\pi a ́ \tau \eta \nu \tau \alpha \iota, \phi \eta \sigma i ́ \nu$, oi $\stackrel{\alpha}{ } \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o \iota \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$
 $\tau \alpha v ิ \tau \alpha$ ф'́ $\rho о \mu \epsilon \nu^{\prime 12}$ [= D22].
${ }^{4}$ app. cf. ad D76 ${ }^{5} \gamma \epsilon \nu \eta \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ ms., corr. Bernays 6 lac. sign. Miller $7 \dot{\epsilon}(\sigma \tau \iota \nu)$ ms., ut videtur: ó $\theta \epsilon$ òs Wendland 8 ópost ả $\phi \alpha \nu \grave{\jmath} s$ del. Wendland $9<\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho>$ Marcovich: 〈é $\nu$ oís> é $\pi \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon i ̂ D i e l s \quad 10$ app.cf.adD31 $\quad{ }^{11}$ add. Wendland 12 app. cf. ad D22


 $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho, \phi \eta \sigma i \nu, " \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu о \nu i ́ \eta ~ a ̉ \phi \alpha \nu \eta ̀ s ~ \phi \alpha \nu \epsilon \rho \eta ̂ s ~ к \rho \epsilon i ́ \tau \tau \omega \nu " 2$
in this way: "Eternity is a child playing, playing checkers: the kingship belongs to a child" [= D76]. ${ }^{2}$ And the fact that the father of everything that has come about is generated ungenerated, creation creator, we hear him say it: "War is the father of all [. . .] and the others free" [= D64]. [5] But the fact that <. . .> "fittingtogether, as of a bow and a lyre" [cf. D49]. ${ }^{3}$ The fact that he is invisible, unseen, unknown to humans, he says in these words: "Invisible fitting-together, stronger than a visible one" [= D50]. For he praises and admires, more than what is known, the unknown and unseen character of his power. But the fact that he is seen by humans and is not impossible to find, he says in these words: "All the things of which there is sight, hearing, and knowledge I honor most" [= D31], ${ }^{4}$ he says, that is, what is visible more than what is invisible. It is easy to know < the same thing also> from statements of his of this sort: [6] he says, "Regarding the knowledge of things that are evident, humans are fooled [. . .] the ones we do not see or grasp, we take away" [= D22].

2 We translate what seems to be (Ps.-?) Hippolytus' understanding of the original sentence. ${ }^{3}$ The sentence has a lacuna. $\quad 4$ Wetranslate what seems to be (Ps.-?) Hippolytus' understanding of the original sentence.
[10.1] This is how Heraclitus, in a coherent way, considers and values as being equal what is visible and what is invisible, on the idea that the visible and the invisible, according together, are only one thing: for he says that "Invisible fitting-together is stronger than a visible one"

[^19][^20]
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[= D50], каì "ớ $\sigma \omega \nu$ oै $\psi \iota s \dot{\alpha} \kappa о \grave{\eta} \mu \alpha ́ \theta \eta \sigma \iota s "-\tau о v \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \iota$






 $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \stackrel{\text { ढ́ }}{\nu}{ }^{5}$ [= D25a].
${ }^{3}$ app. cf. ad D31 ${ }^{4}$ 〈ov̉к〉 Schneidewin-Duncker ${ }^{5}$ app. cf. ad D25a











 каi тò $\mu \iota \alpha \rho o ́ \nu ~ \phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu ~ к а i ~ \tau o ̀ ~ к \alpha \theta \alpha \rho o ̀ \nu ~ \epsilon ै \nu ~ к \alpha i ~ \tau \alpha v ̉ \tau o ̀ \nu ~$

[^21][= D50], and again he says, "All the things of which sight and hearing are knowledge"-that is, the organs of perception-"I honor most" [= D31], ${ }^{1}$ not honoring more the invisible ones. [2] That is why Heraclitus says that neither darkness nor light, neither bad nor good are different from one another, but that they are one and the same thing. In any case he criticizes Hesiod, for he did <not> know day and night: for, he says, day and night are one-this is what he says: "The teacher of the most people is Hesiod [. . .] for they are one" [= D25a].
${ }^{1}$ Perhaps this repetition of two of Heraclitus' sentences that have already been cited derives from a marginal note.
[3] and good and bad: "Doctors," Heraclitus says, "cutting, cauterizing, badly mistreating their patients in every way, complain that they do not receive an adequate payment from their patients-and are producing the same effects, $\dagger$ benefits and diseases $\dagger^{\text {" }}$ [= D57]; [4] and, he says, the straight and the twisted are the same thing: he says, "The way of carding-combs: straight and crooked" [= D52]- the rotation of the instrument called the screw in the carding-comb is straight and crooked, for while it turns in a circle, at the same time it moves up-he says it is "one and the same" [cf. D51]. ${ }^{1}$ And up and down are one and the same: "The road upward and downward: one and the same" [= D51]. [5] And he says that the polluted andthe pure are one and the
${ }^{1}$ Perhaps this is an anticipation of the following sentence, or a marginal note.
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 $\mu \iota \alpha \omega ́ \tau \alpha \tau о \nu, ~ i \chi \theta$ v́ $\sigma \iota \mu$ ѐ̀ $\pi o ́ \tau \iota \mu о \nu$ каì $\sigma \omega \tau \eta ́ \rho \iota о \nu, ~ a ̉ \nu-$ $\theta \rho \omega ́ \pi о \iota s$ ठє̀ à $\pi о \tau о \nu$ каì ỏ入є́ $\theta \rho \iota о \nu "[=\mathbf{D 7 8 ]}$.
[6] $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota$ ठє̀ ó $\mu о \lambda o \gamma o v \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \varsigma ~ \tau o ̀ ~ a ̉ \theta a ́ \nu \alpha \tau o \nu ~ \epsilon i ̉ \nu \alpha \iota ~ \theta \nu \eta \tau o ̀ \nu ~$ $\kappa \alpha i$ тò $\theta \nu \eta \tau o ̀ \nu ~ a ̀ \theta a ́ \nu \alpha \tau o \nu ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau \omega \nu ~ \tau o \iota o v ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \nu$. "ảӨávazoı $\theta \nu \eta \tau o i ́, ~ \theta \nu \eta \tau o i ̀ ~ a ̉ \theta a ́ \nu a \tau o \iota ~ \zeta \omega \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~$
 [= D70]. 入є́ $\gamma \epsilon \iota$ סє̀ каì баркòs ả $\nu \alpha ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \nu ~ \tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta s$

 є́óvтı є̇ $\pi \alpha \nu i ́ \sigma \tau a \sigma \theta a \iota ~ к а i ̀ ~ \phi v ́ \lambda а к а s ~ \gamma i ́ \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \epsilon ́ ~ \gamma \epsilon \rho \tau i ̀ ~$





 $\sigma \tau \iota \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon v \theta v ́ \nu \epsilon \iota$ "кє $\rho a v \nu$ ós" ${ }^{4}$ [= D82], кє $\rho \alpha \nu \nu o ̀ \nu ~ \tau o ̀ ~$ $\pi \hat{v} \rho \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu \tau o ̀ \alpha i \omega \prime \nu \iota o \nu .{ }^{5} \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon \imath^{6} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \alpha v ̉ \tau o ̀ ~ " \chi \rho \eta \sigma \mu о \sigma v ́ \nu \eta \nu$




${ }^{1}<\tau \hat{\eta} \rho>$ Diels $\quad 2$ app. cf. ad D123

Marcovich post Fränkel
${ }^{4} \kappa \epsilon \rho a v \nu o ́ s, \tau о v \tau \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \iota \kappa \alpha \tau \epsilon v \theta$ v́vєı ms., transp. Marcovich
same thing，and the drinkable and the undrinkable are one and the same thing：he says，＂The sea，the purest water and the foulest：for fish it is drinkable and life－giving， but for humans undrinkable and deadly＂［＝D78］． ［6］He says，in a coherent way，that the immortal is mortal and the mortal immortal，in statements like the following： ＂Immortals mortals，mortals immortals，living the death of these，dying the life of those＂［＝D70］．He also speaks of the resurrection of this visible flesh，in which we have been born，and he knew that God is the cause of this resurrection，when he speaks as follows：＂For the one who is there they rise up and become wake－ ful guardians of the living and of the dead＂［＝D123］． ［7］He also says that the judgment of the world and of everything in it takes place by fire：for he says，＂When the fire has come upon all things，it will judge them and seize hold of them＂［＝D84］．He also says that this fire is intelligent and the cause for the organization of the universe，when he speaks as follows：＂All these things the thunderbolt steers＂［ $=$ D82］－that is，directs，call－ ing the eternal fire＂thunderbolt．＂And he calls this＂short－ age and satiety＂［ $=\mathbf{D 8 8}$ ］：for according to him the orga－ nization of the world is shortage and the conflagration （ekpurôsis）is satiety．［8］In this chapter he has expounded all together his own thought and at the same time that of Noetus＇heresy，about whom I demonstrated briefly that

[^22]
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 $\mu \iota o v \rho \gamma o ̀ \nu$ каì $\pi о \iota \eta \tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ є́avтồ $\gamma \iota \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \nu$ oṽ $\omega \omega$ 入є́ $\gamma \epsilon \iota \cdot$

 $\nu o v ̂ s-" a ̉ \lambda \lambda o \iota o \hat{v} \tau \alpha \iota$ ठє ơ $\kappa \omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho\langle\pi \hat{v} \rho>$, óкó $\tau \alpha \nu \sigma \nu \mu$ $\mu \iota \gamma \hat{\eta} \theta v \omega \mu \alpha \sigma \iota \nu$, ỏvo $\mu \alpha ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \kappa \alpha \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \delta о \nu \grave{\eta} \nu$ є́кá $\sigma \tau о v^{10}$ [= D48].
 ${ }^{10}$ app. cf. ad D48

 $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma o \iota s$ ä $\nu$ aíтov̀s ${ }^{2} \mu \grave{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \gamma о \nu \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha \iota ~ \grave{\alpha} \kappa \rho о \alpha \tau \alpha ́ s, ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \gamma \epsilon$

 $\theta \epsilon o ̀ \nu$ єîvaı $\pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$ ס $\eta \mu \iota о v \rho \gamma o ̀ \nu ~ к \alpha i ~ \pi \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha, ~ \epsilon v ̉ \delta о к \eta ́-~$
 $\rho \alpha \tau o \nu \cdot[10]$ ő $\tau \epsilon \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ ov̉ $\chi$ ó $\rho \hat{a} \tau \alpha \iota, ~ \hat{\eta} \nu^{5}$ ảó $\rho \alpha \tau o s$, <ő $\tau \epsilon$


 <каi $\gamma \epsilon \nu \nu \eta \tau o ́ s>,{ }^{9}$ à $\theta$ á $\nu \alpha \tau о$ каі $\theta \nu \eta \tau o ́ s . ~ \pi \hat{\omega} s$ <ov̉ $>{ }^{10}$

 $\sigma o ́ \phi \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu$ ó $\sigma \kappa о \tau \epsilon \iota \nu o ́ s ;$
 corr. Bernays $\quad{ }^{3} \tau \hat{\varrho} \mathrm{~ms}$., corr. Miller
he was a disciple not of Christ but of Heraclitus. For this is how he says that the created world is the craftsman and creator of itself: "God: day night, winter summer, war peace, satiety hunger." (all the contraries, this is the meaning) "He changes just as <fire>, when it is mixed together with incense, is named according to the scent of each one" [= D48].
[9] It is clear to all that even if you were to say that Noetus' thoughtless (anoêtous) successors and the heads of his heresy were not pupils of Heraclitus themselves, nonetheless by embracing Noetus' doctrines they were evidently subscribing to these same theses. For this is what they say: that one and the same god is the craftsman and the father of all things, and that, although invisible, he consented in the beginning to reveal himself to the just. [10] For when he is not seen, he is invisible; <when he is seen, he is visible; > immobile when he does not wish to move, but mobile when he moves; thus in the same way invincible and vanquished, ungenerated <and generated >, immortal and mortal. How <then> will men of this sort not reveal themselves to be disciples of Heraclitus, even if the Obscure, the first man to have adopted this philosophy, did not express himself in this way, but in his own words?

[^23]
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Theodoret (R87)

R87 (cf. B24, B25, B27) Theod. Cur. 8.39-41

 $\phi a ́ \tau o v s " \gamma a ́ \rho ~ \phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu$, "oi $\theta \epsilon o i ̀ ~ \tau \iota \mu \omega \hat{\iota}$ каì ă $\nu \theta \rho \omega \pi о \iota "$ [= D122a], каì $\pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota \nu . ~ " \mu o ́ \nu о \iota ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \mu \epsilon i ́ \zeta о \nu є s ~ \mu \epsilon i ́ \zeta o \nu o s ~$ $\mu o i ́ \rho a s ~ \lambda a \gamma \chi a ́ \nu o v \sigma \iota \nu "[=D 122 b]$. [40] ả $\lambda \lambda$ à $\tau o ́ \nu \delta \epsilon \tau o ̀ \nu$ $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \nu$ Є' $\gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon$ ov $\pi \rho о \sigma i ́ \epsilon \mu \alpha \iota \quad \pi \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \sigma \tau o \iota ~ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ $\delta \grave{\eta} \pi \alpha \mu$ $\pi o ́ \nu \eta \rho o \nu$ à $\sigma \pi \alpha \sigma a ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \iota$ ßíov тòv $\beta$ íaıov vi $\pi \epsilon ́ \mu \epsilon \iota \nu \alpha \nu$ $\theta \alpha ́ \nu \alpha \tau o \nu .[. . ~] ~.[41] ~[. ~ . ~] ~ o v ̋ к о. v \nu ~ \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha s ~ ‘ \alpha ’ \rho \eta \iota \phi a ́ \tau o v s ’ ~$



 $\kappa \alpha i ̀ \nu \hat{v} \nu \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi \omega \nu$ ко $\pi \iota \zeta$ о́ $\mu \epsilon \nu о \iota \gamma \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \varsigma$
 $\tau o v{ }^{\text {' }} \mathrm{H} \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \epsilon i ́ \tau o v ~ \mu a ́ \lambda \alpha ~ \theta a v \mu a ́ \zeta \omega, ~ o ̈ \tau \iota ~ " \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \iota ~ \tau o v ̀ s ~ a ̉ \nu-~$
 ठокє́оvб८ข" [= D120].

Neoplatonic Appropriations (R88-R92)
Plotinus (R88-R89)
R88 (cf. B84a-b) Plot. 4.8.1.11-17



## Theodoret (R87)

R87 (cf. ad B24, B25, B27) Theodoret, Cure of the Greek Maladies
Heraclitus maintains that those who have been killed in war are worthy of being fully honored: for he says, "Gods and humans honor those men whom Ares has slain" [= D122a]; and again: "Greater deaths [moroi] obtain greater portions [moirai]" [= D122b]. [40] But I myself do not accept this idea: for very many people have lived a depraved life and then suffered a violent death. [. . .] [41] [. . .] So it is not all those "whom Ares has slain" who ought to be honored according to Heraclitus, but only those who have joyously accepted death in the service of piety; for in truth it is only they, according to him, who are the "greater" ones. And because of this they enjoy "greater portions," those who not only receive honors from all human beings in this life but also await eternal garlands. And I admire greatly this other saying of Heraclitus: "What awaits humans after they have died is everything that they do not expect nor suppose" [= D120].

Neoplatonic Appropriations (R88-R92)
Plotinus (R88-R89)
R88 (cf. B84a-b) Plotinus, Ennead
For Heraclitus, who bids us investigate this question [scil. that of the descent of the soul into the body], when he established the necessary exchanges between the oppo-
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$\tau i ́ \omega \nu$, "ódò $\nu \epsilon$ ă $\nu \omega$ каì кáт $\omega$ " $\epsilon i \pi \grave{\omega} \nu$ [cf. D51] каì " $\mu \in \tau \alpha \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda о \nu \quad \dot{\alpha} \nu \alpha \pi \alpha v ́ \in \tau \alpha \iota "[=D 58]$ каi "ка́ $\mu \alpha \tau o ́ s$


 $\alpha u ̉ \tau o ̀ s ~ \zeta \eta \tau \eta ́ \sigma \alpha s \in \hat{v} \rho \epsilon \nu$.

R89 (cf. B96) Plot. 5.1.2.38-42
 $\tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta s$ ठvขá $\mu \epsilon \iota$ каi $\theta \epsilon$ о́s є́ $\sigma \tau \iota ~ \delta \iota a ̀ ~ \tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta \nu ~ o ́ ~ к о ́ \sigma \mu о s ~$

 $\kappa о \pi \rho i ́ \omega \nu$ є $\beta \lambda \eta \tau о ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \iota[=\mathrm{D} 119]$.

## Porphyry (R90)

R90 Porph. Antr.
a (B77) 10
ő $\theta \epsilon \nu$ каì ${ }^{`} \mathrm{H} \rho a ́ \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau о \nu \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} \sigma \iota ~ \phi a ́ \nu \alpha \iota ~ \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \psi \iota \nu \mu \grave{\eta}{ }^{1} \theta a ́ \nu \alpha-$
 $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \gamma \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu \pi \tau \omega ิ \sigma \iota \nu$, каì ả入入aұô̂ $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \phi \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \alpha \iota \zeta \hat{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\alpha} s$
 $\nu \alpha \tau о \nu$.

[^24]sites and asserted, "The road upward and downward" [cf. D51] and "Changing, it remains at rest" [= D58] and "It is wearisome to work hard for the same ones and to be ruled by them" [= D109], seems to proceed by images and neglects to make his account (logos) clear, perhaps thinking that we have to seek within ourselves, just as he himself had sought and then found [cf. D36].

R89 (cf. B96) Plotinus, Ennead
And although the heavens are multiple and different in different places, they are also one by the power of this [scil. the soul], and this world here is a god by virtue of this. The sun too is a god, for it has a soul, as well as the other heavenly bodies, and above all we too for the following reason: "Corpses are more to be thrown out than manure" [= D119].

> Porphyry (R90)
$\mathbf{R 9 0}$ Porphyry, On the Cave of the Nymphs in the Odyssey a (B77)
[. . .] that is why [scil. probably according to Numenius] Heraclitus also says that for souls it is a pleasure, and not death, to become moist [cf. D101] and that the fall into becoming is a pleasure for them, and he says elsewhere that we live their death and they live our death [cf. D70].
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b (cf. ad B51) 29
 $\chi o \hat{v} \tau o ̀ ~ \delta i ́ \theta v \rho o \nu ~ a v ̉ \tau \eta ̂ s ~ \pi \epsilon \pi o i ́ \eta \nu \tau \alpha \iota ~ \sigma v ́ \mu \beta o \lambda o \nu . ~ ŋ ̀ ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~$
 $\hat{\eta} \delta \iota \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{S} \dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \alpha \nu o \hat{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{\eta}$ ท̀ $\delta \iota \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{s} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \epsilon \pi \lambda \alpha \nu \eta \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu$, каì

 $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \nu a \tau о \lambda \iota \kappa o ́ v, \tau o ̀ ~ \delta \grave{~} \delta v \tau \iota \kappa o ́ \nu, ~ к \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ a ̉ \rho \iota \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho \alpha ́, ~$ $\tau \alpha ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ \delta \epsilon \xi \iota \alpha ́, \nu v ́ \xi ~ \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \grave{~} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha \cdot \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \delta \iota \alpha ̀ ~ \tau о v ̂ \tau o ~ \pi \alpha \lambda i ́ \nu-$

 clitus D49

## Iamblichus (R91)

R91 (cf. Nachtrag I, p. 494.15) Iambl. An. in Stob. 1.49.39 (I, p. 378.19-25 Wachsmuth)
à $\pi o ̀ ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \delta \grave{\eta} ~ \tau о \sigma o u ́ \tau \omega \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ o v ̃ \tau \omega ~ \delta \iota a \phi \epsilon \rho o ́ v \tau \omega \nu ~ \mu \epsilon \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$




 кá $\mu a \tau o \nu$ єîvaı, $\tau o ̀ ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$ фє́ $\rho \epsilon \iota \nu$ ả $\nu a ́ \pi \alpha v \sigma \iota \nu$ [cf. D58].

## HERACLITUS

b (cf. ad B51)
Since nature begins everywhere from difference, they [scil. the ancients] have given everywhere as a symbol for it the two-gated entrance. For the way passes either by the intelligible or by the perceptible; and regarding the intelligible, either through the sphere of fixed stars or through that of the planets, and again either through the immortal passage or through the mortal one. And there is one center above the earth, another below it, and one to the east, and another to the west; and some things are to the left, others to the right, and there is night and day. And that is why the fitting-together is backward-stretching and it [scil. the bow?] shoots arrows through the opposites [cf. D49].

## Iamblichus (R91)

$\mathrm{R91}$ (< Nachtrag I, p. 494.15) Iamblichus, On the Soul The descents [scil. of the souls] derive their difference from the great number of the parts [scil. of the world], which are different themselves, from which they come, and the modes [scil. of the descents] also differ from one another in many ways. For Heraclitus posits necessary exchanges between the opposites [cf. D87], he thought that the souls travel along the road upward and downward [cf. D51], and that to remain in the same place is toil, whereas to be transformed brings repose [cf. D58].
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Proclus (R92)

R92 (T 901 Mouraviev) Procl. In Tim. 1 ad 20d (1.76.1721 Diehl)
ơ̂ $\delta$ Є̀ $\gamma \epsilon \gamma о \nu \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha \iota ~ \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ \tau \alpha v ̂ \tau \alpha ~ \tau o v ̂ \tau o \nu ~ \tau o ̀ \nu ~ \tau \rho o ́ \pi o \nu ~ o v ̉ \kappa ~$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \gamma \iota \nu \omega ́ \sigma \kappa о v \sigma \iota, \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha ́ \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \nu \hat{v} \nu \dot{\omega} s \epsilon$ єiкóvas $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau \hat{\omega} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \grave{\iota} \pi \rho o o v \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \alpha \nu \tau \iota \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \nu \cdot \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \mu о \nu \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ єỉvaı $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu \pi \alpha \tau \epsilon ́ \rho a$ каì кат̀̀ $\tau \grave{\nu} \nu{ }^{\text {}} \mathrm{H} \rho a ́ \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau о \nu$ [cf. D64].

> Invective, Praise, and Variations on Heraclitean
> Themes in Greek Poetry and Literature (R93-R99)
> Scythinus of Teos (R93)

## R93

a (<A1) Diog. Laert. 9.16

 ठıà $\mu \epsilon ́ \tau \rho о v$ є’к $\beta a ́ \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota \nu$.
b (< C3.1) Plut. Pyth. orac. 16 402A
$\dot{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu o ́ \zeta \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$
 $\sigma v \lambda \lambda \alpha \beta \omega ́ \nu$, ${ }^{\epsilon} \chi \chi \epsilon \iota$ К $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho o ̀ \nu \pi \lambda \hat{\eta} \kappa \tau \rho о \nu \dot{\eta} \lambda$ íov $\phi$ áos.

## HERACLITUS

Proclus (R92)
R92 ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ ) Proclus, Commentary on Plato's Timaeus Other people do not reject the idea that these events [scil. the story of Atlantis] happened in this way, but [scil. they think] that they are to be understood now as images of the oppositions existing previously in the universe, for "War is the father of all," according to Heraclitus too [cf. D64].

Invective, Praise, and Variations on Heraclitean
Themes in Greek Poetry and Literature (R93-R99)
Scythinus of Teos (R93)

## R93

a (< A1) Diogenes Laertius
Hieronymus says that Scythinus too, the iambic poet, undertook to put his [i.e. Heraclitus'] account into verse.
b (< C3.1) Scythinus in Plutarch, On the Pythian Oracles which well-shaped Apollo, Zeus' son, fits together as a whole [scil. the lyre, cf.

D49], taking together
Beginning and end [cf. D54]; and he holds the sun's light as a gleaming plectrum.
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Timon of Phlius (R94)
R94 (< Al) Diog. Laert. 9.6
 Marco]
 $\alpha i \nu \iota \kappa \tau \grave{\eta} s$ ả $\nu o ́ \rho o v \sigma \epsilon$.

Epigrams (R95-R98)
Theodoridas (R95)

R95 (T 294 Mouraviev) Anth. Gr. 7.479 (Theodoridas)


 $\pi \alpha \mu \phi o ́ \rho \omega$ аiگך $\eta \omega$ єivoסí $\tau \epsilon ́ \tau \alpha \mu \alpha \iota$.


$\mathbf{R 9 6}$ (cf. ad B74) Anth. Gr. 7.79 (Meleagrus?)
 фанí.—" " $\tau \grave{\alpha} \delta^{\prime}$ є’s $\pi \alpha ́ \tau \rho \alpha \nu ~ к \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \sigma о \nu а ~ к а i ~$ ooфías."
$1 \underset{\alpha}{\alpha} \epsilon v \rho \dot{\omega} \nu \mathrm{~ms} .$, corr. Headlam

## HERACLITUS

Timon of Phlius (R94)
R94 (< Al) Diogenes Laertius
This man [i.e. Heraclitus] Timon too sketches when he says,

Among these the crower, the mob-reviler, Heraclitus The enigmatic, arose.

## Epigrams (R95-R98)

Theodoridas (R95)
R95 ( $=\mathrm{DK}$ ) Greek Anthology (Theodoridas)
I, a stone-once a round and unworn cover-
I now contain Heraclitus' head within myself.
But time has worn me down like the seashore; for I lie
In a public wayfare, on the path of vigorous men.
I announce to mortals, even though I am without my stele,
That I possess the divine dog that barked at the common people.

## $\mathbf{R 9 6}$ (cf. ad B74) Greek Anthology (Meleager?) ${ }^{1}$

"Oh you, human being, I say that I, Heraclitus, am the only man to have discovered wisdom."
${ }^{1}$ The text of this poem and the distribution of the utterances between the two speakers are uncertain; the manuscript's attribution of it to Meleager has often been doubted.
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- $\lambda \grave{\alpha} \xi \gamma$ à $\rho$ каi токє $\omega \hat{\nu} \alpha \varsigma, ~ i \grave{\omega} \xi \epsilon ́ \nu \epsilon, \delta v ́ \sigma \phi \rho о \nu \alpha s$ $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho a s$
ن́ $\lambda$ áк $\tau \epsilon v \nu$.—" $\lambda \alpha \mu \pi \rho \grave{a} \theta \rho \epsilon \psi \alpha \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota \sigma \iota ~ \chi a ́ \rho \iota s . " ~$
5
 $\tau \iota \pi \epsilon \boldsymbol{v} \sigma \eta$

$3 \lambda \grave{\alpha} \xi] \delta \grave{\alpha} \xi$ Jacobs $\quad \tau \sigma \kappa \epsilon ́ \omega \nu \dot{\alpha} \sigma i \omega \iota \mathrm{~ms}$., corr. Headlam 5-6 interp. Gullo


## Anonymous Epigrams (R97-R98)

R97 (< A1) Diog. Laert. 9.16


 ov̉ $\delta \epsilon i ́ s . ~ \tau \alpha v ̂ \tau^{\prime} \alpha v ̉ \delta \hat{\omega}$ каì $\pi \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha} ~ Ф \epsilon \rho \sigma \epsilon \phi o ́ \nu \eta$.
 $\mu \in \mathrm{mss}$.

R98 (< A1) Diog. Laert. 9.16
 $\tau о v ̇ \phi \epsilon \sigma i ́ o v ~ \mu a ́ \lambda a ~ \tau о \iota ~ \delta v ́ \sigma \beta a \tau o s ~ a ̉ \tau \rho \alpha \pi \iota \tau o ́ s . ~$
 $\mu v ́ \sigma \tau \eta s$

"But what is done for one's fatherland is even greater than wisdom."
"Yes, I barked savagely at my own parents, oh stranger, those evil-minded people."
"Illustrious gratitude toward those who raised you!"
"Won't you go away?" "Enough of your rudeness, for soon you too will hear
Something even ruder." "Farewell, you, from my fatherland Ephesus!"

Anonymous Epigrams (R97-R98)
$R 97$ (< A1) Diogenes Laertius
I am Heraclitus. Why do you drag me upward and downward, you boors? [cf. D59]
I labored not for you but for those who understand me.
For me one man is thirty thousand [cf. D12], the countless multitude
Are no one. This I declare even in Persephone's domain.

## R98 (< A1) Diogenes Laertius

Do not hasten to reach the end of the book of Heraclitus
Of Ephesus: the path is not easy to traverse.
There is gloom and lightless obscurity: but if a mystic initiate
Leads you in, it is brighter than the shining sun.
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## A Parody (R99)

R99 (> C5) Luc. Vit. auct. 14
 $\kappa \alpha ́ \lambda \lambda \iota о \nu \sigma о \iota \pi \rho о \sigma \lambda \alpha \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \nu$.
 ỏıऍv $\rho \grave{\alpha}$ каi $\delta \alpha \kappa \rho v \omega ́ \delta \epsilon \alpha$ каì ov̉סє̀v av̉тє́ $\omega \nu$ ő $\tau \iota \mu \grave{\eta}$ є̇ $\pi \iota-$




 $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \iota \lambda \epsilon ́ о \nu \tau \alpha \iota$ ка́ $\epsilon$ є́ $\sigma \tau \iota \tau \dot{\omega} v \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \tau \epsilon ́ \rho \psi \iota s ~ a ̉ \tau \epsilon \rho \psi i ́ \eta, \gamma \nu \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota s$ $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \nu \omega \sigma i ́ \eta, \mu \epsilon ́ \gamma \alpha \mu \iota \kappa \rho o ́ \nu$, ă $\nu \omega$ ка́т $\omega, \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \chi \omega \rho \epsilon ́ о \nu \tau \alpha$ каì $\dot{\alpha} \mu \epsilon \iota \beta o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$ ढ่ $\nu \tau \hat{\eta} \tau o \hat{v} \alpha i \omega \omega \nu o s \pi \alpha \iota \delta \iota \hat{\eta}$.
[АГ.] $\tau i ́ \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ ó $\alpha i \omega ́ \nu ~ \epsilon ُ \sigma \tau \iota \nu ;$
[HP.] $\pi \alpha i ̂ \varsigma ~ \pi \alpha i ́ \zeta \omega \nu, \pi \epsilon \sigma \sigma \epsilon v ́ \omega \nu,\langle\sigma v \mu \phi \epsilon \rho o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \varsigma,\rangle^{1} \delta \iota \alpha-$ $\phi \in \rho o ́ \mu \in \nu o s$.
[АГ.] $\tau \iota \delta \grave{\epsilon} \alpha \stackrel{\alpha}{\nu} \theta \rho \omega \pi o \iota ;$
[HP.] $\theta \epsilon o \grave{~} \theta \nu \eta \tau о$ í.
[АГ.] $\tau i ́ \delta \epsilon ̀ \epsilon \circ$ о́;
[HP.] ${ }^{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi o \iota ~ \dot{\alpha} \theta \alpha ́ \nu \alpha \tau o \iota$.


[HP.] ov̉סèv $\gamma \alpha ́ \rho \mu o \iota \mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota ~ \dot{v} \mu \epsilon ́ \omega \nu$.

${ }^{1}$ add. Diels

## HERACLITUS

## A Parody (R99)

## R99 (> C5) Lucian, Philosophies for Sale

[A buyer:] But you there [i.e. Heraclitus], poor fellow, why are you weeping? For I think it is much better to speak with you [scil. than with Democritus].
[Heraclitus:] It is because I think, stranger, that human affairs deserve only pity and tears and that there is not one of them that is not perishable; that is why I pity them and feel grief [cf. P12], and I think that the present is of no importance, but that what will happen in the future is completely upsetting-I am talking about the conflagrations and the destruction of the whole. I grieve over these things and because nothing is stable but all things are pressed together as in a kukeôn [cf. D59], and that pleasure and displeasure, knowledge and ignorance, big and little, up and down, are the same thing, moving around and changing places in the game of eternity.
[A buyer:] And what is eternity?
[Heraclitus:] A child playing, playing checkers [cf. D76], <converging,> diverging [cf. D49].
[A buyer:] What are human beings?
[Heraclitus:] Mortal gods [cf. D70].
[A buyer:] What are gods?
[Heraclitus:] Immortal human beings [cf. D70].
[A buyer:] Are you telling riddles, fellow, or constructing enigmas? Quite frankly, you do not say anything clearly, just like Apollo [cf. D41].
[Heraclitus:] That is because I care nothing at all about you.
[A buyer:] Then no one who has any sense will buy you.
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[АГ.] $\tau о v \tau \grave{\imath} \tau o ̀ ~ к а к o ̀ \nu ~ o v ̉ ~ \pi o ́ \rho \rho \omega ~ \mu \epsilon \lambda \alpha \gamma \chi o \lambda i ́ a s ~ \epsilon ’ \sigma \tau \iota \nu . ~$ ov̉ $\delta \in ́ \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ o ̋ ~ \mu \omega s ~ \alpha \dot{v} \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ '้ $\gamma \omega \gamma \epsilon \dot{\omega} \nu \eta \eta^{\eta} \sigma о \mu \alpha \iota$.

The Text of Heraclitus: Some Examples of Multiple Versions of the Same Phrase (R100R102)

R100 "фv́ $\quad \iota \varsigma$ крv́ $\pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath}$." (= D35)
a (< T 326 Mouraviev) Phil. Quaest. Gen. 4.1, p. 237.4-6 Aucher
 pupstinuppt:
b (< T 328 Mouraviev) Phil. Somn. 1.6


 $\nu \epsilon \hat{\imath ̂} \pi o v$ к $\rho v ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota ~ \phi \iota \lambda \epsilon i ̂$.
c (T 327 Mouraviev) Phil. Spec. leg. 4.51
 $\alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ $\sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma \dot{\eta} \mu a \tau \alpha, \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~s} \phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$ ov̉к $\dot{\alpha} \epsilon \grave{\imath} \kappa \rho v ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$
 фаı $\nu 0$ v́ $\eta \mathrm{\eta}$ ả $\eta \tau \tau \dot{\eta} \tau o \iota s \delta_{\nu \nu \alpha ́ \mu \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu . ~}^{\text {. }}$

## HERACLITUS

[Heraclitus:] I tell everyone to lament, young and old, those who are buying and those who are not buying.
[A buyer:] This man's trouble is not very far from melancholia [cf. P11]. I am not going to buy either of the two of them.

> The Text of Heraclitus: Some Examples of Multiple Versions of the Same Phrase (R100R102)

## R100 "A nature tends to hide." (= D35)

a ( $=\mathrm{DK}$ ) Philo, Questions on Genesis
The tree [i.e. the great tree of Mambre mentioned in Gen. 18:1] according to Heraclitus is our nature: it likes to hide itself. ${ }^{1}$

1 Based on an unidentified glossary quoted by Aucher (1826: 237 , note 2 ), the similarity asserted between the tree and our nature might refer to the roots, which are hidden underground. Note and translation by Irene Tinti.

## b ( $=\mathrm{DK}$ ) Philo, On Dreams

The well seems to me a symbol of knowledge. For its nature is not on the surface, but very deep: and it does not lie in front of us in a manifest way, but it likes in some way to hide in invisibility.

## c ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ ) Philo, The Special Laws

But in a very short time these kinds of stratagems are discovered, for nature, which does not like to hide forever, at the right moment reveals its own beauty by its undefeated powers.
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d（T 330 Mouraviev）Phil．Fuga et inv． 179

 Ai $\gamma v \pi \tau i \varphi \operatorname{\pi o\tau } \alpha \mu \hat{\varrho}$［．．．］．
e（T 329 Mouraviev）Phil．Mut．nom． 6
 ठокєî $\sigma v ́ \mu \beta о \lambda \alpha ~ \phi v ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s ~ \tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma$ ảєì к $\rho v ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta \alpha \iota ~ \phi \iota \lambda о v ́ \sigma \eta s$ ن́ $\pi \alpha ́ \rho \chi o \nu \tau \alpha$［．．．］．

## f（＞B123）Them．Orat． 5

 $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \phi v ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega \varsigma$ ó $\tau \hat{\eta} \varsigma \phi \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \omega s$ $\delta \eta \mu \iota ⿱ ⺌ 兀 \rho \gamma o ́ s[. .].$.
g（cf．ad B123，＜T 736 Mouraviev）Procl．In Remp．2， p． 107.5
［．．．］каì őть $\tau o ̀ ~ \pi \lambda \alpha \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \hat{\omega} \delta \epsilon s$ $\tau о \hat{v} \tau о$ ката̀ $\phi v \sigma \iota \iota \pi \omega ́ s$
 $\kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau о \nu$［．．．］．
h（＜T 772 Mouraviev）Jul．Or． 7 216C
$\phi \iota \lambda \epsilon \hat{\imath} \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho \dot{\eta} \phi v ́ \sigma \iota s ~ к \rho v ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$ ，каì $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ ảтокєк $\rho v \mu \mu \epsilon ́-$ $\nu o \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \quad \theta \epsilon \hat{\omega} \nu$ ov̉𧰨ías oủk ả $\nu \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \quad \gamma v \mu \nu o i ̂ s ~ \epsilon i s$


## d ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ ) Philo, On Flight and Finding

Those who are uninitiated in allegory and in nature, which tends to hide, liken the source we have mentioned to the river of Egypt [. . .].
e ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ ) Philo, On the Change of Names
[. . .] all the expressions that seem not to preserve decorum in language but are symbols of nature, which always tends to hide [. . .].
f (> B123) Themistius, Oration
Nature, according to Heraclitus, tends to hide, and, more even than nature, the craftsman of nature [. . .].
g (cf. ad B123) Proclus, Commentary on Plato's Republic [. . .] and that this fiction is in a certain way in accordance with nature, since nature too tends to hide, according to Heraclitus [. . .].

## h ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ ) Julian, Oration

For nature tends to hide, and what of the substance of the gods is hidden does not tolerate being cast with naked words to unpurified listeners.

R 101 ＂av̌ך $\psi v \chi \eta{ }^{\prime}, \sigma o \phi \omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta$ каì ả $\rho i ́ \sigma \tau \eta . "(=D 103)$ a（＜T 358 Mouraviev，cf．B118）Mus．Ruf．in Stob．3．17．42 ［．．．］oṽ $\tau \omega \delta^{\prime} \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \kappa \alpha i ̀ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \pi \alpha ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \kappa \alpha \theta-$ $\alpha \rho \alpha ́ \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \xi \eta \rho \alpha ́ \nu$, ó $\pi о i ́ \alpha$ оv̉ $\sigma \alpha$ ả $\rho i \sigma \tau \eta$ каì $\sigma о ф \omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta ~$
 ＂avj $\grave{\eta}^{1} \xi \eta \rho \grave{\eta} \psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \sigma о \phi \omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta$ каi ả $\rho^{\prime} \sigma \tau \eta$ ．＂

$$
{ }^{1} a \hat{v} \gamma \eta \text { (sic) } \mathrm{S} \text { M(d) } \mathrm{A}^{1}: a \hat{\prime} \eta \mathrm{~A}^{2}
$$

b（＜T 818 Mouraviev，cf．B118）Stob．3．5．6－8
${ }^{〔} Н \rho \alpha \kappa \lambda \epsilon i ́ \tau o v .[. . ~]. ~ \alpha v j \gamma \grave{\eta} \xi \eta \rho \grave{\eta}^{1} \psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \sigma о \phi \omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta$ каi $\dot{\alpha} \rho i ́ \sigma \tau \eta$ ．
${ }^{1} \alpha v \not \eta \eta$ scripsit et $\xi \eta \rho \eta$ lineola induxit $\mathrm{A}^{2}$
c（＜T 342 Mouraviev，cf．B118）Phil．Prov．2．67 Colson ap．Eus．PE 8．14．67
［．．．］${ }^{〔} \mathrm{H} \rho \alpha ́ \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau o s ~ o ̛ ̉ \kappa ~ a ̉ \pi o ̀ ~ \sigma к о \pi о \hat{v} ~ \phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu \cdot \alpha v ̉ \gamma \grave{\eta} \xi \eta \rho \grave{\eta}$ $\psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \sigma о \phi \omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta$ каì $\dot{\alpha} \rho i ́ \sigma \tau \eta$.
d（＜T 510 Mouraviev，cf．B118）Plut．Esu carn．995E ＂av̉زウ̀ $\xi \eta \rho \grave{\eta} \psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \sigma о \phi \omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta " \kappa \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau o ̀ \nu ~ ‘ Н \rho a ́ \kappa \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau о \nu$.
e（＜T 509 Mouraviev，cf．B118）Plut．Def．orac．432F


## HERACLITUS

R101 "A dry soul: wisest and best." (= D103)
a (cf. B118) Musonius Rufus in Stobaeus, Anthology
[. . .] and thus our soul too would be pure and dry, and being like this it would be best and wisest, in conformity with the opinion of Heraclitus, who says, "dry light-beam, the wisest and best soul."
b (cf. B118) Stobaeus, Anthology
Of Heraclitus: "Dry light-beam, the wisest and best soul."
c (cf. B118) Philo of Alexandria, On Providence
Heraclitus does not miss the mark when he says, "Dry light-beam, the wisest and best soul."
d (cf. B118) Plutarch, On the Eating of Flesh
"Dry light-beam, the wisest soul," according to Heraclitus.
e (cf. B118) Plutarch, The Obsolescence of Oracles
For "this is a dry soul," according to Heraclitus.
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f (< T 511 Mouraviev, cf. B118) Plut. Rom. 28.7
 [. . .].
g (< T 623 Mouraviev, cf. B118) Clem. Alex. Paed. 2.29.3 оv̋т $\delta^{\prime}$ 号 $\nu$ каi $\dot{\eta} \psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{v} \pi \alpha ́ \rho \xi \alpha \iota ~ \kappa \alpha \theta \alpha \rho \grave{\alpha}$ каi $\xi \eta \rho \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha i \quad \phi \omega \tau о \epsilon \iota \delta \eta ́ s, " \alpha v ̉ \gamma \grave{\eta} \delta \grave{\epsilon} \psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \xi \eta \rho \grave{\alpha} \sigma о \phi \omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta$ каì $\dot{\alpha} \rho i ́ \sigma \tau \eta$."
h (< T 578 Mouraviev, cf. B118) Gal. Quod animi mores, p. 786
[. . .] $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ о ${ }^{1} \kappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \xi \eta \rho o ́ \tau \eta \tau \alpha ~ \sigma v \gamma \chi \omega \rho \eta ́ \sigma о \mu \epsilon \nu ~ \alpha i \tau i ́ \alpha \nu ~$
 $\gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$ каi ô̂̃os $\epsilon i \hbar \pi \epsilon \nu$, "av̉ $\bar{\eta} \xi_{\eta \rho \grave{\eta}} \psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \sigma о \phi \omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta "$ [. . .].
${ }^{1}$ ov̉ Müller: $\epsilon i$ mss. $\quad 2\langle\tilde{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho\rangle$ oĩ $\gamma^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi^{\prime}$ Müller: oö $\gamma \epsilon \mu \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \mu \phi^{\prime} \mathrm{mss}$.
i (< T 290 Mouraviev, cf. B118) Herm. In Phaedr. (p. 29.27-29 Lucarini-Moreschini).

 $\xi \eta \rho \grave{\eta} \psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \sigma o \phi \omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta . "$

## HERACLITUS

## f (cf. B118) Plutarch, Romulus

For "this soul is dry and best" according to Heraclitus [...].
g (cf. B118) Clement of Alexandria, Pedagogue
And thus our soul would be pure and dry and luminous: "dry light-beam, the wisest and best soul."
h (cf. B118) Galen, That the Faculties of the Soul Follow the Mixtures of the Body
[. . .] but we will not concede that dryness is the cause of intelligence, as those who follow Heraclitus say; for he said, "dry light-beam, the wisest soul" [. . .].
i (cf. B118) Hermias, Commentary on Plato's Phaedrus Both summer and noon are appropriate for the elevation of the soul according to Heraclitus too, who says, "dry light-beam, the wisest soul."
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j (< T 591 Mouraviev, cf. B118) Arist. Quint. Mus. 2.17
 $\tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta "[. .].$.
k (< T 735 Mouraviev) Porph. Sent. 29.40



1 (< T 734 Mouraviev, cf. B118) Porph. Antr. 11
$\alpha u ̛ \tau o ̀ s ~ \delta \epsilon ́ ~ \phi \eta \sigma \iota \nu ~ ' H \rho a ́ к \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau o s ~ " \xi \eta \rho \grave{\alpha} \psi v \chi \grave{\eta} \sigma o \phi \omega \tau \alpha ́ \tau \eta$."

a (< T 479 Mouraviev) Plut. An virt. 439D
"ả $\mu \alpha \theta i ́ \eta \nu " \gamma \grave{\alpha} \rho$, ${ }^{`} Н \rho a ́ к \lambda \epsilon \iota \tau o ́ s ~ ф \eta \sigma \iota, ~ " к \rho v ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu \quad$ a’ $\mu \epsilon \iota-$ $\nu o \nu$."
b (< T 478 Mouraviev) Plut. De aud. 43D

 $\pi \epsilon \boldsymbol{v} \epsilon \iota \nu$.
c (> B95) Plut. Quaest. conv. 3.1644 F


j (cf. B118) Aristides Quintilian, On Music
Heraclitus [. . .] says, "soul, dry light-beam, the wisest" [. . .].
$\mathbf{k}(\neq \mathrm{DK})$ Porphyry, Sentences
Whenever it [scil. the soul] trains itself in removing itself from nature, it becomes a dry light-beam, without shadow or cloud.

1 (cf. B118) Porphyry, On the Cave of the Nymphs in the Odyssey
Heraclitus himself says, "dry soul, the wisest."
R102"It is better to hide one's ignorance." (= D113)
a ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ ) Plutarch, Can Virtue Be Taught?
For Heraclitus says, "it is better to hide one's ignorance."
b ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ ) Plutarch, How to Listen
But perhaps it is not "better to hide one's ignorance," as Heraclitus says, but to make it public and cure it.
c (> B95) Plutarch, Table Talk
For, as Heraclitus says, "it is better to hide one's ignorance"; but this is hard to do when one is relaxing and drinking wine.
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d (< T 481 Mouraviev) Plut. in Stob. 3.18.31


e (< B95) Stob. 3.1.174-75
 $\mu \epsilon ́ \sigma o \nu \phi \epsilon ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$.

## Doubtful and Pseudepigraphic Texts (R103-R117) Variations of Heraclitean Aphorisms Attributed to Democrates or Democritus (R103-R107)

R103 (68 B64) Stob. 3.4.81 (= Democrates 29)


R104 (68 B65) Democrates 30 $\pi о \lambda \nu \nu o i ́ \eta \nu$, ov̉ $\pi o \lambda \nu \mu \alpha \theta i ́ \eta \nu \dot{a} \sigma \kappa \epsilon ́ \epsilon \iota \nu \chi \rho \eta$.

R105 (68 B98) Democrates 64 ধ́vòs $\phi \iota \lambda$ í $\xi v \nu \epsilon \tau o \hat{v} \kappa \rho \epsilon ́ \sigma \sigma \omega \nu$ ả $\xi v \nu \epsilon ́ \tau \omega \nu \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega \nu$.

R106 (68 B236) Stob. 3.20.56
$\theta \nu \mu \hat{\varrho} \mu \alpha ́ \chi \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \chi^{\alpha \lambda \epsilon \pi o ́ \nu} \cdot \dot{\alpha} \nu \delta \rho o ̀ s ~ \delta \grave{\epsilon} \tau o ̀ ~ \kappa \rho a \tau \epsilon ́ \epsilon \iota \nu$ $\epsilon \dot{\jmath} \lambda o \gamma i ́ \sigma \tau o v$.
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d ( $\neq$ DK) Plutarch in Stobaeus
To hide one's ignorance, as Heraclitus says, is hard work under any circumstances, but it is even more difficult when one is drinking wine.
e (< B95) Stobaeus, Anthology
Of Heraclitus: To hide one's ignorance is better than to make it public.

> Doubtful and Pseudepigraphic Texts (R103-R117) Variations of Heraclitean Aphorisms Attributed to Democrates or Democritus (R103-R107)

R103 (68 B64) Democrates
Many people who possess much learning do not have intelligence [= ATOM. D307] [cf. D20].

R104 (68 B65) Democrates
One should practice having much intelligence, not much learning [cf. D20].

R105 (68 B98) Democrates
The friendship of one intelligent man is better than that of all the unintelligent ones [cf. D12].

R 106 (68 B236) Stobaeus, Anthology
To fight against an ardor is hard; but it is the mark of a rational man to dominate over it [= ATOM. D296] [cf. D116].
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R107 (68 B147) Clem. Alex. Protr. 10.92.4 (et al.)

 $\Delta \eta \mu о ́ к \rho \iota \tau о \nu$.

Other Doubtful Aphorisms (R108-R116)
R108 (B46) Diog. Laert. 9.7
 $\delta \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota$.

R109 (B131) Gnomol. Par. 209
 $\pi \eta \nu$.

R110 (B132) Gnomol. Vat. 743 n. 312
$\tau \iota \mu \alpha i ̀ \theta \epsilon o \grave{s} \kappa \alpha i \alpha^{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega ́ \pi о v s$ к $\alpha \tau \alpha \delta o v \lambda о \hat{\nu \tau \alpha \iota .}$

R 111 (B133) Gnomol. Vat. 743 n. 313 $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \nu \theta \rho \omega \pi о \iota$ какоі̀ $\alpha \lambda \eta \theta \iota \nu \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nu \tau i ́ \delta \iota к о \iota$.

R112 (B134) Gnomol. Vat. 743 n. 314
 $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \epsilon \nu \mu \epsilon ́ \nu o \iota s$ ढ̈ $\bar{\lambda} \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \nu$.
$\mathrm{R107}$ (68 B147) Clement of Alexandria, Protreptic
For he [scil. probably Heraclitus] says, "pigs take greater pleasure in mire than in pure water" [cf. D80] and "they go mad for rubbish" according to Democritus [= ATOM. D250].

Other Doubtful Aphorisms (R108-R116)

## R108 (B46) Diogenes Laertius

He said that opinion is the holy disease [i.e. epilepsy] and that vision is deceptive.

## R109 (B131) Paris Gnomology

He said that opinion is an obstacle to progress.
$R 110$ (B132) Vatican Gnomology
Honors enslave gods and men.

## $R 111$ (B133) Vatican Gnomology

Wicked men are the adversaries of genuine ones.

## R112 (B134) Vatican Gnomology

Heraclitus said that education is a second sun for people who have been educated.
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R113 (B135) Gnomol. Vat. 743 n. 315
 $\gamma \epsilon \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \theta a \iota$ ả $\gamma \alpha \theta$ ó $\nu$.

R114 (< B125a) Tzetz. In Aristoph. Plut. 88
 єُ $\xi \epsilon \lambda \epsilon \in \gamma \chi \circ \circ \sigma \theta \epsilon \pi о \nu \eta \rho \epsilon \cup о ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \circ \iota . "$

R115 (T 1044 Mouraviev) Ps.-Max. Conf. Loc. comm. 8.65
 $\pi \epsilon \rho \tau \rho \circ \phi \grave{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \rho \mu o ́ \tau \tau o v \sigma \alpha, \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s \psi v \chi \hat{\eta} \varsigma{ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \nu \delta \epsilon \iota \alpha \nu \stackrel{i}{\imath} \tau \alpha \iota$.

R116 (B130) Gnomol. Mon. Lat. 1.19
non convenit ridiculum esse ita, ut ridendus ipse videaris. Heraclitus dixit.

> Selections from an Apocryphal
> Correspondence (R117)

R117 (T 705 Mouraviev) Diog. Laert.
a 9.13-14
 'Еф́́ $\sigma \iota \frac{\sigma о \phi o ̀ \nu}{}$ ä้ $\nu \delta \rho \alpha \pi \rho о \sigma \alpha \gamma о \rho \epsilon$ v́єı $\chi \alpha i ́ \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$.
[13] катаßє́ $\beta \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma о \nu ~ П є \rho і ̀ ~ ф v ́ \sigma \epsilon \omega s ~ \delta v \sigma \nu o ́ \eta \tau о ́ \nu ~$ $\tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha \grave{\iota} \delta v \sigma \epsilon \xi \eta \eta^{\prime} \gamma \eta \tau o \nu$. ${ }^{\epsilon} \nu \tau \iota \sigma \iota \mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ ov้̉ $\dot{\epsilon} \rho \mu \eta \nu \epsilon v o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o \varsigma$

## HERACLITUS

## R113 (B135) Vatican Gnomology

He said that the shortest path to attaining fame is to be a good man.
$\mathbf{R 1 1 4 ~ ( < ~ B 1 2 5 a ) ~ T z e t z e s , ~ C o m m e n t a r y ~ o n ~ A r i s t o p h a n e s ' ~}$ Wealth
"May your wealth never abandon you," he said, "men of Ephesus, so that your wickedness can be proven."

## R 115 ( $\neq \mathrm{DK}$ ) Ps.-Maximus the Confessor, Florilegium Of Heraclitus, the natural philosopher: "Gratitude at the right moment, like appropriate food for hunger, heals the neediness of the soul."

## $R 116$ (B130) Munich Gnomology

"It is unseemly to be so funny that you yourself become ridiculous." Heraclitus said it.

> Selections from an Apocryphal
> Correspondence (R117)
${ }^{1}$ There are several other apocryphal letters.

## R117 ( $\neq$ DK) Diogenes Laertius

## a

King Darius, the son of Hystaspes, sends greetings to Heraclitus of Ephesus, the wise man.
[13] "You have written down an account On Nature, hard to understand and hard to explain. In some passages, when it is interpreted literally, it seems to me to exhibit a
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$\kappa а \tau \grave{\alpha} \lambda \epsilon \in \xi \iota \nu \sigma \grave{\eta} \nu$ סокєî $\delta v ́ \nu a \mu i ́ \nu ~ \tau \iota \nu a \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \iota \nu \theta \epsilon \omega \rho i ́ a s$ $\kappa o ́ \sigma \mu о v \tau \epsilon \tau o \hat{v} \sigma v ́ \mu \pi \alpha \nu \tau о$ к каì $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau о v ́ \tau \omega ~ \gamma \iota \nu o-$

 $\sigma \tau о \nu \mu \epsilon \tau \epsilon \sigma \chi \eta \kappa o ́ \tau \alpha s$ $\sigma v \gamma \gamma \rho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \delta \iota \alpha \pi о \rho \epsilon \hat{\sigma} \sigma \theta \alpha \iota \tau \hat{\eta} s$


 $\delta \grave{\eta} \sigma v \nu \tau o ́ \mu \omega s$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \epsilon ’ \mu \eta ̀ \nu ~ o ้ \psi \iota \nu ~ к а i ̀ ~ \beta a \sigma i ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota o \nu ~ о i ̂ к о \nu . ~$
 $\sigma о \phi o i ̂ s ~ a ̉ \nu \delta \rho a ́ \sigma \iota \nu$ oै $\nu \tau \epsilon \mathrm{s} \pi \alpha \rho о \rho \hat{\omega} \sigma \iota \tau \grave{\alpha} \kappa \alpha \lambda \omega \mathrm{~s} \dot{v} \pi$ ’ $\alpha v ̉ \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ є่ $\nu \delta \epsilon \iota \kappa \nu \cup ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha$ $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \sigma \pi о v \delta \alpha i ́ \alpha \nu ~ \dot{\alpha} к о \eta ̀ \nu ~ к а i ~ \mu \alpha ́ \theta \eta \sigma \iota \nu . ~$

 ßíos єи̉סóкıцоя $\sigma \alpha i ̂ s ~ \pi \alpha \rho \alpha \iota \nu \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \sigma \iota \nu$.
${ }^{1} \delta \iota \eta \gamma \eta \sigma \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{~s}$ mss.: $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \eta \gamma \gamma \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \omega \mathrm{s}$ Cobet

## b 9.14

 $\sigma \pi \epsilon \omega \chi \alpha \iota \rho \epsilon \iota \nu$.
 $\theta \epsilon i ́ \eta s$ каі̀ $\delta \iota к \alpha \iota \pi \rho \alpha \gamma \mu о \sigma v ́ \nu \eta s \dot{a} \pi \epsilon ́ \chi о \nu \tau \alpha \iota, \dot{a} \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \tau i ́ \eta$

 $\phi \epsilon v ́ \gamma \omega \nu \pi \alpha \nu \tau o ̀ \varsigma^{1}$ oíкєıov́ $\mu \epsilon \nu o \nu \phi \theta o ́ \nu \omega^{2}$ каì $\delta \iota \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \pi \epsilon \rho \iota-$
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certain capacity for knowing the whole universe and the phenomena that occur in it and that remain in a perfectly divine motion. But most of it seems to suspend judgment, with the result that even those who are most familiar with your writings are at a loss regarding the correct explanation of what you have written. That is why King Darius, son of Hystaspes, wishes to participate in your teaching and in Greek education. Come at once to my presence and to the royal palace. [14] For the Greeks, who most often do not know how to distinguish wise men, neglect everything that they indicate so well with a view toward serious study and learning. But at my court you will benefit from every form of privileged treatment, and every day you will receive a fine and serious greeting and your life will become celebrated by virtue of your exhortations."

## b

Heraclitus of Ephesus sends greetings to King Darius son of Hystaspes.
"All men who live on this earth are remote from truth and justice, and they devote themselves to greediness and desire for popularity because of their evil stupidity. But because I myself do not recollect ever having committed any kind of wickedness, and shun the surfeit that dwells with every man's envy, and also because I avoid arrogance, I do not wish to come to the land of the Persians, for I am satisfied with little, in accordance with my thought."

[^25]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Boton is otherwise unknown, and Archelaus is chronologically impossible.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ каì ф $\omega \kappa \omega \hat{\nu}$ mss．：каi фvк $\hat{\nu} \nu$ Th．Gomperz：secl．Marcovich （cf．ad 4）$\quad 2$ ádv́ $\eta$ S Gronovius $\quad{ }^{3}$ M $\epsilon \lambda i ́ \tau \eta$ Karsten： $\mu \epsilon \lambda i ́ \tau \omega \mathrm{LB}: \mu \eta \lambda i \tau \omega \mathrm{O} \quad{ }^{4} \pi \lambda \alpha ́ \kappa \alpha \varsigma\langle\phi \omega \kappa \hat{\omega} \nu \kappa \alpha i\rangle$ Marcovich

[^2]:    $6 \dot{o} \rho \hat{\alpha} \nu \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i \grave{\alpha} \kappa о v \epsilon i ̂ \nu$ mss., corr. Wendland $7{ }^{\circ} \nu \tau \alpha$ del.
     corr. Bonitz

[^3]:    ${ }^{1} \tau \grave{\nu} \nu \theta \epsilon o ́ \nu$ E: om. $\mathrm{A}^{\mathrm{b}}$

[^4]:    ${ }^{3} \dot{v} \pi \sigma \alpha \alpha \mu \beta \alpha{ }^{\prime} \nu \omega \nu$ mss., corr. Vahlen 5 е̇ $\pi \iota \kappa \rho a \tau \epsilon i ́ a ~ D i e l s: ~ \grave{\epsilon} \pi \iota \epsilon \iota \kappa \epsilon i ́ a ~ m s s . ~$
    Wendland $\quad 7$ <oṽ $\tau \omega$ s ${ }^{\text {> Wilson }}$ mss.: del. Karsten

[^5]:    ${ }^{15} \mu$ ウ̀ ante $\dot{\epsilon} \phi \epsilon \xi \hat{\eta} \mathrm{S}$ habent mss.: del. Mullach 16 ä $\pi \epsilon \iota \rho o \nu$ Mullach: $\dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon i ́ \rho o v ~ m s s .: ~ \dot{\omega} \leqslant \ldots \dot{\alpha} \pi \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \rho o v$ del. Wilson

[^6]:    ${ }^{1} \pi \hat{\alpha} \sigma \iota$. . . ка $\alpha a \lambda \iota \pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu$ Diog. Laert. 9.2: nonhab. Strab.
    ${ }^{2} \mu \eta \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ єis Diog. Laert: $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon i \varsigma$ Strab.
    

[^7]:    1 入ó $o v$ Bernays: Só $\gamma \mu a \tau o s \mathrm{~ms} . \quad 2$ єîvaı Miller: єỉס́́vaı ms.

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Perhaps: "Soul is an account that increases itself." Stobaeus cites this sentence under the name of Socrates, but comparison with D98 makes its attribution to Heraclitus plausible.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1} \sigma v \gamma \gamma \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s \mathrm{E}: \sigma v \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \eta \varsigma \quad \gamma \epsilon \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu o s \mathrm{~A}^{\mathrm{b}}$

[^10]:    ${ }^{1} \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \mathrm{~A}^{\mathrm{b}}: \dot{\epsilon} \rho \omega \tau \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha{ }^{\mathrm{E}} \mathrm{EJ}$

[^11]:    ${ }^{1} \gamma \iota \nu o ́ \mu \epsilon \nu \alpha \mathrm{FP}^{4}: \tau \grave{\alpha} \gamma \iota \nu-\mathrm{BP}^{1} \Phi \mathrm{~h}$

[^12]:    ${ }^{2} \pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \alpha ́ \nu \theta a \iota$ Фh: $\pi \epsilon \pi \epsilon \rho \alpha ́ \sigma \theta a \iota$ BP: $-\hat{\alpha} \sigma \theta a \iota \mathrm{~F}$
    ${ }^{3}$ à̉ $\boldsymbol{\tau}$

[^13]:     spat. 10 litt. ms. 6 入ó $\gamma$ о $\nu$ коь $\nu o ́ \nu \mathrm{~ms}$., corr. Ursinus
    $7 \mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda o \iota s \mu \iota \kappa \rho o i ̂ s ~ \tau \epsilon$ Brunck: $\mu \epsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \nu \mu \iota \kappa \rho о \hat{\imath} \sigma \iota \mathrm{~ms}$.

[^14]:    1 < $\mu \not ̀ \nu>$ Bekker
    $2 \tau \hat{\varrho}$ Bekker: $\tau \grave{o} \nu \mathrm{mss}$.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ Translated by Irene Tinti.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1} \hat{\eta} \sigma \alpha \nu$ Ashton: $\boldsymbol{\epsilon i \hat { i } \sigma \iota} \mathrm{A}$

[^17]:    ${ }^{1} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu$ Hervet: $\dot{v} \mu \hat{\imath} \nu \mathrm{~ms}$.
    ms.: токкí入а <каì> Hiller

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ app. cf. ad D46 $\quad 2$ app. cf. ad D49 $\quad{ }^{3}$ app. cf. ad D1

[^19]:    $\left.1<{ }^{\prime} \notin \gamma\right\rangle \tau \iota$ Miller: $\tau i ́ s m s .: \tau i ́$ Bernays

[^20]:    ${ }^{2}$ app. cf. ad D50

[^21]:    ${ }^{1}$ app. cf. ad D57
    ms., corr. Bernays
    ${ }^{2}$ app. cf. ad D52 ${ }^{3} \gamma \rho a \phi \epsilon i ́ \varphi$ ${ }^{4} \pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon ́ \rho \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ Roeper: $\pi \epsilon \rho \iota \epsilon ́ \chi \epsilon \tau \alpha \iota \mathrm{~ms}$.

[^22]:    ${ }^{5} \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \nu$ ov́ $\tau \omega s$（ante D82）．．．$\tau o ̀$ aićnvov post $\delta \iota a ̀ ~ \pi v \rho o ̀ s$ $\gamma_{i ́ \nu \epsilon \sigma \theta a \iota}$［7 line 2］ms．，transp．Marcovich post Fränkel
    ${ }^{6} \kappa \alpha \lambda \epsilon i ̂ \mathrm{~ms}$ ．，corr．Miller
    7 〈ồ $\boldsymbol{\text { 〉 }}$ 〉 Schneidewin－Duncker

[^23]:    ${ }^{4} \pi \epsilon \phi \eta \kappa \epsilon ́ \nu \alpha \iota \mathrm{~ms} .$, corr. Miller $\quad{ }^{5} \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu \mathrm{~ms}$.: $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ Cruice
    ${ }^{6}$ add. Marcovich post Schneidewin-Duncker
    $7 \dot{\alpha} \kappa \rho \alpha ́ \tau \eta \tau о \varsigma \mathrm{~ms}$., corr. Bernays $8 \dot{a} \gamma \epsilon ́ \nu \eta \tau o s$ ms., corr.
    Schneidewin-Duncker 9 add. Schneidewin-Duncker
    10 <ov̉ $\nu$ 〉 Wendland $\quad 11 \mu \eta े$ 〈aủ> $\tau \hat{\eta} \tau \hat{\eta} \lambda \epsilon \in \xi \epsilon \iota$ Miller et $\delta \grave{\eta}$ Marcovich: $\mu \grave{\eta} \delta \grave{\epsilon}$ ( $\tau \hat{\eta}$ suprasc.) $\lambda \epsilon \epsilon \xi \epsilon \iota$ ( $\tau \hat{\eta}$ suprasc.) ms.: < $\epsilon i$
     Wendland: $\delta \iota a \phi \theta \alpha ́ \sigma a s \mathrm{~ms}$.

[^24]:    ${ }^{1}$ ทै Diels, каì Kranz

[^25]:    ${ }^{1} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \omega$ Sobet $\quad{ }^{2} \phi \theta o ́ v \omega$ rec.: $\phi$ Oóvov BPF
    $3 \dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \eta \phi a \nu i ́ \eta \nu$ Cobet: $\dot{v} \pi \epsilon \rho \eta \phi a \nu i ́ a s ~ m s s$.

