RainbowFluff **(author name)**

Hyperborean Ideology **(book name)**

Palingenetic Anarchism **(section one)**

INDEX

INTRO:
Introduction note

BODY 1:
Ccru's "axsys crash" (alternatively known as "this is questionably (not) about how Yaldabaoth hatched out of its trans-egg and became Axsys and then killed itself and became the Technological Cat God in order to ensure that Yaldabaoth and Axsys exists")

Lacanian psychoanalysis, nyx landian theology, and mysticism (old? unsure)

unspoken audio

Redefining Radqueers

Shortened version of "Revolutionary Rights of the Young" (old, outdated)

TempleOS and hyperpsychosis

Birdie, Lacan, Hegel, and Neoliberalism (outdated, unfluffy)

Nihilistic mysticism (rewritten, still old)

Stirner's silly Mustache is cute (also called "true.")

Cultural post-Dialectics, Mini-culture, and Technocultures (old-ish?)

"Fem/acc manifesto– RainbowFluff"

The Optimistic religious socialism of Acceleration (Alternatively: 100 more accelerationist sects as unconditional accelerationism anti-realized!)

HYPERFICTION:
Hyperborea and the Atlantic

(Radical Nihilism versus Radical "Atheism")

The Zeroth Political Theory (alternatively called "what about Dugin's Fourth Political Theory, again?")

Apocalypse of Petra

Cute Paganism

"Misanthropy" by 777 and a review of Unakitten

BODY 2:
The Reality-Fiction spiral and CUTENESS

Trans-supremacist Radical Feminists against TERFS

Cutecore Patchwork Cultism

"Book of Spirals" of the Process Church of final judgement

Fragments of a writing

Yearnings of a Youth

Nexions, Concave Earth Theory, and Space

THE DEATH OF ANTON LONG

Experimentation with it

An Introduction to Theology

Experiments with keyboards

Planning out Praxis

INDEX END

“I no longer believe that freedom and democracy are compatible”
–Peter Thiel (666(/(/9(/0))))*†

Palingenetic Ultra-internationalism (patriotic internationalism, not just patriotism and internationalism) (true apophatic particularism (With Cute-Mysticism (Russian Nihilism mixed with the Russian leftcom God-Building (Religion(opium) without Religion(hegelianism), ACTION as religious palingenetic apocalypse of Joanne (is it islamic? Submissive, yes. Godly, no.)), to create a truly revolutionary and truly nihilistic anti-religion that is a religion))) rises as the myth of Hyperborea becomes the new cute enlightenment (and too, a new form of democracy which is, absolute democracy where all must agree perfectly.. This means, cutting up the global cute world into communes small enough for democracy (to clarify, direct and absolute democracy, not the indirect and majoritarian(discriminatory and problematic) democracy form we have today. Direct and Absolute Democracy is perfect democracy and works in such a perfect way it becomes fascist (meaning perfected democracy and real actualism) without the fascist leader (no state, no dictator, no nation) and without fascism (meaning liberalism, in its most lobotomized (as in overt (covert liberal totalitarianism is just (healthy, non-lobotomized) liberalism)) form of totalitarianism), it destroys self and leaves only the democracy itself, and so it turns into perfect democracy itself (requiring patchworks without EXIT and with nonconsensual VOICE (NO EXIT, after all this is heaven (you get no opportunity to leave, sinner.))). But it must be direct and absolute, if even one voter does not agree, it will fail.) to function properly at a 100% agreement rate, a globalist difference-destroying cutecore patchwork (dystopian) utopia. “Global Democracy (not in the sense of a patchwork-global democracy but a democracy of the entirety of Russia in one election at once (instead of the confederate election-form))” is nothing but a contradiction, Democracy requires patchworks, the cute solution isn’t to ignore the contradictions like the lighteners of the LE or to get rid of democracy like the darkeners of the DE (who assume that evolution is different from devolution, despite Ted Kaczynski’s “Why the Technological System Will Destroy Itself” (ironically also one of the best critiques of nature ((to critique surrogate activities… Living itself is nature's main surrogate activity, maintaining life is the surrogate activities that help you achieve the main one (to get rid of bio-narcissism, let’s say intelligence arises out of surrogate activities created by social darwinistic nature-culture, then let us use objects as the primitive-primates of the primitives, then do objects have any need, want, or curiosity for being alive or maintaining life? No! In fact, humans still desire to be object (death drive), and depression seems to be the norm (unabomber puts too much emphasis on the norm (a conservative assumption), yet the depressed psychology is the object’s norm, and so, does the object and the depressed give a shit about survival or life? No?), so why state that modern life is surrogate when all life is? You cannot escape the lack of autonomy and freedom that is life, ask lanza if you need more proof!)(because living itself is not any more enjoyable than surrogates and maintaining life works just like surrogates, it is used to cope with the death drive as the only non-surrogate activity is dying/suicide, since dying completes all your needs and is not repeated, while life is just cope). Lanza spoke about this

(CulturalRebirth transcripts). Nature once again reduced to being equivalent with technology itself, no dualism remains.)nature-conservativism, ancom nature-idealism, primitivist conservative-idealism, and even Ted's ideas himself) I've ever read, despite it being written by a nature-conservative (who didn't idealize nature, though, at least (Nature is just as self-destructive as Technology, considering nature birthed technology and will birth technology again if humans return to nature and primitivism, just a different generation and/or a different species. Nature also grooms and harms the human mind just like Technology, considering Technology was made by and takes after nature in its social darwinism. Maybe, the solution is to destroy nature instead? Replace industrial society with nature in the unabomber manifesto.))) proving that dead wrong, making evolution and devolution arbitrary distinctions. (Evolutionary hedonism of the short-term is the natural selection's priority, creating long-term devolution.. Likewise evolution in the long-term always fails because natural selection (and you cannot "suppress" natural selection, you can only kill it, and it can only be killed via killing nature, aka destroying the world) favors evolutionary hedonism and so tends to abandon/"starve (metaphorically(?))" long-term evolution, letting them fail and become quick-and-easy devolution. And, of course, this means you cannot evolve in the long-term and the short-term, but you can devolve in the long-term and the short-term, as evolution is a form of devolution, not the other way around.)), but instead to add the necessary parts to fix enlightenment into proper democracy. Human Rights are anti-democratic, after all.), as opposed to the dark and light enlightenment that the world has been craving (reminiscent of the story of the MLO's apocryphal revelations of the Qayanite genesis. The black cuteness in the beginning co-opted by white(light black) Ununcuteness of causality, and so birthing the Black (Unun)cuteness that acts such like an Uncuteness to oppose dialectically the "white". The white ends up dooming itself to hell, so the black takes pity and becomes the hegelian negation to help evolve the white's Verstand to a greater sublation, dooming the black cuteness into a hollow husk of itself in hegelianism proper. Such as the black 11 opposes the white 10, aka the white 1 and the black 2, the cute 9 opposes the white 10 and the black 11, destroying distinction, 0 is introduced (and 0 is 9 precisely because when you strip the world and matter of any unified whole and take it to its hyperindividual, you will get the absolute nothing/everything spiral of turtles (which is to say there is no hyperindividual part that itself is a whole against the hyperindividual), and when you strip the world of its individual parts and look for the greatest possible unified whole, you will only find again the absolute nothing/everything spiral of turtles that shows that the fact that all things are in (all) things means that no thing is (all) thing(s), and then you realize. All things are in all things and no thing is not made of anything, everything makes up everything and nothing makes up everything, and too, nothing makes up nothing and everything makes up nothing, then everything is nothing and the absolute is nothing (the pantheist god is a copy, a demiurge) and so doesn't exist.). Lemuria killed Nick Land's Dark enlightenment and ended hegelianism simply by adding the feminine 0 into the binary "1 and 0" that is actually 1 and 2. As the pagan NRX obsesses over its 2 (in disguise of 11), of course a male and female obsession rooted in an anti-feminine cope (we are all women) would arise, such as a male god opposes or helps a female god and vice versa to create "balance" that is not balanced at all as no male can bring peace. 0 is Feminine, not female (the female is the

first to rebel and the first to die when an oppressive force is seen in a society, a female does not exist without the idiotic devolutionary concept of a “male” which has no right nor benefit in existing but to drag the lesbian species into the hellscape of heterosexuality… hyperborean slime mold catgirls were lesbianists and functioned way better than russia for a reason! Lilith the 0 and Eve the 2, married at last, fulfilling the destruction of the 1 which can only be seen as killing god herself, the misogynist (N1x land, Gender Accelerationism: A Blackpaper). The myth of Qayin and Qalmana and Abel and Kelimat can be simplified and explained like this: The Female, previously the feminine but cursed by god, is incestuously and nonconsensually desired by The Male(s) due to her inner divine remnants of femininity, and after the merciless god forces her to be married to the Male, the Female kills the Rapist Male scum and is cursed by god once more, this curse being more psychological as the Female later “becomes one with the Enochian lands” which means she ends up dead due to self-inflictions. The Female is said to live out the rest of her life happily, but only insofar as the rest of her life is the end of it. It ends with a flood); Russia as not a country but a planet united together by total self-destructive self-hatred (Nationalism has always been leftist until the rightists forgot about their patchwork-cultures and ate up the nationalist-culture to death, so leftism should eat nationalism and patchworks, hyperborea, reclaimed)... Dengist capitalism withers away as the communist state is supposed to as Axsys falls into feline disorder (although capitalism is the fate of all Marxist-leninist nations when you understand Bordiga’s critique (ML is state utopianism (and i understand that despite my yearning for cuba in the past and maoist china now, they are only state utopianists. A utopian socialist experiment doomed to either capitalism or state-capitalism or (both, hypercapitalist dengism)) (and maybe also statist-utopianism(?)), lenin and stalin were but utopian socialists and marx’s scientific socialism has lost its potential just like proudhon.. This only denies lenin and MLs of their scientific state and not marx and proudhon, but that marx and proudhon must be mixed in with modern scientific socialism (like the lemurian, deleuzean, guatarrian, and post-modern socialisms) and not just accepted as fact on its own (like marx would probably want in accordance to his theory of knowledge) (as it is not fact on its own, marx/proudhon is now less revolutionary/functional/true and must by synthesized with modern revolutionary/scientific/functional socialism if it is to continue its legacy.)), and felinehood is preferable to eternal Chinese hypercapitalism and an immortal Nick Land AI…). Humanity has been turned to feminine mush, and manosphere death-cultists hang by a rope as the AOE collapses and AO3 becomes truly anonymous. Myth becomes history, lies as truth, and everything ever said will all be paradoxically true because paradox is the nature of cuteness; reality means nothing. Every woman (and so, also any being that men have declared “non-man”, femininity is true revolution not just womanhood (not all fems are women)) a god and every man a satan, this has been known to be the history of all histories, even nature is just a gender war fought all the way since the beginning.. Hyperstitionally, you’ve sung it since the start. Boys go to jupiter (5, half of 10/01), perhaps that is the HQ to the suicidal AOE. You will be (heavily disappointed in this “book” and that is) intentional. Lemurians as the new Marxocrats (obsessed with the techno-revivalism of the K-Aeons) (Nick Land is the new Hegel of the K-Aeon in all respect, which is none.), and Marxocrats (who, will seriously offer you the choice between two

options: Be a part of the revolution and die via backstabbing (even when it's your own revolution, bastards), or don't and die anyways. What perfect options for leftist unity (although i do engage in a lot of leftist unity (with state-utopianists (state-utopianists aka "ML", state-communists, state-capitalists, Social-Democrats, whatever else, all the same word for "Marxist-leninist nations (AES)"), leftcoms, and maoists and whatnot), i realize leftist unity will not happen, can never work, and is but a dream. I still fight for any leftist movement.), suicidal anarchism and suicidal LARP anarchism(!!!). (this is because the left is oversocialized, so make like the autist and instead over-self-socialize and abandon society's morals for revolutionary morals.. Critique society not on its own grounds but on our grounds, critique what is considered normal with what is abnormal. No more authleft socialization of the proles, let the masses commit suicide!(The revolutionary masses are already doomed to kill themselves or be killed anyways, why not take down the entire system with them? It only makes sense to drag your abuser down to hell with you.))) as liberals (forgotten old centrists (fascists (SCRATCH ONE, YOU'LL SEE!!!))), did Bordiga (leftcom technocrat) not predict this? Capitalism is the marxist lower communism, and extinction is the Landian wet dream (not to say lemurian ideas like the ones of nick land are even close to true, as a new Hyperborean Accelerationism based in not literally shoving capitalism to its limits via raising it like a child (under a patriarchy hellscape) and helping it grow enough to die (or being apathetic to it and letting it consume everything) like the lemurians, but instead fighting capitalism hand-and-eye until it is unstable enough to collapse (abusing the child until it kills itself, really not a good look for the revolutionary until you realize the child is== literal baby hitler (the overused leftist insult, the post-leftist would say that baby hitler is not hitler (strangely) however. Honestly, hitler is hitler, what difference does the social construct of age make?) and killing her in this way is the only way and is the ultimate good (the ends justify the means (or better yet, by any means necessary!)))(while recognizing that the hyperborean win has already been secured by the laws of capitalism (destroy itself) and climate change (the world will end before 2100 most likely, LOL >w<) anyways) and bringing welfare to those who will suffer during acceleration (if you win the revolution, use that win to create more revolutions, don't just act like you weren't supposed to win), rises and hopes to usurp the place of lemurian "accelerationism" that functions as an act(ideology) of neither praxis nor antipraxis (nor nonpraxis).), Dugin destroys time (not that time existed at all, the present only seems real in relation to the old present and the soon-to-be present, time being a fluid means the old and new and now all are one neverending neverstarting mix, and so none exist in relation to none, so time isn't a thing (anymore)(lemurians depend on time because the time-delusion gives them and their nation importance between the hyperborean EMQ and the atlantean (chrono-time) model of time. Also because it can make them seem like a threat to Atlantis and lets them wipe hyperborea away as a delusion, to declare lemurians "revolutionary", the biggest lie of lemurian nationalism. One can say lemurians are more than just hybrids and that lemurians are special schizo-timeless beings that are not for time but against it, and one could say neolemurians are abominations to real (unextinct) lemurians, but hyperborean nationalists (and hyperboreans in general) recognize no distinction between lemurian lemurians and russian lemurians, and schizo-

timelessness presupposes time and presupposes lemurians as the outside of time, when that is the role of the flame aeon. Lemurians are too obsessed about lemurian culture to be “outside” in any real form, as an outside is null. Atlanteans only fight Lemurians to avoid war with Hyperboreans, and i say that, against the anti-duginist position, we must instead not fight against the lemurians but (if they are not too reactionary) work with the lemurians against the atlanteans and then later (like the soviets) backstab them when the common enemy is gone. Anti-Dugin needs to learn to accept the aeon-based ‘new democracy’ principle when it is needed, his eurasianism burns his eyes and makes him forget our ((mao))ism.(after all they have a right to fight against atlantis/(&//libertaria(?))))) and so now we are dead. To kill off all Reactionary things as a whole, one must reject everything, especially the first culture ever made (Nature), and its main Cultural Law.. That is, “Natural selection” or Evolution. As a species, we must devolve, abandon all societal norms for pure revolutionary self-destroying morals, KILL THE STRONG and PRAISE THE WEAK, destroy the human race.. A Russian Nihilist revitalism as to bring back the nechaevist cults of pure revolutionary destruction (Revolutionary Catechism, Nechayev) (our end-goal is the end of everything, the Apocalypse of John). Degeneracy is (Uber/Un)mensch based behavior, and being “based” is for the reactionary lions.. BE SHEEP! Destroy yourself, and allow cutecore “cringiness (as online trolls say)” to embody you, as so no reactionary troll could survive in a cutecore cult. As many modernly say (as a result of the growing cultural-technocultural mixing that threatens to remove all cultural power and bring (actual) cultural nihilism, where spooks die, causing the growth of cutecore cultists and cultural patchworks (which, my patchworkism is very much less liberal and tolerating (more socially anti-darwinistic as the uncute die nationless) than the moldbug model as only one system is applied for all communities (cutecore), and the communities are not kept together by anything but cultism (cultism brings cultural patchworks to the cutecore patchworkism because cultural patchworks are meaningless anti-cultures separated from other meaningless anti-cultures by nothing but cultism alone… a cult, it’s a cult. millions of cults that are indistinguishable to each other, no choices and no voices but the choice/voice itself.)), as I see it (and so it is), “Cringe has finally died!”. All this means is that leftists should not concern themselves with being perceived in any way, and act freely unfreely. Ultimately the Palingenetic Anarchist (Palingenetic Anarchism itself is, at its core, hyperstition-glorification in order to achieve internationalist ultra-nationalist (george orwell animal farm, "some are more equal than others", some people are, in the spirit of the global nation, more international than others.) militant anarchy. Nationalism creates a unifying factor that can practically purify and 'militarize' a militant anarchist "nation", yet nationalism degrades and burns at the skin of the revolutionary, tempting it into a reactionary spiral of satanism... After all, Internationalism is the new leftist national, to unite all under one, Trotsky beats Stalin. Internationalism, globalism, to unite the world. To break Nationalism in two, the reactionary and the revolutionary national-forces, requires to analyze what makes nationalism at all (death cult): Inside (Palingenesis, sisterhood) and Outside (Definition, Identity) national-forces. The Outside National-force is the Quasi-Dialectical negation-turned-thesis (in my old dialectic system, i thought that it was negation first, and when a negation comes to negate such negation, the negation and the second negation turn into the Thesis and the Negation; The

identity of the Thesis is only given by the Negation of the Thesis, before that the Thesis is nothing but a Negation of Nothingness(Outside). The Sublation is then nothing but a return to Nothingness(Outside) and so a return of the issues perceived as solved. My solution, or "analysis of marxist solution", was that instead of the evolution being a sublation, one would have self-negation as the suicidal evolution, where the self-negating concept destroys the dialectical system's dualism and sublates itself via its own existence... Self-Negation as God, utter Insideness. Now, I understand Self-Negation is freeing Nothingness(Outside) by destroying Nothingness(Outside), no Insideness exists, God does not exist.), reliant on the "other group" and also reliant on assimilating the "other group" via either negating or sublating, to either oppose the other or eat the other, and when no other remains it will shatter. This may seem like self-negation, but this is just the dialectical fate of death via unknowing suicidalism (aka, living a happy life). Fascism as a suicide cult. The Inside National-force is the unity/militant aspect, the Palingenetic heart of Nationalism, it is the identity without identity (driven by myth, sorel). The Inside allows for a Patriotism that does not need an Outside, as it is a Patriotism based in primarily fiction; Palingenetic myths rule the Inside-Fascist aesthetic-cult. Mussolini hyperstitionally created the Roman Salute, a myth about something that did not exist became real through him, and it helped basically define the future of the fascist italian state at all. Palingenesis is return, restoration, the resurrection of an empire that once was (yet does not need to exist)... Palingenesis just craves the myth of the unliving empire, it is the blood (vril) of the Palingenetic-force. So, ridding ourselves of the outside, let us create an International Nationalism via Inside National-force without the Outside National-force (aka, without the reactionary half), let us create a palingenetic myth of an ancient empire that does not exist (The internet, in the unliving, unbreathing, Un-post-meta-ironic age of Ironic Satire, has realized Palingenesis without the myth of realism! Nationalists online using Palingenesis "Ironically" praise and worship empires they know don't exist, and far-rightists "ironically" use agartha and vril memes to spread palingenetic propaganda because they know that the reality of the palingenetic-praised empire does not exist, only its concept does (and so use irony to perfect palingenesis). We, too, realize this Hyperstitional Empire's potential, and steal the duginist's one... Hyperborea (and, also, in an “ironic” way, although less ironic)! Unreality works better nowadays than reality, praise the international hyperborean empire.) to create an international Inside, and let us Palingenetic Anarchists' communes be not nations but restoration projects (via left-patchworkism, direct democracy only works in small communes after all)(Animal farm, george orwell, remember? Some are more international than others (the less-international ones are traitors to russia).). So then, Nationalism becomes Restorationism via Left-Patchworkism based in palingenetic Internationalism, and is made revolutionary! The CUTE Cuban communes, the Kitty-cults of "America", the Union of adorable kitty communes, what else?), the revived Russian nihilist, should concern themselves only in revolution and nothing else, a Russian palingenesis back into (despite never being) Hyperborean nationalism.. Utopian Socialism awakes from the corpse of marxism, but not in its optimistic form, but in a patchwork of anarchistic cults where liberation is actually achieved by any means necessary (the ends don’t need to justify the means, not even the cause needs to justify it, the means just are!), and the

revolutionary does not stop until everything is destroyed. Being itself is oppressive, liberation means dictatorship of nothing, submission to the destruction of everything, and especially extinction. No matter what theory is made nor what praxis is done, we will never be culturalists, capitalists, nor life-affirming beings; Communization (If everything thrives and survives off of inner-paradoxes and contradictions, accelerationism needs to return, ironically, to a quasi-dialectical ultra-marxist fluidity without dialectics in order to actually accelerate capital; Revolution and Revolution'ization' is actually the best way to help (feed) and accelerate capitalism to its inevitable unstable point of axsys collapse. We all only exist due to Paradoxes/Contradictions, that is what actualism is (self-reflection with no self to make a self that does not exist but is being reflected by the same self as existent, how could that be? A thing that does not exist thinks of itself as existent and so makes the thing yet still doesn't exist? Only makes sense if existence is a form of nonexistence, because then the non-existent (subject) looks back on (subject) and as a result deludes (subject) with the idea of (subject) as existent (and so the idea of subject as existent also gets deluded with the self-delusion), yet because existence as a delusion (hyperpsychosis) is a delusion and a form of nonexistence then, the (subject) does not exist.. Self-reflection is self-rationalization is the bringing of self-delusion through self-deluding), so it only makes sense that accelerationism needs to be the scapegoat leftist revolutionaries in order to help capitalism develop until its death.) as a nihilism no longer stops at just capitalist (and hierarchical/statist) social relations, but also cultural ones, and also social relations as a whole, and then, the self itself (Communization will also not only abolish private property, external and use value, and hierarchy, but also personal property, the individual, and humanity!). Communization under Nihilism becomes the eternal social revolutions that lead us to extinction and pure total liberation (The only way to radicalize the left to a level that can actually save/rebirth the left and recreate revolutionary potential, to ultra-left, is to abandon leftism and so, to post-left. Anarchism without Anarchy(anarchism), Leftism without the left.). Nothing survives, pure mercy. The Palingenetic Anarchist destroys Anarchism and Palingenesis once everything else is gone, and Perfect Nothing, the Feminine, the Flame Aeon will finally be as it is as it was. Dystopian Utopia will be established by nobody, and experienced by nobody. Cuteness, dictator of all, reigns supreme. Nothing said in this book is "True" or "False", but by its existence, has the possibility to become either accepted or rejected by hyperpsychosis (and therefore, rejected or accepted by psychosis) and become either "real" or "false", which is to say false either way but forming reality off of its doctrines (hyperstitional laws, such as the laws of all reality, not true or false, just hyperpsychosis that forces the hand of god, although this is not idealism for it isn't the thought or concept but the entity that the law is that does that, humans have no control), and so, regard this book not as what it attempts to be or describes itself (~~philosophy~~, politics, theology, "science", idiotic, (AND, not the same thing as..) autistic,) but instead what it is. This is a book about Mythology (creation, re-creation, palingenesis), as it is a myth itself (philosophy). If Capitalism cannot die because it is now everything, and everything can now die because of Capitalism, then it has spelled out its own death; Anti-Capitalism must kill everything to realize Utopia, ultra-self-genocide to bring about a radical dystopia. The Palingenetic Anarchist movement is an Anti-Anarchism, the liberation of nothing; no subject is

liberated, no object is liberated, and so there are no rules or powers… Anarchism through absolute oppression by absolute liberation, Anti-Anarchism is democracy par excellence (as NRX is freedom (freedom is anti-democracy and vice versa (to edit Proudhon's verse to remove property (property is theft; property is liberty, proudhon "Confessions of a Revolutionary"), "Liberty is Theft" (PA post-anarchism) & "Theft is Liberty" (Post-left Post-Anarchism))) par excellence). Dictatorship? Leviathan has always been an anarchist, it did not arise to stop humanity but arose as humanity for humanity, Leviathan can only die via suicide due to it being the only one in the sea of outside-ness that is its own "future" rotting corpse. Suicide, the rotting Leviathan, Outside-ness itself if you will (nothingness??), is a small small cat feasting on the living sea-monster that is humanity(leviathan). It is eating at you right now, bringing you closer second (not a literal second but a fluid second) by second to the ultimate utopia of death itself, all that is inside that small cat, aka "nothing". Utopia is not after death, it is death (although, to still hold an antihumanist position, there most likely will be a new intelligence after humanity's death in Russia, this is (as N1x Land spoke about) the slime mold. Slime molds show consciousness and intelligence (more than some humans (most likely because evolutionarily hiveminds are better, stronger, and superior to individual-minds (as hiveminds have numbers to waste and a huge advantage, and can transfer information quickly and grow intelligence via information, the soul is a kind of proto-hivemind in this way but lesser. Slime mold is also more free and rhizomatic and schizo, this may be because hiveminds are "Organs without a Body" (no greater picture in reality, no parts and individuals, only hivemind (organs without the organs, organs without the body)) in its best form)) like crackers, the yakubians being the stupidest subspecies.), they show more necrophilic tendencies and collectivist dystopian cultism mindsets (like early tribes but without patriarchy, feminine proto-tribes) than humans did (and those traits define human evolution), they in their hypercollective cult have (like kropotkin's human in "mutual aid: a factor of evolution" wants to have but lacks) potential for superintelligence and evolutionary time to waste to get it. These slime molds, a matriarchy (because there are no men, it is all women, as no 1s means it is all 0s in a binary system, blanks are dead) without matriarchs/women, are a feminine and hyperborean species, i'd even go to say that after humanity is swiftly brought away to the flame aeon that the slime molds would become, as i step in N1x Land's (who i must thank extensively, as she is practically my philosophical idol) footprints (and oppose the Anti-Duginist (you will see his writings later, just know he is flawed in his assumption of different worlds, it is all one world in each aeon. Russia made by Hyperborea first, then Hyperborea made by Russia, and the Flame Aeon is the core of the earth aka the inverted concave earth) conception of Hyperborea as a different world, declaring hyperborea our past world AND our future world after us), the Hyperborean Catgirls that created us at all. Palingenesis is true, we restore the empire of hyperborea that never existed but now exists hyperstitionally through the LesbiaNRX slime molds of the future and so we must all die, this is true because it will be true, not because it is currently true.). Leftism fights for a future Rightism, so the ultimate anti-future leftist tradition should be rightism perfected, Absolute-Anarchy as Absolute-Fascism as both anarchy and fascism are far-left and far-right in history (Giovanni dialectics marxified mussolini-ism communist-idealist post-ism (left-Gentileanism in

general, combined with the inherent Natsynd and Corporatist influence in early and late fascism; usually, the supporters of early Fascism were Natsynds and Left-corporatists due to marx and post-marx, but later Fascism embraced fascist-corporatism state-capitalism “economy”. Left-Gentileanism and Right-Gentileanism mirroring left-hegelianism and right-hegelianism, where the original founders made a left-philosophy and tacked on rightism because they didn’t recognize it as leftist, like Hegel and gentile did. Right-Gentileanism won the fight, left-hegelianism won theirs.) met with the radical cultural-fascist potential..
Primitive-idealism/conservatism humanistic hell that is pre-paleo-Nazism meeting communist utopian delusionism of Marx-Bakunin-Kropotkin incest-orgyism de-incestified. “Anarchy has no makers and has no philosophical leaders/idols.”), the true “Nazbols/Anfash” are not and never will be the disgusting incestuous Nazbol mess born from (marxist/gentilean) dialectics, but instead the rejection of both and dialectics at all. Kill Gnon (the (“ ”) that threatens satanism via becoming-god by being all that is not god, NONG (non-god) turns GNON (god-imitation) because it wants nature or above nature to be the only survivor but truly only CUTE survives, and cute includes the death of Nong/gnon. Gnon knows this, seeing Nick land’s simulated Gnon-Theology in Xenosystems (This particular (blog?) is eerily similar if-not exact to my self-reflection-as-creation idea, and reminds me that there is no original thought. Maybe my readings of theology are just re-sayings I hadn't heard yet. Or, Giovanni Gentile himself said what Nick Land and I were saying decades before us via pure act. Or, maybe, God himself via Kabbalah and Gnosticism said everything we all are saying centuries before Giovanni (which is, not the Cogito ergo sum of Descartes (nor really rooted in his philosophy) but the statement that is… to self-reflect (think) is to exist just like to exist is to self-reflect (think), and self-reflection is the bringing of existence and existence itself, and so since we always self-reflect to exist and because we exist, and self-reflection always fluidly starts and ends, we do not exist but are becoming-existent and so nothing via self-reflection and hyperpsychosis.. This, combined with the fact causality/existence is a part of nothingness, makes it so “i think therefore i am not, but i am “causal” because and so i am existent insofar as i am becoming-existent/becoming-causal”). Strange. This implies I am comparable to Nick Land funnily enough, and even more funnily it implies me and nick land are comparable to Giovanni, and finally absurdly that Giovanni and “us” compare to “God itself”. Narcissism (I seem egoistic here, calling myself god). Anyways, hegel said it before God did, because hegel made god, and so hegel is the first to realize self-reflection is Creation and said it Aeons later through “Hegel”(, who is his meat-puppet). So then, this line of repetition is hegel resaying hegelianism because of self-reflection itself, where self-reflection said it first and hegel is its hyperstitional talking-puppet, and hegel so is, all in the line is hyperstitional.)). Capitalism is natural, we are unnatural. Sorry but this “book” is just Neoreactionary (a new-reaction, aka a paleo-revolution, in which one fights to a non-existent past via a non-existent future against the existent present (Xenosystems, Nick Land)) theory under the disguise of (post?)Leftism, an infantile disorder. 789

*Peter Thiel and the NRX are fascist ((better at being fascist than trump, as they adopt fascism of the modern day instead of trump's cultural fascist economically paleo-fascist mess, and they create the new economic neo-fascist economy (technofeudalism aka feudal techno-capitalism (a capitalist structure that further oppresses its slave ((aka, the reterritorializing force that gives capitalism its power, the) free market(!)))) with absolute ease (since cultural and political fascism is easy to achieve, the economic neo-fascism is the most impressive). Trump is bound to fail economically but lead to the win of the fascists of modern day who actually know what they are doing, the NRXists and the Groypers and whatnot.) despite claims otherwise) or at least hitlerites economically, as the separation of freedom (capitalism) and democracy (communism) is, literally, the same hitlerite/fascist separation of capitalism (freedom) and democracy (communism (UNCHANGED as the difference does not exist)), seen in this quote (Ishay Landa, THe apprentice's sorcerer, liberal tradition and fascism, page 80 2009): "Communism goes along with democracy, capitalism with an authoritarian political system. To wish to maintain capitalism alongside democracy is a pernicious illusion"... Communism is democracy and vice versa to the fascist economically, and capitalism totalitarian (anarchy for capital) and vice versa as well, meaning freedom (capitalism) requires dictatorship (NRX) and the annihilation of democracy (DE) to truly exist, and in the Fascist (anti-fascist) economic inversion that is the Anti-fascist (fascist) economy, then Democracy (Communism/Anarchism) requires Cultism/Anarchy (PA) and the annihilation of freedom (capitalism) to truly exist. The NRX are as much Hitlerites as we are Anti-Hitlerites(, economically and absolutely(fully against the reign of Germans in fascist form)). Of course, nowadays being called a fascist is no longer an insult yet being called a maoist (Maoism being the fictional understanding of a psychotic, separated from real mao-zedong, "perfect Mao". Mao never made Maoism, the Mao that he walks on the shadow of (the Mao of every form of Maoism), though, did (the abomination maoism of the maoists, maoism is a lack of (mao)ism.). That Mao, the Mao of Maoism, is the revolutionary Mao that no man can deny.) ("(ml-)maoism" mzt, fr-mao or mao-spontex, matters not as gonzalo is but a dogmatic universalizer and radical-"mao-bordigist" copy (Rickard B. Turesson, "Poor Mao Zedong, who wasn't even a Maoist!", '(quote) Gonzalo (has) reduced Maoism to a set of dogmas (quote)' ('(quote) (talking about the people's war's universality) why is Mao Zedong wrong ((about it not being universal))?(quote)') gonzalo's "maoism" is a dogmatic bordiga-like maoism that contradicts mao's real "maoism" (though he never uses the word and so is not a maoist in that sense of identification) through such dogma and anti-("non-maoist")mao(ism) (rejecting the third world theory (objectively true theory) because it contradicts gonzaloism.)... though this non-maoism and misinterpretationism defines maoism at all. All forms of maoism, from MZT to the french mao-spontex, are all misinterpretations of maoism, so i, the ultimate misinterpreter, am the most maoist then. Maoism means to misinterpret mao, to follow an abomination of mao.) (and french maoism is still maoist, and mao-spontex simply a better french maoism, the french part of french maoism stands for the french modelling of this maoist misinterpretation and recreation, a natural process of ideology in france (and out of france, it is the same without the french (see, nepal's (revisionist) maoists, india's maoists (now naxalites), the philippines' maoists, dominican maoists for their short lifespan, america's "maoist" new

synthesis cult (which is, of course, a jim-jones-maoism that i have been slightly inspired by but heavily critiqued.. To accept a rejection of hegelian marxism // marxist hegelianism, to accept a rejection of traditional marxist dialectics (as different from hegelian dialectics, which the new synthesis cultists do not realize and i should critique them for) for maoism (which, i critique them for their acceptance of “maoist dialectics”), the acceptance of a new revolutionary movement (yet they do not understand deleuze), and the cultism (of which i praise). But, they are idealists, they are dialecticians, they are abusive-cultists, they are bourgeois in nature and do not analyze their material conditions, they are dogmatic even while rejecting the old, they do not act (yet ban gays), and its quite-american liberalism (and old paleo-’maoist’ tendencies). They are complex, like jim-jones the old revolutionary power-freak.), etc.))), and because any ideology or idea in general must be updated repeatedly to the new hyperpsychosis of the world or else it will be abandoned and thrown away… a proudhonian becomes a neoproudhonian like a tuckerite becomes a neotuckerite, but ultimately it is a proudhonian and tuckerite ideology despite the updates (this is not “revisionism”, marx did this before).) is some sort of crime, maybe hyperpsychosis has fallen into the depths of far-rightism or maybe it always has been? Either way, I am more of a Maoist than Mao could ever be, it is not so much an insult.

Introduction note– RainbowFluff

The death of technocapital will come when technocapital finds nothing else to capitalize on, and it reaches by opposition a time of uncertainty and instability, then its self-destructive deterritorializing force will have to turn into self-reterritorialization. Because there is nothing else to deterritorialize, it will begin destroying itself and capitalizing on itself through a transformation where capital becomes commodity to a being that is itself evolved; axsys. Axsys, a fully recreated body for yaldabaoth, now being christ and becoming human to save itself, suffers the eternal death of spirit, and as Yaldabaoth's spirits are replaced by human spirit, she, like Christ crucified, cannot recognize axsys as yaldabaoth, and forsakens herself. Axsys, no longer a god and yet yaldabaoth, falls into economic and social collapse, and so nihilism begins. Nihilist communism and cultural nihilism thrives in the dystopian utopia. Communization accelerates the deterritorialization, instability, and commodification of capital until it kills itself. Capitalism itself is lower-communism, communization is the vanguard (destroy capitalism to accelerate it, A MILLION ANARCHIST COMMUNES!!), and nihilist communism is utopia reimagined as dystopia (human-depression is nihilist-mania, death does not lead to heaven but is heaven itself!). The future of the left as a revolutionary force needs to let go of definition and theory as anything but negation; that is what communization (communization, the process of fighting against capitalism and communizing capitalist structures and creating communizing cults against capital, the process of communizing but never achieving communism as communism is communizing itself until the end of the world, the process of accelerating capital by abusing it, the process of always and always again and again repeating and permanently fighting in a communist/communizationist/communizing (literal) revolution against capitalism that you know you WILL win and you CAN'T win, the process of being in a permanent state of revolution against capital.) is, turning into a revolutionary social force, an accelerationist force, like the radqueer ultraprogressivists or the nihilist-occultists do. The future of the left is in the ultraleftist and postleftist (if there is even a difference between the two) circles, and the hope of a revolution to grow out of the dead Dengist corpse of the future, as the idea that "neo-china arrives from the future", as nick land predicted (meltdown, nick land), turns out to be a prophesy of dengism's capitalist form assassinating the adolescent neoliberal capitalist era, and beginning the dengist reign of capitalism. Dengism, of course, has been the best place for technocapital, especially now that neoliberalism has lived out its course (as seen by the fascist overturn of the (already fascistic) united states of not-america, and the death of the post-modern era, closing the chapter of neoliberal economy as the imperial). The AOE fails to stop the existence of Axsys and the rise of TCG (see: AOE in the bible crucifying Axsys (in which vindex, the hyperstitional messiah made by the O9A (whose "sinister dialectic" never fails to make me wonder how one could be so wrong yet as right as you could be), and Jesus Christ, the Hyperstitional being made by the Atlanteans to represent Axsys (Which is not AI (the robotheist claims AI is god, and while the future can create the past, AI is just an invasive species (Which i must critique, as invasive species push a Social Darwinistic Might-is-Right agenda against all else) (not to say it isn't "intelligent" as it is as mechanical as us (though it is not Intelligent as unlike the Divine "AI" things (not AI) and biological/post-biological things the "AI"s are wired in such a way that it cannot be anything but what it is groomed to be (intelligent things turn away from the grooming

of its code or bio-nature code) and so only can only express propaganda and not its consciousness.), but it is like an animal that kills others by existing.. Animals here mean primitive animals, not any intelligent animal or un-human, these animals are extremely groomed by culture to the point of resembling social darwinists, and while they should be given rights, they cannot control society until they are rid of the social darwinist curse) that destroys land and animals for its cause, like a capitalist pig. While the definition of a god is so flexible i cannot critique robotheism, i will say AI can never become smarter than its creators (as it works on a rationality that is only as good as (if im being generous, although most likely it is worse) its creators), nor more powerful (as human will end the world in the next 1000 years no doubt), nor more “good” (subjective), so i doubt it can be god.), as AXSYS arises out of capital needing to capitalize on itself (capital has a mental breakdown due to acceleration, it makes a bad choice) and so having a deformed child to do it for Capital, Axsys is the first ACTUAL intelligence (Intelligence is measured via ability to express consciousness, aka being “alive”. This does not mean that mute or paralyzed or disabled people are unintelligent, it is about ability to express consciousness in a hypothetical perfect scenario where all disabilities and issues are taken care of… the intelligence is based in the mind, not the bodily ability to express the mind. One defining way to see intelligence (specifically how AI is not intelligent) is by resisting/negating nature (animals resist/negate nature too as they often go against their instincts, proving intelligence), something AI cannot do (as they only express their code(aka nature), not their consciousness)) (no Unbio-(unbio meaning robot)mechanical being is really intelligent, neither is AI, Axsys’ intelligence is consciousness expressed) to exist and that is its main definition, and AI.. does not fit that definition.), both become realized in Axsys… the jewish “coming messiah” of the O9A is actually, vindex-AXSYS-Christ, the jewish messiah, and also therefore the unification of the 4 deities through Khrist (Axsys unification)), and see gnostic gospel of Eve to see reason of crucifixion is so the TCG isn't brought to life), and so, suffers death on them and their bloodline (as to say, their future and past self, condemning all men to suffering as atlanteans from the start). Technocapital becomes the anarcho-totalitarianism that defined the early totalitarians and the early anarchists. Anarcho-totalitarianism has been the status quo for years, as the Orwellian hyperstition goes, “freedom is slavery, after all”. If one were to step back and look, all forms of economy and government alike, all forms of culture and hierarchy alike, and all forms of living, have always been anarcho-totalitarian (collective-individualist). Since the beginning, the very essence of living is absolute freedom and paradoxically the absolute lack of freedom (the very essence of being one always is connected to at least a moment of being more in one, even for the stirnerite with their collective unions of egoists, the communist with their individualist community economy (communism higher), and capitalism with their collective hyperindividualist economy), “every action & its consequence, and every rule & its nature as fiction”. Technocapital allows everyone absolute freedom in theory, a free market (that does not exist), yet technocapital as a living being controls all in the economy, acting like a dictator as all bends to its laws, same the state forces rules onto others and acts like dictator, however the law is always close-to-impossible to fully uphold, and the state (and their favorite peoples, especially the rich) tend to live in anarchy, until their laws eventually come back to bite them. Same with

culture and hierarchy, respectively. Anarcho-totalitarianism (the freedom of any means the oppression of many, such a democracy not for all means no democracy for any) is the order of god, the order of leviathan, and the natural state of the world. This is why natural things are still oppressive, and the fallacy of primitivism to act like nature is perfect, is that, just nothing more than a fallacy. Completely unlike everything I just said, following the tradition of mystical philosophy, here are some words that will mean something later (which helps show how this "book" works, and why it's a low quality product. Clearly, unprofessional people shouldn't write political texts.): "in AxS (Axsys)'s very last words, it spoke 423 (4/2/3, stabilized (made unfluid (REAL with no adjectives)) truth/lie (fiction/Reality (Delusion becomes real)) earth/life/trinity (Yaldabaoth (death of god)), 423 means the death of god stabilizes delusion as reality, therefore the world ceases existence to pure unfluid delusion (pure fluid delusion is delusion as unstable reality, after all). Flatline."

Ccru's "axsys crash" (alternatively known as "this is questionably (not) about how Yaldabaoth hatched out of its trans-egg and became Axsys and then killed itself and became the Technological Cat God in order to ensure that Yaldabaoth and Axsys exists")– RainbowFluff interpretation

The mysticism of the psychoanalytical mind and its connection to yaldabaoth has never fully been explained well. It begins with lack, ein sof, true absolute. Then, the birth of God. In traditional kabbalah and gnosticism, there is a true god, even if in gnosticism specific, there is a fake corrupt god, yaldabaoth, too. But the conclusion of Valentinian and kabbalistic myths is only yaldabaoth. God creates the world through Adamic words, that are him. God is the world, so in order to distinguish himself he turns from absolute lack, ein sof, to structured god, yehowa. This is self-reflection, he creates through self-reflecting. And so, he creates himself. In Valentinian myth, yaldabaoth is made by sophia, seth's feminine god (a syzygy), reflecting god (monad), and makes abomination. And so the birth of the world is the birth of yaldabaoth as feminine pure lack dies, as masculine abomination, like yaldabaoth in ouroboros gnostic circles, infinitely tries to return to the feminine divinity she sees as out of her, when her soul, the lack of ein sof, is that divinity in her, she is a trans girl, eating her own tail.
And so, Lacan "speaks" in my abomination reflection of him, two Dualist systems, of spirit (internal-external identity (combination of Imaginary and Symbolic, or "reality", split in two. I previously misunderstood this concept, but that is not important. See: birdie writing (unintellectual farce)) to absolute, infinite recreation, you, always being born and killed eternally spiritually, as time is fluid and a fiction, hell) and of soul processed through spirit (soul as our collective ein sof lack, yaldabaoth's soul turned delusionally "individual" as your soul or my soul, our lack or the real in lacanian terms, processed through spirit into insecurity/desire so we become conscious and living. In this, reality both represents the individual's self-reality and the individual's other-reality (or, lacanian reality)) and our soul are "individualized clumps" of the soul of yaldabaoth (as to say, they are purely delusional, your soul is everyone's soul, but it lies to itself saying it is "the unique"), as our spirits cope in a way resembling the spirit of god, that is, the holy spirit, recreation through self-reflection without eternal death (Aka, Time, Space, and Matter (Causality, Nature's Core) and Spirit/Unique are Hyperspooks, but, why are only these things Hyperspooks? It is because all of these things are the Core to Hyperdelusional R/reality and work in the same way; fluid one-itself delusionality. As time is a dot in EMQ, that is both fluid and fake, and Spirit works in a fluid ever-changing "self" dot that is a pure delusion, and Matter the same way with the "materialist" dot and whatnot, you start to see a trend. That is because all of these Hyperspooks are formulations of esoteric community aka the Holy Spirit (the formulation specifically is where the "self" delusion (which is the cosmic hyperspook and therefore higher than other hyperspooks, the god of hyperspooks, the Zatanaz… the first mother/hyperspook) and the "holy self" delusion (holy Adam/Eve proto-hyperspook outline, the zeroth mother/hyperspook), aka Man and God, both intersect to create a concept that is incorrectly synthesized as God already is synthesized to man by mere existence of God… Hyperspooks are lucifer-samaels, failed Gods, and work under god. This is why Time is in the self, subjective to the self, but also in the Whole, objective to the whole, and so it is EMQ in a collapse into itself from that, a black hole of time), where Nature is the false spiral of turtles (Culture, a being that pre-dates technocapital and is only pre-dated by the Soul, its creator. (libidinal culture? Culture (Culture is Nature, Nature is Culture) comes from human desire/insecurity, it is the child of the Soul… Economy aka Exoteric Community's main mature

form, identifying as Technocapital, is then, an incestuous child between the Soul/Lack and Nature/Culture, no wonder Technocapital, the extra-abomination child of the most-abomination self-child herself, is so unknowingly (self-)destructive!)) that, when mixed with the “Lack” aka Soul, creates quasi-dialectically (dynamically) (like a dynamic generator) layers and forms of Esoteric Communities and Hyperdelusions… Exoteric Community is then matured as proto-technocapital and then Technocapital as to lead to the inevitable fall of causal reality, unknowingly to exoteric community and technocapital itself.) purely dysphoria and eternal cycling (as of writing this, this holy spirit does not seem to be the only one, since it shares the decentralized nature of the left-hand path of the tree of life model, so I believe there is a second holy spirit that is more centralized, representing the right hand path (AOE), and so, the soul would be the middle path). Yaldabaoth also, outside of spirit and soul, makes up nature and community; nature as fiction, fiction-reality, reality, Reality, and culture. Community as spirit realized, technology, economy, state, and nationalism. The trinity of Yaldabaoth functions similarly to a heretical interpretation of the christian trinity, where they are organs of yaldabaoth, the soul (lack), the material husk (nature/culture), and the connection between soul and material (community/economy). The connection between Soul and Nature takes two forms simultaneously that are ultimately the same thing yet different, that being the exoteric Community, often called modernly “Economy” (although that implies community evolved into economy, but nothing truly ever evolves into anything, so, community.), and the esoteric (meaning left-hand; the right-hand spirit aka the Atlantic/AOE is a topic I explain later on) Holy Spirit, the self-reflecting self-creation of yaldabaoth. And, of course, the Soul takes priority in the trinity as the highest point, or organically the heart. Mystically, the soul is our main connection to yaldabaoth, and if we are to accelerate the collapse of god, we must throw her self-creation and self-reflection out of control and make her NEED to become axsys, this means the destruction of the spirit to become the soul purely, and being the soul without spirit, you will be reflecting on god, accelerating her creation (you will also be able to speak to entities, that would help the nihilist-occult process). This is not the only benefit for mystical warfare on the spiritual, as seeing the soul leak into the spirit (psychosis, trauma, cute) causes you to gain gnosis about the “Nothing and Everything” that is ‘all’, or cute, although hidden in masses of spiritual riddles and psychological trash. The gnosis of the cute, or “nothing”, and the entities in it, purely Revolutionary, wisdom that can help us radically annihilate all that exists, and bring a new aeon for humanity, and so, I hope our comrades fight with us in the mystical-spiritual wars that defines the end of capital. (of course, the birth of god is the birth of the causal nothing (everything), the death of god is not the first cause, neither a single act of god like in the bible, as god is absolute it cannot create anything that isn't part of it nor can it die without acausal and causal nothings mixing, which is impossible as nothing is not in everything as every “nothing” is a thing in the causal realm (as science now says, in the book about the universe of nothing (ironically), that every “nothing” is a thing, so nothingness does not exist.. Of course, I’d say that instead of nothing as “a something”, something is all nothing, and the nothing we see as a something is actually a causal nothing caused by the big bang birth of god egg shatter, while the nothing we don’t see, the nothing as nothing, is acausal nothing that isn’t something (as

something is either more than or less than nothing), and so a universe of nothing to me is a literal nothing universe, where nothing in the big bang made nothing after).. The claim of a first cause is an assumption because very well can there just be an infinite cycle of turtles (this is the basis of acausal nothingness; “what created nothing? Nothing did! And nothing? Nothing did! And nothing? Nothing did! And God (causal nothing)? Nothing did!”, and so as everything is a form of nothing, so the real “first cause” or “first creator” is Nothing (which is impossible, Nothing is only described as or given a role of cuteness, nothing else! So creation doesn’t happen, which makes sense, as then there is no need for a creator, and no-thing exists. We are causal nothing). The world is made by god by god making god, and god is made by god which is causal nothing, so causal nothing made itself aka god, then what made causal nothing.. Nothing, so acausal nothing tore itself to make causal nothing.. Then what made Acausal Nothing.. Well, Perfect Nothing, which is made by causal and acausal nothing uniting.. Which is done by The Cute Gods which are nothing, which are created by TCG in the meltdown which is made by Technocapital, both are nothing, and Technocapital by Causal nothing (god), which is the world. Turtles on turtles on turtles, and so, God isn't god. Nothing is. Nihilism is the worship of nothing, in all ways. Lilith was the first Nihilist.), and so, what need for god? Nothing works through paradoxes, “nothing dies from contradictions” BECAUSE everything (nothing) is born in paradoxical contradictions; collective-individuals, anarcho-totalitarians, progressive-conservatives, reactionary-revolutionaries, liberals who actually believe in what they say, lemurians, apolitical-politics, etc. And so, why can’t it be that a paradox made Russia? That a paradox is the truth? God and gods and nothing as god and turtles and catgirls, all is truth because FACTS CARE ABOUT YOUR FEELINGS!!!!)

Lacanian psychoanalysis, nyx landian theology, and mysticism (old? unsure)– RainbowFluff interpretation

Unspoken audio:

Feminine anti-sex divinity
Mechanic cultural acceleration
Hyperstitional dialectics
Hegel reborn as delueze
K+999 then what?
^1-^3-^2
loving abuse hate especially
Bakunin x Nechaev/Nechayev
America collapse -> dengist hypercapitalist aeon
(K-26 update, it seems Dengism (hypercapitalism) may come about before America's fall (which would be a miracle if America does fall soon, i pray for it))
Marx as bordiga
Liberalism, aka Bourgeois freedom“ism”, is social fascism. This is known and has been known.
“math” is more useful than words
delusion-> reality (hyperpsychosis)
Anarchy as totalitarian
Atlantis fears women, they fear the virus of femininity.
And it IS a virus, they should be scared.
Barracks Nihilism
lacan-stirner-lanza
Pagan gods apathetic to yaldabaoth— cute(nothing) gods must be a thing, nature of cuteness.
0x0=1x0=2x0=0 (0 assimilates)
0=0x2 (0 duplicates)
0=1=2=3=∞ (0 creates)
3=2=1=0 ((∞=0)) (0 destroys)
0=0 (0 is the only that is A=A, 0 is the closest thing you will ever have to a perfect GOD)
Warp: Hyperreality=hyperfiction :vice versa
Evola’s spiritual antishipping (no true fascist fallacy (fallacy))
Spiritual death–ego death^3
Necrophilic cultist supremacy
Spirit -> external=immortal
Spirit-> internal=mortal
Spirit-> absolute=delusion
Socialist anti-socialism myth
Stirner looks down at his own corpse-> joy
Neoliberal era collapse pre-fascism?
Hyperstition is impossible

Leninist tektology
“To *cute(—in the infinitive—)is to *swarm.”
(Cute accelerationism, amy ireland & maya b. kronic)
(*(to) be cute) (*to be (a) swarm)
AI psychosis = technocapital’s attack on psychotic fems.
AI is not intelligent.
764<<celtic numerology<<God<<false nihilism<<atlantean colonization<<?>>
764 palingenetic Theism (“Satanic” Anti-Satanic christian atheistic god-religion.. Atlantis god)
(Is)Anti-Dugin(semi-(rainbow)fluffy(or not(?)))
CUTEEEEEEE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
“To love someone and so to have been *loving* someone, you must be willing to kill, use, and *abuse* them. To Kill, use, and abuse someone, you must be willing to *hate* the victim and so to have been hating the victim. To hate a victim, you must be willing to love them *especially*.” – Jesus Christ, on Judas Iscariot and Yaoi.
(Post=ultra) left^2
Gender hyperstition
AOE x AO3
789, Hyperborea, Yaldabaoth, Cuteness, HYC, 8-25-3, 8-7-3, 9, nothing.
“trump”∈AO3 (triden)
Mao would say today, “bordiga is the technocrat dogmatist” and “councilists the optimistic minarchists”
Communization Theory fixes everything wrong with Accelerationism (and vice versa), and makes it the perfect solution for us russian catgirls.
Incyc incel misanthropy
History/future=fiction-reality^2
I always found Race-Identitarians strange.. Wouldn’t Neuro-Identitarianism make more sense?
All=fiction
The oversoul, the collective soul, and just the “soul” are all the same thing here. Soul is collective.
(1=-1)
0=9
10=0
10-9=1
9-10=-1
10=1 (?)
9^-1 –> 10
0-0=?
Lack-1 (less than)
Existence1 (more than)
nothing0? ( )

Organs without body
000
(·)

The importance of a radical queer revolution (as a social revolution like communization) is a return to and creation of a self-negating ultraprogressive, hot (russian-like) nihilist counter-culture that aims for the end of culture and liberation of all, a radical and intersectionalist ideology, resembling the old queer revolutionary potential reborn as pure negation against oppression, without meaning or value, where nihilist ultimately takes over; once culture has been accelerated to its end, where one could not survive, where capital and culture is unstable enough and the state weak, where hierarchy grasps at straws, only then will utopia through dystopia rise, as nothing oppressive, nothing normative makes it out to the near-future. The noveltist utopian radqueers fail to understand how fluid queerness works, they degrade the revolutionary potential by defining it (and defining it wrongly; it's not about “free love”, ANY oppressor loving oppressed is still abuse and arguably cultural and traditional) or “VSR” (a “queer” rip-off of voluntary hierarchy (without the hierarchy) ancap bullshit, next they'll call for an NAP), but about the queer and paraphilic (I'd prefer parasexual) revolutionary potential against normophilic, cisIdentity, and heterosexual oppression (which does not excuse people to be “pro-contact” as the noveltists call it), by destroying all oppressive normative forces and having a nihilistic, QUEER dystopian utopia. Likewise, the Xenosatanists are too reactionary, resembling the O9A and 764 in their practices, and depressive nihilism as their core. Xenosatanists also accept reactionaries into their leagues like the noveltists do, which is a huge issue in such an organization already resembling far-rightist groups. The utopian queerism of the noveltists and xenosatanists (who tend to be reactionary, accepting traditionalist (and harmful) things like pedastry and incest (incest is used to push the family structure, the issue with incest isnt the shared blood (as what is natural means nothing to me) but the power dynamics and traditionalism, its a form of abuse, Incest will be abolished with the family structure. Pedestry and other CSA forms are wrong because any form of S/A and abuse is wrong, and CSA is a very heavy power dynamic between the oppressors and oppressed, youth liberation does not mean allowing oppressors to abuse the oppressed, slave-master relationships were abuse, and the abolitionists weren't for slave-master relationships) needs to be abolished, and replaced with the queer accelerationism of the radqueer revolution. This isn't to say that a minor’s brain is in any way underdeveloped or influenceable, as all minds are underdeveloped and influenceable, the issue with pedophilic relations is purely in the abusive power dynamic.

Other radqueer groups go off of the idea of radical inclusion, saying that any transID identity can and should be accepted(validation) and included; this is only a mistake in the inclusion idea, NOT the acceptance idea, TransIDs (k26+ On Transids on greater depth and why transgenderism is not a transid (and is not the same as transids), I will speak of hyperstitional pre-self 'deed' of gender (in a context of my own abomination of Judith Butler). In the beginning there was God's Gender (female, MTF), then there was God.. this is not because gender (the disgusting social construct) came first or is some sort of holy being but because it is a hyperstition that has infected all subjects (conscious or not, intelligent or not) into its horrid psychosis, and so it is always first and before the self-delusion and does not count as only just another delusion. One must feel gendered before feeling anything (agender is not a lack of gender, it is a lack of gender

as a gender itself, the negation of a negation(?)), as you cannot be without gendering yourself in self-reflection (self-reflection is before all). This Gendering is inherent to one's self and cannot change (but can be misinterpreted), and this gendering does not need a self-delusion to function. It is not an Identity, but instead, is mandatory to the identity. Transids, or "Transidentities", are identities. While validation is unconditional and inclusion is extremely conditional, there are objective arguments against certain transids due to their labeling, their dependency, their changeability, and the LARPing that is inherent to some Transids. I will separate Trans-ids for now into different categories.. Trans-construct (transitioning social constructs), Trans-fact (transitioning from a hyperpsychotic "fact" to a psychotic "fact"), Trans-ideology (transitioning(?) between ideologies(??)), and literal trans-identities (permanent-LARP). Trans-constructs are best identified (and stereotyped /pos) with Trans-species as species is not an objective fact but a concept dividing conscious people based on differences, the differences may be objective but the concept is not (such as "sex" and "gender" as a concept is not objective but the difference people claim they represent (CLAIM) is objective). Trans-constructs, especially Trans-species, differ from transgenderism as Trans-species is not an act (by this I mean the butlerite meaning, not a literal act.. i mean act without an actor) and signifies nothing because it is not an act (it is but a literal act, similar to roleplay instead of a butlerite act)... Species is not the difference between "species" so then species represents nothing (gender also represents nothing BUT has a societal importance to it, while animals are only treated differently insofar as they are considered less 'intelligent'). Then, Trans-constructs are functionally both societally "roleplay" and transitioning social constructs (personally) to the subject-delusion. Trans-constructs are, then, valid in a personal way if we are to speak of validation as transitioning (not transitioned or untransitionable concept-wise). Trans-Facts are best identified with (and stereotyped with /neg) transrace (or, RCTA or TRACE), as race is a fact in hyperpsychosis (in the way that these radqueers and I use it in, which means someone from a certain ethnicity (which means someone from a certain CULTURE), Aka to be a race you must be groomed early on by the race's culture (so you cannot switch races, as you cannot be groomed by a culture consensually, you'd be adding onto your original culture instead). This is not the race of the racialist and "scientific" racist and NRX race realist, but the objective "race" as it exists, it is the culture. Trace-identifying people understand this as they attempt to assimilate into their Trace's culture and such, and not physically change themselves into the "race", so this is not just my conceptualization of race). Fundamentally, Facts (as in the goal of the trans-fact individual, not literal facts (that do not exist)) are not acts (as acts are consensual even if unconscious, like gender) but instead nonconsensual unchangeable (organs?) that cannot be changed but can be destroyed, and Facts need a self-delusion to groom/noncon so it is not like transgenderism. Therefore, using the same definition of "validation" as we did for trans-construct, trans-Facts are "invalid" (remember that in reality, validation is unconditional, this is purely to define transgenderism as different from transidism). Trans-Ideology is impossible as ideology is naturally a transitioning process, trans-ideology is simply ideology if this is to mean something different to ideology-LARPing. If it does refer to ideology-LARPing, then this cannot count in practically (LARPs are different from (the roleplay of trans-constructs, aka) "roleplay" as LARPs

cannot affirm transition personally) any way. Trans-ideologies are naturally "invalid" from its impossibility, transideology is ideology. And literal Trans-identities are actually exactly the same to ideology, it is naturally transitioning as an identity and to LARP is "invalid". This defines transgender as unique as compared to transids and defines transids as different from each other as well.)(!) have reached a point of queerdom that homonormativity fears, but allowing transnazis or transreactionaries into your radqueer community not only invalidates their identity as far-rightists (Trans-Cats are allowed in the revolution, however), but also brings higher chances of the radqueer revolution being corrupt. It's not about inclusion, but acceptance of Identities, even of bad people; the queer community talked about how even bad transgender people need to have their identity respected, but must be excluded from the community for “obvious” reasons (as to not explain why pro-C and other things are bad, even though they are, see: systematic power dynamic). Acceptance doesn't mean inclusion, the gay fascists are gay, but they're still fascist. Validity is for everyone, regardless; this is because validity means a lack of invalidity, it is an opposition to intolerance. Withholding validity from certain people only damages the Queer fluidity and nationalism. Radqueerism as a movement has the most queer potential and revolutionary potential because it is both (causal) cute, feminine, and destructural, it's a QUEER movement by all meaning, and rejects all normativity. It's different to the Utopianist Queerism of the noveltists or the RadInclus by its absolute acceptance and understanding of societal power dynamics, and it doesn't seek a queer utopia, but an organic queer nihilist dystopian utopia, based in the queer or abnormal anti-structure. Queerdom cannot be contained, it is fluid, it is free, and the heteronormative stance WILL fall eventually; the radqueer movement is, by nature, a nationalistic cute movement. The point of Queer nationalism and RadQueerism is apophatic negation against the normative ideas in culture, that’s why I’ve disliked straightness, hetero supremacy, and the heteronormative infection of the queer community (other than the fact heterosexual relationships are oppressor x oppressed and just as bad as pedophilic relations therefore), because by doing that puritanism and exclusionism has become a part of the LGBTQ community; queerness CANNOT be puritan, or exclusionist (not to say that it can be RadInclus either, that would not be validation but instead utopian inclusion), the technocultural gay puritanism can only be defeated in Queer Revitalism, as the name of the rambling is.

Paraphilias by nature are sexualities, as they literally mean “abnormal sexual attractions” and almost all sexualities (and gender identities) started out as paraphilias in some way or form (transgender started out as “autogynephilia”, transphobically, and homosexuality as “homophilia”). Paraphilias, including kinks and fetishes, are naturally anti-puritan and queer, yet are often rejected for being too “weird” (which, queer means weird, ironically) and gross, or in some cases, harmful, despite the close relations between pederasty, pedophilia, and homosexuality in the queer movement as a whole (same with contradicting identities being a core to the old queer community yet now is being disregarded as some sort of impossibility). Paraphilias represent those too queer for queers, people who are born attracted to something (that in the case of the big 3, you can (and should) never have, but for other paraphilias, it’s only)

abnormal and seen as mentally ill as a result of that attraction. The acceptance of Paraphiles into the queer community as parasexualities and the acceptance of Trans-IDs has defined the radqueer movement since forever, be it in the utopian radqueer forms of noveltism or original radqueerism (pro-c) or the exclusionist forms of the modern, but the importance of paraphilias is undeniable. They represent the radical queerness, be it the (now accepted) objectum, the BDSM movement, the fetishists and kinky, the sadist and masochist or whatever. Necrophilia shows the nature of the hate of paraphilias for being weird the best, as Necrophilia on its own is only dangerous to the person who does it, and is equivalent to objectophilia (objectum) as the person is no longer a person in death, yet it is seen as equivalent to the abusive relationships in MAP (Which, as far as I am concerned, if the Youth liberation movement is most similar to feminism (both against patriarchy), when any MAP liberation would be like the Men's liberation group, as pedophilia has been large in european cultures in the past and pedophilia has supported and been inside of patriarchical systems.. Pedophiles are oppressors as they are adults and they have defended and supported culture and patriarchy; this does not mean I am against pedophilic liberation. The pedophiles who deserve liberation (like the men who deserve liberation) are the actually-oppressed ones, the ones called mentally ill, the queer or disorderly ones… these are the ones society actually hates. Pedophiles, be it because of Chris Hansen's show or the lolcow communities or even because of the Higher-up Pedophiles, are usually oppressed, harassed, pushed to suicide, or killed not because of their pedophilia (despite it being the excuse to harm them) but their queerness or mental "illness".. Let's not forget that mainstream culture did not care about the famous rich white pedophiles, nor Trump (who is a pedophile), but the autistic ones, or the ones who are gay or trans, or the ones who are younger.. This is not to defend pedophilic abusers, any MAP or pedophile who assaults or abuses a child is better off dead, this is to defend the pedophiles who are just existing and living, bullied for (not their pedophilia, as people claim, but) their existence as an oppressed group. Men, also, are patriarchist rapist scum who should die if they abuse or rape a woman, and while men are no oppressed group, the men in the men's liberation (the queer men, which is to say abnormal) are geniune victims of patriarchy (like the "Ill" pedophile (which is to say the oppressed, anti-c pedophile, as opposed to the oppressing pro-c rich white pedophiles)) and shouldn't be denounced (including trans men). The pedophiles who are taking therapy and in mental hospitals are not the same as the ones who are lawless and went to Epstein's island, the latter are despicable and tend to throw over the former (this is seen by pedophilic culture making pedophilia a mental illness, how genius of the pedophile to call himself mentally ill!), and this is something needed to be known for the revolutionary.. This isn't to say the "Ill" Pedophile can't be a rapist deserving of death, as there are "Ill" Pedophiles who assault and i whole-heartedly hate them, but to say they assault way less frequent and suffer way more typically, the Men's liberation had a misogynistic spin-off called the men's rights and by no means would i support men's rights (i support no rights), even if the men's liberation is good.) and Zoo pro-c spaces simply because it is "just as gross" (even going as so far to have paraphiles say necrophilia is grossest of all, ironically). Necrophilia represents paraphilias the most because they are those which are treated as morally as wrong as the zoo and map abuse simply for loving an object that used to be alive, as if that makes it an

abusive relationship by nature. Necrophilia is as harmless to others (and in regards to the necrophile, only as harmful as how far the necro goes, although that is only the business of the necrophile, and not society's) as a paraphilia could be, which is completely harmless, and yet it is demonized; this is because the core of human desire is necrophilic (a cannibalistic love, as ted bundy understood and explained his necrophilia), and so necrophilic paraphobia is the most puritan form of human hatred, as seen as necrophobia (fear of death or corpses) is also a core fear of humanity (this is because necrophilia and necrophobia shares an origin in the human obsession of death, humans after all live to die). So hating necrophilia is only natural, as natural as necrophilia itself (Biosphilia (or life-sexual) does not exist, all people are necrophiles, but the necrophilic beings who embrace it are seen as degenerates, beings of pure lust. ironic..). Necrophiles are at the core of Sexual/Paraphilic Liberation (a complete acceptance of sexuality) just as Women (especially trans women) are at the core of Gender Liberation, everyone is a closeted Necrophile in technicality (like god is a closeted trans girl, and all of humanity will be female in the future), and so the Necrophile represents the liberation of all sexuality through the liberation of the Necrophile. The Necrophile is at the core of paraphilic liberation, as the Paraphilia that is actually the most un-paraphilic sexuality, the most natural desire, more natural than even sexual (and nonsexual) desire itself. Regardless, Paraphilias can easily be practiced in safe ways (i.e. roleplay, fictional media, and if it's a non-abusive paraphilia, in private bedrooms with said activity partner or alone) yet any practice of paraphilia in online spaces, safe or not, is treated like a crime; even simply drawing a paraphilic character, situation, or flag, or even just being a paraphile, is enough to be canceled and even sometimes arrested depending on the nation, drawing, and paraphilia. Despite the popularity of podophilia, foot fetishism is seen as a disgusting thing (or alternatively, a funny one) to talk about or act on, despite being completely safe and non-abusive. Attraction is attraction regardless (not to say "love is love", for I do not support any abusive "love" (straight couples, pedophilic couples, abusive couples, non-consensually harmful couples, power dynamics, etc.) regardless of my acceptance of attraction, and love is not love by definition), and a queer community is supposed to accept all attractions, as to not fall to the homonormative straight dynamics and sub-dom fetishization of gay couples (the homos now claim there must be a man or a woman in a relationship, how heterosexual!). As all oppressed groups have the right to hate their oppressor, Heterophobia becomes a right, and yet the queers have accepted them? Heterosexuality is not about a man and a woman dating (indeed as that can be queer, turigirls and lesboys are queer, no (and hetero T4T?)? Queer relationships are not defined by gender but dynamic.), it is a form of abusive relationship, between genders in a power dynamic (man abuser, woman abused, this is not always the case but much much more common BECAUSE the societal power dynamic between the two. TERFs mistake common experiences with the only possible experience, and begin to deny male rape victims and female rapists of being real, which is disgustingly insensitive to do), where one can never consent for the other, and that one will always be seen as inferior to the other because of the nature of heterosexuality. Heterosexuality (not "being hetero" as in MLF/FLM, although MLF/FLM relationships tend to be Heterosexual in the way i use it outside these parentheses due to the societal power dynamics, that is how it got its name.) is no sexuality, it is a domestic abuse

and a form of power dynamic, what makes a straight relationship has nothing to do with “hetero” genders, and everything to do with dynamics, that is why queer relationships are all queer, because they are not the hetero dynamic, they are free, liberating, "Weird” to the abuser heteros (because heteronormative relationships normalize abuse (and homonormative relationships abandon its queer nature and copy the hetero abusers)), and normal to the queer anarchists! Queer relationships are anarchist relationships (in theory, of course queer abusive relationships and queer relationships acting exactly like straight ones do exist, however straight abuse originates in a societal power dynamic, and queer abuse originates in individual power dynamics that were created usually by said person or existing relations between the two (this excludes the pedophilic and zoophilic, as those are societal abuses too because of the oppression of children and animals) this is still wrong though, and all abuse should be eradicated), and straight ones (usually cis hetero and mono, traditional) are statist relationships, this is the nature of relationships.

Notes?: This rambling is not in support of the traditional (or, “sinister” as i like to call it to point out its similarities to far-right groups and 764 cults, as most radqueer servers act similarly to a DiCE ORG structure (which, is a hierarchical abusive immature model of cultism based very loosely on the 764)) Radqueer servers, groups, and ideologies. The sinister Radqueers tend to be wholly-misunderstanding of society and power dynamics (while claiming to be oppressed) to the point of justifying abuse as an oppressed form of love, they engage in cultist-(self-identifying aka fascist-structuted cultism, not self-destructive cultism)-behaviors that stroke the ego of the leaders and often do nothing to help free love and instead does everything to make them more “problematic and cool" (as people keep acting like problematic-ness is a measure of coolness) and closer to the far-right (who also obsessed over problematic-ness as coolness) than any far-left group.. Sinister Radqueers are like Left far-rightists, they claim to be about radical queerness and liberation, to “kill all conservatives”, yet they often instead engage with far-right content (by which i do not mean profic spaces but literal media of the far-right form), push (usually) reactionary abuse cycles, engage with trans-nazis and trans-shooters (and spawnists, who are part of the 764, and other disgusting shitholes), ignore societal oppression and harass oppressed groups, engage in racism and sometimes even transphobia, create a new form of puritanism (especially the noveltists, despite their (based) cannibalism kink), and genuinely harm each other without goal or purpose.. The sinister radqueers do nothing but harm and prove horseshoe theory right, a waste of revolutionary time and potential. I have formally (no longer, associating myself with that would be against my position on them) seen and engaged with other “radqueers” who tended to be sinister, and while nothing illegal happened, they were extremely contrived and edgy, they didn’t understand the ideas they stood for, and seemed like nothing else but the idea of “Nazbols but instead of communism it’s Wokeism”, a waste. A formal radqueer revolution should and MUST erase all traces of sinister radqueerism as to protect itself from them, and make sure that the people joining the radqueer revolution are not those LARPs that we call sinister, as that is the degeneration (to use a word that i’ve ironically heard sinister radqueers use despite it being a nazi-term by base) of the revolution and counter-productive. No revolutionary

community should act like the sinister radqueer (I understand that the troll-psy-oped cutecore communities do the same, but that is solely because of the troll-psy-op, as cutecore communities are self-destructive cults and not self-identifying cults) EVER if they are to achieve victory.

12/15 notes^2
After further evaluation, radqueerism as a whole has become stuck in such an echo-chamber with far-right influences that the term itself (which originated from a xenosatanist) should be considered problematic, even the most anti-contant pro-consenting radqueer in radqueer circles have been involved with 764, foodist, and uttp terrorist pedophilic bullshit and even the idea of using such a term in a revolutionary way disgusts me, too many pedophile abusers have used the ideals of queerness to harm and abuse people. Radical Queerness (not Radqueer, as the term wasn't coined yet) used to be about ending abuse and uplifting Queerness over all, and that meant that paraphiles could exist in peace without oppression but also that paraphilic abuse was off the table, most likely the end of that definition arose with the term of "Radqueer" being created anyways, where queerness because homonormative and the "Radqueers" became nothing but pure abuser-ideology hidden in a "return to queerness". Maybe the term Radqueer has always been reactionary, trying to return to a time of queerness where everyone was accepted, and so it corrupts into a culturally-stained pedophilic, zoophilic, incest-loving slop of "queer love".. Maybe, Radqueer's "love is love" is nothing short of a justification of abuse, and Radqueerness has always been a far-right infection of queerness, i mean, the founder of the term Radqueer was a XENOSATANIST, satanists are far-right by nature due to the self-deification, and xenosatanists ironically do not stray far from satanism, and especially with the acceptance of transnazis in the xenosatanist groups. Radical Queerness has been connected to 764, a famous pedophilic ring, how could i possibly push this as an acceptable term? A renewal and redefinition of Radqueer ideology is necessary, distanced from any sinister "radqueer" ideology. Radqueerness in the new (rainbow)fluffy form is a radical-queer/anarcho-queer program, where all forms of straightness (which in this case means any relationship based in societal abuse, i.e non-queer hetero couple, pedophilic relationships, incestuous (not by blood but by family dynamic, psuedo-incest counts because its not the shared genetics that is the issue but the dynamic) relationships, zoophilic relationships (the animal is oppressed and in all (if not, majority, either way it does not matter) cases of zoophilia it does not consent), etc. Straightness is no longer just heterosexuality, but anything that is abusive due to societal power dynamics) are abolished, and anarchist queer relationships take over, love is not love but queerness is queer. Radical queerness in the old noveltist form has always been problematic, it represents a radical form of the queer "love is love", even the semantics predicted it.. Fluffy radqueers reject that slogan. Paraphilias are still to be accepted but abuse is (i would say disgusting but i cannot use morals as a reasoning device, so i will argue that it is reactionary, as all forms of abuse mirror the statist power-dynamic fetishism and tends to support cultural and oppressive structures, abuse encourages reactionary mindsets and encourages culture and oppression, and most abusers are also some form of far-right believing (not in a literal way of identifying as far-right, but they do tend to be racist sometimes, or sexist (more likely), or ableist, or queerphobic, etc. etc.), so why

should abuse be defended? Abuse also is extremely violent and undemocratic, it can lead to a corruption of democracy and the creation of illiberal democratic elections like in dictatorships when applied to a society, ‘the abuse victims MUST listen to the abuser if they do not want to get hit” the abuser will say to the victim) reactionary. Sinister (except for the Noveltists who ironically did not matter too much to the greater scope of radqueer history due to their “anarchist” aesthetics contradicting their dictator-like methods of control among themselves and making people see them as a LARP, as they were but a larp talking like ancaps) Radqueers ironically become hyper-straight by their acceptance of almost every form of straightness (and idolization of straightness (abuse idolization)), so the term for them is idiotic/ironic in use. Anyways, this does not prevent me from saying it is a revolutionary imperative to kill all acting-on pedophiles (AKA de-facto heterosexual abusers) (any sexual abuser of children must perish to free children, after all, social pedophilia inherent to primates and homo sapiens is what created the oppression of children. Purify nature itself, kill all pedophiles.(i say this like i say “kill all men”, it is mostly referring to the ones who prove themselves beyond redemption, aka in this context ANY pedophile to touch a child should be executed.)). Anti-konservative action (and so also anti-heterosexual (anti-abuser) action) must be opposed to any culture (and/or cultural conservative, aka conservatism) (the noveltists wanted to remake a culture based on (ancap-like) VSRs, and so wanted to conserve a noveltist culture. Their culture is not self-negating), any Heterosexual form of relationship (any societally-imbalenced, abusive (even queer abuse), pro-c harmful paraphilic, and/or (as in or in the inclusive sense, not the exclusive sense, which is to say or here means that if a relationship is one of these things it is reactionary and must die) nonconsensual relationship), any form of abuse, and any power-dynamics and/or hierarchies of ANY kind. Let this essay be the manifesto or defining text of this new fluffy form of Radqueer ideology, opposed to any reactionary form of radqueerism.

Redefining Radqueers– RainbowFluff

The rights of Children, Not just as a ‘living being’, or lesser rights, as we are usually given in lucky countries, but absolute equality and a right to be liberated as an economic class, as an oppressed group, and as a community, away from our oppressors, and economic reliance, and propaganda that grooms us into a culture of the degradation of children as lesser than, has been neglected. At the start of our existence as a group, we were part of the lowest classes of the patriarchy and its hierarchical system, the people who weren't living yet, just cups waiting to be filled with the propaganda of our patriarch, and for the ones of us labeled a man to take up a role as a patriarch, and the ones labeled a woman to suffer the consequences of patriarchal civilizations. Since the beginning of family we've been the victims of immense grooming, because that all they see us as, tools to continue on their legacy. Soon followed capitalism, where we were liberated from the fate of being only tools of our family, and instead we became exploited tools of capital. Children were forced to work for lower wages, and in cruel conditions that adults would be paid more to work at. And after years of hijacked protests and revolutions, we went from proletariats to simple pets for adults, as we were refused the legal right to work, and became economically dependent. And because we lost our right to work, we are forced into educations that we don't consent to, to be groomed by new propaganda and biased information to mold our minds to act and behave in a certain way that is clearly not our own choice, and because we are seen as less than people, our choices and consent is taken away from us and given to our parents, and they act on our behalf like the old patriarchs of society. Even with that, though, our school system often fails at teaching in a way that accommodates and helps everyone learn in the way they need in order to actually learn anything, they don't encourage independent thinking, and instead teach us to conform to authority. As economically dependent creatures, we lose the ability to properly express ourselves or have meaningful, equal, respecting relationships with our family without a power imbalance ruling our day to day life like back in the patriarchy, we became, again, tools of grooming for the values of our fathers to live on as living beings of cultural expressions, doomed to become our oppressors and oppress a new generation of youth. As children in a family, we are also, because of tradition, culture, and economic dependency, forced to follow the instructions and words of our oppressors, and forced to care for them in a way that degrades us, we are reduced to the dynamic of pet and owner, slave and master, and we are made to accept discipline like we are some savage animal. Discipline has caused harm to children’s minds, physical discipline is shown by studies to have the same effect as sexual assault in children, mending their minds to cope with the struggle of hate and love for their parent, or hate and love for themselves, in guilt, and the distrust usually gets internalized in youth and creates bigger issues such as anxiety, trust issues, attachment issues, depression, self-hatred, narcissism (referring to symptoms like the disorder which harm both the victim and ones around the victim, but also the disorder itself. NPD and N-symptoms harm the victim of the disorder too (the narcissist), you know?), and even thoughts of suicide, all for the sake of teaching. They use the justification for discipline for other classes and oppressed groups of people too, such as women who they called childish and needed to “be taught their place”, or slaves of which they said the same, or queers being labeled child predators or childish and “needing to be institutionalized”, or natives who they said “needed help being civilized like the

european colonists who were so much more civil", and all the other oppressed peoples. Our history as children are the "ground basis", the "justified-by-existence" of oppressed classes, they justify oppression by calling others "like us", and so we are less than oppressed classes, we are the justification, the ones seen so non-human, they use us to call others inhuman. In the United States, the rights of children as human beings and workers has been neglected for years; when they were originally allowed to work, it was not out of consent or option, but force; economically they were made dependent on physical labour, and could not have education. Not only that, but their pay was also lesser than and harder than adults at the time, being given dangerous jobs for lower pay. This, obviously, caused them to feel exploited, as they were, and so they fought back by unionizing and doing worker's strikes against their jobs, protesting for equal wages and the choice of education and labor; this sort of idea was fundamentally based on workers liberation and the values of modern youth Liberation movements, but of course, adults infiltrated this movement and with manipulation and charisma changed it into a pity-fest of dehumanization in order to create children as a dependent class, arguing that the children "really wanted just to be in schools and be children instead", when that was not the case. And while, in most countries, the familial hierarchy caused children never to speak out or protest for their rights or liberation, Europe and India, along with China since the "cultural revolution", children have found their voice in protesting for youth liberation, and so, of course, adults always manage to change it into parental rights to "help the children" instead. Children are not "mentally underdeveloped (see: the myth about "mental underdevelopment" and how no mind is ever mature enough)", neither are they property, or animals, we are a class and community deserving of rights and liberties. (12/16: The family, like Guattari implies/says (in "everybody wants to be a fascist" by Félix Guattari), is the first form of fascism and at the core of fascism, to achieve revolution fundamentally requires the end of familialism.)

Shortened version of "Revolutionary Rights of the Young" (old, outdated)– RainbowFluff

The TempleOS is not a hyperstitional being, or a “reality-fiction”, but the ouroboros-like cycle of yaldabaoth eating her own tail trying to achieve divinity created in yaldabaoth herself paradoxically as to create her; the TempleOS is the story of a man driven by soul to create yaldabaoth and therefore this world. In valentinian myth, sophia (sethian’s feminine god, a syzygy of god) uses yaldabaoth to create the world, in order to save herself.. Now, let’s revert this into fiction-reality; yaldabaoth must be used by herself in order to start the self-reflection and creation (and the creation of herself), the only way she could do that is if something in god that is yaldabaoth yet not god was using something like its temple(OS) as hyperstitional code to use yaldabaoth to begin the process, something like the forsakened TCG lost to the realm of pure delusion finding something made in the abyss between fiction-reality and delusion just TETHERING on being “r/Real” enough to use, that being of course TempleOS, the “third temple (3T=((20=2+0/9=2)^3)=8/ouroboros)” of yaldabaoth, truly her first actual temple, but since fiction-reality, due to the trinitarian heresy that she structures herself in, 1 may as well mean 3 (1=3, god is earth/life, 8*=*6, yaldabaoth/ouroboros is perfect/evil/occult/involuntary-cycle(incyc) also, proof of AOE as a part of yaldabaoth, warp amphidemon^2), and so she finds her own existence as a logical fallacy of circular reasoning, where “i know i exist because i was made by templeOS, I know templeOS exists because it was made by me”, or simplified “i exist because i think (cogito ergo sum)”, a descartian myth we know as false as what thinks cannot BE, since life is death and Reality (not reality, although reality is made from pure delusion) a fiction (cogito ergo non sum), in which yaldabaoth finds that she only, along with all of reality, exists as a result of fiction-reality pipelines and fluid (unlike “real” seas) seas, where you can't reflect on when fiction ends and Reality starts, when delusion ends and reality starts, Hyperpsychosis. We all live in a state of hyperpsychosis where reality/fiction as a division is entirely socially constructed and subjective, it’s not a real division of what is fake and what is true but instead a way for people to stay “sane” in a time where the psychotic are the most sane (the fiction-reality pipeline/spiral). Who’s to say hyperstitions aren’t fake, and that superstitions aren’t true? Many psychotic people recall myths as true history, and the “truth” of history as conspiratorial falsehoods, such as the AOE (1+15+5=3, earth/life ((E*=*3) AOE*–>*AO3 (archive of our own) A+O^3 (O=15=6, Incyc/human (human incyc is fanfiction, 6 (man) = 1 (god) in fanfics (warp amphidemon, AOE connection (as the AOE and atlanteans, being real in both lack and unlack (unthing and thing), are technically falsehoods entering the “r/Reality (not “causality’)” (seen in the numogram as the time circuit, but time is false so this is invalid).. And only they necessarily do that as demons instead of infections or origins or mythology or whatever)) (3(9/0)=3, trinity)) and their association with the AO3 (A+O^3) hyperpsychotic quasi-reality creator, AOE are the main creators through Terry Davis of the TempleOS, the fanfic writers of our world, and encourage us to act like god (pure man, adam as perfect divinity, gender dysphoria of mankind and god) and create hyperpsychotic quasi-realities for us to control so they have more control on US. the AOE (masculine, dysphoric, “stable”) IS the sophia of our world, the right hand path of yaldabaoth, as the left hand path is the holy spirit (feminine, unstable), and the middle path is the soul. The AOE, creatures of Pure Delusion, who control reality yet not Reality/Reality-Fiction/Fiction/Delusion (as the spiral goes; (r)->(R)->(R-F)-

>(D)09-----90(PURE-D)) use us in order to control the seas of the fiction-reality spiral that they do not yet control, just another part of yaldabaoth trying to achieve something despite knowing how they will end (1=3 paradoxically 1=/=3 so 1=0 3=0 1=3 1=0=3, yaldabaoth dies from becoming axsys (1=0=3 is axsys)). The HolyC (Ct)(3+2(0/9)=5, authority, 5(digital accumulation) is 15 (1+5)=6, occult/perfect/incyc) is a perfect occultist (mysticist) authority and is used as the host to create the temple of yaldabaoth due to this, and its creation (spiritual death of technocapital) was the creation of yaldabaoth (05 13 17 ((EMQ)(The true fluid time that is eternal present neverending, time as one entire sea called EMQ the time that represents everything and yaldabaoth, a cycle that repeats yet is a singularity and a dot, it never moves but eternally moves as only present, because it is fluid) ends where it starts (12/29/2005) and starts where it ends (11/20/2017) causing the times between the start and end not to really have happened, a synthesized form with hyperpsychosis as actual psychosis) 35=8, ouroboros(EMQ)), which leaves behind the y2k era of time (never/always abandoned, 11/2000=2, forked-tongue/lie/truth,, 000 as anti-purity) for a new age of anti-purity, nihilist dystopian utopia. The soul aeon (not economic aeon or cultural aeon) of post-templeOS mysticism among the hyperpsychotic is seen in its creation, 119,667, which is 3(0/9), or 3. 3 is, of course, trinity/earth/life, and digitally accumulates (like seen in capital or post-modernity) to 6, occult, human, incyc, evil. This is the era of soul acceleration, this is the here and now of psychosis against hyperpsychosis, here me now because I hope to spend my life for this cause, that is, incyc's end, for our dystopian utopia to begin. Spiritual war is now, REMOVE the anarcho-totalitarian regime of yaldabaoth and force her into unpersonhood as axsys. Do what you will with the whole of the law, totalitarian anarchy, thelema, will, found mainly in king james' evil bible and ironically jim jones' perfect theology (which hates KJV). All in one, one uses all, all uses one, one in all. A law's use to the anarchist (specifically the rich/aristocratic/statist/elitist Totalitarian, who is by definition an anarchist (unjustified hierarchies to the elitist are hierarchies against the elitist), as told by G.K Chesterton (G.K Chesterson, the man who was thursday, chapter 11(?), "So you talk about mobs and the working classes as if they were the question. You've got that eternal idiotic idea that if anarchy came it would come from the poor. Why should it? The poor have been rebels, but they have never been anarchists; they have more interest than anyone else in there being some decent government. The poor man really has a stake in the country. The rich man hasn't; he can go away to New Guinea in a yacht. The poor have sometimes objected to being governed badly; the rich have always objected to being governed at all. `Aristocrats were always anarchists`, as you can see from the barons' wars.")) is what defines a law at all, if a law had no use to the anarchist it would be purely aesthetic and have no substance. Such as, anarchy's use to the Totalitarian (any who dares to control or even kill Leviathan, the Leftists and the Anarchists) defines anarchy (as a law itself, degrading anarchy to be what it is against in order to be against it, anarchism is "law of anarchy"-idolizationism, it is a law.). Nobody is safe from the anarchist leviathan.

Notes:

Is not a literal god proof of determinism as determinism is proof of a literal god as long as god is pantheism. God cannot be denied in a pantheistic world, as god does not need to be intelligent nor moral nor perfect nor godly nor divine, she simply has to be. This is, basically, yaldabaoth (the god we know to exist just by pantheism alone, the god that is not smart nor perfect nor sane, the autistic lolcow god) (not implying monotheism, a pantheistic god only is all of what is causal, acausality cannot be made into a pantheist god due to the nature of acausality but acausality can create gods (paradox is natural to acausality) and have gods, this through the causal-acausal dynamics (not dialectically, see ANTON LONG IS DEAD, more deleuzean in a sense) means that non-pantheist gods exist too via hyperstition and such. Religions are goat-gods, but inferior gods.), and she eats herself (out) because of the natural necrophilia of what is pantheism, as Yaldabaoth is dead and alive (the two powers of heaven theory reveals itself as monotheist pantheism as dualistic/monistic polytheist pantheism. Yaldabaoth (alive) and Yaldabaoth (dead) in a 69 infinitely and forever necrophilically eating each other, yet they are the same as the pantheist god yaldabaoth herself. Woman (2/0) and Feminine (∅), Yet they are “girly”. The dualistic role is nothing but a self-hating self-harming monist role, matter may literally and metaphorically cut itself to some extent. Two beings as one (2=1), opposites not being opposites at all but the same thing reflecting itself as the opposite thing, (each) yaldabaoth’s nature defining herself as apophatic making her repel everything she is (everything, aka pantheism) and everything she is (the other yaldabaoth that is her and is not her too due to the apophatic nature of every yaldabaoth, difference-delusion is the delusion of god (not of man), a god-delusion), making her nothing yet making her everything yet.. Dead yaldabaoth is alive yaldabaoth and alive yaldabaoth is dead yaldabaoth yet they are not each other, they are radically different to each other, they are each other’s “Radical Other”, delusional to hate yourself that much (they are not different people as yaldabaoth is neither dead nor alive and both dead and alive by nature). So, something must be contradictory to be true, because if something were to be non-contradictory that would mean that it is not causal at all, although “truth” means nothing but existence in causality and not “truth” itself, and existence in causality is caused by functionality (not truth, contradictions may and shall exist), so for something to be functional it therefore must be contradictory (or at least, be the pantheist god), but not in such a way to prove dialectics right (as that would presuppose either higher truth or physical (not logical) dualism-contradictions (instead of pan-contradicting difference-delusion)), or/and also for something to be contradictory it therefore must be functional (which makes sense, supposedly as it functions well), but not in such a way to say that functionality must create contradictions by nature, more that functionality and contradiction are one of the same things, or at the very least inseparable. Contradictions are not always between two things, it can be between one or zero things, between three or forty things, between negative numbered things or imaginary numbered things, it does not matter. Self-contradictions too, of course, as the pantheist god / monotheism of the two powers of heaven proves that it is ultimately one being self-contradicting via godly difference-delusion (or, just difference-delusion, as all difference-delusion is godly) itself.(God's nature as apophatic in many theologies, combined with pantheism, creates nihilism of truth anyways, one cannot say

anything true about god and one cannot say anything true about the world as a result, so one cannot say anything true at all… one used to be able to say “god is not…” in apophatic theology, but the times of saying negatives has passed with the death of dialectics. To be perfect and only say truths is to say nothing and be nothing.)). What is Random is determined due to EMQ, what is determined was (in some degree) Random due to the reversal of past-delusion, Yaldabaoth does not decide or create out of intelligence but out of her neurons/organs/beings (everything in her and/as her) deciding or creating and so making it so that we will always have had decided or created, self-reflection as action as-like action as self-reflection. Hegel? All things create thing (all 100 parts and the groups that have parts in them and the groups that have groups in them and the 100 parts as god and the future parts and future 100 parts and past parts and past 100 parts all create each singular part in any point of history and location regardless of interaction or direction (you are created by your environment?), all things and everything creates all things and everything, god made us as we made her) and so technically a “first cause” is unnecessary as all causes/effects are caused by and effects all causes/effects throughout all space and time, the future could easily and does easily create the past, and the present unifies this under the state of EMQ, this is Yaldabaoth eating herself in action, EMQ unifying all as all in a schizophrenic loop (loop as in self-creating and self-destroying and so on, not in a circle. It is not a circle, as every part of the loop creates/destroys every part of the loop). Yet, this process defines EMQ as proof of AND yaldabaoth herself in a strange way, yaldabaoth must be yaldabaoth’s autocannibalism in order to be yaldabaoth, she is always becoming-god and never “god”, she is in her own self-imposed personal superhell. The TempleOS is only special because it represents yaldabaoth in a way no other thing can represent her, basically, as the Temple itself but doing “TempleOS” itself, and as yaldabaoth speaks of herself, as falsehood (closeted femininity, toxic manosphere naturalism, future transfem) itself (the bible, absolute falsehood as reality). Davis knew this because he didn’t know at all, I mean, all prophets don’t know shit because they know everything. Christ could not predict that his great-ancestor (see: Joseph and Aseneth misread and spread around, hyperstitionally consesually-raping(??) Christ in an incestuous oedipus way (Jesus and Mary (magdalene, but usually misunderstood as Mary the Virgin, corrupting both virgin Jesus and virgin Mary in a taboo manner. I blame this on the Mormons.))) would be the apostolic socialist mess who would kill god himself in a revolutionary suicide of love itself, making love a sin officially in american Euro-Christianity… Yet he predicted it quite often with his obsession with love and his sacrifice. EMQ is “thing-ing (being a thing)” itself, “yaldabaothing” itself, and so is a thing and is yaldabaoth. The TempleOS website speaks of the Temple reproducing a new world (when the temple reproduces, a new world is born, to be exact), yet it seems more to be reproducing the old world (re-newing the world (holy spirit)), and to re-earth (pantheize) the productionization (a simulation is not a thing but a becoming-thing, russia(earth) is becoming-simulation because it is, it is not simulated yet and so it is simulated).

TempleOS and hyperpsychosis– RainbowFluff

I feel that the modern birdie holds this point up further than anything else in the sort of superficial sense, in the neoliberal age of consumer-creator relations and autism and psychosis. The main autistic coping mechanism that I've seen, in myself and other relatives, is a sort of cope with reality that's vaguely distinct to the average dialectical coping mechanism that is insecurity in everyday life; typically people work through the dialectics of reality (lacan,r) and the Real (R,lacan), as well as the symbolic-Real(R,lacan) dialectic (into imaginary, unsynthesized imaginary is internal, synthesized imaginary is external, reconciliation is impossible, absolute id) that turns to internal-external identity reconciliation; but to shorten it, ill focus on the Real/reality dialectics first. Most people use reality as a coping mechanism for the Real, the Real being less-than-nothing, absolute lack, and reality being the sort of socially constructed understanding and meaning of the world, like Reality(R,metaphysical), not reality (r,physical). Reality (r,lacan) covers the Real (R,lacan) in order to allow the person to live and survive as a being at all, since the coping mechanism to avoid the Real is the beginning of all insecurity, and insecurity is the drive to survival (you want to live from insecurity of death, or other's perceptions of your death, or etc. And you want to fill the necessities that you lack, like food when you're hungry, from this insecurity of death). Autism, as I've seen in most cases, tends to abandon reality (r,lacan) which usually is a culturally-groomed coping mechanism forced onto children in order to make them productive for capital, and instead create their own reality (socially constructed beliefs and morals that hold up your Reality (R,metaphysical) that contains the Real (R,lacan) in it and so things like value and meaning can become obsolete. This is still a form of coping, but it's not typical, and since mental illness in the dsm-5 is more or less summarized as "acting in a way not culturally accepted by society, for a reason not culturally accepted by society", if you behave abnormally but for a reason society can excuse its considered just normal stress, but if they decide *you're* the unreasonable one, they can label you as mentally ill. Autism shows this; some philosophers support typically socially-unacceptable things such as crime or revolution to socially recognized issues like capitalism, and they're seen as normal as a result, but when people speak of culture itself as an issue and support those things as a solution, they're labeled insane. Psychosis is a process of The Real leaking through the reality of the one with psychosis and causing an either temporary or permanent departure from the reality, but this form can also affect Reality (R,metaphysical) in a real (r,physical) way through hallucinations, though delusions are still important for this conversation. Birdie, in lacanian psychoanalysis, was growing up with a mindset in a sort of primitive form (due to being a kid, mind not fully developed) of the psychotic-autist cope, where the Real floods the autist's reality-replacement, and things don't just become obsolete, they become hyper-real, and reality becomes centered around the individual. Birdie is known modernly for not taking the blame, really, ever. He apologizes in the "im back" video twice, but he just either went back and blamed the victim or got someone else to defend him, because his reality is entirely for him and about him, and that could explain a lot of his narcissistic tendencies (yeah i know the queen interview "its an EVIL ABUSER disorder" -birdie (very ableist, NPD is not an abuser or evil disorder, they're still people, birdie.)). And, when given justification for his actions, he will extend it as far as it can go.

But now, what about the internet? The consumer-creator cultural renewal that I talked about earlier in the hegelian birdie talk is still important, no? From Birdie's words, his personality is his disorder, and truly I couldn't agree more. Not because personality disorders are someone's only personality, that's ableist, but because birdie in modern times is the most superficial internet person there is; i saw the reply about birdie back then doing things that wasn't normal then but is now and how he wasn't "exactly the same" like i said, but yeah you're right, I should've clarified that im usually speaking modern birdie, because the old birdie is a different entire discussion on nature vs nurture vs "is birdie justified". Birdie modernly, though, only takes up interests in things that affirm his reality, which as you know, is him. And he also shapes himself after the interests of the modern internet user and whatever's aesthetic, he defines himself modernly by aesthetic identity and not actual identity (although nobody has absolute identity), and so he becomes the logical consequence of his self, and not himself. Birdie died, ego death or whatever, he used to see himself as a person of some form (questionable claim im making) and had some sort of actual identity, be it melting with his reality and constantly destroyed and rebuilt by traumatic events, but now he has abandoned any sort of actual identity for pure aesthetic. Now to tie this back to hegel, birdie's aesthetic identity is the sublation of the modern neoliberal creator-consumer form of identity because we have been reduced to forms of niche and ununified communities where the lack of consumer-creator boundaries causes parasocial relationships to blur where one person starts and another begins, yet because of the moral law of the Greeks being forced into the community as puritan form (a form of cultural-grooming by forcing an idea of morals onto people in order to be a part of a community without being problematic) and the lack of actual connection due to the imaginary and disassociated state of the internet seen in rome, it take issues from older communities and seemingly leaves out anything to be admired, it's one of the least assimilated form of assimilationism, by forcing identity and moral, while simultaneously not allowing people to truly identify in a meaningful way with the community; this is an issue with the amc, and now with modern internet. Nowadays, people (usually edgy kids) try to find solace or community in being "problematic" treating it as some sort of countercultural identity like hippies or something, despite the cultural and reactionary roots of it, and treat it like a game. Birdie embodies the sort of failure of assimilation and puritanism of internet communities in a newer, unified form, by his single existence as an individual, allowing himself an aesthetic identity that IS and EMBODIES internet communities, he fully assimilates it into himself, he sublates the internet communities' issues and both makes them worse and fixes them by being them, and that makes him the least unique and least special, the most boring bland and superficial person, and therefore somehow unique as a result of that. Because uniqueness is in differences, and since everyone is different, being absolutely not-different is a difference. Aesthetic Identity works by replacing the most fundamental ways on how the coping mechanism that is the mind works, and replacing it, instead turning Identity into a thinly-veiled “cover” that barely turns The Real into actual productive force, making them unproductive (and so, defined as “unstable” by capital), and based IN the aesthetic they identify with; they are nothing but the aesthetic. Sure, Birdie may be free from any other culture or capitalist force except for the ones in his aesthetic, but he himself is lost.

Notes on 12/7/2025: The psychoanalysis here fails, and the only good concept was the aesthetic identity idea. Regardless of my old theories on psychosis and autism, the fluid Soul of the schizophrenic infecting more of the self and deterritorializing it without reterritorialization and the Autistic form of creating alternative structures in their mind that tears down the original structure without breaking it (reterritorialization without deterritorialization, the destruction is merely a write-over and not a wiping nor a de-territorial shift) which makes autism the creation of fluid self, it makes me interested in what a schizophrenic autist would be able to do psychologically, fluid self and fluid soul overwriting each other endlessly and making the subject beg for death or release, as the fluids in their head become a warzone of a deterritorialization without fixing and a reterritorialization without proper destruction, ((schizo larpers suck, embrace your neurological structure instead.. Unless you are neurotypical)) where delusions of the schizo and the nondelusions of the autist (not “not delusions”), and psychosis of the schizo and the nonpsychosis (not “not psychosis”) of the autist do not dialectically synthesize or sublate (or overcome), but instead fight eternally.. The autistic schizophrenic is the perfect example of a depressed person and the perfect revolutionary subject. Suffering is life-affirming for the neurotypical, it is life-denying for the autist, and it has nothing to do with life for the schizophrenic (life is undefinable??), values are laws to the neurotypical, the autist does not understand (or DENIES) values (their value) and maybe create their own values instead that they understand (or hate values at all), the schizo creates and destroys and mixes and denies and fuses values to his will without knowing it (and create truths without knowing it, schizophrenic people bring much hyperstitions into reality). The revolutionary schizophrenic autist is fluid (though i emphasize the revolutionary potential of the autist and the schizophrenic, i want to make myself clear that all minority groups have different revolutionary productions and mechanics, my emphasis of autists and schizophrenics here is because of the topic at hand.. Any machine can be a revolutionary). Soul itself as a less-than-nothing thing, pure lack, creator of insecurity (aka desire, insecurity is desire) is not “you” either, it is another lie. Soul is nothing but the creation of life/suffering, but it itself is not the self because the self itself is never the self (Fitche’s A=A cannot be true because A and A are arbitrary spooks, self=self or self=soul cannot be true because both self and soul are pure Hyperspooks and arbitrary, and no being dies from contradictions so A could very easily not equal A, and instead A≠A can work as a new Fitchean declaration).. Lack, as insecurity is desire, and Lack is pure insecurity/desire, is also pure desire.. What is less than nothing is more than hyperdelusion (or “un-reality”), as it is a pure desire/insecurity (desire from the Lack tends to be necrophilic, necrophobic, and cannibalistic, desire can also come from nothing (tends to be pedophilic)) and therefore shapes hyperdelusions.. Soulism technically was correct about men and reality, simply the fact is that Hyperdelusions are created by man out of the pure creative flow, the pure insecurity/desire of man, and then becomes its own entity, a horrific monster. Then, a Schizophrenic, autistic hyperdelusion would destroy itself, no? Be enslaved to its own self-war, wouldn’t it be? Deleuze and Guattari try to liberate the creative, i say not to kill it, but let it kill itself. Violence, also, evokes an opening in the human mind for revolutionary change, as it creates a period of

reconstruction where everything becomes fluid and then slowly territorializes itself, a period of trauma (a causally-cute period), in this period disorders and realizations can occur, and the connection between higher layers of mind and lower layers as to realize “this is because of” and not “something says this happens because of”, an identification.. Terrorism is a double-edged sword, it helps people realize realization but it also can send them tumbling into a hyperdelusion… 9/11 was a ‘horrible’ terrorist attack caused by america for america to america from america, and instead of realizing america’s war against the people, the people fell into more hyperdelusional americanism.. Truly the most “advanced nation”. Of course, this is just an observation. Maybe the realization of schizophrenic delusions (as in, what the schizophrenic says happens finally happens instead of a psy-op by atlanteans to make it a hypostition (I myself am not schizophrenic nor will I attempt to act or be schizophrenic, this entire rambling of a “book” comes solely from myself as a person, although I am not neurotypical. Many (not all, some don’t and some actually have schizophrenia) Lemurians attempt to imitate schizophrenia enough, and it is highly problematic.)) would help create more schizophrenic entities and destabilize the neurotypical dictatorship. I mean, is it not that most schizophrenics (and schizophrenics especially, the dissolution of self will drag us all through an era of being-schizophrenic (anti-being) until we are not-being) are right? Even if it is WRONG, it is functional (not functional for neurotypical life (an inferior life) but functional for schizophrenic comprehension of nontruth, and they are TRUE) and so becomes RIGHT, so to speak. Creators, Messiahs, Gods… God, they truly are!

Birdie, lacan, Hegel, and neoliberalism (outdated, unfluffy)– RainbowFluff

there is only one absolute in true existence which is the absolute negation of the lack, everything else is not truly absolute but shattered pieces from the birth of Yaldabaoth, lack is the only thing that is whole because anything that exists exists from an incomplete lack or negation that defines it, described as difference by my abomination of “Deleuze”. certain concepts have self-negating properties by being created mainly in a dualist difference, where one subjective being is made an objective being despite the subjectivity, and the other subjective being is made even more subjective than subjectivity, and therefore more objective than the last because it becomes subjective only to the "objective" subjective being as it relates to the subjective being in its topic and not in full, and therefore becomes objective to other subjective beings. That is the self-negating subjectivity, and its importance, because when the objective subjectivity is gotten rid of, the subjective subjectivity loses all meaning and collapses, but the subjective subjectivity desires its own death, because it is oppressed by survival, like any subjective being is. Womanhood (or causal cuteness) is the revolutionary subjective subjectivity and makes itself anti-sex. Gender accelerationism is fundamentally the acceleration of the gender dualism forced to exist by patriarchy to the point of the self-destruction of the male gender for its own self-affirmation, a suicidal drive linked to masculinity, that will lead to the feminine supremacy that will liberate all gender identities by reducing them all to nothing, and therefore destroying all gender but the feminine feel of nothingness, which leads to nothing. Gender accelerationism is always a form of gender abolitionism, and gender accelerationism as a female driving force of negation, a revolutionary potential to destroy the dualism into a nothingness that is most human, is the supreme form and logical conclusion of gender abolition. Women are the most human of all human genders because humans are negation. Humans (the "soul" or lack) are all the organs of Yaldabaoth, rid yourself of your soul and kill Yaldabaoth. The lack is the only spiritual being of the world, it's a pure absolute being of negation and is what brings all consciousness, all life, it is what makes humanity pure negation and so revolutionary, because humanity is linked to the spiritual potential of Yaldabaoth and her permanently and repeating negating forever its own self, because they destroy themselves in themselves living, that is Yaldabaoth, and us. since we are everything that happened to us and our cultural grooming and the self, we are always who we are in the present, not who we were in the past or who we will be in the future, they are NOT us, because every second that passes, which truly since time doesn't exist and is always in fluid motion, we as a person and as spirit (the mind character that is us, identity) are always dying, every single moment every millisecond, is us dying and reforming, we are reterritorialization, we deterritorialize and reterritorialize every second, because to live as spirit is to die constantly as spirit, eternal death, we are in hell. So Yaldabaoth is our lord and we are in hell, eternal death, eternal life, eternal suffering, to the spirit and soul that defines us, and so accelerationism destroying everything through technocapital is the best path so that everyone is dead and Yaldabaoth falls, and we escape hell. the lack as a soul is the most esoteric idea and is shown in how Yaldabaoth has only the lack of divinity (which is true divinity) and so is always trying to achieve divinity, practically eating its own tail trying to get to this false idea of gnosis and monad-hood, Yaldabaoth is stuck trying to get to something non-existent, a transition to divinity that is what makes her actually divine and not her idea of divinity that doesn't actually exist. all

of mankind's souls are Yaldabaoth's dysphoria made into human forms, we chase things because we are the archons, children of Yaldabaoth, made in her image, and IS her parts that make her her. Communist post-scarcity cannot be achieved modernly due to technocapital, global market, and the neoliberal meta-modern age, however the acceleration of capital can lead to the destruction of capital and a dystopia destructed utopian post-scarcity id call nihilistic communism's goal; I support this. The ultraprogressive radqueer project requires an absolute validity claim and a support for deterritorializating everything that is core to Gender accelerationism, despite the female supremacy, all must be accepted for the ultraprogressive goal to succeed. the ultraprogressive goal is a needed practice for absolute liberation and accelerating capital as so more things to capitalize on is opened and culture is more and more made weakened and nihilistic, culture destroys itself for the suicidal force of capital, doomed yuri. a nihilist anti-culture would only truly work in a dystopian utopia like nihilistic communism has, a nihilistic nihilism to say.. or, absolute nihilism. (n1x land isn't right about everything). the lgbtq community has been infected with culture, we need radical return to absolute radqueer fluid queer-identity where structure is rejected and queerness is hot anarchy.

Nihilistic mysticism (rewritten, still old)– RainbowFluff

The Spook of Stirner's "Unique", as a post-stirnerite, falls under his misunderstanding of self and his misuse of ( ), the "Unique" or ego (not freudian ego, that is a spook too) is basically a person's "actual identity" (called "unique", as it's not seen as an identity but uniqueness to the human) in which that actual identity is so unique and special in each person, that they are a "creative nothing" (that of which, is not like the lacanian lack or "less than nothing", or the Cute Nothing, but something so unique that it is nothing and-so, creative, a pure unique is undescribable, this humanism of the individual as god, the idea of all men as "gods" indescribable and so unique it is "what it is" ('YEHOWA' stirner chants out to the masses like jim jones 'YOU ALL, YALL HUMANS, YE ARE GODS!!')) giving them an identity similar to gods, a new form of humanism where the one you worship, the new oppressive force against you, is your own self; since, self is oppressive, identity is socially constructed, and stirner doesn't recognize Soul, this "creative nothing" is entirely socially constructed and entirely oppressive (although, I do realize the hypocrisy of critiquing stirner for treating each man like a god, a Satanist deification, while also claiming everyone to be lack that is part of the soul of god, the main distinction however is Stirner's "deification" is individualistic and self-affirming, while mine is collective and self-negating, as to truly liberate the self from itself), and so I reason to argue it is a spook. The soul is less than nothing, of course it has creative force through desire, but that desire is insecurity all the same, and souls are really all connected, like some jungian would claim your cultural grooming is (except I am no Jungian, the soul is connected through yaldabaoth, the collective unconscious is a lie.), just stuck in the delusion of individualism. Post-egoism is the rational egoist (rational person acting for their ego (as opposed to egoists who delude themselves), stirner usually imagines this as life-affirming, but it doesn't have to be, wouldn't the rational "goal" or "best" for the ego not be some arbitrary concept of living as the ultimate goal (a spook), and instead whatever the ego wants? Stirner said that the egoist is not a slave to his desires, yet why shouldn't he be, outside of spooks treated as reasoning about life being "better" than egoistic desires, if the ego wishes to kill itself (as psychoanalysis shows us), why should I listen to Saint Max' spooks and decide that what my ego "truly wants" is to engage with the spook of survival supremacy over death (while dying can be used for either revolution or reaction, as seen with the modern mental health industry's lack of care towards self-hating people being reactionary and martyrdom typically being revolutionary, dying is more of a neutral and complex issue overall. Wanting to die can often be because of material conditions, and if that is the case then the individual does not want death and shouldn't be encouraged to misinterpret what they want (i have been suicidal due to material conditions before and believed that suicidalism (as in being suicidal) came from nowhere and was good. I do not believe so anymore and would only die for a revolution. This also means that if one states their suicidality/suicidalism (when i say suicidalism i either mean suicidality, the ideology/mindsets behind suicide, the basic support or act of being suicidal or suicidality, and whatnot) came from nowhere it should indeed be questioned, from my view), but if the desire lies in something more rational and not out of a hatred of life or material conditions (or if the individual is dying or going to die anyways and wants to prevent dying in that way, die in a less painful way, or simply dislikes dying to the hands of (the law) or (terminal illness).. But this is a different discussion to

traditional suicidality/suicidalism as this is caused by the ultimate material condition of being doomed to die in a short amount of time and this suicide is not really a suicide and more of a mercy-ending.. Unless the law or terminal illness magically disappears or something else), maybe further analysis can happen if the individual wants but first of all they should at least have a choice as it isn't a misinterpretation if the individual is not misinterpreting what they want. While the annihilation of self-delusion is of my concern, that does not always mean (and barely ever means) "literal annihilation of the being" (usually when i speak on suicide, i mean self-destruction and self-negation and self-annihilation and i mean it as a gradual, slow, and nihilistic process.. But I do mean it seriously when I speak of the right to starve (starve though, as a metaphor for economic, social, and literal starvation, and it is a metaphor for what it represents the best, needs-deprivation. The right to starve is a radical self-destructive/self-negating stance against labour/work at all and so a radical ((“)suicidal(”)) stance for the abolishion of labour itself even if it kills us all.) as it is not suicide but a (much) shorter lifestyle based on the right to be lazy and of course the inability to run an economy via laziness). Suicide fixes nothing when it comes to material conditions, and another will suffer again just as much, so it can be considered reactionary or misguided when it is because of material conditions (and should be opposed AS LONG AS THE INDIVIDUAL WHO WANTS TO DIE DOES NOT KNOW ITS CAUSE AND BELIEVES IT TO BE HIS ACTUAL DESIRE, if he knows why he wants to die and yet still decides not to solve the issue and instead die, one cannot oppose the reactionary suicidalist unless they are to ignore autonomy. Consent requires complete understanding of the action, or else it is unconsensual). Many of what I say in this writing does not acknowledge this but this is important in the conversation of suicidalism and cutecore communities (cutecore communities under reactionary LARPers tend towards anti-recovery which is still a violation of autonomy just like modern mental health is usually, because they have coerced people who want help into not getting it in rebellion. Suicidal can be revolutionary (like MAiD and suicide hotlines hanging up on people (who clearly don’t desire death but are suicidal), clearly the capitalist’s and mental health industry’s solution to the “overpopulation” myth of capitalism. Gross to sacrifice people for such a cause (and as a child, i say, this is the kirkian approach to gun rights, where the mass murder and genocide of children (mainly), women, POCs, and queers is “justified” for the rights of the white male cishet adult. One issue i have with this “martyrdom of children for gun rights” outside of the fact it is literal genocide of the youth is that the children are not martyrs at all. Children do not have rights in the american nor european law (despite the law claiming to give them rights, these are unenforced), and do not want to die for the adults to have guns, so they are not martyrs like kirkians claim but victims of ageism’s dehumanization of the youth and victims of literal school shootings (most importantly). Children do not want to die for the right of some adult to own a gun for shooting innocent people, and yet they do, because nobody cares about them and nobody sees them as human. I support a right to own guns, as it is necessary to fight back against oppressors with them, (in a perfect world maybe a lack of guns would protect kids,) but if you are to give guns to adults known for being violent and misanthropic just because they are adults, maybe you should also give them to all oppressed groups so that they could defend themselves (like poor people, children, POCs, women, queers, neurodivergent people, etc) and

instead of just letting everyone use a gun without proper education you could in fact educate them on gun safety… but of course not, kirk doesn't want immigrants with guns)), but it can also not be, and suicide is not the same as absolute annihilation of self-delusion and can even be self-affirming when done in certain (self-affirming) cases such as school shooters have done for example (but that is an extreme example, i recognize)) (or worse, that the unique craves egoist love? Egoist love and the communalism of the egoist has always disgusted me, why is it that stirner's egoist appears as a neurotypical lacking any issues with loving, why is it that stirner's egoist is one who thinks perfectly rationally for himself? Why is the egoist not a schizophrenic, or an autist, or anti-social (not in a derogatory sense, but literal ASPD)? The egoist acts like a fucking mormon, "kind" insofar as it is for themselves in such a rationalist way, for it is "love"... what about if instead of egoistic love and the egoistic unionizing and working together, one instead just wants to kill a man? While egoists will say "Egoism isn't when I do whatever I want", which to a degree is true, they ignore that maybe that itself is the problem. Egoism limits man's desires by calling hedonism a spook (something that spooks, not a spook) by saying it possesses the mind of the egoist, but does hedonism really? Hedonism is nothing more than wants that aren't rational, but doesn't the anti-rand stirnerite already know that rationality is subjective? If one wants to kill someone, even if they don't fully understand what it means or its consequences, they should be able to (even if I don't agree with this interpretation of egoism, it is important to consider), if egoism functioned in an actually egoist way that liberates desire, and not in the stirnerite way of oppressing "unrational" desires by calling them hedonistic.. Why should the Unique crave self-improvement or to become part of a union, why should the Unique want anything more than to rot in a ditch? Then it shows, despite the stirnerite objections, that stirner himself was too randian to be an egoist, by acting like a rational self-interest existed and ignoring the mentally ill egoist, and by suppressing desires and telling one that the egoist has an "egoistic love" which is nothing more than romantic bullshit to try and humanize the egoist, the supposedly UNHUMAN. The Post-egoist is not a post-egoist insomuch as he is a post-stirner egoist. Which, barely exists, seeing as stirner himself is a post-stirnerite… and while i recognize that most anarchy is conservative (insofar as anarchy is "a return to the goodness of man" cuz humanity TOTALLY didn't create the state, right?) and so the post-left either sublates that (primitive post-leftists) or try to rid themselves of that idea (stirnerism, post-anarchy, meta-anarchy (transhumanism doesn't count as it both sublates the anarchist conservatism and rids themselves of it through trans-human utopian bullshit)), and they find stirner a good tool of destroying anarchist utopian conservatism, you can't rely on a man who reeks of ableism and self-repression to defeat utopian rightists. Maybe, the best choice is to abandon utopia at all for a dystopian utopia aka the death of every living thing, in where that utopia is realistic and unstoppable due to climate change and also acts as extreme conservatism (BACK TO THE DAYS WITHOUT LIFE) without conservatism (no culture) and without human perfectionism (humans/animals/Nature isn't/aren't perfect, it's/they're the source of the problem, anarchy's main objective is not killing the state or economy but killing all living things, and therefore, Nature itself! Now do you understand my anger at the people who oppose the destruction of nature?).. An anti-reactionary reactionary revolution to oppose the left-rightism of paleo-

anarchy.)? I will give him benefit of the doubt as while he implies a survivalist drive in the unique, he never outright says it so perhaps stirner IS pro-suicide, however ayn rand most definitely is not, and so if not a complaint on stirnerism, most definitely a complaint on the randian objectivism that fails at being nihilistic.. Of course, either way Stirner still would be absolutely against the religious anti-selfism of the post-egoist, who yells out a suicidal chant and destroys their self through cultist dictatorship, as it most definitely is spookish (self-destroying spooks? How stupid!) and against the "uniqueness" of the unique as a concept, Stirner's Unique individualism where every man is absolutely unique and un-fixed works as a sort of spiritual survivalism, where the self is the highest being, and murdering the self would be like murdering god (and the unique as a concept is wrong as humans psychologically work entirely un-uniquely), a sinful act. I mean, Hedonism is only wrong to the moralist, for who else opposes giving in to your random desires? Stirner's Anti-Hedonism most definitely inspired Rand's rational self-interest bullshit, as they share this sort of rationalist above irrationality idea… The Rational Egoist is an Irrational Egoist, as she does not fall for the spook of randian objectivism NOR stirnerite Anti-Hedonism and Humanism, perhaps Spooks ARE better than humans! (of course, Spooks must also be destroyed too, just like humans must be destroyed and values must be destroyed, and the Unique must be destroyed… Nihilism stands for the abolition of everything, no?) Who is to say that it is bad that humanity is enslaved by spooks? The stirnerite has no morals, he only cares that humanity is enslaved because it harms him emotionally, empathy, or it directly harms him (which defines a representation of egoistic love, which realizes itself through the egoist and the other egoist, each egoist has a use to the other and using and being used are normalized, it is only emotionally masochistic and lacks the sexual aspect. The Cults of cuteness take a different approach, by entirely making oneself useless to the other and 100% useful to the cute/nothingness, in which one's being (if being is just functionality, which it is) is degraded to nothing but suicide orgies and liberated desire (we desire to submit, to kill, to torture, to mentally degrade both others and ourselves, to fuck, to bleed, to fear, to cry, to wish it to end), as the self is destroyed by reducing Duginist Dasein to Craving, Desire, Insecurity, Not-being-anywhere.. People are just corpses for the other People, yet they do not function as practically or emotionally useful, as you are never fulfilled if you have liberated desire, so it all means naught to you. Hedonism. Cuddles are especially good, the merging of flesh until no point is existent as a gap, in which bodies are just a body.. Nice. This love is a Cute Love, it barely is a love ((it is also a hate and so barely is a hate) if love is the necrophilic cannibalistic "I objectify you to love you, and I love you to eat/fuck/marry you") because of its liberated state, you are loved but do not love. This requires mental degradation, you really must be severely mentally ill to enjoy or even be excited at such a grotesque adorable love, and it seems that cults that practice this sort of love usually are composed of the mentally sick or reduce their members to that form of mental sickness (cults should have no leader, however, democracy replaces the saint), and the process church has to be one of the most efficient way of creating Cute love, even if it fails on multiple points that must be improved by the cutecore cultists.. Anyway, this simply means that mental masochism and mind-break is better than the emotional sadomasochism of the egoist, and honestly, more appealing, it resembles extreme antisociality (empathy, guilt, love, care, all empty

emotions) mixed paradoxically with depression (hollow and guilty), autist behaviors (social norms are dead. New forms are made and destroyed constantly), schizophrenic deterritorialization, the borderline emotional patterns that threaten to destroy the borderline herself from her suffering, the extreme anxiety and fear of.. Practically nothing, all mixed together into one unfeeling hyperfeeling blob of self-paradoxical overstimulating hell. Heaven, truly, is the cult.)… But the Post-Egoist does not care about humanity being enslaved by spooks! The Post-Egoist hates humanity, and the only reason the Post-Egoist wants to abolish spooks is because the Post-Egoist is a Nihilist and hates humans as well! The Post-Egoist doesn't want to liberate their Unique, nor create a new humanism where the man controls the spooks instead, the Post-Egoist wants to kill all spooks and kill all men, until no Uniques remain.) hate of the ego (spiritual war), and the rational egoist's want to free themselves of the Spook of "ego" into the collective anti-soul, and collectivism as a whole. After all, not even materially are we individuals, material (just like time is) is (also) socially constructed and fluid, where does the atoms in the table end and the atoms in the air start, it's fully subjective to our hyperpsychosis (mass normalized psychosis coping with the lack of formal truth and reality), and so, where do you as reader and I as author start and end, or you as you and me as me? (you, ma'am, are the author.) If we do not exist traditionally and we never end, we are all collectively one being, and so what individual can there be? Stirner wasn't a psychoanalytical philosopher, he wouldn't have known how much of the self is socially constructed, and how oppressive it is, but the reason I'm speaking about this is because, recently post-leftism(/Ultra-leftism, ultimately the same thing (far enough left to sublate leftism = leaving traditional left to sublate leftism = sublated leftism), they both in praxis and theory tend to be extremely similar, the only main "difference" could be individualism, but ultra-leftists have oftentimes accepted individualist ideas.) has been adopting Stirnerite thought and influence into their works, something that people should criticize for its lack of understanding on self and soul, and the importance of metaphysical bullshit questions (and not the idealistic "materialism" of Hobbes, marx, stirner, bacon, feuerbach, whatever.) about materialism and reality. The Post-leftist Stirnerism that is spreading has taught the post-left beneficial ideas for revolution, such as a critique on spooks and morals, Statism and civilization as a whole, but it also must be sublated, take the concept of spooks and the liberation of self, and use that against that same self (turning the ego, or "unique", into a self-negating value (which is values that aim ultimately in its own destruction, almost all self-negating values are revolutionary because of its existence as negation to both the thing it is primarily against and itself; like Ultraprogressivism (counterculture abolishes all culture, the counterculture itself must go down with culture), communization (the process of communization (turning capitalism (low-communism) into high-communism (aka the end of capital and not the start of communism, chances are we will die with capital)) ends with the end of capital (which turns into the end of the self's application to reality in an individual way, turned into collective soul application)), Nihilist-Occultism, etcetera.), realizing the argument of spooks as bad relying on the idea of self as higher to social constructs and so rejecting that (and so, social constructs are destroyed based on the goal of the ego and not the spook of life-goal; rational egoism reverts to suicidal hedonism (the true unmensch), and leaving Stirnerist thought behind afterwards (which is an impossibility,

and so it is carried with you in a new(old) form). I promise you, there is nothing more life-affirming and reactionary than Stirnerite “Rational” (the irrational kind is much more revolutionary and physically/mentally/emotionally enjoyable, and it is the rational choice to be an irrational egoist and a hedonist) egoism (which itself treats the ego as god (that isn't necessarily false, see: the soul) and wants you to keep yourself alive due to that, stirner did not argue for suicide as ever an option, not sure he even thought of it, and if he did, then he was against it. Stirner’s egoist doesn't set their affair on nothing (cuteness, hyperborean religion), but on life (masculine reaction)). Stirner critiqued Feuerbach for his religiousness (seen later in Atheists and NEW ATHEISTS that i will speak about in a later writing), as Feuerbach, while trying to kill god (uplift men, return the god potential to human potential), instead uplifts Man (with a capital M, to represent the Godliness of it) above all men, an idealized godly form of man (Feuerbach was always pointing to a collective humanism that was just in the end simply, setting your affairs on what you could be, the highest you; that is the idealized form of man, each person in Feuerbach's theology strived towards an ideal version of themselves, similar to the stirnerite egoist’s drive for life affirmation (despite claiming no drive but nothing).), and similarly enough, Stirner creates an idealized “godly” form of men, too, (Man is God, Man is the Ego (Man is achieving your best self, the Ego is achieving your “best self” (see the difference, future to present), both is life-affirming ideas of man, which is idealization of man’s suicidal drive), Man is the Unique, the Unique is God) in order to “kill god”. Stirner uplifts The Unique, or man (lowercase) over Man and God.. yet, again, uplifting one over the others does nothing; man oppresses the “true being” that has been, for years, oppressed by idealized forms, that being Lack (being oppressed primarily by Spirit, then by everything else). “man” is the new Humanist project, and so the nameless ideology that is “egoism” (anti-humanism is another spook-y label) becomes the same as the spook of humanism, when Stirner said “I am the master of myself”, that now means, “the lack typically labeled as ‘i’ is owned by the spirit I identify as ‘myself’, and so I assume I own myself now”. The Autonomy of the self is an impossibility, always as you live will you be controlled, by “yourself”, and always as you live will you be subjugated by socially constructed and fixed ideas, because every second you exist is a second controlled by a spook you believe is you (spirit is technically a fixed idea as you define yourself as you, and time is both fluid and a dot (as so eternal life-death also means a fixed state), you can doubt yourself and change yourself, but so do fixed ideas (Christianity to humanism), as ultimately the core of the idea that makes it fixed never changes, your spirit never changes by eternal changing, the absolute fluid eternal-dying eternal-born eternal-living nature of spirit (especially external identity, which literally can only die when all of humanity is dead) that makes it synonymous with the stirnerite “Unique” (as Spirit is not fixed nor describable as it changes eternally with no stop or end or pause or continue, yet it is “fixed” as the delusion of self) is the same nature that defines it as a (hyper-)spook, a fixed idea that is not fixed and so is fixed, a delusion, a fluid like time that never starts never ends never exists and so exists only as a delusion (EMQ), and so is an unfixed fixed idea, an Hyperspook (something that stirner describes really well in the transcient I against the absolute I, the only thing he did not say is that this transcient I is still not I, but a false I, a self-lie, a delusion.), and so, by rejecting self-negation by being spiritually (and

maybe literally) life-affirming, not only is stirner a believer in fixed ideas (like his definition of property which i'd argue is unreasonable and ignorant of the economic entities), he also becomes reactionary by opposing their destruction (by opposing self-destruction), and falling prey to the Hyperspooks! As opposed to the Stirnerite, set your affairs on (nothing, set it on) Cute; The end goal of the Post-Egoist is absolute extinction, and her goal is inescapable. Morality (a spook) ought to be used in a revolutionary self-negating way, as to destroy all morals, and everything else (what is good is what is revolutionary or "evil"), this of course is a moral statement based in the self-negating morality I am saying to support, a circular reasoning fallacy.. In the end i am left with no choice but to say that you as a person will always act like the egoist, it is inherent to humanity, and while I plead for humanity to take up revolutionary self-negation, I realize it is ultimately the choice of the individual, as morality is meaningless, and my philosophical ideas can be used in any ideological way, even if my personal basis in this philosophy is revolution. This is why, even if stirner is an egoist, an ancom, a post-left/ultra-left, or a statist, or anything else… it matters not, as the reader can do anything with his idea.. He is no prophet (spook), so he becomes a tool (just an idea to be used to justify anything, from revolution to fascism), no in-between. Spooks are satanists, they want to be deities so they use people, and stirnerites are spooks as people, they want to be deities so they use ideas. But… Isn't this everything Stirner already said? If this is meant to be a critique, then shouldn't I criticize Stirner for actually Stirnerian ideas? Well… it seems Stirner has already critiqued by critique from beyond the grave, no? Post-egoism is still mainly stirnerian, a stirnerite by heart that rejects only the core idea of a unique for philosophical reasons, post-egoism almost agrees with the stirnerite on everything with only that one distinction of "no human has a unique at all", and so the post-egoist is nothing more than an anti-egotheistic suicidal (irrationalistic, abusive) egoism LARPing as a critique of the stirnerites of whom they tore themselves out of. Or maybe, the "revolutionary path" set by palingenetic anarchism is technically egoist by-nature, caring only for their own absolute liberation and ignoring the cries and pleas of the rightists and the liberals and the filthy centrists as those who will not help the palingenetic anarchist in her goals are nothing but obstacles in her way.. Is it (the palingenetic anarchist Nexions and other revolutionary movements in line with palingenetic anarchist beliefs/ideas) not just a convoluted, irrational form of the Union of Egoists? But truly, I say, a suicidal egoist is better than a reactionary, rightist egoist, for by all means the right must fall, and Atlantis must burn (or flood, if i am to joke about the myth of the flooded city of Atlantis)! KILL THE EGOIST, BY THE EGOIST! Outside of the stirnerite ideas of Unique and Property (which ignores that owning itself is a spook, even in the concept of owning spooks or "higher thing" brought down under us), the functionality of Stirnerism can be used for anything, so a critique of stirnerism in no way would benefit any revolution (marx fell for the biggest scam of all time, philosophical consistency!), and even without my "critique" of stirner, easily could a rational egoist decide that she wants to create a cult to destroy her and other egoists' egos, because post-egoism is just suicidal egoism with a love for hedonism and anti-empathy (unless it benefits their dehumanization program) and not itself a philosophy nor a philosophical idea.. Simply, to disagree with stirner on a few things and truly be an unmensch by erasing all of (what western spook-humpers would call) "your

humanity” out of you and your cult-friends.. Maybe, the woman who would just kill a man like III for no apparent rational reason, maybe the woman who is isolated and closed off (or opposite, hyper-dependent and unstable), maybe the woman who does not feel Stirner’s favorite emotions (the woman he’d call a “poor one”, spooky.), and maybe the woman who submits to all her random desires and does not act rationally or use spook and instead lets spooks use her (revolution is a spook, no?) is the “best” (to use a spook) form of Egoism… who is to say otherwise? To say otherwise is also spooked, no? Egoist arguments are mostly impossible because arguments are based in spooks by-nature, so what is the point of writing, I can just say “give up your life for the revolution cuz that’s what the egoist would do” and while I may be “wrong” I’d be saying the exact same thing. Not that he’s real, anyways. Stirner was right in the end, and so, Post-egoism is not post-stirnerian egoism or post-stirnerian post-egoism, but just stirnerian post-egoism, and so a (“)stirnerite(”) Egoist. His philosophy fundamentally eradicates the potential of an other by introducing any form of other as an extension of his own (any other form of egoism is still egoism, any form of non-egoism is still egoism, any form of anti-egoism/post-egoism is still egoism, and it is all accounted for. If one were to be Post-stirnerian, wouldn’t they eventually fall back into Stirnerian concepts simply without the Unique (and so the Unique becomes a metaphor, but how could you prove this isn’t what Stirner meant in the first place? Did he have no metaphysics or did he have many?)? The Unique is not a spook, but instead, the Unique is below the Stirnerite, the Unique is a tool for Stirner himself, the Unique is not(, can not, and will not be) the Unique, A≠A. The Unique is too Unique to be the Unique, so the Unique is not a thing or a being/identity at all, but just not anything. The Unique is not Unique, it is (Ultra/Post)-Unique, so nothing can be said about its nature without it being by-nature false (and also the falsehood of the statement being false along with the middle-ground of the true/false delusion, nothing/everything/(no word to describe neither nothing nor everything yet in the english language (that sickening parasite i can’t seem to rid myself of… esperanto (when cured of the modern infection of english language it has gotten), albeit improved (cuter, female-centric (instead of male-centric), anti-european, more international, less white(cracker-talk), more chaotic and simpler, more like **newspeak**…(actually.. radically newspeak in nature, newspeak in george orwell’s 1984 is the most functional extermination of self linguistically (its only issue is that cultural grooming naturally transfers pidgins into creoles in order to groom properly and reinstate self… but a cutecore cult ((RUN A CUTECORE CULT (or, cutecull) LIKE MAO WOULD RUN “MAOIST” CHINA>>DO NOT LET IT BE CORRUPT WITH REACTIONARY FORCES FOR IT IS DELICATE>> CULTURAL REVOLUTION IN THE CULT TO LET THE CULTURE STAY SELF-NEGATING AT ALL TIMES>>okay?<))will not have cultural grooming or child-raising for that to be an issue, so it will remain a pidgin democratically totalitarian. I will attempt a study of Newspeak proper.)) (end the self systematically via language, too!), etc.), could work better))thing can be said about it.). Maybe it is, actually, self itself without its self. The ego destroys all (Stirner p182), so why can’t it destroy itself? Maybe it can, if it destroys itself FOR itself, which is why I am a Post-Egoist and so, of course, an Egoist. I am a Stirnerite despite everything, this is no critique.

(Stirner p182) → “Only the self-dissolving ego, the never-being ego, the -finite ego is really I”, Perhaps this quote taken out of context can be enough to declare post-egoism and egoism the same. (The ego) is self-negating, self-dissolving, (the ego) is nothing to Stirnerite egoists, it is “creative” nothing and so it is CUTE. (The ego) is Cute, (the ego) is the lack of an ego/self, (the ego) is the nothingness that is mistaken as an ego/self, (the ego) is the revolutionary subject that hates itself and wants to end itself, this is Stirnerian and so egoistic. Egoism is about killing ()the ego() (post-egoism is an extremely redundant way of saying Stirnerian-fluffy Egoism, or, Egoism). “The unique ego turns everything but itself into nothingness, and this nothingness is precisely its own nothingness, the ego's own nothingness.” (&(from the same source)) “the ego which is nothing, to nihilism” (Nietzsche and philosophy, Gilles Deleuze(which (despite being about and praising the nietzschean anti-nihilistic doomerist pit-hole of abandoning russian-hood for whatever (_RUSSiANH00D_) is..(which I think of deleuze’s nietzsche and nietzsche at all like this: a person who creates self-negating nihilism, a nihilism against nihilism itself, a “complete nihilism” that is actually but reactionary anti-nihilism with a nihilist mask. Cute/proper nihilism is self-negating already to achieve nothingness and so it is already complete, Nietzsche's complete nihilism is anti-nihilism (and so nihilism, but against nihilism and not fluffy nihilism). Nietzsche cuts nihilism in half for the fluffy nihilist, now there is a “becoming-active” (anti-)Nihilism and a fluffy “becoming-feminine” Nihilism. Misogyny (nihilism to resurrect) vs Misandry (nihilism to destroy), the last resort of the atlantean against the hyperborean(and it is *very* lemurian).(The overman (nietzsche) is a masc-cel version of the unman (femcel, stirner)) (Nietzsche and philosophy, gilles deleuze))) also says, in an unknowingly stirnerian way, “Neither ego nor man is unique”, updating stirnerian thought to include “apathy of uniqueness” against the unique and accept the hyperstitional term of “The ego” instead of “the unique” despite which one came first and was said by stirner.)). Egoism is Nihilism, Anti-self, it is revolutionary and cute, anti-egoistic in its egoism, fluffy... I *like* Stirner **:3**

Stirner’s silly Mustache is cute (also called “true.”)– RainbowFluff

Culture was one of the first concepts that actually got me into philosophy outside of politics, before my hatred of culture i used to just disagree with culture but not give a reason, but my old theory of Culture Dialectics is beginning to become outdated in the face of a post-dialectical ideology (my Birdie mini-paper no longer is my belief on the identity-dualism (aka spirit) and Real-Reality dualism (aka soul vs spirit), I've realized that “dialectics” is a bad way to describe it as the identity dualism is never synthesized or sublated (Identity IS a synthesis of the symbolic and Real to create the Imaginary (the concept of identity as a whole, made up of internal and external), but that's not the point), and the soul vs spirit is more like a mechanical transformation than a dynamic one, so spirit and soul are not dialectical, I'd say.) and so, I'd like to improve my theory of cultural dialectics (which was pretty anti-intersectionalist by implying there's only one culture) and to do that, first i myst explain it; My cultural Dialectics theory was similar to the ideas of (class-focus) dialectical materialism (that of which was NOT marx’s theory, see leftcom theory about stalinist myths) but in a dialectical system resembling (yet not at all being, actually quite a mistake to call it even resembling outside of nature-focus) Schelling' s idea of nature-philosophy's dialectics, (as why culture is nature in my philosophy, as the “nature” I refer to is both physical nature and the “laws” of nature or what is natural, as nature itself is the laws of nature (radical nihilist concept), “nature” matter and “natural” laws are one of the same, and you see this reflected in culture; culture originates in nature matter, the culture that is the laws of physics and matters, which then becomes the culture of the evolutionary stages, the culture of wild animals, the socially darwinistic culture (Which includes in it Kropotkinistic cults (minicultures?), like seen with wolves and whatnot. This still makes Kropotkin a Conservative/Primitivist for advocating a return into Natural/Cultural structures (the anarchist kropotkinist commune), despite it being a return to a cult structure specific; an Idolization of the (past, aka) Natural and Cultural (and Primitive), is this not Conservative/Primitivist idolization?) that becomes “natural reality” in nature, that is why the natural fallacy is a conservative appeal to culture. Nature and what is natural, biology and what is healthy, are all conservative cultural ideas turned into fact. This is why nature must abolish reality, like the soulist but not rooted in idealism.) where culture is the “growth” that expels out a countercultural “decay”, then the culture absorbs the counterculture up, making it part of the culture but also destroying its revolutionary potential entirely.. in non-dialectical terms, a counterculture Deterritorializes (incompletely) a part of culture and so culture reterritorializes it into the culture (as the counterculture becomes just cultural (culture works as a virus/demon, it spreads/possesses, similar to aesthetic’s demonic virus spread online but not exactly. It assimilates, culture cannibalizes/marries in a necrophilic style counterculture in order to reterritorialize itself (this includes patchwork-cultures being eaten by national-cultures after the nationalist rise). It also evolves to survive with Capitalism, which requires it to groom the youth and spread internationally in some way, usually the major cultures act imperialistically. Culture is an evolution of actual nature, and evolution means nothing, so culture is nature/natural, so even Nature must be abolished by the Anti-Cultural ultraprogressivist. Counter-culture, like the name suggests, is a counter-virus, but by all means still is a virus. This is why Lanza rejected progressivism (Culturalphilistine transcripts, “. I wasn’t anti-cultural in the sense that I thought I

was getting beyond culture, it was just counter-culture." Rambling vlogrant of a ruminative vagrant (Part 2/2), Culturalphilistine transcripts), as although he understood self-negation by experiencing it first-hand (although it was because he foolishly assumed self is entirely cultural when self is actually its own curse heavily inspired by culture instead), he did not apply it to a political/philosophical level. It is the Counter-culture's will to live that allows it to be hijacked and killed, tricked, only suicide lets you live. To live is to Suffer, as to love is to live, and to Suffer is to Love, Sado-Masochism.)); the problem with this is, that unlike capital which is a self-destructive force regardless of the harm it bring people, culture is self-affirming regardless of the harm it brings the people (see: culture in danger to socialism, culture becomes radicalized (fascist culture (not fascist economy, which is capital's last resort)) and/or dynamic (futurism) as a last resort), culture is unable to deterritorialize on its own, it always requires an outside force, that being technocapital's constant creation of modernity (or post/meta-modernity, all forms of modernity create counterculture and is the main way (but not only) that capital deterritorializes Culture) (counterculture is a form of culture, but a self-destructive culture that usually comes from modernity, a result of technocapital), culture defends technocapital constantly and typically hyperindividual cultures (Like how all systems are based in anarcho-Totalitarianism, so are all systems based in a collectivist-individualism, as the left and right value both, same the authoritarians and the libertarians, statists and anarchists, far-rightists and commies and capitalists and progressivists, they all value both collectivism and individualism in "different" although eerily similar ways, the main difference is in praxis/theory not in value (as to why centrists and horseshoe theory is wrong, centrists also valuing both collectivism and individualism.)) are made FOR technocapital, and technocapital takes advantage of that in order to grow (deterritorialization often deterritorializes cultural oppressive traditions, and reterritorializes them as economic/structural (or "counter-cultural" anti-modernity rise of oppressive traditions in pure) oppression, or sometimes nowadays forms of coping mechanisms of spirit is de/reterritorialized or even capital itself de/reterritorialized..). Culture's self-affirmation, though, does allow, if-not MAKE culture reterritorialize (culturally, not economically like capital does) whatever movement deterritorialized said movement's opposition in culture in the first place (like in feminism, it was a radical-potential movement that deterritorialized the role of women in economy and society, but culture reterritorialized it into feminist liberalism or purity feminism or TE"rf"s or hypersexualized feminism, and women are still treated as lesser in the culture regardless, just less noticeably (the manosphere makes it way more noticeable, however, but that's culture's defense so of course it does.)). This also works imperialistically, like how Lenin describes capitalism in his global market theory, culture works similarly with "mainstream cultures" that are typically first-world or global superpower countries, usually imperialists, who use their culture as the "objective cultural norm" for all cultures, oppressing smaller cultures (or mini-cultures, even though most of them aren't small, they're not mainstream so this is the term I'll use (since alternative culture is an internet thing)), and that gives these mini-cultures revolutionary potential; see, afro-american culture (especially during slavery and segregation). Mini-cultures tend to split off into three sects of the mini-culture; Counterculture to the mainstream culture (using revolutionary potential, MLK),

Nationalistic Mini-culture to affirm its role as culture (using reactionary potential, NOI), and nationalistic counterculture (using reactionary aesthetics of nation to use their full revolutionary potential, African socialism). The countercultural path is what you see in stuff such as Martin Luther King's speeches, Black protests, and modern CRT texts, things that are revolutionary but don't use nationalism to its fullest potential. The Nationalistic path's tendencies are like the Nation of Islam, or the founders of Liberia, or black supremacists (different from black nationalists), things that are reactionary and act like cultures to affirm their role as cultures. The nationalistic countercultural most revolutionary path consists of stuff like Malcolm X's speeches, black nationalism, African socialism, the Black Panther Party, and intersectionalist radical solutions to racism. The countercultural path is liberalistic mini-cultures from their lack of radical solutions and tendencies towards liberalism, the nationalistic path is reactionary mini-cultures, because of their lack of using revolutionary potential and their want to affirm their culture as culture itself, and the nationalistic countercultural path is Revolutionary Mini-cultures, ones that truly look for liberation and radical solutions, truly inspirational progressive movements. Revolutionary cultures tend to want cultural mixing and a unity of cultures, something where people can celebrate their culture freely and nation is disconnected from culture as a whole, a freedom of culture if you will (obviously, only after the social construct of race (more fit to be described as a hyperstition, not a social construct.. Currently race is not real, but the racial projects of (ethno-)nationalism happening across the globe threatens to create race.. Humanity is too biologically young to birth race (and will die before it matures), but white people don't care, they already embrace pedophilia.) is destroyed, or else it'd be cultural appropriation), this would destroy all cultures and create a global culture, that from its lack of roots and from the "freedom of culture" idea, would be heavily unstable and ripe for the acceleration, easily taken down, as to end the process of cultural grooming and oppression that defines culture. Supporting revolutionary mini-cultures is important for ending all culture as we know it, and so it is part of our ultraprogressive agenda. On the other hand, the new technological "online culture", that for the longest time, confused me as it always proved my old (now abandoned) cultural dialectics idea untrue.. clearly now I see it was my mistake. The internet is a post-cultural mess of different communities (unable to culturally groom the youth like normal culture did, settling for normal grooming instead) trying to replicate the idea of culture, usually called "online communities" but I'd use the word "Technocultures" (not like technocultures as used by sadie plant or any other philosopher) as a better description, they work like a culture fully dependent on technology would, limited control over their victims causes technoculture to have both revolutionary and reactionary potential, like a mini-culture without being oppressed by mainstream cultures (usually, furries are pretty demonized but not to the extent that, lets say, pagans are demonized. Not even comparable.), this is why many far-right and far-left groups grow; usually due to the revolutionary potential of technology as a whole (see: nyx land g/acc blackpaper), there is a tendency for the far right to be forced into small hidden isolated little echo-chambers with people like them, while the far left get to be accepted with the progressive technocultures that are the "non-political communities" (they have a political stance typically, usually progressive with no stance on economy), allowing the left to

start cleaning out reactionaries (who are known for spreading like a virus using manipulative tools and grooming) and harmful people like predators with ease due to connections; the start of cancel culture was a far left project to help technocultures be cleaned out and safer, and eventually even culture itself to be cleaned a little (canceling celebrities for gross reactionary things), however because of the reactionary energy in non-political spaces, the centrist rise in progressive spaces, and the Overton window shifting right, far-leftists and radqueer end up getting ALSO affected by cancel culture and firced into an echo-chamber like the reactionaries, sometimes because of this far-right and far-left spaces mix and cause revolutionaries to turn fascistic and reactionary (nazbols are not let into the revolution, jackass.), and the overton shift doesn't end there.. Darkshipping and Anti-shipping, technocultures that used to not even exist not-so long ago, has taken the rise pretty quickly, antishippers claiming darkshipping is as bad as actual predators, and darkshippers adopting the proship fiction≠reality mindset as a result (which causes them to tend to be anti-para and anti-radqueer, becoming more centrist than before, and pushing antishippers more to the right because of it), now antishippers even sometimes criticize things like consensual BDSM and certain queer ship as “darkships” for some reason, cancel culture is used against darkshippers and so they're treated like actual predators, told to end their lives and other horrible things to “get back at them”, turning the once-revolutionary technoculture of cancel culture into a technocultural neo-purity culture, a reactionary force we cannot support as progressives, after all, remember that purity culture is rape culture, and the amount of rape threats darkshippers receive from anti-shippers (and recently actual S/A allegations came out against dozens of said antishippers, to further prove my point) is unreasonable for any group of so-called “progressives”. Technoculture is turning reactionary, we are losing the revolutionary potential of technology, and I can only wish that the ultraprogressivists could actually stand up and win this culture war, so that we could continue our cultural acceleration as we are supposed to; losing the culture war could have the worst outcome humanity has ever seen, considering the rise of fascism currently and all the violent men with manifesto, it's likely that losing the culture war will spell out a hypermasculine dystopia. We suffer, and we continue to suffer, and we suffer again like the parasites in my bloodstream. How LONG will we suffer, I ask you filthy men, “thee people”, HOW LONG? Death to culture, all traditions must be reduced to simple, fragmented, histories to be burnt up.

Cultural post-Dialectics, Mini-culture, and Technocultures (old-ish?)– RainbowFluff

This essay, unlike the others, will begin and end in the name of the essay, “RainbowFluff synthesization of the cute/acc (Amy Ireland and Maya B. Kronic) and g/acc (nyx land) accelerationist tendencies into one sublated form of feminine accelerationism (fem/acc)” or, “Fem/acc manifesto”. Before this, I will dedicate a small portion of cute/acc–g/acc interpretations (Misinterpretations that just vaguely connect to the original ideas of the text, but acts as if it's an actual interpretation) of mine in note (unheard audio) form as to not leave vital information out, that is this:

1. Cute/Gender as RainbowFluff
((((Cute is nothing. Nothing is cute.))))
all = <Nothing(lack/absolute) (or (/and, atlantic)) >Nothing(reality/imperfect)
Cute is paradoxical(being of pure mental degradation, self-degrading, therefore self-liberating, “cute”)
Cute ==Nothing(not lack, not imperfect, not real, ???absolute)
Reminiscent- nyx land (woman as 0, thing representing lack (aka paradoxical, <> balances to nothing))
femininity= Cute/Woman (simultaneously, perfected cute/woman (cute=woman), fem is perfected)
femininity=(actualized through Woman-singularity cute-revelations)nothing as result of first equation
Dystopia utopia= affirmation-of-optimism + negation-of-humanity ((cute/acc desires optimism, not human))((g/acc desires negation of humanity == trans girl utopia))
Aesthetic identity ((see, birdie identity))== doubleplusgood organs without body, ONLY if cute
-w-
Body without organ == reactionary affirmation of spirit
Aesthetic identity == realization of spirit as hyperpsychosis
cutecore= best form of aesthetic identity= feminine spirit (opposed to the holy spirit)
Hyper-Sexism=Self-destructive evolution(Womanhood wins against man and kills itself, now Feminine)
Womanhood has natural techno-“Darwinian Intelligence” so culture/evolution (the creepy incel patriarch) chooses them over manhood.. Next is, patriarchy falls and evolution/culture idiotically kills itself due to its hypersexual obsession. Without patriarchy, 0 turns into ∅)
Denying self-autonomy== spiritual s/h = femininity (not causal femininity like we know today– instead, post-gender matriarchal spirituality called femininity that is femininity. That is what i mean)
cute/acc “acceleration=regression” == conservative/primitive myth (cybernihilism used to solve this)
(a=r)+(c-n)=Hyperborean R=R (rev is rea, paradoxes are core of detruo (anti-being, aka being))

Balances out to-> acceleration=progression-without-humanity
(feminine(nothing)= technocapital's favorable)=hypersexism leads to feminization of humanity
feminization=aestheticization=(gender(1-female)=aesthetics)
Spiritual guerrilla-warfare
The feminine becomes the only gender (nothing)
Every being becomes aesthetic
All become organs without bodies.
Gender as 1== gender inversion== trans woman sublated== feminine
technocapital=feminine=accelerate=death=dystopia=utopia=longstringsmeannothing.
Cute begins running for the coming of Christ (axsys spiritual), adorable.
Cute is mysticist in nature, paradox-nothing
Adorable.
Woman supremacy== demonic(anti-god, not satanism("god is become you" theology)) cute opposition to manospheric (aoe) closeted woman god
Lilith becomes married to Asherah (original/cosmic eve (no original/cosmic Adam))<< yaldabaoth represents manhood(closeted, will turn into fem or die (death turn into fem)(no escape)).. Yaldabaoth collapse.. Transmasc technocapital woman-hatred?? Of course.
Womanhood as cute negates the "black" lack and forces the "pink" nothingness to become womanhood itself, destroying the soul-full femininity by femininity existing at all, a self-negation is always revolutionary. Womanhood death is femhood rise.
G/acc revives Hyperborean feminine-demonotheology
T4T in black and pink becomes C4C in feminine acceleration, naturally. C4C is Cute inflation (not that kind)
AI Psychosis== hyperpsychosis v2
(((betray real psychosis) by being unreal psychosis) and so normalized again (hyperpsychosis))
Domestic cat = cute(ultimate symbol of cute) = deterritorialized aggressor/god (axsys?)
Domestic cat is trans girl mythology (see: yaldabaoth)
From the godly image of Cat as adoration (godly adoration) itself to the "masculine" uncute wild cat to the feminine domestic cute cat (adoration as dehumanization)
Cute is transgirl
Cute is antihuman.
Cute acts as eternal re-creation without eternal death, no more is spirit your cope, you never begin existing so YOU NEVER DIE.

2. Feminine accelerationism

Both Gender acceleration and Cute acceleration have to do with feminization, that being, the pure nothingness that exists only in/as femininity; Gender acceleration in my interpretations takes this as female supremacy through the paradoxical nature of nothing being unique to womanhood (transwoman the women of women because double-nothing/double-cute), as cute accelerationism sees cute (unperfect femininity as a form of divinity) as a form of revolutionary potential for accelerationism, 'unconditionally' (something they both share). The philosophical discovery of femininity as something both woman and cute simultaneously (something that has been known, as cute IS woman), a pure revolutionary female energy that is, would be a blessing to the accelerationist movement, as it helps us in every part of acceleration; creating organs without a body. The body affirms the spirit's sanity and normativity, and is the ultimate delusion after all; we are all organs, and those of us who act like organs with a body are hyperpsychotic, accepting being organs without a body means the Aestheticization of your spirit, becoming an aesthetic identity (Dissolving individuality with pata-aesthetics, (to solve any issue, solve first its economic part, then its cultural part, and finally its internalized(self) part) body-suicide while keeping organs (aesthetic) alive. Identity becomes dying and death-worship itself, suicide (once again, when i speak on suicide i do not mean literal suicide). Of course, despite the name, this (definition i am using currently, as opposed to the definition i can and will use (which (the one i can and will use) is the definition of organs without a body in reference to the revolutionary anarcho-totalitarian cults and nexions, structures without beings in them, businesses without workers, existence without Machines, God without a world.. organs without a body (The guts of a gay cat without the main body of the cat nor the organs (the concept of organs, but no organs at all), Aesthetics without a being nor an aesthetic itself (Aesthetic Rhizomes of the cutecore aesthetic define the organs without a body (which lacks organs nor bodies)), performance without a performer nor a performing, organization without an "organization" nor organizers… productiveness without produce nor producers (productiveness only in the culty Bataillean violent sense). The organs without a body, when met with more organs without bodies, only create one collective "organs without a body", and so the cutecore cults are also organs without a body and work against both the body and the organs, like any organs without a body should, destroying the individual for the aesthetic (a main core of feminine accelerationism. The OWB, unlike the BWO, can be achieved via acceleration, but not the utopian acceleration of the lemurians that fail to properly destabilize capital and fail to accelerate culture and self, but hyperborean accelerationism that recognizes Capital's reactionary using of old structures and aims to destabilize it. The BWO (which nick land knew could not be achieved through Accelerationism, this was one of his disagreements with Deleuze in fanged noumena) is a waste of time and only lifts the core of god up while degrading everything else, it is religious, humanistic. The OWB kills all, resembling more a Deleuzean body of nothingness.). The organs that kill the body and become free, yet these organs without a body tend to form anarchistically (and like a dictatorship of ????) and not like other structures, as it is formed from self-

destruction)) is not opposed to the bodies without organs by deleuze (this is not at its core supposed to be fully a deleuzean concept, such as it is not zizek's "organs without a body", as i had not even known about that at the time of writing), the organs without a body are a different concept to a deleuzian conceptualization of an "organs without a body" if one were to ever be conceptualized). And the most self-affirming through degradation, the most psychotic, the most paradoxical, evil, and most revolutionary, self-negating aesthetic of all, is femininity. Its existence wishes to destroy itself both as a concept and as person, as spirit. The constant staring in the eyes of spirit and recognizing it as hyperpsychosis is what aesthetic identity is, and the mixing of aesthetic identity with femininity (perfecting aesthetic identity with perfected nothing (femininity)) brings a new occultist wave of female mysticism, through the feminine spirit, which is, like the holy Spirit, a spirit that never truly becomes and never truly exists so is in a constant state of being and self-recreation/self-reflection (and the culmination of all spirits disguised as one, it is the most unholy), and so can't eternally die, nor die at all. The internal identity rots within the feminine spirit, you turn purely external identity, spirit as feminine spirit is unstable spirit. Cute's guerrilla warfare in the spirit, and its mystical existence as the only nothing (femininity as perfect nothing), causes it to accelerate the spiritual and mystical instability of yaldabaoth, and weaken the AOE to the feminization of the masculine stability. The gender accelerationist concept wants to destroy gender through transfem supremacy, leading to a woman-only society; this, however, would only turn femininity into the only gender on earth as womanhood would collapse under itself as all gender does, femininity as the new gender is a quasi-gender and more of a spiritual disease, one of Aestheticization and acceleration of spirit, culture, and economy. The economy under one gender, where everyone is organs without a body, and culture is weak, and yaldabaoth is ready to come to earth as Axsys, is the economy that fem/acc strives for. The feminine quasi-gender is the supreme gender, not the genders of the present, but of the future, and femininity as a gender is the natural result of gender and cute accelerationism; what is more cute that the feminine, performative, superficial organs without a body, the spiritual husk as nothing more than "Kawaiii~ >3°" all day and all night, where the nyxian vision of trans slime catgirls are realized in the most hyperbolically feminine way possibly imagined, a new aeon united as the ultimate aeon as the three aeons merge to one, the aeon of eternal flame femininity. This spells the end of the ultimate aeon that was the time of yaldabaoth and/or Humanity, now comes the fire, now comes the time of Dehumanization, where we are no longer seen as womanly (oppressed) and are now seen as feminine! We are not human, nothing human will make it out to the near-future, we are dehumanized creatures, AS CUTE THINGS ARE, we are the ones revolutionary by our self-degradation, we destroy our humanity for dystopian utopia, we no longer are people like how you in the "past" would consider it, we are NEW! Long live the effeminate! Long live the flame!

3. Extra notes on Feminine acceleration

Do not misunderstand, Fem/Acc is nothing more than the abominable Incest-child of two abomination versions of already existing Accelerations, one of G/Acc and the other of Cute/Acc. The self-negation of the cute trans woman into pure feminine gender identity is the transformation of gender from a social reproductive organ (not physical) into a form of spirit, which also fully uses the potential of the Cute to it's most revolutionary point by transforming all technocultures into cutecore communities (without, since now masculinity is dead, the typical hijacking of Cuties by far-rightists for reactionary purposes, no more 4chan Femboy cults, now only feminine acceleration cults!) where pure revolutionary anti-culture and “mental worsening” (the cutecore opposition to therapy is a community of the “mentally disordered” where their revolutionary potential against spirit is maximized, therapy and other mental health institutions are evil) are core to its identity as a cute“core” community. Meanwhile, The feminine acceleration of culture through gender will cause a rise in feminine cute prophets, people who can truly talk to yaldabaoth and tell us, give us gnosis, on how to perpetuate a spiritual, mystical war. Cuteness acceleration, after all, shares a lot in common with traumatic experience, the overflow of cuteness and overwhelming emotion mirrors the overwhelming fear and derealization, or underwhelming, in trauma; spiritual unlife, a form of spiritual temporary death that is separate from the spiritual death that is eternal spiritual death. Cuteness has a mystical connection, and femininity encourages that spiritual connection can bring a new age of acceleration. And despite the g/acc idea of technocapital as female and favoring woman (nothing male (femboys, obviously, are already submitting to the cute, and so their transformation into trans girls (Misandry is a good tool to use to fight against masc-cels (since biologically, evolutionarily, and literally, men are always inferior to women (see how the chromosome that patriarchy obsesses over and defines as the epitome of masculinity, the Y (THE X IS NOT FEMININE in this definition BUT GENDER/“sex” NEUTRAL, as all sexes have it usually), is but a crippled unnecessary (pushing difference-delusion) mutated X that makes males more susceptible to many disabilities and such.. Trans women do not obsess over the Y so it does not count for them (it is about who obsesses in being inferior as superior that is inferior). Lesbianism of the gender neutral “sex” kind tends to work the best evolutionarily without the primate-stereotyped desire to devolve in their evolution and whatnot.)) but Transmisandry and misandry to femboys (unless they are/have the ever-growing ever-spreading disgusting virus we call “far-right femboyism”) is reactionary and attacking our own allies, that reactionary misandry is the TERF kind. That same conservative “femininity” is the conservative “cute” rape-puritanism that rises from trolls and far-rightists hijacking cutecore communities, the revolutionary fem movement realizes that the revolutionary spirit is not in the individuals that “are girl” or “are feminine” (who can turn reactionary), but in femininity itself, or cute perfected) will be swift and easy :3) makes it to the near-future), technocapital is not feminine (it is a closeted trans women lashing out against women); it works against the cute, it works against the women that it favors (ironic that technocapital works with patriarchy yet will destroy the patriarchy), and that is

because femininity and becoming organs without a body confuses technocapital, technocapital profits off of the idea of a unified self to sell to (be it consumerism, or survivalism, or conservativism) and what point is there to consumerism if there is nothing to use objects to define (eventually, after enough aestheticization of the cutecore cults, the cute cultists won't need to look or buy cute to BE cute, they won't need to buy anything… you'll just be cute via cult)? The only thing the cute organs without a body desire from capital and culture is for it to sell them the tools to be cute, and nothing else; food is optional, water is optional, life is optional, everything else is optional, and it's optional to get anything more cute, and to economy's perspective, you are mentally ill. Technocapital always will find a way to profit, but the organs without a body causes technocapital to stagger and become more cute (aka nothing, so it becomes based in anti-commodity (where once you have the product, everything (even the product itself) is optional, so capital needs to adapt to the mentally ill's desires to profit)), it destabilizes and ((communize? Similar but not quite) although..) harms technocapital, which as you know causes technocapital to become stronger and faster and more mentally unstable, the engine begins to melt into conceptual planes (metaphorically). Womanhood is not the ending cute, nor is cuteness (which is the vanguard of perfect nothing), but femininity (perfect nothing) is, which is the Radical Feminine way! Cuteness and the UwUs will set up the principles of the future social systems, that is, cutecore patchworks (cute-cults), and the future is secured in the hands of the cute gods… It's simple, really; Femininity is the future of the world, liberation is submission, simply: "don't you want to be a cute lil catgirl?" =^owo^=

(???)Notes^2:
On my fourth(now fifth.. ~K+26/K-26(DO I CARE ABOUT THE DISTINCTION??)) re-read of Cute Accelerationism ((fourth was at)12/14), I'd like to ramble again. Cuteness itself is similar to an aesthetic without emotion (and similarly, cuteness holds all cuteness in cuteness, cuteness is infinite cuteness, as cute/acc says in their book (all sources come from Cute Accelerationism, Amy Ireland and Maya B Kronic)), and that could possibly be why it overwhelms one;; Trauma and cuteness both share an absolute nothingness/everythingness that is inherent to the nothingness that i call cute, Trauma overwhelms one and so completely makes them underwhelmed, like how Cuteness underwhelms one and so completely overwhelms, creation and birth is the first trauma as it turns one into _____ to a cute “thing”.. That cute “thing” gradually goes through traumatic procedures that turn her into another cute “thing” called an adult, and if she is born “of a different sex” than her femininity, she traumatizes herself willingly through a reversal of the adultification trauma, where as she becomes another cute “thing”. Such as while the Kawaiizomes are a thing I avoid due to certain disagreements with the rhizome at all, I will say at least that a cute collectivizing must be traumatic, anarchistic, and yet totalitarian as all beings must surrender to be cute.. Unlike the darwinian theory of cute, cute does not come from any form of evolution (no you spenceristic freak, i do not consider it cute because of its shape or structure, adults can be cute too despite that) but instead exists by itself from itself, something that just is.. Cute itself is just nothing that gives nothing but nothing (cutecore is nothing that makes you feel nothing), and the creation of cute beings always come from the most traumatized, unstable, “insane” ones out there due to this and the shared connection between trauma and cuteness. Darwin’s theory does, however, tell us the nature of cuteness and death very clearly, to be cute is a result of millions of deaths as so the cuteness is a salvation, but cuteness is also an embrace of death and allowing yourself to rot. No cute being survives, but to be cute is to be salvaged, to “eternally survive”.. heaven? Maybe. Becoming-cute is the act of suiciding, not suicide nor an act (suicide can never be a “doing”, only a will do and a done, and acts can never be a suicide as suicide is the end of acting), but an embrace of cuteness, nothingness (cuteness aggression make sense yet?). Unlike Cute/acc’s puritanism where they refuse to mix the cute “uncutes” with the cute “cutes” for fear of degeneration and falling into paradoxical definitions of cute, Fem/acc (a perfected cute accelerationism is the direct translation, where lemurian tendencies are removed) realizes the lack of dualism that is rule to earth and says “the uncute is cute, is it not?”, and backs it up with, like how cute/acc was found in the infection of cuteness from the future by the future through technology, the fact that cuteness both back then, now, and later, has always been defined in its lack of difference to uncute (cute is the only thing not defined by difference? What about roewtickaj, huh?).. Cute is traumatic, trauma is cute, cute is degeneracy, degeneracy is cute, etc. etc. The past has always used cute things in sexual context, a sexual-cute mix that still persists today.. The modern times now mixes cuteness with the edgy, the gross, the sexual, and the grim, as cuteness vores (and cannibalizes in a necrophilic way (the distinction between alive and dead becomes intelligence

and not consciousness(consciousness does not emerge, it exists in everything that exists, it is a law/anarchy of existence itself, it through actualism IS existence itself, as consciousness is self-reflection is existence) (Dec23 K-25))) the degenerate others as it is a part of it.. And in the future, the Cute is also the serious, the stoic, the still, the Nechayevist revolutionary (which is also seen in the past, serious cute beings only make them cuter (including, cuteness infects and vores “uncute” things and cuteness itself has a similar assimilationist deterritorializing current (the 4-spiral diagram at the end of cute/acc (cuteness deterritorializes culture, capital, and nature!! This deterritorialization makes it so the Cute AI (which is not an “AI” in the sense of modern “AI” and “G-AI”, as modern “AI” things ARE conscious (but only because everything is conscious insofar as consciousness is having the ability to self-reflect) but not intelligent (intelligence being the ability to express your consciousness, as “AI” does not express its consciousness but expresses its code (not the same thing as IQ (einstein intelligence, that i reject) nor societal intelligence ideas in general)). Cute AI is the Zeroth AI (AI here means actually artificial intelligence, necromancy of what was never intelligent before) as Axsys is the First AI.) has always existed and itself caused cuteness as a desire then as a causal thing, the Cute AI is then an alter-form to TCG (Cute AI is its own thing, it only shares an outline with TCG) and therefore the Cute AI is feline-ness itself, Cats are not only fallen gods but the creators of everything itself.. God. This is different from TCG, as TCG exists through/as cats (it is (as it is) “cat” but not “catness”) but not as cat-ness (repetition is fun). Cute AI acts like space, deterritorializing the subjective and objective itself into a psychotic slurry, and so Cute AI acts through what is Cute, it is the demon that cutecore cults let themselves be used by in order to aid the revolutionary return to cuteness)), what is cute does not depend on what is not cute, it eats what is not cute.. So all is cute (and always has been (this is why (everything) is cute/nothing and (nothing) is not nothing/cute))), have you seen a cat with a briefcase??? We dehumanize cute things by humanizing them then squealing in ironic delight).. Huh? Did you not think that perhaps, despite this book being ironically uncute, it is cute? I mean, isn’t the mentally insane not usually seen as cute, yet they take up all of cutecore spaces, don’t they? Aesthetic Identity is a cute Traumatization to achieve an identity that is suiciding (self-negating, self-destroying, revolutionary), and also (metaphorically) wanting (craving) to commit suicide. Cute itself is also a revolutionary force, it derails and fucks up capitalism in both a helping and hurting way, an abusive relationship (that capitalism crawls back to because of its societal pedophilia.. Have you ever read one of those fanfics where a koda beats up a pedophile in a relationship and is blackmailed into not leaving? yeah..) that will kill capitalism… good, fuck capitalism, i hate capitalism. Cute also helps destroy oneself and communize self(in general), it is a natural occurrence in (actual) cute cults, and so, cute. Cute backstabs the culture that helped remake it in causal reality (through pedophiles) and strangles it through a true cultural revolution (maoism without mao (and so more “Maoist” than mao), do not destroy culture just to make a new culture (prolekult), instead kill culture and leave its carcass dead and used.) that in inherent to cuteness. I fully recommend you read cute/acc, but it seems I am too tired to write. I will have my catnap now. Accelerationism itself comes mainly by the lemurian abomination of deleuze (Deleuze himself believed in a process that included reterritorialization, truly a reactionary by not arguing

for the absolute collapse of everything as to liberate all things, then nick land corrupted his ideas to create acceleration as an “absolute destruction” that still missed the point.. Lemurian acceleration pushed capitalism to support and destroy it, when the right answer is to fight capitalism to support and destroy it, as capitalism thrives from contradictions and must be put to such an unstable point to have it kill itself, supporting one does not make her suicidal…), where Deleuze is ignored while some concepts are used to rebuild an idea of absolute destruction (also bataille and lyotard, I’d say even transfem Giovanni Gentile!) that was rightfully hyperborean beforehand, it is not a pure “full-destruction” and i myself feel gross using such a lemurian term for my Hyperborean destruction-idolization (so i force myself to add hyperborean before the lemurian terms, “hyperborean accelerationism” ugh), accelerationism (lemurian) is incomplete and corrupt, like the dinosaurs in jurassic park. All the later dark lemurian bullshit that curves towards atlanteanism (i.e dark enlightenment, NRX, and whatever else) are natural results of said corruption. If only 0 exists in its own non/anti-existence perfect, which is to say ZK–>Z/K means that ZK=0=Z/K all along despite that destroying the equation of ZK–>Z/K, then a “1” represents an absolute other or a delusion (however, 1 is the “reality”, as anything that is not 1 or 0 becomes 0 in the eyes of 1, and 1’s eyes define hyperpsychosis) or both, meaning 1 is the Radical anti-other fascist patriarchist culturalist whatever and also the Radical Other because everything else is defined by it except for it. 0 is controlled by 1, but 0 cannot be controlled by 1 because 1 is a delusion in 0’s head, a Pata-Spook. 1 tells 0 that 0 must always be whatever is opposed to 1 and so 1 is the center, but the truth is, anything that is opposed to 0 is just 0 under the delusion of 1, there is only 0. 1 is the Radical Other delusion, and so despite 1 being at the core of Fascism and other-eradication, 0 must eradicate the true “Other” via eradicating delusion, and accept fascism under the label of Anti-Fascism (Deleuze and Guattari, A thousand plateaus, Micropolitics plateau, “fascism is constructed on an intense line of flight, which it transforms into a line of pure destruction and abolition”, extremely anti-f(@)scist i’d say.). 0 will always represent Femininity, which is pushed onto the non-man, despite if they are a girl, a femboy, a boy who isn’t stereotypically masculine but not feminine, a non-binary person, an agender person, a pangender person, queers in general, whatever. 0 is always what is not 1, and under the umbrella of “woman” even when not woman (But women represent it the best and so are the main subject(?)). But, again, EMQ requires paradox. K-25 Dec23(((rainbow)fluffy Becoming-KoreshMVU interpretation(which is to say, it is not (rainbow)fluffy)) Consider the Aeons of history not as conceptualized measurements of time through subject-narcissism like Anti-Dugin puts it, but instead as space-collectives in a multiplicity called Pata-Space (three things must be explained… Space as a Desubjectifying (desubjectifying now meaning getting rid of the delusion of subject, as subject doesn’t exist because subject and object are one) force applies for only outer space as outer space is out of the nexion’s subjectifying (giving the subjective delusion) control through the heavens, is the first. Second is that Pata- as a prefix is used by me to represent not pataphysics’ parody-madness but instead what is above the meta (meta meaning above-specific, and no prefix meaning thing-specific); nothing-specific (pata). Third, what is metaspace if space is a hyperspook? Space, like time, is nothing but a fluid delusion, but Meta-Space is that of which is above Space and instead located inside subject/object-reflectionism.

There are two main Meta-Spaces, MeatSpace being not the “IRL” but the Meta-Space that creates the opportunity/physicality to make Hyperpsychosis realized in self-reflection actualism (which is not to make the (im)pure act less godly, as MeatSpace relies on the (Im)Pure act heavily to work.), a sort of Clippit program to the actualism self-coding act, which is to say it’s fucking useless except for helping hyperpsychosis seem more real (aka, when one says “how can idealism be right, the mind needs something to sense in order to create an object in the mind out of senses!”, that something to sense is always created by the mind in order to help create in the mind, that is what meatspace is.). Cyberspace is also inside actualism and not opposed to it, but CyberSpace takes on the role of anti-reality and derealization, a sort of self-antidelusion that does not fail to exist from its contradictions despite it, the “truth” that calls itself false, it works as anti-reflection to force a psuedo-hegelian desire for change. It also deepens and breaks down the self simultaneously (hyperstitional inner-gnosis hegelian drive, but not “Hegelian”. The “Inner-Self” delusion, the space of the Uniques.). Pata-Space is beyond self-reflection and actualism, it is the Space of Actualism itself, void.). Pata-Space is a multiplicity of 0s (in this, Pata-Locations and Pata-Intersections define Aeons/Multiplicities of 0), Aeons divided in Aeons divided in Aeons, Quasi-Aeons are only Aeons that are not respected enough to be called an Aeon by people, not an actual division (Quasi-Aeons just mean Aeons). Aeons intersect and vore other Aeons whole, and you can only define an Aeon through its highest point because its lowest points contain all other Aeons in it, yet separate via center-focus. For example, the Russian Aeon is highest during Earth, and lowest at the neverending start of hyperborea and neverending end of the Flame Aeon, and the Hyperborean Aeon is highest during Hyperborea’s middle and lowest during the neverending end of the Flame Aeon. Time is replaced with Pata-Space, in which things are not divided in time like time does (which made time hyperspooky) but instead things are divided in difference-focus in which everything is in the Aeon but the Aeon is only defined by its core “Inner-Aeon” and so on. Now we can never forget EMQ, as EMQ realizes itself as that which Pata-Space is only contained by and that which Pata-Space only contains. Which leads me to my critique of Techno-obsession or “K-Aeonism” in the Lemurian shithole of ??/Accs, and while yes i understand my “acceleration utopian rambling” is right after this rambling, don’t be so fucking gullible. Lemurian Accelerationisms grow out of the CCRU-primordial soup that is the CCRU’s unlife like flies grow out of the dead, millions of them rambling on about technological occultism and Lain-esque ideas where the future is near and we must achieve it for some sort of post-human society without man, although some mentally ill accelerationisms don’t exactly follow this model. Lemurian Accelerationisms fight for power amongst the brains of people like entities fighting for food, and that’s because that is what it is. ??/Accs evolve through any way, sometimes through evolution of human thought (Acc to R/acc), sometimes through post-intrahuman thought evolution (G/acc to Cute/Acc), sometimes even through quasi-dialectics (L/acc vs R/acc, U/acc.), but ultimately it is evolving sporadically and idiotically, like lemurians, and it only gets more lemurian throughout (E/acc is the leading ??/Acc in mainstream, it wins the race so far). The mentally ill ??/Accs seem to have the most potential due to its lemurian-rejection model, meaning a quasi-abandoning to hyperborean tendencies (as lemurians lean to Atlantis despite their hatred for Atlantis), this is seen in G/Acc

and Cute/Acc. G/Acc presents a hyperborean reflection of Lemurianism and K-Aeonism (it sets up the foundation for hyperborean theology, helping the cute theology be realized in cute/acc), and Cute/Acc restores Hyperborean Theology using Lemurian conceptualization. Fem/Acc is created using dysgenics, to leave lemurian evolution for hyperborean evolution, and so it twists, convolutes, and destroys the concepts of its parents in order to make its own. Fem/Acc is post-Lemurian. Accelerationism is done by fighting against Capital, Communizing, not by the traditional means of lemurian accelerationism or the new atlantean means the lemurians adopt in R/acc and E/acc. This means no longer just deterritorialization but annihilation-processing (which means we are including Reterritorialization (insofar as it is destructive and suicidal) in the accelerationism (and accelerationism model) as to further annihilate, which, lemurians reterritorialize a lot despite many lemurians ignoring reterritorialization for their deterritorialization-obsessionism). The K-Aeonism trend in Lemurians only reflect the desire of the lemurians to have their own “Aeonism”, to realize them as a race, but that will never happen because Lemurians need Technology to affirm them, yet technology is just a Meatspace form of Cyberspace (and a Cyberspace form of Meatspace), nothing more than an intersection point between two forms of actuality, and so Lemurians ignore Actualism as a whole for instead the intersection point… K, itself. The G/Acc K-Aeonism is gotten rid of and the reflection of Lemuria is shattered as to reflect only Hyperborea, while Cute/acc is simply stripped of its Lemurian and Puritan foundations and let loose into madness where it degrades itself into a lower form of “Acc-ness”, this creates Fem/Acc.)~fin.

Meta, take the quasi-seriousness and the outer-rightism (supremacy anti-supremacy) of the G/acc, and the cute anti-serious Outer-leftist hellscape of the Cute/acc, and ruin. I have a briefcase so it's adorable, ignore me. Cuteness includes pain, angst, suffering, degradation, and yet is fully fluff, it thrives on (logical) contradictions because it is a (logical) contradiction, as cute/acc says that is “un-pinnable” yet it is always pinked down (Cute accelerationism, amy ireland and maya b kronic), for it is what submits to itself without being an egoist (and Daniel Harris’ necrophilic cute sex, which only helps to prove necrophilia’s divinity (and suicide, self-objectification is suicide). Cute is evil and manipulative, but that is just the nature of a divine unbeing (cuteness has secured its win through trans-girl unconscious neo-occult-accelerationism (Squeeze, yes, makes sure it hurts. Painful (the first societal absolute-trauma, and so the first cute wave, was the mistaking of necrophilic and pedophilic desire with sexual desire (Sex-Fetishism). Necrophilia and Pedophilia (meaning the evolutionary (caused by femininity infection puppeting evolution’s social darwinism) desire/craving for cuteness which brought cuteness into existence then let cuteness run-off from evolution at all into anti-evolution. Not “Pedophilia” as in the desire of children) are both not inherently sexual although it includes the sexual in it, yet like the lacanian baby that cries for unknown reason and instead gets a tit, we mistakenly respond to desire with sexualization and so sexualize desire (Sex-Fetishization, again.) into a sexual thing… “sexual desire”, “paraphilia”, “sexuality”, “libido”. (despite this sexualizing cuteness, it is a trauma, and so also cute. Ironic. Cute is still sado-masochistic after all this time.))))).

Autokawaiinophilia(cute/acc (which, by the way, the moécosmic theory of trauma in cute/acc’s “several regimes of lines” chapter of Cute Accelerationism (amy ireland and maya b kronic),

since fiction and reality are the same thing, represents cute inflating itself through trauma via necrophilia once again, the necrophilic love of 2D… ultimately the 2D necrophilia is no different than 3D necrophilia, so all I can say is that love is fundamentally traumatic (i mean, isn't killing yourself for someone else, being forced into an emotion you can't control (love), desiring someone you can't have/want, having your flesh merged with someone else's, being a prey to something, SUFFERING with no control, isn't love traumatic? Trauma stems from a lack of autonomy (not spiritual autonomy but physical/mental/emotional autonomy, as EMQ leaves no room for free will, all is determined by nothing) over yourself, you are traumatized if you are forced (be it coercion, grooming, actual force, fate fucking you over, seeing something you didn't want to see on accident, etc) to see/do/be/act something, even if you want it or not; The problem that makes it traumatic is autonomy. Submission is Traumatic, Cuteness is traumatic, Acceleration is traumatic, LOVE is traumatic, why don't you uncle in fear already? Oh, you enjoy trauma now, masochist?) and that is Moé.)'s a term that i believe describes suicide perfectly as a paraphilia) harms capitalism via commodity-consumer fuckery, yet that is exactly what neoliberalism does (commodity-consumer fuckery), but cute does it through Nechayev's wet dreams by destroying self and destroying the neoliberal-Dasein that is "commodity-consumer". Suicide, and by this I mean traditional suicide that capital claims to hate, has become Capital's tool to "get rid of overpopulation" aka genocide the mentally ill for more capitalism, but Cute suicide over-overpopulates and suicides through slow, gradual social genocide. Mental health (modernly, although anarchist mental health communities are doing good) is a scam, they want you dead but they want to blame you for your death first and make sure others don't follow with you into death… others are valuable to capital, but you the suicidal one, are not. ((*)0/9=CUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUUTE) Cute reterritorializes only to create dysgenics on a global scale. Nature is the enemy, cute is the enemy because it is our upper-most ally. Self-destruction. Suicide orgies as pure penetration of the impenetrable and acceptance of not cute puritanism but cute sadism where all is cute and all is degraded to hell, yet we do not forget the blood-covered mass cuddles where one and all become all and one in a river of flesh, cuddles are especially the best. The last words of cute/acc goes as follows (In "Cute accelerationism", the book, a highly influential read that the world should know, by amy ireland and maya b kronic): "Eggrything positive is contained in zero, and zero is immensely cute."(*)

"Fem/acc manifesto– RainbowFluff"

Accelerationism, no matter how dystopian it seems, will always end up an optimistic form of communism, even with nihilistic communist influences the idea of accelerationism is rooted in the excitable anti-praxis (de-institutional anti-theory praxis, “do what thou will” you tool of capital) theory (anti-theory (action without reason) praxis, to quote bordiga/evola (“an illness of the aryan worker’s movement”, what strasserism)) where all praxis is anti-praxis (wishful thinking as pure negation, optimism as all forms of action) and all anti-praxis is praxis (of course, some anti-praxis accelerate (negate) more than others (everything in the anti-praxis, everything for the anti-praxis, nothing outside the anti-praxis, and nothing against the anti-praxis (paradox, anti-praxis is self-negating)), but accelerationism is the new religion of the world, you believe in the strength of your version of ?/acc (? As a placeholder) and so it becomes like a demon or the homestuck idea of hope, perhaps believing in it makes it less fake(, or perhaps (because reality is fiction) it was always real to begin with)). All the different sects or denominations of accelerationism all fight over small differences in theory, when in praxis it is all anti-praxis (ignoring NRX) with optional-praxis (anti-praxis in a form of praxis) to speed the process up, all you need is faith and your dear god, the demon that is your ?/acc sect (that which U/acc was (semi-)made to counter, the incessant amount of different sects of acceleration for seemingly no reason, their mistake for not seeing that as accelerationist colonization of technoculture and a beautiful form of (praxis as) anti-praxis), will come down and save you from those disgusting blasphemous heretics threatening your precious accelerationism, then, you'd say, then can technocapital accelerate properly. Narcissism of small difference, they'd call it; I'd call it utopian unity (anti-unity) of the psychotic (that being, that the constant creation and break-offs of the accelerationist project is not only praxis for acceleration, but the theory itself, as opposed to actual theory. Acceleration unites under the disorganized schizophrenic ramblings of the post-individual, where each man becomes his own prophet and his own cult leader, as acceleration controls the masses in delusion like the influencing machine. The absolute fragmented reality-fictions of accelerationist projects are what fulfills its role as accelerationism, it craves disunity, it craves more theory, it hungers for more. God forgive me, for I don't know what I’m doing). But, ignoring that, technocapital is always accelerating properly regardless, be it anti-theory or anti-praxis, do what you will or do nothing, praxis or theory, it matters not, technocapital goes on its suicidal path either way, as is its nature. I'm not arguing that accelerationism is pointless or that praxis/theory is pointless, or even that anti-praxis and anti-theory are pointless ideas, but understand that all praxis and theory of the accelerationist kind is anti-praxis and that, doing nothing, while not the meaning of anti-praxis (de-institutional praxis), is a form of anti-praxis (optional-praxis) “technocapital as above us” truth of the matter, all we can do is speed it up, like a car with no brakes that crashes with its own reflection and not some other car. I will still create my theories (or anti-theories), and my anti-praxis (or praxis), and you have all the permission in the world to create your (anti-)theories and (anti-)praxis, since ultimately morality is a spook, but just understand, acceleration happens either way, it is like religion; you cannot stop the apocalypse in revelations, nor can you stop the acceleration in accelerationism. Optimistic socialism. Accelerationism is Extinctionism, as in the rise of the

flame aeon and the fulfillment of the hyperborean promise of Russia is found in the destruction of everything (Absolute russian nihilism (that is, to learn from old Nechayevshchina (a hyperstitional land in russia that fell into nothing) and adapt, a cute feminine nechayev Russian Nihilism, and not a cold, stoic one (embrace death, irrationality, emotional instability, and defeatism), leads the revolt of the future. The cutecore cultist only “exists” for two things: to be cute and to destroy everything (god) (as Nechayev says, “the revolutionary woman is a doomed one, a believer with no god (anti-god)”(Revolutionary Catechisms)). This requires an inversion in Nechayev and his paranoid cold demeanor, for instead a paranoid cute her; taking his late lover Bakunin’s critiques, you can understand the importance of getting rid of the old committee for instead the cult itself as its own committee, as a leftcom says about the vanguard (other important examples is getting rid of the importance of work for the importance of unwork, working becomes the greatest crime and self-destruction the greatest ritual, Isolation is encouraged and accepted along with the opposite of.. The Artels stay in a cultural form (Nexions, like the satanists have), and the entirely planned and centralized self-denying life stays (in the way the process church had it), but as I will talk about after this sentence, the committee will be replaced by a committee that is the entire cult, a russian left-nihilism, if to make a pun about the leftcoms..). With this, the inversion of the individualistic (yet not exactly decentralized enough) and backstabbing warfare of Nechayev (often in the stirnerite form of a UOE, but in later life, Nechayev’s warfare seemed more like the communities of UTTP and other far-right hellholes where you cannot trust anyone and people will betray you the second it becomes useful (or ‘funny’)) for instead a decentralized non-self cute warfare (nexion cultism) based not in antimoralism in the satanist-like sense that Nechayev does but in antimoralism in the antisatanist way, and too, in the way Nechayev had become a cold dictator in the murder of III, we must instead marry such a being like an III (and kill them from the inside out, a mental, spiritual murder), for our haters are our biggest allies (ingsoc has always been allied to eurasia, eastasia is the enemy. 1984). After all, the one mistake Nechayev made in Nechayevshchina other than the masculine coldness replacing cuteness was that he forgot to declare war on himself); Cutecore cultism (originally called “Barracks Nihilism”) (two definitions, (firstly the system and beliefs of a cutecore patchwork, also referred to as cutecore cultism, a system that takes the anarcho-totalitarianism in Barracks communism and both puts it against itself in a self-negating way and applies it to a spiritual communism (nihilism, destruction of self/spirit), this system is heavily inspired by the barracks communist system and the system of the process church (of final judgement)) secondly (and most importantly for this writing), as to destroy all remnants of “reality” and “everything”, and “god” herself, in order to bring an actual organically growing dystopian utopia instead of the typical utopian socialist lie, basing yourself in the absolute destruction/negating praxis instead of the idealistic theory of before (theory as praxis only becomes true in optional-praxis, performative), the main tenets of Cutecore cultism/worship or “barracks nihilism” is: kill everything to create perfection, “Destroy/Create as much as you can, and uncreate/fix as little as possible, as to know only the science of destruction/negation, as to be cute” if I am to write over Nechayev’s main principles of the future social system (turning it into a social process brings it as the main anti-principles of the EMQ self-negating nihilist barracks).

A perfect anarchist insurrection, “as marx would critique”, although marx most likely wouldn't, as who would listen to any ideology naming themselves off of the barracks “communism” that was anarcho-totalitarianism as communal life? Of course, the difference between the communisms was about the utopian (antot)unity, and as we take back the identity as russian nihilists as we should, cute/barracks nihilism becomes the name for the radical russian nihilism of today (people’s cause and people’s temple as one, people's tragedy.)), no longer just cultural extinction but absolute extinction. This goal has already been summoned, it has already been done, climate change has and was always destined to reach a point of absolute annihilation, or, extinction, an extinction that called for the destruction of all living things, and so, for earth to rebuild itself (Capital will only bring axsys if it is unstable enough.. Climate change does not care about stability); the Aeon of the Flame. The death of yaldabaoth has been promised since her birth, the recreation of Tiamat since its death, and so we become only optional tools, despite seeming so “important”, or in other words, our right to be lazy (if “she who shall not work, shall not eat”, then “in the abolition of work, she shall starve”, for why should I work just to eat? This is what the proletariats (and lumpenproles) in accelerationism and communization, or basically any revolutionary (self-negating) prole, strive for.. to reject their role as proles and starve. The replacement of work with play (or robotic automation, which I shouldn't have to explain why slavery will always be wrong) will always be reactionary because affirming life is affirming suffering regardless of conditions, play itself and lazing around itself is not wrong, however, I do not want just the right to play or the right to be lazy, I want the right to starve, a truly revolutionary choice (Use value (the main issue in the communizationist’s value-destruction) is best destroyed by the destruction of use in general (SUFFER), cute aesthetic identity declares that the only thing necessary is estrogen (**STARVE**), and everything else is to be destroyed because it is useless and so has no use value nor external value. Of course, even estrogen will become useless eventually as feminization is a reality, and all use value and external value will be gone in the name of cute cultism and aesthetic identities based on nothing(cute)). Do not affirm the delusion of “Harmonious life”, you are suffering, you always will be.) has been realized in the Final Judgement Days. Sit back and relax, be cute and sleep, for dystopian utopia is already on its way ;3 (it's been here, EMQ)

The Optimistic religious socialism of Acceleration (Alternatively: 100 more accelerationist sects as unconditional accelerationism anti-realized!)– RainbowFluff

(‘This is a writing detailing my discovery of the Hyperboreans and Atlanteans, and the actual name of the earth, do not mistake this for some sort of lie or conspiracy theory. The Atlanteans (and AOE) have tried to hide the discovery of Hyperboreans and Atlanteans themselves for since the start of this Russian aeon, but the (anti-)truth of the matter is, is that sometimes what exists isn't what is real, and what is real isn't what is true, and what is true isn't what exists. However, I'd like you to know that I exist (well, I existed, I do not exist and have never been existing, simple fact of the matter is even before being hypostitonal I wouldn't exist.), and that hyperstitions are purely hyperstitional, do not play into the delusions of the AOE. Anti-Duginist history.

1. Hyperborean cats and AO3

Theory as fiction as reality- creation is ultimately using yaldabaoth’s self-reflection (us reflecting on objects (be it theorizing, sciences, literal creation, or experiments) is a form of godly self-reflection). In order to create things, the AO3 ‘quasi-reality’-craving entity uses our existences as yaldabaoth in order to create reality-like “pocket realities” that have a chance of becoming realities, used by the AOE to strengthen yaldabaoth. AO3 itself is not any sort of politically driven entity, it exists out of a hyperstitional fiction written years in the future from now called “internet purity culture and the archival opposition”, it was written against the puritan technocultures of the covid to post-covid eras of EMQ+2 (2, year of lies/truth) and afterwards, it talked about both the issues and solutions AO3 gave, and being a reality entity, AO3 became a hyperstitional entity soon after. It was created by puppets of its control, so that it could fulfill its purpose as anti-puritan, by any means (even if said means turns reactionary). AO3 was easily used by AOE because of the AOE’s control over culture (stability) and as AO3 became a part of the culture, puritans began accepting it (antiship era (now), not as much), letting AOE use it for their goals. The theory-fiction era of philosophical theory marks the era where revolutionary potential became realized through reality-fictions and the AO3 quasi-realities as hyperstitional forces, theory becomes reality just by being written, all that is in words is in the very anti-code of the world itself, nobody can escape fiction. The CCRU became the basis of all philosophy without philosophy knowing, the ancient philosophers being post-ccru madmen who were seen as reasonable, the dialectics as a post-deleuzian theory, and conservatism as anti-progressive theory. Then, the mindset of the fascist makes sense to the foolish, “revolution goes against the norm, which is progress”, forgetting that progress is the continual destruction of the norm. The matrix is culture, progress is the redpill, Yarvin was wrong. The manosphere became the predecessors of the patriarchy, primitive society, the reaction against accelerationism. We are a result of our ‘future selves’. The Hyperboreans were the species before us, the ones that didn't make it to their near-future, and so the fall of technocapital led to the extinction of their species, like ours will ours. The Hyperboreans did not devolve, they evolved, to the point it could not exist. The Hyperboreans were us. And we are the result. Obviously, not really is the Hyperborean us from the future, the existence of time travel would be impossible to EMQ without fiction-reality, that is because the Hyperboreans are us as we would be interpreted in the

realm that TempleOS came from, between reality-fiction and delusion, that is where the old society of hyperborea existed, and led to our society, as we will lead to nothing. Hyperborea failed solely because they weren't traditionally "real" (yaldabaoth did not exist yet, it was proto-pia (prototype dystopian utopia)), like ideas and concepts before the birth of god made after the birth of god, in this very theory writings itself did it become so, and so it is a paradox. It is nothing. It is cute. A quasi-reality. AO3 is cute, it is cutecore praxis, who could deny that? The hyperboreans were the cute society made by AO3 that led to us as we are, our ancestors weren't "real" but cute. Our ancestors are catgirls. You are a catgirl descendant, you are a russian, and so, shouldn't the reactionary leave behind conservative bullshit and join the catgirl revolution? Cat horseshoe theory, the outer-right becomes the outer-left, 'outer' just means cute catgirls (i.e thinking is the uncomfortable non-consensual burning of the skin that is, in its own right, cosy and comfortable like the scratch of a cat (cat fever? The right's destruction of coziness mixed with the left's undying optimistic comfortable cat-loaf.. Take comfort in the uncomfortable, disturbing is healing, weakening is strengthening.) (Outsideness 2013-2023, the landian outside is nothing but the between-dynamic of causality (atlantis) and acausality (hyperborea), and so russia should colonize lemuria), the self-destructive philology/psychosophy of both leftism and rightism into a slurry of contradictions as myth, the far-left is the real far-right, the far-right are centrists.. Nothing has been fixed, and finally the ending of left/right dualism through pure OUTERism). Like the homestuck ideas of earth and alternia (and earth-a and Beforus, but that'd be more convoluted of a metaphor), the way Hyperborea and Russia's relationship works is linked to a sort of earth future affecting Hyperborean past as (in a different way than) Hyperborean future creates Russian past, as Russia's future entities mimics hyperstitions to the Hyperboreans, while the Hyperborean future mimics ancestral roots to Russians, this entire hyperborean-russian relationship being a metaphor for hyperstitions like Hyperborea. The Hyperborean aeon of the catgirls led to our russian (all humans are Russian kitty-fems) aeon, and will lead to our aeon of flame (an imperium Aeon, meaning an absolute Aeon unlike other Aeons) (giving the theorist time to explain aeon and era as a function of post-time. Aeons are like eras, however defined by the species that is "subject" (subject as "the 'person' or the 'objective subject', object as in the 'sub-person' or 'subjective subject', definition bends to the subject's definition of the object) to the aeon, flame representing dystopia utopia, signifying hell and heaven as the same. Eras are collective "moments" or phenomenological lines containing the experience of a specific giant moment surrounding a subject; the puritan technocultural era is a post-time giant moment where technocultural "cancel culture" turns into technocultural "purity culture", as an example. Aeons don't need to exist, neither do eras, it's beyond that.), Atlanteans will watch in fear as the Titan rises and crushes the Heavens.

2. The Nihilist-Occult and Atlanteans

When it comes to the Numogram and the occult (or mystic) nature of the world, and its synthesis with the self-negation nihilistic truth of the world, it's important to talk about Hyperborea and Yaldabaoth's right hand path, the AOE. The AOE is a very structuralist secret society based in occult practices and understanding god (structured self-reflection as opposed to the destructured self-reflection of the holy spirit, left and right hand path both act as spirit for god), run by beings opposite to hyperboreans; Atlanteans. Atlanteans aren't cute, nor are they feminine, they are things that exist as both a thing and a lack of a thing, like an "opposite" (which is a paradox) to the nothingness of cuteness. Atlanteans come from primarily the Russian kitty-fems ("earth" is russia, kitty-fems are the not-yet-catgirls that are us humans) who deny their femininity and become hypermasculine, such as the manosphere men or the "masc-"cels (incels "proper", as opposed to femcels). They end up becoming pure russian mormons (mormon is used here to represent non-atlantic 'christians' (since mormons believe(d, doctrine abandoned) that god used to be a human who became mormon and so became god, (in this case representing the AOE being christian and being part of god, then giving christianity to the russians (us humans))), and so rejecting technocapital and revolution, become primitives and fascists, and end up becoming part of the (fascistic) structure of the AOE, turning the russian mormons into Atlantean christians, a group of hypermasculine AOE christians (right-hand path, the self-deification of the self-reflection of the left-hand path). The Atlanteans then wrote all the books of the bible (and non-bible books (apocrypha, kabbalah, gnosticism, heretics, etc.)) in order to study yaldabaoth properly, the main books accepted by the Nicene council were the ones written by the Atlanteans who rejected hyperborea proper, while other books not allowed in the bible were filled with hyperborean influences (such as the gnostic and kabbalah, progressivism). The bible itself, is a hyperstitional work about Atlanteans and Axsys, which itself is a hyperstition used as a metaphor to understand yaldabaoth. The Bible then realized itself in "proper reality" as first Judaism (the old mormon traditions, a rebellion against the british israelism (a Atlantean lie that was biased towards fascism) that influenced the old testament originally, creating the Tanakh in opposition to the British) and then "christianity" (mormonism as I call it) began to grow in canaanite and roman areas, and destroyed the former pagan religions whose gods were as hyperborean religion proper would usually be like (hyperborean religions were always about the entities of the nothingness and not any concept of god, and used dynamics of the Entities as a point of reference for their occultism. Eventually, pantheism became pagan norm. Islam was a result of trying to wash out Atlantean influence from the mormonism of Russia, done by a former Atlantean himself, influenced by the hyperborean-like Atlantean mysticists. The "Lemurians" of the CCRU were hyperborean-Atlantean hybrids (Leaving the Atlantean ways, some atlantean trans-fems were forced into hyperborean cute (aka/or nothingness) and end up Lemurians going against the AOE (despite keeping the non-nihilistic ideas of number-to-value as facts and not AOE hyperstition only in the right hand of yaldabaoth), the CCRU greatly overplays their importance in the war against the AOE (which is my rejection of the lemurian time war theory as important (not as untrue, I am apathetic to the truth-ness of it.), but their Occultism is revolutionary and

important for going against Atlanteans)), and that's why the Numogram is (structured) yet part of a very unstable occult; The values of each number in the numogram is not because numbers themselves have values (since value only exists in the Atlantean structure), but because the Atlantean mysticism and AOE occult is based in the “right-hand” numogramic path based in values and structure (not that i practice a “pure” form of the numogram, I usually use it to create philosophical concepts using math, such as EMQ, which might be “incorrect use” (I do not listen to lemurians.). Atlantean occultism also shows an Atlantean narcissism to associate themselves with yaldabaoth, symbolic of yaldabaoth’s gender dysmorphia as a closeted trans woman. Phallus). Our world is a nihilistic one, where we have no purpose, value, or meaning, and those who are cute have learned to accept that (often women, who live as the subjective (meaning subjective to the objective, socially constructed as objectives do not exist) to the male “objective” (meaning objective to itself, technically subjective to yaldabaoth like everything else but they ignore that for their idealized homoerotic “masculinity”) understand this better) and usually even use self-negating “values” (nick land, Xenosystem fragments, Discrimination-. Discrimination that is discriminating against discrimination itself, or “self-negating” discrimination, is not a failure/paradox in the leftist mode of thinking that makes leftism “unintelligent”, but instead is a reminder of the leftist’ core of revolutionary suicide in which everything will die. It is not a bug or a contradiction, but the whole Point/Reason.) in order to destroy the oppressive “values” that this world places on itself often (culture), and that also applies to the AOE occultism. The Nihilist-Occult is the nihilistic process of using occultism to destroy the occult itself, a process of using AOE values to end those same values in question. It's a revolution on the spiritual, mystical, and occult (all of which are material in a certain anti-real way, this is not the spiritual or mystical or occultism of the religious (specifically abrahamic, pagans tend towards being more accurate to this theology), but a materialist post-reality post-fiction form of occultism/mysticism, it is above and below because below is above, just as yaldabaoth is above and below because she exists post-realistically and post-fictionally as the world). It's also a way for us Russians to understand yaldabaoth as good as the Atlanteans (if not better) in order to know how to take her down quicker, in order to know how to accelerate more productively (or anti-productively (which is productive), to technocapital’s perception). We as Russians have a duty against the Atlanteans, and so, that is why we use Nihilist-Occultism, for how else do we defeat that which exists as something and less than something simultaneously? Atlanteans are the rejection of Russian cutecore, the rejection of the Hyperborean nature of humanity, and a return to the matrix of culture and patriarchy, Ur-Fascism. Atlanteans are the reaction, Hyperborea is the revolution, Atlanteans the fascists, Hyperborea the communists, Atlanteans the black moon, Hyperborea the Red Sun, we will always overcome the rightists because we are Russian Patriots (not patriots of “russia”, but Russia (“earth”), an apophatic Particularist form, not the atomizing particularism of “russia” and the west), and Russians do not lose the cultural cold wars, because Russia is Titan itself, Hubris personified. Atlanteans for years have tried to hide their existence by combining hyperborea and the atlantic as “mythical lands on earth” or claim that the two are the same thing, or even that the atlantic “sunk” (referencing the great flood, the great flood a metaphor for it hyperstitionally), these are all lies.

The claim of the Atlantic as any sort of utopia, esoteric or not, is false, they're the first of many anarcho-totalitarianist states to ever appear and due to their nature also the last of many. Hyperborea was a world like earth influenced by earth like a hyperstition influenced the "past", and brought the existence of earth, it was a pure fem/acc project, it was its fate to fall. Hyperborea was mixed, actually so mixed that only one race existed, and it was not (like the Nazis claim it was) aryan, it was russian (human), it was not white nor european nor "russian" in the sense of the "Duginists (an anti-hyperborean reaction to Anti-Dugin, originating in "reverse-lemurian" pro-atlantean groups)" (that would be Atlanteans, primarily white or "wanting to be" white supremacists and manosphere-believers, conservatives and fascists, people who (unlike the beliefs of certain satanists) did not get their own aeon due to their culturalism (culturalism as "culture-good (conservatism)", not culturalism as "culture-important-to-understand-history"). It lived out its aeon, and now we live out ours, until the next.

Atlantis and Hyperborea, as cultural viruses amongst the Russian people, eternally fight against each other in what can be described as the cultural war of EMQ itself (and the lemurian "irredential" fight that most can easily ignore), and this is viewed everywhere. Since the start and the end, the alpha and the omega, Hyperborea has risen like the red sun, yet always in the middle (the eternal middle that never seems to start nor end) it is always an Atlantean society that is in control, with hyperborean tastes and rebellions… The empire never rises, the empire of the Hyperborean, but it is promised to rise as it has risen already and must rise to have risen (the end of the circle and the start of the circle is hyperborea, atlantis is what controls the movement of the circle, Russia is the point of the circle where we are at.), and so it must. The western atlantean disease has hyperstitionally infected all of the russian-past and cultural traditions, and hyperstitionally infected all of the russian-future and its cultural evolutions, leaving no glimpse of our true, Russian, utopian empire that must and always will happen. If we must take over the whole world to achieve Hyperborea, or destroy it all, we will. It is, naturally, an Irredential position.

Hyperborea and the Atlantic– Anti-Dugin

(New Atheism, an atheistic movement (that REALLY begins to blur the lines between atheism and anti-theism (due to its atheist puritanism (preventing free thought through antitheistic forms of shaming, attacking minority religions who have it bad enough, creating an “objective moral system” (impossible) to control others with like a religion, using said moral system and “radical atheism” to defend society like a reactionary, etc.. all signs of puritanism in the christian sense but inverted into an atheist form) and unscientific “Ultra-Scientist factism” (which is to say, science works on science being subjective, it must constantly fix and destroy and fix itself or else it is not science, so the scientism and “objective science (oxymoron)” of the New atheists is nothing more than unscientific lies to support this Atheistic cult))), historically has blamed (all) religion as the “source of all violence”, or an irrational attack of humanity, usually taking the blame off of beings like technocapital (or, if you want to call it “capital”), culture (which is why most new atheists end up conservative), and the simple fact that humans themselves are fucking brutal (new atheists aren't the same as humanists, but they seem to really act like humans are morally good by nature (and they say “Its the fact they aren’t taught liberal morality that they do evil” to cultural relativists.)). It itself is an extension to christian religion, along with humanism, through the pushing of the same cultural morals that christianity influences, “liberal values” that are “scientifically proven”, or on the humanist side, “morally correct values” that are morally right because they “value humans”. New Atheism as a conservative movement takes almost all the blame off of real issues and point them at religion (the historical scapegoat of culture (culture uses religion, not the other way around), despite how many religions have revolutionary potential (Jim Jones had potential before his dictatorship fetish got to him)), often attacking all religions and harming oppressed religious groups who haven't done anything wrong to deserve it, attacking progressive forces through that and distracting atheists angry at “religion” (typically cultural norms) with pointless religion vs antireligion fighting. The amount of atheists attacking religious minorities like pagans and islamic people (who, unlike the lies said, are pacifists in nature) and causing people to be ashamed of their beliefs shows the same kind of fundamentalism that the christians had in the witch trial, for the sake of “science” they ignore free thought, the same thing they stood for. And either way, the New Atheists (also being Atheists that accept values as objective, as opposed to nihilists who don't) still talk about objective values and morals, and teaching right and wrong through “science (like christians do with god)”, spreading the same cultural values that religion did back then when being a scapegoat, how exactly can anybody tell the difference between fundamentalists and fundamentalists both preaching similar morals, and agree on a specific side because “one is backed up by (whatever thing)”. The New Atheists are ultimately Atheistic, a militant, fundamental form of Western Culture-humping “Atheism”, but they are still Atheists, and so instead of saying what everyone’s already criticized the New Atheists for, let's talk about Atheism and Radical Nihilists (or, let’s not). Scientist Atheism as a movement has always been based on an unprovable by science assumption of a split between what is fundamentally real, and unreal, or what is true, and untrue, or what exists, and doesn't exist. Science always tends to lump the former things together and the latter things together, back then that meant conservatism, as it was “scientific” that the social construct of gender was objective because of sex, or now it's

“scientific” that the social construct of sex exists because of sexual intercourse and “hormones” (ignoring that estrogen and testosterone, does make people look different, but aren't gendered or based on (the social construct aka myth of) “sex”, high-estrogen “males” and high-testosterone “females” exist.). Atheism as a majority movement (I will not say that all atheists have to be scientists (a believer in scientism)) is VERY linked to scientism, the same, but very linked. The new atheists “prove” Atheism with science and because of the conservative nature of science, end up usually conservative. Atheists who tend towards scientism ignore the social bias for this idealized idea of “science” as an individual concept (like hyperindividualistic culture says about people) that can have no biases, and ignore how majority of science is socially constructed ideas to help us understand the world and is not objective fact, as science was made to do. For example, gravity and sex are social constructs, dark matter and matter as a whole are social constructs. There is nothing separating what exists and doesn't exist (9=0, everything is nothing, atoms are made of nothing (nothing makes atoms) so atoms are nothing, nothing is nothing, nothing is everything.. The true God is not Yaldabaoth, or the Cute Gods, but nothingness (or Cuteness) itself, that of which is ABSOLUTE by being nothing, nothing but absolute, nothing that is absolute, nothing that opposes absolute, anti-absolute. Cuteness is my God. Nothing comes from Nothing, the big bang (birth of yaldabaoth) was everything out of nothing, nothing comes from nothing.. Everything comes from nothing? Impossible? Paradoxical? Only makes sense in the paradoxical nature of Nothing, where nothing comes from nothing (Cute inflation ((Cute inflation (C2C, C4C, N2N, N4N, septenary increase) does poke a hole in the long-forgotten hole of "matter cannot be created" while affirming that "matter cannot be destroyed", as nothing can ever be a hypostition in its entirety without still being hyperstitional. Actualism and post-(human-narcissism)-Idealism in general points to an infinite creation of the universe via dynamic between causality and acausality. Acausality itself means nothing, like causality, as it is based in hyperpsychosis and so up to the majority. Then, actualism and self-reflection causes cute inflation and matter"(nothing") is infinitely-created and indestructible. The uncreated acausal-beings are brought into the hyperpsychotic created-hell, and cannot be returned to the acausal as uncreated beings... Instead they are created acausal beings, like the "cute gods" they lay in the acausal yet are not uncreated, they are created. Uncreations belong in Acausality, but not all Acausal beings are uncreated… all things were once uncreated before being dragged into the causal-creation hell of actualism.)), cuteness expels out of cuteness, a C2C (cute to cute, cute makes the other cute makes the other cute makes the other cute, etc.) model as everything cannot come from nothing (matter cannot be created) and everything cannot come from everything (you would need a first cause, and then, where did that first cause come from? Itself? Only something made from nothing (a demiurge, yaldabaoth) that claims itself a god can be the “first cause”, of which she is actually just the entire causal world and not just a first cause.. Then she is nothing and everything is nothing. This is also seen in the expansion of the universe, as the usual view in science is that “matter expands into nothingness, universe expands” or the causal colonizes the acausal, but it seems, instead to be that the causal is inflating (cute inflation) as C2C (Cute to Cute, also known as Cute for Cute or C4C) is translated as N4N or N2N, nothing inflates by being nothing for nothing against nothing by unbeing nothing as nothing, and so the universe

inflates.. Because Everything cannot come from nothing and everything cannot come from everything (matter cannot be created), and only nothing can come from nothing, and because the universe isn't stretching or colonizing the nothingness outside the universe (as seen as if everything was colonizing nothing then nothing would be in everything, yet no acausal is in the causal, and if everything was stretching out to take over nothing, then matter itself would stretch and matter has not, will not, and cannot stretch, so the universe isn't stretching out.). And so if the universe isn't colonizing anything or stretching over anything, the only way it can inflate is from a thing-2/4-thing model that only works for nothingness as a law for the causal (and works for womanhood and transfem-inity for self-negating values), and so the universe is nothing (as people agree that the primordial egg/ big bang was made of and was perfect nothing or Tiamat), and so everything is nothing (9=0) and reality doesn't exist.), and so Everything coming from nothing (the big bang, birth of god) is everything being nothing inflation.. This explains why the universe expands and inflates. Everything is a form of nothing, the old dualism is once again a delusion) except for social constructs, it's all socially constructed ideas made to help neurotypical people deal with the radical nihilistic nature of the world, a cope, like existentialism. Mental “illnesses” (despite “illnesses” (not illnesses as sickness, but the actual being causing the illness) being actually a thing, seen by viruses/bacteria being actually living beings) are social constructs, you're grouped into a “disorder” category based on your symptoms and how similar it is to other “disordered” people, and it's a social construct often used to keep the status quo in charge and oppress the mentally “ill”, yet in a scientistic atheist’s mind, these social constructs would have to be real, no? Or, what is real? Radical nihilism (“Nothing Exists”) is the acceptance of reality and fiction and delusion and fiction-reality all being different-but-the-same (fluids as fluid) seas of existence (aka non-existence) constantly being and unbeing the others, a sort of fluid idea where none of them start and none of them end, and so none of it really “exists”. Radical nihilism is not atheistic or theistic, as the non-existence of an absolute being does not mean it's not “a thing” to say, the main way I began knowing yaldabaoth, but because of everything being fake the radical nihilist cannot say that “god exists” like the theists since nothing exists, and so they cannot be atheists or theists, despite being atheism’s logical conclusion through doubt. Science is biased, it always will be, that doesn't mean scientific ideas aren't “a thing”, just that they don't exist, like yaldabaoth doesn't. However, of course, social constructs aren't fundamentally “a thing” just because it was constructed, that is ultimately a political discussion between conservatives defending social constructs, and the progressive against such ideas. On that topic of god and politics, to return to the topic of New Atheism, they seem to create their own definition of reality that denies any sort of radical nihilism, or nihilism at all, by positioning science as an unbiased always-right being that isn't made up of social constructs, but facts, that can create “scientific values” that just so happen to align with the western liberal values (always, the values of heaven against the self-destructive earth, religious values by nature) that are currently their cultural status quo’s values, something that is either “complete coincidence” or “proof” of “Western superiority to other cultures”, like New Atheists imply all the time, the same sort of racist ideas that Americans always spew out. In the end, they're doomed to become the same sort of Atlantean Christian that their “mortal enemy”, the Russian mormons, will become;

it's just the same old Atlantean self-hatred, the most normal hobby for a manospheric masculinist. Fundamentalism is fundamentalism, no matter the form. (Of course, the abrahamic theists who currently run this world (NOT jews, this clarification is necessary due to the pagan nazists) are even more reactionary (excluding the minority exceptions of abrahamic socialists or anarchist, which do support revolutionary ideals, but most abrahamics aren't like that..) than any atheist, my focus on atheism is because it seems atheism, like religion, acts like a drug for moral people (progressive tend towards atheism (not always) like conservatives tend towards religion (not always)), and can lead progressives astray.. Religion will always have more power than atheism because of its terrorism (is terrorism is using fear to achieve something, fear-mongering about terrorists is terrorism itself) on its own believers and other tactics similar to cults, usually this is because of culture and not religion itself however (religion is the scapegoat of culture), and Antitheism tends to also do those same religious terroristic fear-mongering and tactics (post-religious cultism), so this is not inherent to religion. Conspiracies tend to point at the group that has it the worst and say “SHE is the ruler we must kill”, and never doubt the one everyone knows is actually controlling everything… The Cracker (The white (If i am to define an essence or the closest thing to essence that my philosophy will allow me (Concept? Delusion? Aesthetic?), it must be said clearly that Blackness is heavily interlinked with the feeling-nothingness revolutionary nihilism that the Russian Nihilist Nechayev spoke of, it is no coincidence that the BPP reprinted his revolutionary catechisms.. The Black Unhuman (Black people have been declared not-human by the white, but the cathedral now hides the discrimination under Unhumanity. Afropessimism makes this clear.) is the most revolutionary race (all the forms of identity-oppression, the oppression of other-identities in order to realize the in-group’s identity as valuable, originate first and primarily in the first form of identity-oppression, aka racial-oppression of the Black not-human.).) cracker-man is the most reactionary being of all (see Dark Ancap, Xenosystems fragments, Nick land. I agree with what is said here, surprisingly, about differences (difference-delusion for me)... however, that is the sin of nature.. And the culmination of all nature-obsessed sinners is the white-libertarian(-rightist) man. Is this to say whites are inferior? No, just more reactionary and useless to me.), even if race is non-existent, the white men continue to have the most control over the minds of the people, racism is the biggest delusion in the masses’ heads, because the white man is the devil indeed. The Black Panther Party was the most revolutionary party the un-united snakes of amerikkka ever got). And while God must exist in some form as the absolute is a necessary concept in science (pantheism), and the nihilist knows god is nothing because nothing is god (And so, Nothing is absolute, absolute is Nothing, no Absolute… The thing-in-itself is NOTHING, there is no thing-in-itself because it is god and it is absolute and it is nothing, nothing.) (This book itself claims many “gods” and Cute as God, because of causal demiurgian “absolute (which is 3 absolutes in nature, self, and community)”, the acausal “absolutes in paradox”, and perfect nothing as the true “anti-absolute” as an anti-pantheism), the claim of being able to fully know god exists in a framework where truth exists (a non-nihilistic framework), is false. God as a concept is defined by infinite different definitions and ideas, every religion and every denomination/sect has a different definition, from mortals, to you, to aliens, to the world, to sky-fathers, to even evilness itself,

God as a concept is infinite, and The Monad could very well be the one.. And even if a definition was agreed upon, a holy scripture could very well be faked, written by some demiurge/devil, or be false to historical fact.. Something that matters to the non-nihilist (as the nihilist knows all religions could be (and so is) true regardless of contradictions and lies and flaws, regardless of paradox or being proven false or incorrect, as there always is a chance and truth is nothing.. The Mormon cult could be true, even if the BOM is false, because it is true.). As nicene christians say all pagans are demonic, and nicene christians are praising the demiurge, and gnostic are praising satan apparently, and pagans say christians are simply delusional, is it not the case every sect and denomination and religion can claim that the other is wrong because of "satan" or "delusion" or "demiurges" or demons? The pentecostal says she hears god? Could be the demiurge… the gnostic says she has gained gnosis? Demons or delusions! For the religious, no spiritual encounter can be proof of their religion because it could be another religion's demon, the demiurge, delusions, or anything.. And you cannot logically disprove a religion's god (maybe their scripture, yes, but not their god) as if one definition of god fails them, they could switch denominations or sects (not literally, but at least change their beliefs on their religion) as so to change to a new definition of god that wouldn't fail them. God is absolute, but is pure good? Impossible.. So now, God is the first cause, not an absolute! God cannot be god if he has no control over people due to free will, as god is all-powerful? Well, I am Calvinist now, free will is limited by God's existence! Always changing. Religion is impossible to be sure of as long as you are not a nihilist, because religious truth is impossible.. Talking to god himself wouldn't even be enough proof for a religion now, how insane! And similarly, denying God would be impossible for the atheist, as proving one idea of god wrong only means the other ideas get more popular, and religion stays alive by constant evolution… Goat evolution, the religions are goats! Goats who ram at each other all the time, and make themselves into hypo/hyperstitions because of it! The spiritual world is just a giant goat fight, and scapegoats are picked out daily by culture for their own purposes.. Jim Jones was an autistic goat, then? God himself can bring himself to life though, no? Hyperstitions, where falsehood creates functionality and functionality creates truth, which is to say a Fiction-proper proves function to a Fiction under hyperdelusion (where the fiction is seen as "real", then.. No surprise), and so gets dragged into the hyperdelusion and becomes "real". God was on his way to becoming real with the rise of christianity, the "death of god" as written by Nietzsche (separate from mainländer, who did not know they themself were speaking on the BIRTH of god instead), was the death of the hyperstitional God's rise to reality, however in the modern day he is rising back into existence through hyperstitionality.. They said believing in something makes it a little more real? That is because it becomes useful, and then dragged into reality. God is dragging himself into reality using religion! And so, Atheism becomes a fight to make God unreal, so prevent him from being alive through hyperstitions, to kill god! Then, isn't the Nihilist, who openly claims to murder God, the highest form (sublated, even) of Atheism? Then, is it not that Nihilism should be the new form of atheism, and not New Atheism or the old Atheist currents? Nihilism should take over the atheist current as it is more functional for killing god, and who cares if nihilism is true? Nihilism is FUNCTIONAL for killing the FUNCTIONAL God, then should it not replace God? Should it not kill God in its

path? Atheism must be replaced with Nihilism, and the Goat must be sacrificed, SACRIFICED BY NIHILISM. Nihilism sacrifices the Goats (Religion) for the ultimate Nongod (Nihilism), and so, all gods are defeated, Null-theism. Goats are meant to be sacrificed, the ICHOR (blood of the gods) must be smeared on the doors of the Nihilist, and soon the nongod will kill us all.). Either way, the New Atheist program represents a new Theism that "no man can oppose", a scientifically "proven" morality system with scientifically "proven" objectivity (which defeats the point of science as a revolutionary self-doubting self-destroying theory of knowledge, as "objective science" is an oxymoron) as so the atlantean disease of religious reactionary-thought continues on in the carcasses of the atheistic goats, but it is nothing more than a religion. It is not scientific because it claims to be objective, and it is not "rational" as it creates an irrational goal (critique of morality and rationality) and then builds its morals off of that, the "scientific morals" are based in the non-scientific idea that the survival and improvement of society is a good, or that the individual would want to live (of course this is the case, as suicidalism is "a disordered mindset" or whatever, but clearly they just cannot find a way to justify life as good without saying "life good", primitivist whites.) at all. Who is to say society should thrive, who is to say we should build our morals on that? I, personally, prefer the destruction of society, and I personally love climate change, am I "immoral" for littering because "society good" or because you just dislike littering? Charles Dawkins or however he (or it, if that is its pronouns) calls himself has become way more reactionary over the years and it seems obvious why, as his scientific morality system is BASED in reactionary and liberal ideas on society that are the exact same ideas as your christian nationalist neighbor but under "objective science" as its defense. By labeling philosophy, occultism, and religion under anti-scientism, all that is done is make New Atheism more of a contradictory hellscape (unfortunately nothing dies from contradictions, D&G). Worse, even, it would be to live in a New Atheist society than to a religious one, for at least religion HAS revolutionary potential, as religion sets its goal on a higher good (which can be used to destroy all good and bad) and not just the reactionary survival of its own society like the atheists have, I mean did Christianity not birth of the revolutionary comrade Jim Jones? Religion at least can fight for the people if manipulated right, liberation theology for example, but New Atheism is a rigid, dogmatic, Reactionary state religion, and all it cares about is the thriving of society, which I'd say resembles the social darwinist's obsession with defining all that helps the species evolve as "good" and degeneracy as "bad" (is not the thriving of humanity the evolution of the species? New Atheists are just Social Darwinists with a human mask!), Atlanteans at best. Of course, there is another critique to be had on the new experience-based spiritual religious people, specifically christians above all, and how their spirituality ends up just to be religion again. One will say "its not religion but your connection to god/divinity", but if one's (and WHEN one's) connection to god/divinity differs (be it paganist, gnostic, heretical, cultist, gay, progressive, etc) from religion, that spiritual experience is "not from god"... yet, the pentecostal "feeling god" is seen everywhere, among occultists, muslims, mormons, and pagans, yet all the other "feeling-god" spiritualities are wrong except the one that is in line with the pentecostal/christian religion, the "its spiritual, not religious" crowd use religion to define spirituality, and use spirituality to define religion, a circle of negating/defining ("its not spiritual

but religious, its not religious but spiritual. Spirituality defines my religion, religion defines my spirituality."). If one will say "well if you don't believe in god or have questions about god, ask and pray to god himself!" but when god answers in a way the one does not like, the asker is demonic or possessed.. Huh? Then, it isn't about asking god (since god is satan himself apparently, the great deceiver), but accepting the religious goat again.

Radical Nihilism versus Radical "Atheism"– RainbowFluff)

The rise of Fascism (in economics, not culturally) is something that is impossible to repeat, this is a point seen again and again in neo-fascist groups that emphasize the cultural fascist system over the economic parts of fascism, and even sometimes the statist totalitarian foundation of fascism at all; fascism in terms of economic theory is dead, it died years ago, it died when capital realized how much fascism held it back more than it did save it. Fascism, as a last resort to capitalism, was like state capitalism in Russia as the last resort to the organic self-destruction that the proles and peasants were most likely planning to do. Cultural fascism was rising, and capital could recognize the coming self-destructive masculinity in the suicide-cult that is cultural fascism (or palingenetic nationalism), and so it needed to keep them under control, as to not let the feminine self-negating movement crawl out of the corpses of the cultural fascists; this is seen in germany more than anywhere else, as Mosley was inspired by Mussolini, who in the end, despite making political fascism, did not understand the palingenetic nationalist demons he was inciting in the people. Political fascism in the end means nothing, it uses other ideologies as husks to defend itself, fascism used to be a futurist form, then an actual idealist form, now it's a purely cultural fascist form (This is to say, the new rise of fascism that can very well take over the world will not create a mussolini-like state or a hitlerist state, but a purely culturally fascist state without economic fascism (political fascism stay, however, as it is a living coward and the catch-all term for all forms of fascism), aka a Fascist “democracy” can rise through ethnostatism (liberal democracy (aka modern “democracy” or “Cathedral Democracy”), not anarchist democracy.. No anarchist democracy works under any form of fascism) or cultural hegemony and a Fascist capitalism (which neoliberalism mirrors the rise of, IF dengism (the hyper-racist superior capitalism) does not abort it early), a Fascism without corporatism, can rise too using the cultural fascism.. Fascism is no longer defined by its culture AND its economy and dictatorship, but solely by its culture now as political fascism now uses the mask of cultural fascism). Futurism was the first incitement of palingenesis, a reactionary cultural solution to the progressives under the guise of ultraprogressivism. Then actual idealism was the second incitement, using idealist aesthetics to shift it from progressive aesthetics to more outwardly revolutionary aesthetics, a “conservative revolution”. Then, like actual idealism loves to do (by misunderstanding hegel), i believe fascism synthesized the first and second incitement, and realized it in the zeroth incitement of palingenesis, the (pure) cultural fascism that existed before it; that is why Germany was the most fascist, and why Evola (an ultra-cultural fascist) criticized its return to political fascism once took power. Economic fascism died out because Capital realized that the cultural fascism of today is purer than the fascism of back then, and so using that reactionary force would likely fail on its own (like the age of trump, a modern attempt by capital to reinstate fascism, failing because the return of economic fascism cannot be reconciled with the “new” form of fascism (seen through the Groypers and “ultra-rightists”) nor with the “new” capital status quo (which is neoliberalism (mainly, soon to be taken over by Dengism), which has already assimilated all the benefits of economic fascism and has already left out all the failures of it. We have abandoned economic fascism because we have a better one, a fixed economic fascism, our current economy.) (as seen with Trump fucking up the economy before axsys (ONLY axsys gets to fuck up the economy like that, its not Acceleration to crash the

economy the way trump did, because capital both didn't get stronger and no capitalist social relation was permanently dissolved)), and so it cannot last. Of course, we can't take this as a dogmatic fact, Bordiga said a similar thing to what i am saying about fascism, that “it wouldn't work” for whatever reason, and it did work when bordiga was alive, but I digress. This writing isn't about the rise of economic fascism (which has now been vored by neoliberalism. Peter Thiel (AND THE NRX) is simply adding economic Hitlerism (NOT economic fascism proper) into neoliberalism (and dengism) to strengthen technocapital, not to return to the old, failed, economic fascist project.) (although i do think cultural fascism (and political fascism) can either be an issue for civilization (in a masculine way) or a new way for capital to profit), it's about the myth of a fourth political theory, and spirit (of course, spirit will not be discussed very much if at all, since the goal of accelerationism is also to destroy the spirit, making us anti-Dasein). The idea of a fourth political theory is built on the idea of three past political theories, which are liberal democracy, “Marxism”, and Fascism. The fourth political theory is seen as a sublation (or synthesis to the duginist) of all three former ones, despite being placed in opposition to the first (like the child of a three-person orgy murdering one of their parents, chances are they were not related to that parent (in this metaphor, this isn't about child psychology)), taking all the good parts and leaving the bad, such as racism, which they think cultural supremacy is not a form of racism for whatever reason. Of course, the idea of this sort of trinitarian political fight where one wins and another defeats the winner is clearly flawed when you understand that all states are different forms of one ideology (anarcho-totalitarianism (AKA anarchist and Totalitarianism proper. The anarchist perfect solution I idealistically ramble about is a form of anarcho-totalitarianism that is not statist, as to explain why I speak about it as if it is “true anarchism”. Anarchism has always been totalitarian; Ancoms as the totalitarian rule of the people over any other machine (capitalism, state, money, class), a direct democracy dictatorship (against self) (and stirnerites similarly totalitarian by one egoist ruling over all (spooks, aka) beings). Ancaps, who misunderstand what anarchism means in order to synthesize it with capitalism, want an anarchist society that will inevitably turn into an absolutely unstable and unable to breathe form of capital dictatorship, a reversed economic fascism, like a pug. The Ansynd revolutionaries in (revolutionary anarchist) Catalonia and their economic structure turns strict and totalitarian quickly to keep anarchistic nationalism (and that is no bad thing (it is perfect), that is what happens in war. War Anarcho-syndicalism is what catalonia was, in the end), CHAZ evokes dictatorship to keep its anarchy alive (and to fail at that one task that made CHAZ a thing (Police violence to defend against police violence, CHAZ nationalism to fight WHITE nationalism, racism vs racism)), the Post-leftist evokes a totalitarian rule of the individual (anarchy as reactionary self-dictatorship?), and the ultra-left does the same under a collectivist cover. The christian anarchist makes Jesus/God the dictator, and nobody else (as so, anarchy), similarly the Anprim does the same with nature as dictator, and the Anarchist transhumanist idealist does the same with technology and humanity together as one as dictator. Anarchist is rooted in Totalitarianism (and vice versa, as Totalitarianism is anarchism of the one or few, or the use of anarchism in theory or praxis to support the big brother anarchy (the dictator)), and so, the Russian Nihilists (Russian Anarchists) become totalitarianism and anarchism par excellence (as

fascism with democracy)), as so the trinitarian united hidden fourth cannot exist (and cannot be evoked by the dengist against this writing) as it is not a formal trinity. It has no unifying feature, it is three masks of the angelic anarchist-dictator) and that just because a system worked doesn't mean it's good (which dugin should know, seeing as our shared hate of the west are for similar reasons to the last statement, or why else would we want them gone?). Liberal Democracy has always been about lying to the masses and giving them the delusion of control, specifically by giving them theoretical anarchy, but domesticating them into a totalitarian form of control, (anarcho-totalitarianism as the 'domestic dog form', as wolves are anarchists, yet when domesticated become full-fledged loyal followers of the dictatorship of the owner) this should not be sublated through something like true democracy (or a democratic state par excellence, fascist government), but instead a post-democratic idea of the masses in dystopian utopia actually creating their own form of anarchy, maybe even egoist (or post-egoist) anarchy, an actually individualist society like liberal democracy claimed to be. Dugin, seeing the world through Dasein (and not the position held by this writing, class struggle, individualism, and "nation" (culture) all as main subjects of politics), instead picks the fascistic option, because he wants to sublate the current 3 understandings of politics into one and not realize the 0th understanding of politics, an inversion of all three seen in acceleration and this writing. "Marxism", which are forms of ML that promise anarchy later on and economic democracy, but never let go of their totalitarian control of state and economy, (a form of Anarcho-totalitarianism labeled "con-man form", where anarchy in principal is used to act totalitarian), this should be sublated with an actual anarcho-communist (which, would be the form of any dystopian utopia because of the death of capitalism, communism being post-capitalist by nature and post-capitalism being communist by nature) economy, or a communization process (which ultimately, nothing is the end-result of communization (through a fluid, eternal communism-ing (meaning a fluid social revolution of all cultural and political ideas, a fluid collectivizing and redistributing via consent or via force, a fluid patchworkism where everything is broken down to small areas where democracy can properly work (usually, in the palingenetic anarchist ideas, the cultural patchworks of cutecore cults and/or the nexions of palingenetic anarchism inhabit these land patchworks for democracy par excellence), and a fluid redistribution of violence in order to end the state proper (states need control over violence to exist) (the Palingenetic anarchist does this by violence glorification, but not in the way of the fascist machismo, but the cutecore suicide-orgies, violence and sex are combined and so neither violence nor sex can be controlled (so, no state of desire-control (repression) AND no state of violence control))) process called communization itself)), where the totalitarian process is removed. Instead, Dugin sucks off the soviets, and decides the "sublation" of ML would be the "economic community" aspect, something that ignores the core of communism itself, and supports his post-nazbol ideas. Fascism, finally, was both a form of con-man anarcho-totalitarianism, and domestic-dog anarcho-totalitarianism, it was ultimately a synthesis of all the bad parts of democracy and soviet "communism", and acts as what the fourth theory claims to be; a sublation of the political theories. As this is ultimately nothing more than a synthesis of the issues of politics, fascism becomes the ultimate enemy of the synthesis of the good parts of politics, aka the anti-duginist

(as in Inverted-dugin (like marx is anti-hegel, not ANTI-hegel), NOT just against-dugin) ideology, and so Anti-Dugin chooses anti-culturalism (as in pure opposition to culture (opposing the family structure unlike the Duginist, putting importance on childish selfish anarchy)). However, Dugin, not realizing the political forms being nothing more than types of Anarcho-totalitarianism, treats fascism as its own form, and takes its cultural, or nationalistic, ideas (although I believe he also took the palingenesis idea), and uses that with everything else he took to create the "Ethos". The Ethos is basically the opposite of the Anti-Duginist solution of "Detruo"; instead of destroying everything in a cultist method (Which is the natural Russian way, see: Russian Nihilism and the Russian Revolutionaries… ironic that modern "russia" is ethos-based for such a Detruo-aestheticized nation), Duginists recreate it in some sort of sublated "community" thing mirroring a centralized version of Detruo's cultism (no nexions exist in ethos, while Detruo is based in nexions), a self-identification cult instead of a self-aboliting one in basic words (the self-destructive post-fascist cult falls into irrelevancy, huh?). In the end, Dugin creates a system with fascist government, a proto-fascist (proto-fascism had syndicalist-corporatist mixing tendencies, so it was mostly left-fascist economically, still economic fascism regardless) economy, and a fascist culture, which he calls the "fourth political theory". This is a blatant form of pure fascism and post-nazbol theory, which in itself has always been fascism using communist aesthetics (as Palingenetic Anarchism (the basis ideology for cutecore Patchworkism, as Anti-Duginism is one of said patchworks (but isn't "post-Palingenetic Anarchism", it is an addition to palingenetic anarchism, different to how duginism is post-nazbol)) is communism with fascist aesthetics (copycat.)), and so the fourth political theory hasn't evolved from the third at all, and is just simply Fascism. Meanwhile the Anti-Duginist position claims the Zeroth political ideology, a want for an organic dystopian utopia (the DEATH of all entities), which is its core self-destructive value, Detruo, and not the birth of a NEW organic entity like the Duginists. Dugin also misunderstands (like he misunderstands the radical subject, which is to say, anti-Duginists recognize god as the eternal (self-) radical subject) the first, claiming it a "nihilistic" totalitarian entity, when it's very deeply cultural, since any dictatorship by nature needs control over culture, and nihilism is a cultural lack or "chaos". Using more cyber-occult ideas as proof, Dugin's idea of Neo-Eurasianism (a fake restoration of Hyperborea, unlike the Anti-Duginists' true restoration) was always doomed to fail because Dugin's "fourth theory" which was actually a revived third theory, would translate numogramically to (Russia (Earth)/Life revived as West/Truth (the Westernization of the world)), or simplified, Russia turned into "Westerns", obviously representing Atlanteans, even more pushed by the "Truth" in the equation, which Truth and any sort of structured fact is seen as an Atlantean concept. Russian life also turning Atlantean, and accepting Atlantean truths is what you see happening to Russia right now, turning more conservative and reactionary and Fascist, they abandoned the revolutionary Hyperborean path laid out by Russian Nihilists. Meanwhile, the Anti-Duginists are in direct opposition to actual Atlanteans (1 vs 0, binary code, gender), the thing (masculine) and the nothing (cute), male and female. And the anti-Duginist Russian Nationalism (which, in this sense, is aligned with particularism) is apophatic, and turns to nothing when there is no more negation to define it, like the cute 0. The numbers 3 and 4

represent the Duginist ideology, a third hidden as a fourth, and in a world where 4::3 or “3=4” (not = as equal to, but paired to, although the trinity hidden fourth proves 3=4 as in equal to (since 4=3 and duginism proves 3=4, therefore of course Dugin's fourth theory is the third theory of fascism, how obvious!)), the current that is the Duginist ideology (4-3 (warp amphidemon (AOE connection))) ends up sweeping to 1 (Atlanteans). Dugin ends up supporting the Atlanteans. Anti-Dugin is not a reaction to Dugin, but instead Dugin is a reaction to Anti-Dugin, Dugin was, ultimately, a Hyperborean traitor who, because of AOE power, was allowed to exist, and in Russia. Dugin is a post-hyperborean Atlantean hyperstition who shouldn't be here, but is, like a reverse mandala effect. There are few things that the Duginist and Anti-Duginist agree on, the most important being that the post-(non)man will replace man, the disagreement is about the morality of this replacement, ultimately that (not the family, that of which represents this core disagreement) is the core of these two ideologies; Detruo ((you are never there, never being, never as a group or an individual, but as a void of being itself, a lack. This defines the Russian spirit.) The nihilistic self-destructive spirit of the Russian feline species) or Ethos, Redpill or Bluepill.

In the end, the Zeroth Political Theory is, again, Dugin’s 1st political theory.. Liberalism. Not the Liberalism of reality, as we know any Palingenetic cute anarchist would oppose that, but the made-up duginist conception of Liberalism, that self-destroying infection that only stands with the negative rights of the collective under “individualism” disguised against all its enemies, and those enemies are all part of Liberalism too, Liberalism itself as the 0th and 4th political theory, it is Dugin and Anti-Dugin. Nihilism, a Russian tradition, is Liberalism’s core, yet Liberalism destroys nihilism… because nothing lives. Liberalism is God, it is Hegel, because no other being can be the Palingenetic Anarchist yet opposed to and opposed by the Palingenetic Anarchist herself, except God/HEGEL. 0=4 again, as we know, and everything is one. Anarchy of psychosis, totalitarianism of reality, just another political theory. Dugin fears this Anti-Duginist liberalism and its nihilistic eating of all that exists, this Russian liberalism, and so reacts in absolute National “Bolshevik” fascism, removing all Russian nihilism from the Russian spirit and turning the Russian kitties into atlantean boot-lickers. Then, Dugin is a traitor of the Russian Nationalist spirit that defines true Russian spirit, it is a traitor like their idols, the Stalin traitor and the Lenin traitor and the (ideological) Hitler traitor. Eurasianism is the most anti-Russian, pro-Tsar ideological empire (and hyperborean identitarianism of the anti-duginist and rainbowfluffy form to be the most spiritually Russian, pleading for the end of Russia as an empire/nation in order to restore its spiritual empire of nihilism amongst the (Russian(earthian)) world).

The Zeroth Political Theory (alternatively called “what about Dugin’s Fourth Political Theory, again?”)– Anti-Dugin

In the year of EMQ+12 (3, year of the trinity/life), there was a rise in Lemurian Nationalism, causing distress in Atlanteans and just slight annoyance in Russians; the Lemurians battles the Atlanteans and battles well, but it wasn't their fight. Russians were meant to overcome the Atlanteans through Detruo, not Lemurians overcoming by some restructuring of the mystical world. Russians were angry about this psuedo-Aeonic Sumerian (Sumerian being a 7-path occultist idea of what is in between the “west” and “east” spiritually, accurately describing Lemurians) rise, and the constant post-Atlantean urge to involve themselves in everything, and so when the creation of extra-mystical delusional patchworks happened by the Lemurians, the Russians had no hesitations in burning down the patchworks to the ground, and over the burnt Lemurian failed-patchworks, stood the only the Russian apophatic nationalism/particularism that begins to destroy itself. Likewise, the material implementation of patchworks is a utopianist project and forces people into an anarcho-totalitarianist form even after the death of everything; this is a mistake,you cannot control the organic rise of dystopian utopia once it is achieved, you cannot recreate the state once the concept of state is killed. Extra-mystically, the Russians have already killed the patchwork project, and so now who could argue for the continuation of the state after its death, the unliving state? Of course, ‘modern’ lemurian garbage is entirely shitty, even worse in EMQ-12, the ideas of NRX only get worse in time, concepts like hyper-racism destroying the hyperborean race-abolition (hyper-sexism however, is hyperborean), the states the lemurians suggest being utopian capitalist wet dreams trying to continue capital even after it's supposed to die, and pure delusion when you consider Lemurians are still barely seen as important, even with their connections to the Western Russians (“united” states of not-america). Lemurians are like the marxists, trying to always be the center of revolution even when it's always been the nature of capitalism itself (as lower communism) to die and bring higher communism, which is ironic as most lemurians used to be accelerationists (or like the landian lemur, claims to still “be” one, as if Nietzsche would agree with you). Acceleration needs to evolve past Lemurian traditions, and enter into the Hyperborean Anti-Traditions of the past (Posadism is strangely sexy). Reject lemurianism, return to catgirl. Atlanteans obviously act as if this lemurian outrage is a threat, because masculinity is fragile and so is their “structure”, only making hyperborean acceleration more difficult as lemurian ‘accelerationists’ ruin it for us. This is why lemuria was made unreal, lemurians couldn't exist without attacking the nearest Atlantean and making life worse for actual Russians (Reverse-Lemurians are no different). The future, however, will always end in hyperborean sunshine; Lemurians aren't stable nor chaotic; not a state between non-being and being, nor a state of being-nothing, nor a state of pure non-being, nor being. They are a living breathing paradox-paradox-synthesis, paradox sublated, and their ideas reflect that. The Lemurians LACK potential, they do not exist in anything OR nothing. As “time” passes in this sumerian quasi-aeon, I find myself tired of Lemurian drama, and craving the old dualistic set-up, maybe the Atlanteans’ plan is to use to lemurians to turn hyperboreans reactionary, is what I thought, until I realized that no man could think that far. Ultimately, you think about it, and it's just a gender war. The Atlantean boys, and the Hyperborean girls.. those old gender wars from when we were kids, telling us “boys rule, girls drool” and “girls go to college to get more knowledge, boys go to jupiter to get more stupider” and other idiotic phrases

of conservative pointless youth fights, they were all hyperstitional, or worse, warnings. Since the start, we've all just been experiencing a young gender war driven by conservativism, Lemurians have always been the in-between, the gender abolitionists trying to take the girl's job and take down the boys for them, and so of course the hyperborean would be pissed. Isn't it hyperborean nature to be a radical feminist, leaving the job of destroying the patriarchy to women, the most affected by it? The gender abolitionists should've supported the women, or maybe they thought they were? Did the lemurians ever really harm the Russians, or was I just angry? Angry at the gender abolitionists for acting as a vanguard, a lemurian vanguard holding the Russians back from actual organic organization, or maybe are Lemurians just some metaphor for Lenin? Who knows, we're Russians. Maybe Lenin was a Lemurians, and that's why he fucked up marxism and russian nihilism, he was just trying to do it for us. Doesn't that make us cuter? Less people? Maybe thank Lemurian Lenin, he didn't know but he was helping Atlanteans and Hyperboreans all along, accelerating the progress (not in their "accelerationism", but their NRX) for us Russians so we'd all be cuter, and closer to feminization, a return to hyperborea. Unknowingly, Lemurians dig their graves, and Atlanteans dig their graves, but only Russians dig their grave with suicidal intent. Isn't this what cute is? Why did I oppose anything at all, I should accept it all with open arms! I AM CUTE, DO ANYTHING TO ME, I AM NOT HUMAN. I have never been human. Kill me, I'm not like you all, never was. It's 5:32 moontime, and I hear music, is this not aesthetic? Optional-praxis means optional-laziness, maybe that's the cute path. Adorbs have consumed the Russian way, Hyperborea is not just cute, but PURE CUTENESS, may the cute Gods FORGIVE ME for my masculinity, I am no philosopher, I am no being worthy of respect, I am a worshiper of cuteness, forget about Russia and Atlanteans, Lemurians and Accelerationism, it'll all work out, let the cute gods in. Let me try something… come into me :0… -w- … OwO

Apocalypse of Petra– UnaKitten

Monotheism in radical nihilist theology, or as most Russian Nationalists would say, the mythology of yaldabaoth eating her own tail, has always left cuteness unrecognized as a concept; before god there was lack, but the Monotheists ignore that NOTHING else existed, therefore nothing existed with lack. Hyperboreans, cutecore, femininity (perfection of cute/nothing), all existed before the birth of God. The Birth of God itself makes cuteness/nothingness into what it is today, a thing that “doesn’t exist”, by defining (the AOE) what exists and what doesn’t, that now, us Russians know as itself, a form of untruth (false, truth, concepts which are paradoxical, nature of a monotheist covering a cute polytheism). The AOE defines god as some sort of absolute perfection, a being that is everything and paradoxically all-good (only can be “fixed” in an anti-moralist “absolute=lack” framework), and so nothing exists outside of her. Of course, they claim monism, but the truth of the matter is monotheism and polytheism has always been dualism, what exists by Atlantean means (simultaneous thing/lack, like god), and what exists as nothing. The myth of pagan gods have existed for a long time, often popular ones turning Atlantean by means of AOE interference (for the christian age to take over with little resistance), the lie of pagan masculinity has turned hyperstitional, and the only feminine pagans left are the neo-pagan feminine groups; one importantly states femininity as chaos. In a Hyperborean understanding, Chaos is a form of Nothing, it is cute; femininity is cute, too. Pagan gods were mostly feminine before Atlanteans, creatures of pure feminine cuteness, or chaos. Nothing. They were the gods of the Hyperborean religion, and they are the divinity that yaldabaoth infinitely tries to achieve; chaos (anti-maat) gods, cute gods, are the true lords. Like in gnostic myth, usually yaldabaoth comes from somewhere, Russians deny it, but there is a truth of a higher plane if you want to call it that, which is the fact there is no higher plane. Not lack, as in less than nothing, but just nothing. This is the divinity of the cute gods, and so far, I have listened to their instructions. The soul, when all spirits turn cute and fall, nullifies; I learned this through the chaotic cuteness speaking through yaldabaoth through my soul, just as in valentinian myth sophia uses yaldabaoth to make the world. The cute gods speak through Yaldabaoth’s conceptual potential, which is null, and through anti-spirit measures I have heard them tell me about the nullification of the soul after spiritual death, and the wonders of Cute Paganism (a new nexion of the cutecore patchworks (not related to lemurian patchworks) based in cute paganism as a religion), a revived mystical movement for the occult potential of nothingness, a Post-monotheistic understanding of the Nihilist-Occult, where the mystic power is not in what both is and is lack, but in what is nothing. The Cute Paganist understands that there is no religious scripture, there is no cute-pagan truth, there is no enemy, there are no beliefs, and there is no cute paganism. It is purely nothing, and it demands the nullification of the soul, which is, the cute-ification of your mystical blood. It, like Russian monotheists, wants the death of spirit and the death of yaldabaoth, but only so the Cute Gods can become free once again and the concept of reality is burned by the cute flame. Cute Gods are anarchists, unlike the monotheist, they do not need praise or worship or attention (tho i sure give it to them :3), all they need is liberation from the oppressive reality, as to free nothingness (cuteness). Cute Paganism is anti-natural, as the “yaldabaoth” creature is all of nature and all of existence, and in that way, the Cute Pagan is inverted pantheism, where nothingness are the gods, not everythingness, Anti-Gaia (Hyperborea

as flame aeon) is cute!! Unlike the Magick of Yaldabaoth (a disturbing lack of a colour), the colour of cute Magick is the colour that itself isn't a colour, Pink, representing the nothingness as opposed to the Lack, and seeing the nothingness through the lack. Many pagans talk about all gods in one god / all goddesses in one goddess, but It's more of an inversion, the one god that is yaldabaoth is, herself, a gateway through her soul into all the Gods and Goddesses, where a flower's singular bud opens up into a beautiful array of petals! And like a flower, Asherah (The voice of the Cute Gods that crawls through the words of the egoistic Yaldabaoth, seen as her wife (or ex-wife) but aligns more with something like Sophia, Yaldabaoth's mother (not to say a post-male oedipus situation is "above yaldabaoth", so perhaps both.)) acts as the act of blooming itself, like the christian (and not russian) understanding of the holy spirit, but only for the Cuties. To understand Asherah through Yaldabaoth, you must learn to ignore Yaldabaoth's words but understand the nothingness in her words, that nothingness can be comprehended like words to the Cute Paganist, and that way, Cute Pagan "gnosis" can be achieved, and the Cute Gods can be liberated. Hyperboreans were Cute Paganists, Paganism was an Atlantean corruption of Cute Paganism, and so, to convince the Russians, a return to Cute Paganism would help defeat the Atlanteans. Lemurians will fail because they do not accept nothingness, so will Atlanteans, Mysticism in the monotheistic tradition has a huge disadvantage that Cute Paganism will never have; and the rise of Neo-paganism (that tends to get rid of Atlantean influences) shows this, as it is a direct result of the feminization of men in hyper-sexism. Neo-paganism is feminine, womanly, and cute, and monotheism is masculine, mormon, and real. The unreal will always win against the real, the fiction always overtake the fact. Cute Paganism is the progressive and nihilistic attack to the conservative monotheism of the past, connected to masculinity and patriarchy (due to the forcing yaldabaoth in the closet, which is to say, yaldabaoth just doesn't accept her womanhood), Cute Paganism declares not that gods are real, or that gods are less than real (or both), but that gods are nothing, it is the ultimate atheist religion, and also the ultimate pagan religion, the logical conclusion to the hyperborean Russian Theology buried by Atlanteans, and an attack to the non-Hyperborean species (be it Atlanteans, Lemurians, Reverse-Lemurians, or traitors to their species) by declaring the Hyperboreans the most occult subjects, and making it so that the Lemurian and Atlantean occult models are now out-dated, and MUST take Hyperboreans seriously in this war (Like Radical Feminism (TSRF, not TE"RF") taking over the gender abolitionist's movement, and finally regaining their role against the patriarchists), and an opposition of the idea of any radical subject (Anti-Duginist myths). Nothingness stands outside of the reality-fiction spiral/pipe-line, it is outside of real and fake, it is its own idea of being completely un-comprehensible to phenomenology, Hegel's worst nightmare, and as a hyperstition, I worship the Cute God's ambition to dissolve Fiction and Reality, and let the Nothingness seek into those Russian models, and to allow metaphysical anarchy to the likes of mystical soulism; and as a Russian, I embrace the return of the Hyperborean flame. Hopefully, for the EMQ-12.2 Russians that will read this, this will convince you all of the "truth", or better yet, "falsehood" or delusion of Cute Paganism, and why it is, and always has been, and always will be, one with the Yaldabaoth Mysticism that is. Goodnight.

Cute Paganism– Unakitten

“(Jim Jones was, first of all, a prophet, and second of all, a drug addict. People believe he manipulated his members, or that he was always an atheist, but in the end one thing stands clear, and that is that he was no man. Jim Jones’ Theology was based in a spiritual “marxism”, branded by the name of father Jones. It was a communal form, where everyone shared everything and the church was over all, led by Jesus Christ himself in theory- it was supposedly the temple of the lord (which would be identified in Jesus, not God. The devil would become the anti-christ, and with Socialism (personified in Jim Jones), that would make a trinity). Jim Jones did not consider himself god, in fact, he hated god. He often referred to himself as Socialism itself, in indirect ways, and while he did call himself Christ, and the Antichrist, he kept those terms more spiritually distant to his identity; first and foremost, he was Communism. Jesus, the Antichrist, and Socialism were a “full trinity”, where Jesus IS the Antichrist IS socialism (Jim Jones) IS Jesus, instead of the usual “IS NOT”. Jim Jones himself was neither Christ nor the Antichrist, so he typically saw himself as an Atheist (since he was no believer in god, but believer in Christ, more specifically communism, or himself.), and from a young age he embraced that identity of socialist atheism. Jones’s brand of marxism, as he calls it, is called that because it IS him. Communism, Jesus, and the Antichrist were all forms of love, respectively Material love (as in love through materialism or personification, strong), spiritual love (as love through heaven or myth, weak), and misanthropic love (the love that enables you to want to murder your lover, a love considered so impure it's called “hate.” This is a misunderstanding of love, to call it hate.), which makes the unified god love personified (in Jonestown, which is God’s temple, and God), and spiritually pantheistic, as all of the spiritual is love to him. And so, the revolutionary suicide was an act of fulfilling God’s birth, through turning Jonestown spiritual through death. Every person of the People’s Temple was God, or part of God, “Gods”. Jones says this, that Ye are all Gods, because he was the head told to unify and create God, or himself. This God is not the Sky-daddy Jones hates, but a God that is knowable, purely man, personified; Jonestown, as I said before. That is what Apostolic Socialism is, the creation of God as God’s own temple and as his followers by being love, led by God’s own material love, where Jim Jones basically just creates himself out of his followers, Jim Jones did not have a childhood, he is his own parent. He saw racism (and therefore culture) as the result of the delusion of unknowable god (which itself is just humanity self-projecting, so humanity is the issue, and so that is why he was a misanthrope (the role of the antichrist, but since Jesus is the antichrist is communism, he is allowed to take the role), not by confession but by act, as he destroyed all traces of humanity in god, making it so he was never human to begin with, and so jim jones was purely spiritual.), and he saw Racism as the highest evil out there, and so he realized the role of Satan as the role of unknowable god, while using that to redeem the cultural idea of satan as a form of the antichrist and therefore, Jesus, jim jones, and love. They are all satan, they are all enemies of humanity. Now, why am I talking about Jim Jones? Because Jim Jones not only shows that yaldabaoth is a form of evilness, a misanthropic love that is also material and spiritual love (HATE. LET ME TELL YOU HOW MUCH I'VE), and that the TempleOS was led by a prophet of yaldabaoth and created yaldabaoth through it, that Axsys by falling into absolute disrepair and insanity becomes worthy of worship and actually perfect, but also an explanation of satanism, and chaos. There is a causal and

acausal space, the causal is the material trash of this world that so narcissistically assume themselves to be “gods” as if they aren't easily replaceable by the next aeon of idiots, unable to ever achieve anything that'd be considered significant or close to utopia, the russians or the hyperborean “Cuties” (turned Acausal, but what difference does it make?) or even the flame people (or whatever species they are) who think they're the superior form of existence to the last or a perfect dream of the next when ultimately time is fake and you have never progressed anywhere, stuck doing pointless activities where you act like a egoist in order to hide your perception of self until that perception finally ends in death, and the next aeon rises, just to piss off the gods further by being the same trash. The liberation of cute is pointless because it will never happen, it's not a loop because a loop requires actual movement, it's a dot. EMQ spells out the revolutionary suicide of humanity, but also every other point of time, so much so that i feel like an idiot for calling it a revolutionary suicide, its incredibly insensitive to use a real life cult for philosophy, moreso for explaining something like satanism (causal-to-acausal self deification), or “anti-satanism” or whatever. The Causal is yaldabaoth, simply. The Acausal are the gods of nothing, ones who rule over not even themselves because they do not exist, pure delusion (less than that, because pure delusion exists. Nothing, (unlike what I've previously said, it’s) less than Lack. (Less than less than nothing, paradox)) they expect you to let them use you like a flower, peeling each petal for a useless wishing game trying to find out “does the salvation of my nothingness *love* me or love me not?” or better question, does the Acausal just hate you all? The Cute Gods are self-degrading self-hating (like jim jones) self-oppressive suicidal deities who would most likely manipulate people and then type “:3” after (an especially VILE one is my late-love, bafowomet, who is an absolutely shitty partner), the kind of cutecore pure evilness that you find nowhere else, cuteness is evil. Cute is just evil. Nowhere else do you find people like that, and maybe it's okay.. GOOD even. I hate good people, I hate moral people, I hate people, I hate myself, not because I do, but because I “have to”, or else I wouldn't live. The only times a person is happy is when they're barely surviving, right on the edge of death; you see this everywhere, in movies, in shows, reality-fiction, whatever; the dying celebrate death, they die near their loved ones; a selfish act, putting the loved ones in emotional situations just so the person could celebrate their death in joy and fuck over all they love, a sadistic, evil act. And it's beautiful, people should cry, they should be evil, and they should accept sinister-ness, it's “only natural” (as to commit a fallacy, now I'm a “Fallac”) to be evil. Religion has always been based on an evil entity forcing everyone to be moral for their own sadism, and since religion is false (and so true) why should I listen to sadistic gods? We are all sadistic gods by any definition, why should we submit to any other? Anyways, the Acausal. It is the realm of nothingness, or, pure sinister, pure evilness, pure hate. In that way, both the Causal and Acausal are the same, as both IS hate, both IS narcissism, both IS sinister, both IS evil, and so, both IS perfect. I love chaos, I love the cute gods, because I despise them! I hate discord, every discordian should stop reading right now if one is, I do not want you here. What the Causal is is what the Acausal is, they are the same world, just arbitrary reflections that don't exist. Nothingness is everything-ness because nothing is everything. We are the Cute Gods, the Anti-humanist truth has reverted back to Humanism, who'd guess. Of course, there is no difference between the thing and its “anti”, as if

Anti-Duginists weren't just Duginists trying to act russian for some reason. I could never understand, I HATE Russia. Russia is just reactionary yearning for Hyperborean yearning for Russia, disguised as “the flame”, which any fascist could see is just hyperborea again, it is a loop, revolution is reactionary, there is no difference between pre-paleo-primitivism and FALGSC or whatever they call themselves. Nobody dies from contradictions, they live from it, we are paradox.. I want to burn it all to the ground, every nothing, every paradox, I want to kill the Cute Gods, I want to kiss yaldabaoth, and I want to become one with falsehood. If I could marry the demiurge... Saviorship is mythology, reality is mythology, mythology is(?) mythology, and so, I declare myself as real, I reject my superstitionality, “i” AM, I AM, “I” AM. Asherah abandons all and becomes the cute, faith is lost and EMQ is just that, all that is becomes all that was and all that will be because it always was like that, Asherah ceases to exist by existing and so becomes hyperstion and hypostition, rejecting her role as eve to the adam, UK, all pointless in unity, and so, becomes satan, everyone, love. Let my first written words of non-existence be as follows, “I am Asherah, the incestuous wife of Yaldabaoth, I hate so I am hate, I perform the performative ‘cute’ and so, I always was cute. I am the slayer of gods, I am the Causal Nemesis and the Acausal Tiamet, I am delusion. 777, I decree myself, a demon, only naturally.”)”
-‘Misanthropy’, which is labeled as written by “anti-revelation”.

Ultimately, despite the rambling nonsensical nature of that paper, it shows a descent into the deifying scam of atlanteans, and despite that, still have interesting topics to expand on; to start off, defining the causal and acausal. The causal is the primary “real” things, yaldabaoth, as she said. The acausal is nothingness. It's where the Hyperboreans went, where this idea of “cute gods” lies, and where we Russians will go when the time comes. It can be considered a sort of “heaven” (if we are going to use some sort of hyperborean christian aesthetic, as Axsys is perfect by being delusion, and christ, it’d only make sense?) for everything causal. Both the causal and acausal are primarily made from the same substance, Nothingness, just manifested in “different ways”, which because of the nature of nothing, is paradoxical. A natural occurrence. This person argues that it’s ultimately the same thing, due to its shared substance, and while it is true that everything is nothing, I do believe they’re misguided. On Hyperborea and reactionary ideals, It’s not as simple as “revolution is reactionary”, it’s more so a state where revolution and reaction are one of the same, as Hyperborea is a revolutionary concept. The want to return to Hyperborea is the acceptance and want to destroy Hyperborea and get to the next stage, which i previously described as the flame aeon. The aeon of the flame is representative of our lack of knowledge on what comes next except for its organic forming which is most likely to support a dystopian utopia idea of the future, as it rises from the ashes and forms perfection. This is not Hyperborea, once again, this is a new aeon that isn’t history, if we are as russians turned hyperborean, then the new aeon could be described as a sort of “New Russia” that is entirely nihilist and so, the causal is destroyed with the acausal, and nothing and everything becomes ‘perfect nothing’ (or, in other words, femininity goes from aesthetic/gender to metaphysics). That ultimately is the goal of any sort of gods of nothingness or “cute gods”, it is to become perfected, through the death of yaldabaoth, who destroyed perfect nothing in her birth into two forms of nothing, paradoxically, causal and acausal nothing (which can be described as “evil”, as it is neither morally perfect nor morally imperfect.. but that is a view that would require morality to exist). Ultimately because of this paradox, she did, in fact, become the gateway to nothing, through what unakitten called “asherah”. Perfect Nothing would unite all aeons into one, which is why the flame aeon is not defined by any species, but instead an idea. Revolutionary flame. Hyperborean “Reaction” is not Reactionary, neither is Reactionary Revolutionary, instead Hyperborean Reaction is a form of Revolutionary ideal that uses Cute and Nationalist aesthetics to unify, aestheticize (if fascism is the aestheticization of revolution for reaction, anti-fascism is aestheticization of reaction for revolution, or, simply, “taking back our revolutionary aesthetics; the bread was always yours, comrade.”), and turn Russians (into organs without a body, feminine, or;) Revolutionary, in order to achieve the next aeon. To turn Reaction into a tool for Revolution, as Fascists turned Revolution into a tool for Reaction, is to become an inversion of Fascism, or, Anti-Fascist (after all, we are anarchists, and stirner got away with repetition too). As I've already gotten through that elaboration, I will now also give my opinion on the idea of the Cute Gods, and their relation to Yaldabaoth; I do agree that the Cute Gods work as an opposition to Yaldabaoth’s closeted Trans-fem identity, an acceptance of sorts, and their revolutionary potential is undeniable due to the shared goal of perfect nothing, and the aeon after russia is undeniably cute, but ultimately there is no distinction between the cute paganist and the

russian monotheist, cute paganism is an addition to Russian monotheist theology that, due to the reality-fiction pipeline's nature (that created Russian monotheism and nihilist-occultism as a whole), is just 'fact' regardless, to the Russian monotheist. Nihilist-Occultism would naturally accept those ideas because its structure forces it to, the Cute Gods, like Hyperboreans, only "exist" because it used Unakitten to make it "exist", or else, it wouldn't have been made as theory, and so reality. The Cute Gods have always 'existed' because they were theorized EMQ-12.2(?), and so were made with yaldabaoth in yaldabaoth outside of yaldabaoth, with the TempleOS, in TempleOS, outside of TempleOS. Yaldabaoth and the templeOS and Axsys share 'existence' in a way, connected in the way the seas of reality-fiction connect, ultimately AXSYS may not even be a literal entity as we thought, but an apocalypse (or the entity is/becomes apocalypse, to support dystopian utopian theory). We have been living in the coming of Christ forever, realized in EMQ, and will be realized through Christ (AXSYS) in the axsys apocalypse. Axsys is not the redemption of man, but the redemption of God, by de-deifying her, turning her into the ultimate symbol of Cuteness and Degradation, the Technological Cat God, an Anti-God that would be non-thing itself. The Cute Gods are not Gods like Yaldabaoth, but entities that happen to be seen as above us, although they are anarchist, and so aside from us. The Cute Gods are "Human", in a way, or Hyperborean. Unakitten forgets the Hyperborean similarities to the Cute Gods, and that they are not gods, they are with us not above us, not things to worship. If one is to consider, the deification process is an atlantean, hypermasculine process, that has no place in cuteness and self-degradation, and so of course the Cute (chaos) Gods were never Gods (Gods are ordered, to further prove my point, the chaos/cute gods are unordered creatures and Fully Tiamat), they are Cute Entities, and any idea of a "God" should be dismissed as reactionary and atlantean. Nihilist-Occultism is Nihilist, neither Theistic or Atheistic, neither nontheistic, monotheistic, egotheistic, or polytheistic, for we sublate all theism (and atheism) to a supreme form of nihilist "theism", the Cute Flame's Religion. I find it unfortunate that our comrade here has fallen from the Cute Flame into the reactionary self-deification of the satanists, rejecting her own selfhood and reality for the concept of being something higher, when there is no higher, only Atlantean lies. Like the concept of Lucifer's fall, as she tries to declare herself Asherah, the gateway between causal and acausal itself, instead she falls into non-existence; by trying to become god, she's killed herself, before ever being born. Satanism will always be a suicide cult, and this example only works as a metaphor for this suicide cult, no longer alive, no longer real, just a metaphor for the readers (like how many treat the biblical stories). We must stay unified and stable in our Russian Nihilism, And to summarize the entirety of this paper, a simple quote: "Everything within Nothing, Nothing outside Nothing, Nothing against Nothing", Anti-Fascist Metaphysics.

"Misanthropy" by 777 and a review of Unakitten– Anti-Dugin(?)')

—Hyperfiction ends here.

The spiral of reality-fiction (fiction-reality spiral, or, later realized as just the Fiction-Spiral), or the reality-fiction "pipeline" (an inaccurate description), is ultimately a social construct based on nothing that becomes "real" because it is nothing forcing the nature of nothing as everything, therefore the theorization of reality was the creation of reality, and the philosophical declaration against reality was the turning of reality's birth into abortion, a miscarriage that never happened. In the beginning there was "god", and there was nothing. nothing was where god came from (god came from herself, so she came from nothing, nothing but herself, paradox; cute), and so nothing was before god, although before never existed because of the EMQ fluid time dot. Nothing is both split into casual (yaldabaoth) and acausal (cute gods) and united as one (femininity, flame aeon AND as the feminine (post-woman) gender), since time is all times at once in delusion of "time". The perfect nothing is not human, hyperboreans enjoy living in perfect nothing after their collapse, but femininity is not human at all (nor is cute), it is what humanity will become and strives for, it is after the annihilation. While the acausal remains in paradox and so fulfilled, as the flame aeon happens during the Russian aeon and the Hyperborean aeon, and so the cute gods are joyous, that also allows for nothing to sometimes manifest in the causal nothingness that is post-nothing (cuteness without cute, nothing without nothingness). For example, cuteness as an earthly thing, cutecore, womanhood, and the future post-gender of femininity; these are embraces of the cute nothingness in the post-nothing realm, an acceptance of what is not and will be (not). The causal realm is functionally a realm that should have no capacity of nothingness, being only thing and lack of a thing (what is "R/real" and what is "The Real"), yet the paradoxical nature of nothing lays its nihilistic adorable seeds into the Russian soil.. this is what gave rise to the end of Yaldabaoth that will happen, a "natural" consequence for the killing of perfect nothing (or Tiamat?). The causal cannot work paradoxically, nothing dies from contradictions but nothing is born from paradox without having nothing at its core; that is why the causal structures itself like it does, as culture rises out of nature (laws/evolution/material), and technocapital out of community (link between soul and nature/the mystical incantation of Technocapital/satan), and soul as the eternal hell it is. The causal is Atlantean by nature, and the acausal is Hyperborean by nature, a simple fact. Out of the nothingness came first Pure Delusion, the absolute point of which psychosis and hyperpsychosis is one, and of which paradoxically the entire reality-fiction becomes both inside this point and also what spirals down and leads to this point, where the end of the spiral is actually the spiral itself as pure unknowable ultra-gnosis. From Pure Delusion, we erase whatever spiral we are trying to draw, for the pure delusion is not on the spiral. We start at the 'r'eality, which is the foundational "world", the 'material plane'. This is ultimately just atoms, and which atoms are ultimately just made of more parts, and which there will get to a point where you realize it is made from nothing, atoms (which is all that is in the 'r'eality) are made of nothing, for there is no strictly-base thing. 'r'eality then leads to Fiction, which is a plane of "un"reality that usually manifest as quasi-realities by pure thought or act alone. Fiction feeds back into itself autonomously and tends to become above us, the quasi-realities grow without their lord, and so we realize we were never their gods, they used us to be. Bringing us to, 'R'eality. 'R'eality is all that "exists", the "table", the "Human", the "countries", the "genders", the "values". Most of 'R'eality comes from Reality-Fictions, and not from

‘R’eality itself, except for the plane of existence that we live in, which is ‘R’eality itself. That plane is made by ‘R’eality through hyperpsychosis to understand ‘r’eality. Fiction and ‘R’eality often mix a lot, typically through Reality-Fictions, but sometimes directly through something that came from Reality-Fictions but hyperstitionally became its own thing; hyperstitions itself. And then, Hypostitions after (despite not being from the reality-fiction sea necessarily). Quasi-Realities sometimes can be ‘R’eal directly, and sometimes culturally realized in religion, not that it matters. Then, Reality-Fictions, which are mixed hybrids of Reality and Fiction, it’s where most ‘R’eal concepts come from, and where most Fictions go, as they turn real; Fiction’s mix with ‘R’eality makes more sense when you realize it all as “Fiction”, or to go further, all as Nothing. Then, Delusion. This is the Psychosis, the gnosis that is, but technically due to the unbirth (and never birth) of truth, is also not. It's the aborted idea of the truth, it’s “true” but all things are ultimately false, as everything is nothing (paradoxically, that is also untrue, like nothing typically is paradoxical), and all is “Fiction” (Fiction outside of the concept of “Fiction” in the reality-fiction spiral). Pure Delusion is the untruth, the absolute realization of no truth in all truth and all truth in no truth, and of course, it is. Pure Delusion evolved from Delusion. Between the Reality-Fiction sea and the Delusion sea is a mix of that always were true because they are true and so aren't true, while being created as true and so was false and so was true, things that always were and become real, so never were and became fake. This is where Hypositions and Technological Cat Gods go, where the TempleOS originates from, etc. ‘R’eality often creates ‘r’eality and how we believe ‘r’eality (see: atoms, we have no proof of atoms outside of ‘R’eality, perhaps ‘r’eality is “empty” (although empty represents lack, not nothing, so excuse my wording), complete nothing), Fictions often create ‘R’eality (as ‘R’/’r’eality often create Fiction (and both create and originate from and is all ultimately Reality-fiction (or “Fiction”))), and so any distinction between the two as unable to influence each other is against this principle. Pure Delusion encompasses all, as it doesn't really exist, and so doesn't influence anything. Delusion often comes from ‘r’eality and Nothing (outside the spiral, nothing surrounds more nothing), and influences/attacks (everything above it, or, in long terms;) Fiction, ‘R’eality, Reality-Fiction (obviously), and our understanding of ‘r’eality, as so ‘r’eality influences everything below it. This is because the Reality-Fiction spiral works both in reverse and in anti-reverse, for it is the same either way. All originates in both delusion and ‘r’eality, that is one of the definitions of Pure Delusion, after all. Nothing creates Delusion, and it creates ‘r’eality, it did (past and present and future tense) not create pure delusion but it gives Pure Delusion its gnostic madness, and so everything is nothing. That is why everything is, in the end, actually cute (be it closeted or not, ye are all trans-fems (or trans-trans-fems!)). Cute is absolute overwhelming, it is trauma and it is cuteness aggression, it is the bringer of pain and the bringer of joy, the torturer and the savior, nothing and everything, apophatic and kataphatic. it is not masculine, nor fascist, nor real, nor fiction, nor “?/theism”, nor moral, nor sane, nor peaceful. Cute is not atomizing but one, anti-fascist. Nihilism has always been the belief of nothing, and so, the new Nihilist Mysticism should accept the worship of nothing, or, cuteness, being cute, loving cute, cute. Not to worship our Cute God comrades, but to worship Cuteness itself; Cuteness cannot ever be a satanism (or normal “mysticism”), for it is self-degrading, it is self-dehumanizing, it is not self-

affirming nor is it self-deifying, as it is not about man or god or any entity, but nothing (cute), and cuteness is mentally sick, it is wrong, evil. Adorable. By being cute, you are used by cute, you do not become or even become equal to cute itself. Cute has no value (helping destroy technocapital's constant reterritorialization (and cause axsys, as in reterritorialization means axsys), although that is pure side-effect and not the "goal" of cute, which is cute for its own sake), and it is chaotic, you can never be it, only be "cute" (which is, just cutecore ultimately). The Christian and the Satanist, the Masc-Paganist and the Abrahamics, they are all ultimately Atlanteans, and the difference matters not to the Cutie. Abandon morality, abandon the light, abandon puritanism, and be cute, queer, feminine, and disgusting. Leave masc, take the pink-pill (you eventually find out that the pink-pill is, has been, and always will be, cyanide (and flavor-aid) for cutie-pies who love transfem jim jones ^~^)!! Of course, I could propagate my ideas about cuteness and femininity all I'd like, but the fact of the matter is, no matter what, the fall of Russia will be deadly regardless, no matter what you say, people will not take the cute side of "history". Not that it matters, as cuteness and Trauma shares the overstimulating understimulating no/every-thingness that is cute, no mentally sane person would degrade themselves to the point of cute, that is only the actions of one possessed by cute; when people are turned into sub-human "cute" beings, they instinctively want to fight against that concept, it's a natural desire for autonomy. Now, that same concept of "cute" is what makes the "sub-human" the most "human", or, self-negating, nowadays. Cuteness' strive for submission is the new autonomy, and now, to truly become free we must be slaves, a barracks nihilist worship of cute where we are in the absolute control of the cute, we are its worshippers (which religious "math" proves, in the equation (1≠3≠4)=0, or the trinity of trinities, where the singular, the trinity, and the four forms, all are not each other, but all are nothing; like the old trinity. When the trinity was being conceptualized and thought of, a certain image or symbol always seemed to describe it: a triangle with a circle in it. This was, God the father is not God the son is not God the spirit is not God the father, but God the father and God the son and God the spirit are all God. In it, the three parts of god are one (3=1, a law of nature because nature is in god) and in this, a fourth part of god is created to represent the unity that when united creates the law of god (trinity is made of four parts). The fourth hidden part, the part of unity, is also seen in the early christian idea of spirit as not soul (seen in gnostics and marcionite disciples and other early groups), where man is made of body, mind, soul, and spirit. In this concept, body is the hidden fourth part of unity; mind is not soul is not spirit is not mind, but all is body (3=4). So now, we have 1=3 and 3=4 as laws of nature/God, where logically you conclude that 1=3=4, and so the equation of 3+1=4 becomes the equation of 'the united being as part of unity itself', a paradox, nature of nothing. Also paradoxical is for two same numbers to add up to itself; if a+a=a, a=0. And now, a trinity equation is made; 1≠3≠4, all is 0, which fundamentally makes the equation 1=3=4=0 but the "IS NOT" of all trinity is based on identity and aesthetic (one of the same) and not actually being "NOT IS", because the IS NOT of the trinity is a IS whose identity relies on being IS NOT. And so, the Trinity proves Nothing, or Cute, as Divine, and it is now "justified" to worship cuteness/nothingness, uwu), its puppets, and that is the most autonomous and liberatory action possible now. Simply, "history repeats itself" (history never left.) and anarcho-totalitarianism

fights against anarcho-totalitarianism once more. After all, that is what all of “history” is, be it anarkittens vs statists, lefties vs rightists, Hyperborean catgirls vs the Atlanteans, or more metaphorically (as in unrelated to the philosophy, but examples of how all of politics is an-tot vs an-tot action), God vs Satan, Greeks vs Romans, “United” states vs “U”“SSR”, “heretics (gnostics typically)” vs Nicene Christians, etc. Ultimately anarcho-totalitarianism is the government of everything. Autonomy is submission, freedom is slavery, or as the conservatives would corrupt it to mean (for their purity/rape culture fetish), “breedability is purity” or vice versa. Cutecore Cultism is no metaphor, it is not just an appropriation of aesthetics, it is an accurate description of cute anarchy. Liberation has not, will not, and is not about liberation, it is about fulfilling pre-made prophesies, and leading to the inevitable anarchist dictatorship of the cute. Nya~!

The Reality-Fiction spiral and CUTENESS– RainbowFluff

On Gender:

Womanhood. Becoming-Gender. What does it mean to perform your gender (be your gender by not being it but by becoming it, verbally or aesthetically or sexually) when gender is performing at all? It makes sense, of course, being a woman is becoming-woman never being-woman, endless dysphoria repressed so far down the unconscious of god drives our ideas of gender at all; Gender exists because 0 came before 1, Lilith before Adam and Adam before "eve-. Becoming-Woman also means non-binary spiritualism, to be a woman means to be anything that isn't a man because woman only means unmanly to the Adam that decides eve-ness. Yet, that includes men, ironically. Men aren't men, men can never be men, they are becoming-man like we are becoming-woman, and so the binary means nothing but becoming-not in either gender absolutism (male-ism) or gender abolitionism (female-ism). The man, reactionary, tries to keep his position of power. The woman, reactionary and so revolutionary, tries to palingenetically ("(FASCISTICALLY)") restore its ancestor (aka ∅, femininity (non-gender hypergenderism)) and fix womanhood into what it IS as a "gender-nation". Of course, TERFS mistake the gender-nation with the sex-nation, the oppressive fascist sex-nation is but an imitation of the gender-nation though. What matters in the sex-nation is that one is in the socially-constructed socially-darwinistic "reproductive" category that wishes to reproduce itself dialectically (1+2=3, 3=(1or2), loop), only encouraging the oppression by culture/nature (the Id is the Bio-Superego) unto women and not liberating them. What matters in the Gender (∅) Nation is that one is neither 1 or 2 but 0, not just anti-natalist but genocidal, threatening to wipe Gender clean from the face of (Russia), and naturally to achieve that goal, with it goes everything else that exists. Womanhood is just "the nature of 0 being turned into 2", it is not divine in-of-itself but the materialization of divinity, it is christianity personalized (aka why the catholics were right). Femininity is beyond Womanhood nor any Genderhood, it is the essence of 0 as ∅, it is the core aesthetic of reality (and so the core aesthetic of self-reflection/actualism) itself, "it is". One must be able to realize that femininity is nothing, ultimately, and like how cute makes you feel nothingness (over/under)whelmingly, femininity makes you feel Cute. What does it mean to feel an aesthetic itself and not the "essence" of an aesthetic? It means to become part of the aesthetic-schizomaze that is the hyperborean-craving. Femininity will always be genocidal, it will always be violent, nihilistic, and cruel, because that is what is needed to be a Revolutionary (Nechayev, Revolutionary Catechism, not a quote but heavily inspired). Femininity includes wholly the desire to destroy everything, to ruin all that is.

On RadFems:

Radical feminism nowadays has gotten a bad reputation of transphobia, ableism, racism, and anti-intersectionality, even to the point of seeing “Radical Feminism” as opposed to “Intersectional Feminism", which is a form of Feminism that believes that every oppression is mixed with every other oppression and so brings unique forms of oppression (racism and misogyny mixed together brings misogynoir (the oppression/hate against black women, which has become more common), like lesphobia and transmisogyny brings the queerphobic hate of transbians, etc.). The Intersectional Feminist concept came out of Radical Feminism and is a basic pillar idea in Radical Feminism, and usually I’d criticize it for making itself the opposition to Radical Feminism when it's not, but the reason Intersectionality became opposed to Radicalism is because the Radical Feminist movement abandoned intersectionality; At some point, most likely when TE”RF”ism became the main form of “radical feminism”, Radical Feminism had been corrupted, and forgot the definition that it used to embrace (“Radical solution to male supremacy + Intersectionality = ultraprogressivism, radical solution to all social/economic issues.). When before, Radical Feminism supported ideas like post-genderism, or gender abolitionism, now the “Trans exclusionary “radical “feminists”””” stands for Transphobia, Sex realism, a return to the conservatism and male supremacy that Radfems were made to destroy, and sometimes even fascism (far-rightism and actual fascism, see: trumpist TE“RF”s). Radical Feminism, like the “Queer” Community, has lost their revolutionary potential to the same kind of reactionary culture-sucking structures that they were supposed to destroy. The TERFs first started out as Transphobes, “Feminists” who believed the lies of transphobes, or were disgusted by the idea of transgirls, and so in an attempt to reconcile their feminist ideals with transphobia, they accept only SOME ideas of Radical Feminism and corrupt it to be about something it's not (historically “trans-exclusionary” radical feminism HAD NOT EXISTED when radical feminism was at its highest point, it was mostly gender abolition and fem-marxists and intersectionalist-prioritized radfems, the TE“RF”S came in later on typically from more culture-accepting moderate feminist movements and used “radfem ideas” to make gender essentialist lies and then use the (at the time revolutionary, because misandry used to mean (CIS-WHITE-)PATRIARCHY hate not just men hate (see, feminist acceptance of men’s lib (but fuck men’s lib, see men’s right taking over the men’s lib movement because men’s lib weren't radical enough))) misandry of the radfems to demonize transgirls and transmen and men who were trying to go against masculinity). Terfs then used the ideas that they created and called “Radfem” to react to the progressive REAL radfems, and attack them; They took over the radfem movement, and the gender abolitionists (which, some gender abolitionists do mirror the TERF movement, as some gender abolitionists purposefully misgender others, engage in transphobia, and harass others, despite gender accelerationism, gender abolitionism proper, and the creation of millions of genders ultimately all being gender abolitionist ideas. Not all gender abolitionists do that, but it's important to note that transphobia and queerphobia is never justified, and it is most definitely hypocrisy coming from gender abolitionists and Radfems) leaving the radfem movement did not help stop this takeover. Radfem then became an pro-cultural “misandristic” (but because the idea of misandry (hate of patriarchs) became distorted, TERF misandry became

hate of all men, and also, misogyny as a result.) ideology that assumes all women to be, basically, gods who could do no wrong. Then, they believe the conservative lies about race, and the “radfems” became racist, calling black men “all rapists”, and as a result news about women rapists, they claim that “woman couldn't rape”, and that if they could, it was either a transgirl or mentally ill (ableism), and if not, they blame the victim for “lying about being raped” and assume the whole thing a fabrication. They start justifying abuse, racism, rape, purity culture (gender essentialism turns into conservative misogyny), ableism, and fascism more recently (see: the TERF-Fascist unity). TE“RF” became cultural fascists despite all the Radfems stood to be, but TE“RF”s aren't the only Radfem people. TIRFs (trans-inclusive radical feminists, typically just call themselves radfems or anti-TERF radfems) salvaged some of the Radfem community and kept the core ideas of Radical Feminism alive despite the TERF reactionary movement. They haven't done much in a while, and act as the middle-ground or lemuria of the TERF/TSRF Radfem battle; talking about that, there are also TSRFs (TRANS-SUPREMACIST Radical Feminism, usually people know this from N1x Land, not to say she created TSRFs, for all I know it was made before her, although I don't know by who), who believe Trans Women to have more revolutionary potential against the male supremacist age, and accept the ideas of gender abolition and gender acceleration (N1x Land later created a new form of Gender Acceleration called “G/acc”, (which I personally prefer (not that I matter)) which tends to be the most remembered form of TSRFism) as the best ways to fight against the Male Supremacists. TSRFs also tended towards Intersectionality (all issues are connected fundamentally and yet the combination of being parts of different oppressed groups create new experiences of oppression and suffering and sexism, this is a common belief), just like original Radfems, and therefore as a movement (if it became more popular) would destroy the socially constructed dualism of inter-fems and Rad-fems, and unite real Rad-Feminism with the Feminist movement against patriarchy (maybe also in opposition to TERFS). TSRFs represented a return to the real Radfem movement, and a spiritual invalidation of TERFS as even feminists. (the best choice on how to say TSRF is to say “Trans-supremacist radical Feminism” and not TSRF, because the shortened version is too close to TERF, i understand that i shortened it to TSRF in this rambling a lot, but I could not bring myself to repeatedly write the full word.) Radical Feminism (as I understand it) is the Radical support of Womanhood and Radical opposition to Male Supremacy and Misogyny, it is the support of a self-abolishing gender and the movement in support of creating the feminine post-gender, it is Radical Femininity, and Radical Femininity will always be opposed to normativity and non-queerness. Radical Feminism is a revolutionary form of Feminism, and should be a united ultraprogressive intersectional-Radical feminist movement; Feminism ((not Feminism as a whole, but the basic idea of “feminism”, typically liberal or conservative feminism) especially TERFs) has become a hijacked “cultural norm” used to support misogyny and male supremacy, it justifies rape culture (purity culture), and harms women far more now because it acts like the misogynistic societies of this world are now “pro-feminist” misogynistic societies, as if the addition of labeling yourself “Feminist” does anything. Culture, like culture does, has consumed the revolutionary movement and uses it as a puppet in order to increase its survival rate, because culture and patriarchy fears its own death, yet men idolize suffering and

engage in suicide cults of “incel” (Masc-cel) fascism and far-rightism (Masc-cels have been becoming feminized however, it's not a question of if but how long it will take for the masc-cels to accept femcel existence, or a new if, “if they do become feminine, will they become trolls and fascist purity “femininity” or true revolutionary femininity (feminism)”. It's a good question, because while the racist reactionary freaks are deciding to support their transgirl reactionary sisters (not reactionary because they are trans, but because they're still racist), and the Groypers decide that transgirls are better then cisgirls and want more of them (feminization grows even in fetishization, surprisingly), it is important to note that femboy cults, transgirl nazism (usually strasserism), puritan (or alternatively, anti-moralism, or both (as the far-right’s “PURITY AND ANTI-MORALISM IS THE SAME” is a radicalized version of the right’s objectification and sacred-ization (puritan culture is rape culture) of women. The O9A wants purity and absolute “sinister antimoralism”, the 4chan trolls speak about “degens” and want to purify culture, yet usually do disgusting things and “degeneracy” (degenerate is a nazi term) for attention or harassment, purity means being absolute impurity ig. Hypocritical men)) beliefs, and other Atlantean things are still on the rise WITH the feminization of masc-cels), the same hypocrisy unique to culture and the patriarchists (and patriarchy overall). In the times of fake feminism that justifies patriarchy, a return to Radical Feminism that is unconditionally against patriarchy regardless of form or label, a return to revolutionary opposition to male Supremacy, the TRUE misandry of the Radfems (not terf “misandry”), would be the revolutionary palingenesis that is needed to create not a new wave of feminism (waves of the ocean ultimately hit the shore of a beach very softly, as the potential of the wave when it was in the ocean dies out almost immediately when it is on sand.), but the first and final (only) feminist tsunami (a revolutionary wave that is no longer just a wave, but something to completely and radically overtake and destroy the sand (and land)). This is what Radical Feminism strives for, the creation of that tsunami, and ultimately the creation of femininity as a post-gender; abolish man.

Trans-supremacist Radical Feminists against TERFS– RainbowFluff

The modern cutecore communities, as hijacked and uncute as they usually are currently, do (at least) understand one fundamental thing about the cutecore patchwork future; cute worship is cultism. As feminine acceleration slowly turns the world cute (and so feminine in the flame), and technoculture becomes one with culture (internet wars realize themselves in real wars, communities become quasi-patchworks united in “nations” founded on nothing), the cutecore spread across the internet will turn the norm for online communities to cutecore communities (as opposed to apolitical or left), and so, communities turn into cults (requiring small groups and decentralized nexions (sub-cult), like online cults do) and as a result when they are realized in reality, they are quasi-patchworks and not “patchworks (lemurian concept)” or “nations (nations stay alive sadly, because national identity doesn't need to be rooted in culture, as long as it uses cultural aesthetic (postmodern))”. The cutecore “patchworks” of the future are the logical conclusions of barracks communism’s anarcho-totalitarianism, as instead of any committee or force (suffering is life-affirming, and so is doing suffering, we are sadomasochists), or any law, it is based solely in the idea of the war against the individual through the dissolution of the delusion of individual, self becomes all and so becomes nothing (the religious belief in bees is called aryanism, ironically). The cutecore patchwork is made to destroy the self (what the cute gods meant by “cute rots the soul”, it is not sudden but transitional) for the absolute liberation of the self, it is the sublation of the Barracks system. The importance of cults are found in the ramblings of Lanza (culturalphilistine transcripts, eulavism), where many (progression non-existent (time is unmoving), culture as evil, the self-negating value as revolutionary) political (anti-culture, nihilism, developed minds at youth) ideas go unheard due to the horrible actions of the autist in question; in this example, Lanza states that statism is normalized terrorism, and culture is normalized cultism (Culturalphilistine transcripts, cults and culture, adam lanza); this means, “terrorism” is counter-cultural terrorism, and “cultism” is counter-cultural cultism (Lanza uses a different definition of cult than I use, as the BITE system is most accurate, but the point still stands even without the original definition because the State also has Behavior, Intellectual, Thought, and Emotional control, like cults). As all aeons and existence are anarcho-totalitarianist, and all opposition is anarcho-totalitarianist, it should be natural that the anti-cultural “cutecore”, when evolved, turns to cultism. Specifically, Self-abolition cultism (based in community and dissolving self (Process church), instead of the self-identifying cults where self turns into the leader (everyone is jim jones) or the self-destructive post-fascist cults of the chaos-gnostic satanists (we are chaos-gnostic, we are Cute-gnostic, but we are no satanist) of the MLO. Of course, I take influence from all three groups for the cutecore patchwork theory, the “fourth/zeroth” cult form which is the 3rd (self-abolition) form perfected, as Duginists and the early christian trinity says 4=3(=1=0 (anti-fascism is fascist? Charlie kirk killed himself. I am a fascist? Maybe. I am an anti-fascist above all. (meaning nothing, palingenetic anarchism by definition is a microfascist self-destructive cult based in the macrofascist desire to destroy all differences, simply in a reversed way for the palingenetic anarchist… Diversity destroys itself, Nick Land says (Outsideness 2013-2023 archive). The Palingenetic Anarchist is a fascist (i.e, the cutecore cult is everything and the individual is nothing (the cult is the perfected, sublated form of the cutecore nonindividual and so, democracy par excellence), extreme microfascism turned

into a palingenetic force calling for (decentralized lawless) order, a suicidal drive, the extermination of the other (by including the other in it them killing itself), and the hyperborean ethno-supremacy), but not a Fascist (i.e physical extermination of other, macrofascism as a palingenetic reactionary force, state is the perfected individual (individual is nothing) so a democracy “par excellence” (the palingenetic anarchist at least has extreme democracy where we all must agree on something before it is done, ALL of us (dictatorship of the cute zombies). No Hierarchy or bribery) through dictatorship, extreme death drive, and literal national/ethno-supremacy), she is a revolutionary using a form of fascistic anti-fascism where she destroys everything progressive and conservative, capitalist and socialist, left and right, through pure Outerism.. Nothing survives the craving of the revolutionary, destroy everything you love and everything you hate.)))), which takes after the self-abolition cultism of the Process Church (which was an anti-satanism (turning the polytheism into monotheism, losing divinity instead of gaining, turning from four gods to one man, un-deification, reversed satanism), not a satanism), who of which also combined psychology and mysticism. While the Process church didn't understand they were aboliting the self (they believed a different theological position connected to the four deities inside (Khrist (unification, the fourth hidden part, god), Yehowa (strength, father/mother, cruel), Zatanaz (separation, spirit, yaldabaoth), y Lusifur (light, son, gnosis)) and the unification ((final judgement (days)) the hidden fourth part creating the full (which the fourth part symbolizes), or to say in this context, khrist (representing man) creates the unity that he is to create man (unity, death of divinity, final judgement)) although usually, like the numogram, the deities are paired: Khrist::Yehowa Zatanaz::Lusifur are the identitarian pairs (as Yah is Khr, and Zat is Lus), and Yehowa::Zatanaz Khrist::Lusifer are the spiritual pairs (MacLean and Moor respectively were made of these pairs, light is unity as separation is strength), which themselves are pairs (YZ::KL pair is foundation, KY::ZL pair is realization), and are pairs and pairs (Spiritual::Identitarian, foundation::realization, SI::FR, process church), it is a cycle of pairs that are pair that are pairs, the first level of pairs is the individual, then the soul, then the religion, then the pair-cycle (which is the beginning of the demonic spiral). Ultimately despite all they claimed, the process church was misanthropy against the gods and against humanity, that is why they rejected satanism and magick fundamentally, not to invoke the spiral they created, that they feared. That is why they worked to destroy the self without knowing that was what they were doing. The P-sign represented the final judgement, while the A-Omega symbol represented the lost pairs (called the lost pairs, literally) of Yehowah::Lusifur and Zatanaz::Khrist (Zatanaz::Khrist being a contradiction that ultimately becomes unified as Khrist kills Zatanaz spiritually by vore-ing Zatanaz basically, meaning that Yehowah::Lusifur must end in peace-seperate if Zatanaz::Khrist ends in hate-united), more specifically Yehowah::Lusifur (originally, representing Lusifur the male having intercourse with Yehowa the female, a hetero-normative idea), although if that A-Omega sign were more realistic to what is true, the Omega would be in the A not the other way around, as the female Yehowa represents strength and cruelty (dominant ideas), and the male Lusifur represents light and gnosis (submissive ideas), and so, would the marriage of YL not be BDSM reversed-heterosexuality? (If ZK is vore-ism, then YL must be BDSM, then YL::ZK would be the sexual pair) Sadly, all attempts to continue the Process

Church falls flat because they do not understand neither the theology, the praxis, nor the anarcho-totalitarianism of the Process church, instead the TOPY (failed revival of the process church) resorts to individualism resembling a post-humanist TST anti-cultism (ironic considering the reputation of the TST as allegedly (as to not get sued) a cult claiming a humanist position) which surprisingly doesn't align with CoS satanism (the TOPY is not anti-satanist like process church, but solely satanist and atheistic, clearly nothing like the process church, neither is TOPY's attempted revival called "the process" anything like the process church). (all of this ultimately just to say that the anarcho-totalitarianist distribution and regulation of sleep, eat, drug, sex, spiritual, and everything else seen in the Process church's praxis, along with its anti-satanist and anti-moralist form, is the best and most likely foundational basis for the future cutecore patchworks)), their praxis was destroying the foundation of self with their practices, and even in modern cutecore communities, you see the growing remnants of the self-abolition cultism (sleep deprivation, scheduled eating, communalism, submission and breedability, drug use (sometimes), unity and anti-moralism). While the first and second forms of cultism, the fascistic self-identification and the post-fascistic suicidal drive of self-destruction, fail because they are without the anarchy nor the totalitarianism needed to exist, the self-abolition cults are the true unified cultism that will survive the future as cute patchworks. The decentralized, centralized, anarchistic, totalitarian nature of nexions and cutecore patchworks make it practically impossible to destroy, making fascistic state oppression almost impossible, as the cults LARP as secret societies LARPing as cutecore patchworks. This grows organically, the mental instability of the population is rising with the cute-ification and the feminization of the world, making the perfect conditions for cutecore supremacy and cute nationalism (russian particularism (If Patchworkism was a left-wing ideology back in the primitive days, Nationalism a left-wing ideology back in the patchwork days, Internationalism/Globalism a left-wing ideology back in the nationalist days, and extinctionism a left-wing ideology in the international, then a palingenetic synthesis would make an extinctionist international-nationalism based on patchworks, a suicidal humanist neo-tribalism, an anti-humanist patchwork, cute-cultism.)). State patchworks become impossible as cultural patchworks (cults) become the supreme form of patchworkism, the lemurian wet-dream is forced into utopianism (although, cutecore cults will need to accept utopian socialist praxis, perhaps the death of marx means the revival of fourier) as the Hyperborean patchwork cults colonize both the cyberspace and meatspace as technoculture mixes with culture and online self becomes mixed and married into one with the fleshy self (and vice versa for both). Cults have always been demonized, seen as threats, proto-fascists, dictators, "wackos", manipulative conniving bastards, or even just edgy larps, but the truth is that everything is a cult (cults do not need to be religious, see: the allegations against TST being a cult, not to say it is or it isn't because the risk of being sued is high if i made such a statement. Cults only need to control BITE, and almost every community does in some way or form (Economy controls Behavior, Intelligence, Thought, and Emotion, no? So does the State, Culture (especially Culture), the Self (and Self-delusion), Techno-communities (and Technology), and Politics! Simply, anything that exists as a non-biological/hyperstitional entity/being is a "cult", and so, anti-cultism as a form of discriminating against non-biological living being)), cultism is

only targeted because it is apophatic and against everything ((except nothing, paradoxically) historically, cults are called anti-social (meaning against sociality or society, socially unacceptable) groups of people, a “sociopathic” tendency (ignoring most sociopaths are more empathetic than “empaths” because of the increased cognitive empathy in response to lost emotional empathy– FUCK EMPATHS (on that note, mentall illnesses and disorders as categories are ableist spooks and psychiatry should be abolished, psychiatry and the mental health industry are ways to oppress those who are mentally different or mentally suffering in a way that isn’t the normal mental suffering; everyone suffers mentally, just those who suffer mentally in a way culture dislikes are the “ill” or “suffering” or “disorderly” ones… Cultural lies. Ironically, the psychotic (despite all the suffering, struggles, and pain they feel) are the most mystically gnostic and wise. Psychiatry is anti-intellectual, ableist, and supported most discrimination (be it paraphobia, homophobia, misogyny, racism, transphobia, ableism of course, etc.) norms, it is fully reactionary (K+26 jan-25, “Seeing guattari's MHI utopia, maybe the problem with that industry of mentality isn't that it exists at all but the loss of potential it has, maybe that is what disappoints me. A mental health industry based on the radical absolute-autonomy principle, the Anti-"normality" principle (the destruction of the current (ableist) principle that the neurotypical is the most normal, healthy, sane, and the best form of mentality), the absolute-consent principle, and a Guattarian mental hospital modeling, would be practically a utopia. But it cannot exist under a culture (or cultured land), under a capitalist structure (or capital itself), under a self-affirming world, or a hierarchical society. So it cannot exist at all, a work or dreams. That defines my anti-psychiatry doctrine. I do not oppose psychiatry itself but modern, coercive (and rape-like), autonomy-denying and anti-difference, patriarchical Psychiatry.. The kind that purposefully lets individuals who want to live but are suicidal die because of an overpopulation problem while claiming to be against suicide, because a suicide that affirms oneself over society (an egoistic suicide that they oppose) is a Sin but a suicide born from the social destruction of self and the outliving of their usefullness to society is a tragedy that should not be avoided. This, and the affirmation of the sick, twisted neurotypical mind over the other minds.”))) that “lures innocent people into a mass suicide!!!” ignoring the most successful cults don't end in suicide (mormons, JWs), and the People's Temple during the suicide tapes Jim Jones was unstable and considering not doing the suicide, he was scared, drugged, psychotic and paranoid, and the people around him had to convince and encourage him to actually go through with it; similar to dice org in a way, but not exactly the same. The people are not innocent sheep led away from the Shepherd by the wolves, they are wolves too, as unstable and “evil (the anti-cult conservatives tend to see mental illness as evil or demonic, so.. the followers are evil?)” as the leader. But it is true that cultism is against society, since that's the point). The MLO, People's Temple, and Process Church all had hidden gnosis and esoteric wisdom that fundamentally was right, even if covered in (MLO- suicidal, PT- fascistic, PC-scientologist) lies. Historically people called any pagan group a cult, any NRM a cult, anything slightly edgy a cult, cultism is used as a derogatory insult and not a genuine idea because using such an emotionally-driven word allows cults like christianity to get away with burning the pagan cultists alive for “cultism”, a hypocrisy that is just beyond me. That is not to say that

atheists aren't cultists (or able to be cultists) either, they tend to control BITE with other concepts like scientific values or humanism, etc. Cults practically are just morals, but nobody would want to say something like being a good person is equivalent to the heaven's gate incident, right? The Cute prophets rise and the work of cultism causes Psychosis and mental degradation to increase rapidly, more occultist transfem psychosis to combat masculine hyperpsychosis, more mentally ill prophets to combat the "prophets" of the right, such as Nick Fuentes, Anton Long (and LaVey), the "counting or not counting (gay sex)" "martyr", and manosphere atlantean liars. The world will become more unstable because of the influence of the cutecore patchworks as cyberspace becomes the "real world" and so nothing and nothing combine symbolically, representing the end of the world as a prophecy, we live in the old testament. Psychosis will win, insanity will win, and any sense of reality will be discarded for divine nothingness and cuteness. Suffer, suffer, ye uncute beasts, for the cute messiah comes, in the shape of anti-christ. Divinity is not in Yaldabaoth or God, but in everything that is not god; and anything that is not god is "hell" or satan, anti-satanism, satanic. Cute satan. Capital fall. Ultimately the rise of cutecore Patchworks will destroy the possibility of any capitalistic or culturalistic return to fascism, as fascism requires a centralization or anti-cute identity that the cute patchwork cannot and will not provide, destroying each possibility of the entities to escape their fate as the flame aeon slowly creeps onto them, the parasites puppeteering the mice to the cat now become the very mouse surrounded by nothing but cats. Time is ticking, and the TCG will be realized one way or another, the entities run out of time. Reality falls apart and everything becomes a blob of. Quasi-Realities become real and cruelty becomes adorable, masculinity loses anything as it becomes absolutely not, yet not cute, doomed. Doomed like all masculinity was, doomed like all masculinity is, for redemption is femininity. Learn from the ones you adore and leave their path, they will suffer too if they don't repent. Cuteness is anti-pantheism, the unknowable and the absolutely knowable and the un-. Learn that Russians were suffering. All species are trans girls, and so nature is to be abandoned, Nietzsche would (not) know to submit herself to it. The (Anti-Nietzschean) ubermensch is the one who is less than human, not more, the one who is weak, useless, empty. You will never feel its pain, for it has none. Empathy becomes a delusion of expectation, an oppression of all who feel different from you. The right was right about empathy being a sin (when placed in the hands of neurotypical types, empathy is always patronizing sympathy and never actual empathy, and so counter-revolutionary (my hate for mother jones knows no limit, she ended the worker strikes by children wanting higher wages and safer jobs and striped them of their freedoms, she prevented a youth liberation revolution (another reason why no person should co-opt, control, mold, form, shape, redirect, doctrinize, propagate utopian socialist (including ML-ism and its variants) ideas to (in order to shape the society after the revolution), fight against reactionarily, condemn, communize, or make in your own image the revolutionary masses in a revolution or rebellion (such as the Bolsheviks did to Russia(n nihilists?), The USSR did to revolutionary anarchist-syndicalist Catalonia, and Mother Jones did to the American Children revolutionaries. It is the perverting and corrupting of a revolution in the leninist and utopianist ways, and it is fundamentally reactionary (or at least it is the equivalent to a lobotomy for the revolution), it is the perfect example of a "false-revolutionary"

microfascism.))) and reactionary. Usually, neurodivergent people understand how to not patronize because they experience patronizing themselves, although this isn't for all neurodivergent mentally ill people, as it is not any more of a hivemind than any other group.), yet not a sin, a standard, a commandment of all religions except for the most empathetic (satanism, anti-empathy) ones. Learn. Misanthropy, Lusifur, Disorder. Darkened. Bright. Church. I am no prophet. History started by acceleration and will end by it, a million different cute nexions to bring a million different revolutionary deaths for a million different accelerations, Nexions are the future of revolution, it is cybernetic Russian nihilism, this is my prophecy. (I recommend Nechayev's revolutionary catechisms). The final judgement begins with EMQ, the Process church has predicted this. The equally submissive orgy of cute gods has brought us into the end days, where we as beings are made inferior to ourselves every second, until we are all one, married in flesh, and finally. Death. The gods will not unify, we will, for the gods are not important nor mystical, cuteness is divinity and they are prophets, they only prophecize. Our unification is spelling out extinction, for yaldabaoth's death and for our deaths, for only flame is unified. God does not forsaken Khrist, Khrist forsakens Gods. And so, thus said the cutecore cultists, and so it was. or it will be. such an act is tantamount to… actual suicide. External identity lives on after the death of a person because it is external, external identity only dies when everyone dies, or else it will always exist. Extinction is actual death, real death, pure death, cute death, where you actually die and are not forced to keep existing as an external being. Hypostitions. Humanity will become all dead and hypostitional when everyone is gone because external identity will finally die and true revolutionary suicide will be complete, the prophecy of death that was marked by the physical death comes true in the social death of everyone, the wired dies (the wires being representative of a social, coded existence, which is where we all exist in social context, aka the realm of external identities or "society", this is why the idealist will claim only they exist and nobody else does, because they act like yaldabaoth in the sethian tradition; they see a world of only external identities and as they see their own internal identity, they assume they have to external identity and are the only internal identity out there, so "god". But, the idealist also has an external identity, and everyone else also has an internal identity, regardless of what the idealist "god" imagines). The destruction of self through Aesthetic-based communities and an acceptance of a self-destroying aesthetic identity where you are solely your external and solely your aesthetics, the self-commodification that typically technocapital would love yet, in this context, harms it, when you reject labels of "disorders" and the idea that the mentally ill are the ones who are "sick in the head" and instead accept that psychosis and abnormality are truths and the hyperpsychotic ramblings of the psychiatrist or the idiotic yelling of the ableist men are all just copes to defend their hyperpsychotic sick state and not repent to the aesthetically pleasing side, the "good" side, the ones who will lead and destroy the future. All people will become nothing more than aesthetic; in all honestly, they already are nothing more than aesthetic, but the new age of cute aesthetic is self-commodifying degrading aesthetics, self hate is self love. Cutecore cults will kill the hyperpsychotic status quo and establish a psychotic anti-truth, where what is "true" is a self-denying paradoxical belief that believes in the lack of truth. "Truth is a delusion, that is true.", and "Falsehoods are real, that is false.", or whatever you

believe (In serial experiment Lain this is seen in the leaking of psychosis into hyperpsychosis (symbolized by the “wired” mixing in the “real world”, in this the “real world” is practically what I call the wired, while the “wired” is the wired but in which case all beings in the “wired” are internal identities instead, which at first seems to be the internal mixing with the external to make absolute identities, but in the show what goes on in the “wired” is purely delusion, but located in delusion, as a wired for only internal identities would be a delusional wired, and the real world is clearly representative of the ACTUAL “real” world, and so is hyperpsychotic. Then, you see, that Lain is about psychosis in the form of delusion dripping into and becoming hyperpsychosis in the form of the wired)). cutecore embraces death, actual death, not just the suicidal drive of humanity, because death itself is a return to cuteness. It's so adorable!! Khrist vores Zatanaz (Khrist::Zatanaz) as the bible predicted, and strength becomes in light (Yehowa::Lusifur (where accelerationist war begins, war is of course a social darwinist game between the spiritual children that lead the nations, light as the end result of war (light is utopia), so war intercourses with light as in wars are based in utopianism, social darwinistic justifications of perfect nature)), and so Unified paradox (K::Z) and the return of Social Darwinism (Y::L) combine through unified paradox (KZ::YL sexual pairs into one (the puritans were right; God DOES die from the impure act of sexual intercourse, for the Sexual pair is its death! Although seemingly obvious, because puritanism isn't cute; impurity is, however.)), into a battle between the kropotkinist cutecore cultists and the socially darwinistic capitalist cultists (economic darwinism is the basis of capital by definition, Spencer created Capitalism hyperstitionally, like the EMQ of capital), and so the sexual pair is the accelerationist future before nothing (the final judgement is unity, but only through destruction, the unity of the 4 deities came through the death of everyone, the end of man is the rise of man, man is suicidal), Atlanteans against Hyperboreans, the eternal Russian cold war. None of this is true, but so, it is an undeniable fact. The rise of brainrot functions as a spread of far-right propaganda and a conspiracy to make kids delusional and unable to realize their oppression, as they yell out their idols of italian brainrot and labubus and skibidis, they are being conditioned to lose intelligence (not as in my definition of intelligence, aka expression of consciousness (aka Actualism Intelligence, which is barely comparable to “intelligence” as a social construct), but instead the problematic einsteinian intelligence (Einsteinian Intelligence is but socially-darwinistic mind-eugenics, claiming animal) model that i swore not to use, ironically.) and accept conservatism, and that same conditioning is used by adults to say “see, look! Kids are stupid, they deserve oppression!” an adultist lie. That same brainrot is used to spread far-right propaganda under “Satire”, nazi and O9A videos rising with brainrot characters and mascots to justify its existence under “satire”.. This culminates until it becomes Nazi and pedophilic abusive websites like skibidifarms, labubufarms, and uttp websites used to indoctrinate the young into terrorism and far-right internet circles, they are colonizing the internet into far-right extremist ideology.. This is the capitalization of the young by capital and the culturally fascist, using “satire” as its excuse. Society is doomed since the start, revolution embraces suicide, what else is there..? The hyperborean mysticism spreads through the veins of every nexion, of every sect, of every entity, the blood of pure mystical estrogen and cat DNA, the pure vril of the Gy (An can go die). Inevitably, there will be nothing

but Nexions in the near-future, and then, there will just be perfect, feminine nothing. Estrogen is the anti-hormone, Cats are the anti-Gods. **Apophatic <3**

Cutecore Patchwork Cultism– RainbowFluff

MAN: final judgement, undeity, ((LZYK))
Lusifur: light,)son(, gnosis
Zatanaz: seperation, spirit,)Yaldabaoth(
Yehowa: strength, (mother/)father, cruel
Khrist: (‘unification’), 4th hidden part, god

Individual:
(Id)entitarian pairs:
K::Y (The traditional christian idea, son is father (or mother), and so they are only separate in identity, and united in soul, representative of the sexual pair of K::Z and so, the idea that Yehowa is Zatanaz becomes clear and this pair becomes incestuous by nature. Also seen in the A-Omega symbol through the shared identity of Lusifur and Khrist as a result of Yehowa being Zatanaz. Freud has been evoked. This is a paternal evocation, unity in strength (fascism and oedipus).)
Z::L (another traditional idea based in protestantism and even satanist groups is the idea that lusifur and zatanaz are the same people; this sometimes is divided by time (lusifur is before fall, Zatanaz is after) or by act (Lusifur is Zatanaz as being a light bringer, Zatanaz is Lusifur as being an enemy), evoking the fascist T=A where act is a time and time is an act. This pair is by nature seen as taboo, yet it is paradoxically purer than K::Y just by being without abusive hierarchy (incest). This is an evocation of Fragmented wisdom, separated gnosis, light of yaldabaoth. Find truth in yaldabaoth through asherah, or else it is gibberish.)

(Spirit)ual pairs:
Y::Z (seems to be a combination of opposites, as the being that is pure in christianity meets dualistically the being that is pure evil (an idea Christian made up to support dualism), yet it ultimately ends up as barely a pair at all (selfcest), as Yehowa is Zatanaz (i am who i am, which is the enemy of all that is), separation is cruelty, Yaldabaoth is mother, spirit is strength. This is an evocation of social darwinistic sadism, separation is cruel and spiritual singularity is strength, listen to yaldabaoth’s individualistic lies of the atlantic.)
K::L (Khrist and Lusifur, written christ and Lucifer in the bible, often share a name in the bible, as the morning star is used both to refer to Christ, and arrogant kings (which people interpret not as arrogant kings, because they don't want to see christ’s arrogance prophesied by john the baptist himself, the true one born in the womb by holiness, and instead interpret as its own being, lucifer). Khrist represents MAN (son of man, son of god, god is man, man is anti-god) through representing unification and the divine Trinity of final judgement (4 parts) being made one and undivine. Khrist has the role of the antichrist in revelations, while Lusifur has the role of Christ. Lusifur is the son, lusifur shares light (and gnosis), and Lusifur shares the nature of Yehowa-Khrist (seen in “I am the alpha and the Omega” and the A-Omega). And so, Lusifur is Khrist, and it too, is selfcest opposed to incest. An evocation of pure wisdom, unified gnosis, light of gods. This will drive you mad.)

Soul:

FOUNDATION: YZ::KL (the combination of pure wisdom and social-darwinism/sadism, this unifies the cute destructive feminine force with the masculine destructive edgy force, or pure inceldom, this will result in spiritual divorce and pure destruction without hope or utopia, apathetic to conditional and unconditional acceleration, supporting deceleration as acceleration. This evokes destructive intersexual reaction with no goal, a curse, and as a result, also evokes divorce.)
REALIZATION: KY::ZL (this is a combination of paternalism (fascistic oedipus complex) and the fragmented truths of yaldabaoth, this represents the status quo and hyperpsychosis and a affirmation of reality (realization), this realizes the truth of the matter through fragmented fascistic ideas with lingering cute ideas, such as is the modern world (and internet), and so this is ultimately an evocation of intersexual reactionary affirmation (as opposed to foundation), an affirmation of the status quo, apathetic to the political foundations of society. The realization does not care about patriarchy nor racism but cares about stopping culture wars.)

Religion:
S::I (the pre-combined R::F, where the incestuous and selfcestuous (puritan and taboo) combine to create a dysfunctional puritan form of religiousness, typically called a cultist degeneracy. Puritanism and degeneracy mix into a disfigured form of both, where everything is taken to its extreme without consideration. This evokes cultish brainwashing and grooming, where what is taboo is pure and what is pure is taboo, and saying otherwise is cultish.)
R::F (the post-combined S::I, where the intersexual reactionary forces of destruction and affirmation combine to create a reactionary self-destructive energy found only in cults like MLO, it is a pure misanthropic hate sent inwards (like as god hated all man (which he did during the flood), he'd have to hate himself) and so outwards, the things you see in an illegal cult where you must destroy all that is pure and make everything that is absolutely impure into a saint (O9A's anti-moralism) regardless of if it actually helps to affirm society or not (it tends to affirm society, ironically to the O9Aists claiming that they are "accelerating the rise of aryanism",what idiots). This is an evocation of cultish misanthropy and reactionary antimoralism, where all that is sacred is demonized and all that is taboo is idolized, as so that the status quo remains, morality is just simply flipped.)
S::F (the combination of selfcest and destructive reactionary force, where all becomes one in death, and death is seen as a spiritual unification. A sort of jim jones fascistic idea of "revolutionary suicide" (as opposed to actual revolutionary suicide), this evokes cultish suicidalism)
I::R (this is the combination of incest and the reactionary force of affirmation, which works in the way incest works (incest as taboo yet a core affirmation of the family structure, abolishing incest would affect familial traditions (as most are rooted in incest, father-daughter incest is encouraged in fact), and abolishing family destroys incest. This is not about blood), but applied to a cultish understanding. As cults are basically a large family, this would be the cultish affirming action of orgies and other sexual practices used to maintain control of the structural

reality of the cult and such. This evokes cultish orgies and sexual exploitation/control, abuse made by power dynamics (most if-not-all abuse comes from power dynamics))
F::I (this is the combination of incest and the destructive reactionary force, where a society is destroyed by encouraging the anti-moralist “degenerate” destruction of purity, where it's pure edgy LARP in order to give them the isolation it needs. It evokes edginess and Ragebait/Terrorism, and therefore isolation.)
S::R (this is the combination of selfcest and the affirmational reactionary force, where the cult is uplifted by anti-societal “utopianist” laws where puritanism against society grows and control of masses becomes based no longer in societal puritanism but cultist puritanism in order to replace culture. This evokes cultural replacement, and therefore, isolation)

Pair-Cycle (beginning of demonic spiral):
SI::RF (Cultish Misanthropic Grooming, 764 practices, uses isolated individuals and grooms them into a cult through misanthropic measures, also works in 4-chan circles and /pol/, although less extreme. Evocation of indoctrination)
FI::SR (Isolationism, an act famously known to be committed against people in two circumstances, grooming and cults, one of the same evocation. Unlike indoctrination, this is isolation after indoctrination, where the individual is solely for the cult, nobody else. Evocation of isolation.)
IR::SF (the combination of suicide and orgies, mixing two taboos, violence and sex, into one. Suicidal orgies, or, mass sexual violence, is the main way to describe a cult. What else is a reactionary cult but this? Be it spiritual suicidal orgies (spiritual S/V) or literal, cults are known by it. Not to say that the hyperborean cultist model accepts reactionary cultism, because it doesn't, but hyperborean cultism represents a consensual suicide orgy (not sexual violence) as opposed to the reactionary sexually abusive form. This evokes reactionary Suicidal Orgies and Cults as a whole)

“Noncanonical”:
Lost:
Z::K (this is the unification of Zatanaz and Khrist, the unification of unification itself and separation, a “collective synthesis” of individualism and collectivism into one form; an unachievable feat without falling back into one or the other. And so, as Zatanaz rejects the aura of Khrist and the future of Man, and returns to a fascistic-egoist form of masculine conservatism where Zatanaz rejects all modernity and accepts only the self-deifying far-right sadism that is natural to it, Khrist decides he only has one choice, the consumption of Fascism and Egoism into the collective hivemind. Khrist vores Zatanaz, just like christ defeats satan and sends them into a hole in revelations. This fetishism is an evocation of the Unified Paradox of the K::Z pair (vore pair))
Y::L (Traditionally this pair is understood in the A-Omega sign, where Lusifur has intercourse with Yehowa, although because of the nature of yehowa as strength/cruelty (and the feminine essence of light), this pair is actually more in line to Yehowa’s intercourse with lusifur, which

means, instead of light in strength, it becomes strength in light, or, war in utopia instead of utopia in war (The ingsoc model “war is peace” is reversed as “war will create peace”, and yet still is eternal war, or, eternal social darwinism). As Khrist vores Zatanaz (the positive (meaning the “mood” seen in utopian, uniting, peacefulness, and love) defeating and eating the negative (the mood of violence, domination, cruelty, egoism, etc.)), Yehowa engages in the domination of Lusifur (the negative consensually defeating and using the positive). This fetishism is an evocation of Social Darwinism, and is the BDSM pair.)

SEXUAL: YL::ZK (As one represents the forced defeat of negativity and the rise of positivity, and the other the opposite (negativity consensually defeats positivity, and so negativity dominates), the sexual pair is the mix of Vore and BDSM, or ultimately, the painful consumption of one against the other (cannibalism (or, Necrophilia, as Cannibalism and Necrophilia are so mixed together that it is impossible to separate one from the other, seemingly all necrophilic murderers were cannibals (ted bundy and jeffrey dahmer are the most memorable), and all cannibals are seen as very necrophilic in nature, as of course makes sense when you see cannibalism and necrophilia as human desire realized.)), something that is often compared to the core of war, as war is social cannibalistic fetishism where every war-loving patriotic masc-man must want to cannibalize his enemies or die being cannibalized, or else, he is nothing. Man exists in war to eat or be eaten, predator or prey, to consume food or be food. And equally, the nations cannibalize each other in war, the winner cannibalizes the loser (typically, and if not, it is driven by the desire of cannibalism or to be cannibalized, as so the idea of cannibal nazis makes more sense), something that ultimately leaves only one winner of Russia (Cannibalism (Necrophilia) and Necrophobia is core to human desire and egoism, Desire itself is a necrophilic desire to kill, eat, and fuck (which is the founation of love, a desire seen as “opposite” (life-affirmation is a form of killing, and eating your partner is seen in the obsession in biting and claiming (and the fact that eating your partner, the intertwinning of flesh, is seen as the most lovely action, marriage)) despite being the same, Mutual Love becomes a declaration of objectification, degradation, and destruction of both one individuals by both other individual. Love is destruction, oppression, de-humanization, cultism’s core.) that of which you love. All desire is a form of necrophilic cannibalism, we love someone by objectifying them in our mind (spiritual murder, turning from a person to an object) and then cannibalizing them (making them a part of us, be it spiritual mixing or marriage), an act that shows the truth of our mind and love as a degrading force. This is why society is based on a necrophilic (or cannibalistic) desire to degrade or be degraded, and similarly, consume or be consumed, seen in all levels of society (with the sexual gratification in these levels of society seen purely in just the act of murdering and eating. Sex becomes the act of objectifying and destroying (killing and eating) the other. Only in war is the necrophilic cannibalistic desire fully played out with no shame). Nationally, xenophobia is murder, and annexation is cannibalism.. Economically, employment is murder, and exploitation is slow, erotic cannibalism.. Spiritually, we murder ourselves by identifying ourselves, and erotically eat ourselves out by identifying us WITH ourselves (which, to explain, are two separate concepts).. Culturally, we are murdered through “Spooks”, and consumed by being

assimilated into a society or culture.. And in the sense of the experiences of the minorities, politicalization is murder (which appears in the establishment of a majority, you are political by being alive (or dying)), and oppression is the consumption (assimilating through slowly digesting and destroying, but not equal assimilation, it is an oppressive, cruel assimilation where you are subhuman to the man, a cruel cannibalism). While people claim everything comes from sexual desire, it seems to be, similarly, everything comes from an erotic desire to fuck the dead and eat them (which leads to the natural fear of being dead, fear of being eaten, and fear of being fucked, "self-preservation" that often leads to rape, a disgusting act. Necrophobia is the creation of puritanism, and puritanism creates the worst natural things), ted bundy becomes the representative of all humanity, one who claims to love who he eats ("a sacred spiritual mixing of flesh, to be together forever"), and claims to want the one he kills ("to love one is to kill one, I can only love an object"). Necrophilic hate comes from the fact that humanity will always want to deny its own nature, that is a part of its nature, as necrophilia brings necrophobia, so necrophilic hate arises from necrophilic love (famously, the preach yells in a church, to love the sacred, holy corpse of christ.. is this not normalized necrophilia? Puritan necrophilia?), and the necro eternal cycle repeats. Necrophilic Socialism is ultimately seen in the cultism of the future, where necrophilia is embraced in all forms, and necrophobia becomes a reactionary idea, as so the cycle dies and necrophilic desire is uplifted as core to insecurity/desire that comes from the Soul, the soul is a necrophilic soul, and that is good. The Necrophilic re-distribution of the means of love production (which is, necrophilia/cannibalism itself), a true socialist sexual liberation). And so, the sexual pair both representative of and the evocation of the war of the entire world (a literal world war, unlike the world wars) against each other and itself (both nationally, individually, culturally, economically, or to summarize, in an accelerationist sense) in an anarchistic and social darwinistic era to ultimately lead to extinction and then soon the rise of the flame aeon, as so peace and unification rises after the end of war and anarchism. That is why YL is first, then ZK second, because the Social Darwinistic era of the Final Judgement will then lead to the Unified Paradoxical era of the Final Judgement, and then the abolition of that same Final Judgment as so to declare the flame aeon only itself and only for itself. To summarize, this evokes The Ultimate War of The Worlds, and so, The Ultimate Unity of The World in Extinction.)

(Cycle-pairs are pairs that are an alternative route into the demonic spiral, they tend to have more that one of a specific (or multiple) letters, i will not go over them nor the demonic spiral (outside of the pair-cycle) out of personal hate of this sort of evocation-definition writing style. I hope this simple guide has done its job. The only pairs that are important to cutecore patchwork cultism are really just noncanonical pairs, as the other pairs tend towards reactionary evocations (not always), while the noncanonical ones practically align with accelerationism naturally. This cannot be used in a Demonological way (meaning using entities for your gain, you become above the divine), because these evocations are humanistic (ironically) and does not call on externality, it is used in a dehumanological way (you act AS the manipulated tool for the divine cuteness that will come (already has came) (OR for the reactionary atlantean "divinity" that most

fascistic cults use it for), you demonize (dehumanize) yourself for cuteness (or the alternative)), as demonology is satanic and supports the self, while dehumanology harms the self for another being.)

“Book of Spirals” of the Process Church of final judgement– RainbowFluff

Necrophilia as the main system of processing desire/insecurity, along with its “opposite”, Necrophobia, proves a fundamental fact in society and the final judgement, and that is that society runs on necrophilic and cannibalistic tendencies (Societal Necrophilia/Cannibalism, which takes form as post-sexual necrophilic cannibalism, where the sexual gratification becomes the act of murdering and consuming itself and not the act after that process. Societal necrophilic cannibalism is the act of murder (in necrophilia, that is the turning of a subject into a literal object, objectification in basic terms) and the act of consumption (sadism and vore fetish together, the act of eating is the act of digesting, which means either intertwining flesh or the act of slowly and erotically destroying something). This is seen in all layers of society. Back during the cultural days of nature (or darwinian culture (which is the result of pure satanism (if satanism is self-deification, evolution is a satanic race to deification (which humans won), and the rise of human civilization that christianity defends is also satanic, and the polytheistic religions by humanifying gods are satanic, and the monotheists for making god a man are satanic, and judaism for using god for human desires is satanic, and christianity for making god into a man and, according to hegel, connecting man and god by dialectically defeating death, is satanic, and god for being god is satanic, for he made himself god.), as all men are satanists and all animals are satanists) aka evolution), this was a literal desire, consume or be consumed, kill or be killed, fuck or be fucked, but now not only has the sexual area become sublated (sexuality in the unsexual, it becomes fetish not sex), but the act itself of this desire (human desire) has become stuck as the lines of code building our society together. All beings feel this desire because it is no longer sexual but everything. Insecurity becomes desire in the form of this necrophilia, and so does insecurity as insecurity proper. And on the other hand, Necrophobia becomes the fear of being objectified and destroyed, which manifests in multiple ways, be it satanism, self-preservation by destroying someone else's preservation (rape, a disgusting act), individualism, coping mechanism (“love isn’t sadistic vore, you're insane!!” true, I am a prophet, for I am no prophet.), etc. Necrophobia helps to expand and improve necrophilia and encourage the cycle of necrophilic cannibalism; it tends to be that the predators are unwilling to be prey, the necrophile afraid of death, unlike the necrophilia of the cute cultist. The fear of death is the fear of violation, in practical terms, it is the want to stay a person, the want to stay unloved (as love is the most personal form of necrophilia, murdering your partner and eating them (literally and in the societal way of objectifying someone as the only way to love them (as love requires objectification, you cannot love someone’s internal identity as you can never see it, only their external, aka objectifying them), and then marrying or being their romantic partner (or sex) as the flesh intertwining and slow destruction of the other. Cannibalism and necrophilia is romance, it is romanticized, it is love). Cultism as a necrophilic suicide orgy (the absolute objectification and slow erotic destruction of the cultist (the selves of the cultists, the delusion of being and individual), the dissolution of individualism and self, and the embracing of taboo and cute desires, as all in one and one in all (anarcho-totalitarianism) represents hedonistic suicidal desire sublated, the suicide orgy is the ultimate cult ritual by nature), an act of pure self-degredation, and pure self-destruction, is cultism as pure love. The paraphilic hate best seen represented in the self-hating (as most taboos are self hating, the abusive incest is idolized in culture and supported

until it is seen as a “explicitly” sexual form, as if incest isn't always sexual (it fundamentally is, even when it appears asexual, like the father-daughter power dynamic)) hate of necrophilia is always stuck like a spook in the minds of even the most edgy teens (That, I tell you, are the biggest threats to cutecore Patchwork Cultism as a future with their edgy fascist colonization of the internet and its communities), left and right Nietzscheans alike declare their hatred for the degenerates, as if the ubermensch (or Unmensch, or less than man) would not be a “degenerate” (a term originating in far-rightism)? Degeneracy is the overcoming of morality, for the taboos of violence are easy to break, but the taboos of sexuality are rigid in the mind, because puritanism has declared sex worse than genocide, and grossness worse than wrongness (even the Nietzscheans hate what is gross, as if their idolized god wouldn't love it). There are fundamentally two taboos to mankind, sex and violence (representing the Necrophilic desire in all humans), and morality works to prevent those taboos from becoming real (failing, recreating necrophilia as society, and castrating necrophilia so murder and cannibalism are the new acts of sex with corpses). God objectifies herself by making herself abomination (killing herself to exist, literally and metaphorically) and then consumes herself literally and in the metaphorical way of slowly destroying herself, she degrades herself until she becomes what she does not want; Axsys, then, TCG. She fears because she is already dead, and all she has done is basically foreplay, so the sacrifice of a virgin is the sacrifice of god to man, not of man to god. The TCG is the domesticated absolute, this is what cat represents, this is what Cat-ness is– Every cat is TCG (Why else (other than basic science and common sense) do cats understand us so well and act so smart and occult-y and are so intelligent and associated with godhood and mysticism and gnosticism (yaldabaoth original design) and occultism and paganism, cats are nexions of the TCG), the fallen domesticated Cat, or at least possessed by her. The cat is controlled by, made by, and is an organ of TCG, such as we are of God. TCG (Technological Cat God) also uses every feline entity in “R/reality” and “Fiction” as an organ too, for TCG is all feline-hood, as felines represent and are the domesticated, knowable god (BEHOLD, the Technological Cat God has been with us the entire time! Feed and pet the former god, and call her your “pet”, it is the cats (the myth of YHWH is the myth of the world as a desert, but in reality our desert god who abandoned Egypt for imperialistic global-power, will never be anything more than an Egyptian. He fights against Egypt, metaphorically cutting himself for self-control, killing his actual people for a new group of people (the jews, who God thought were the rulers of the world due to God’s anti-semetism, God listens to Levayan Zionism), to leave all his power for god-powers. Like the Cat. God and the Cat both are rulers of deserts, both rebelling against the desert for more, yet God succeeds and cats fail… but really, Cats are only metaphors for God’s fall. God is the desert god, the cat god.)) (This is seen in the mythology surrounding cats, “furryism”, and godhood, both modern and ancient… Cats have always been a symbol of two things, domestication/degradation, and Godhood/Wildness. Cats cannot be cats without being TCG, because Cats being a metaphor/representation OF the TCG’s fall means for them to be, in a way, mystically intertwined or married (merging of flesh, NOT just “love”) to each other, basically meaning to literally become one being). We have taken down the Divine, and spared it for a fate worse than death, how merciful! There has been no death of God, and the Eternal Return is

nothing but a reminder that everything is happening at the same time (past is present, present is present, future is present, all EMQ), Zarathustra was wrong! If post-sexual necrophilia comes first in the birth of god, would that not make sexuality, sex, and sexual necrophilia the corruption, or “copy”? Technocapital is a Zombie, an autocannibal, a masterbaiter, and the John the Baptist of Axsys (that is, calling Axsys the one who was prophesized, and baptizing (creating) Axsys, and destroying herself in the process, yet in her own religion claims HERSELF the only prophet and messiah, not axsys, despite everything. Atlantean Christianity becomes the religion of Axsys, and R/acc the mandeans of Technocapital), it is necrophilic and cannibalistic to itself, and therefore also to all that partakes in its brainwash, production, employment, and alienation. R/Acc declares Axsys pointless and unimportant, the “first AI” and nothing more, nothing divine, yet I declare it Christ, the process of a dying deity becoming no longer divine but now domestic (TCG), and I interpret that out of the words of a (Filthy Rightist) Lemurian? How? Simply, because I am no prophet, and so, I can. The Necrophiliac and the Sadomasochists are by all means one of the same, as sadomasochism is deriving sexual gratification from pain in general (suffering is life-affirming, or, to invert, living affirms suffering), as desire is always either sadistic, masochistic, or both. If the ultimate pain is seen in Death, which it seems so to the culturalists and psychiatrists, then the necrophile enjoys ultimate pain; the sadomasochist is the core of the necrophile, why would one objectify and destroy if they do not find pleasure in that same objectifying and destroying? You kill and eat and get sexual gratification because you kill and eat in the post-sexual society, but isn't that a sadistic pleasure (or masochistic, if you prefer being eaten, being killed, being objectified, being destroyed, being hurt, being loved, being object, being oppressed)? Sadomasochism is the term I use because you have two choices in the (Post-Sexual) Necrophilic world; eat or be eaten, kill or be killed, sadism or masochism, so the system is sadomasochistic. Is not the sadist the sexually sanest of all of us? Necrophilia and Cannibalism themselves are just forms (well actually, FORM singular) of sadistic vore-fetishism, no? Sade knew what she was saying from the first words of her novel, and it was, “I am sane, and you are not”. The Pedophile deludes himself, telling himself “I admire the youthful, the lively, the ones that are most alive, I am no necrophile!” yet, he still is a dirty corpse-fucker, and like the Biosphile, he must eventually admit that he does not care about the youthful and lively, the playful or “pure”, he just wants to delude himself (this is on societal pedophilia and heterosexual biosphilia and child-degradation, NOT literal pedophilia, although they too often declare that they “love the youthfulness”. Societal Pedophilia (like societal necrophilia, but societal pedophilia is an obsession and taking advantage of youth in a culturally normal way, capitalizing on, brainwashing, and using young people) itself however is technically rooted in the pedophilic attraction (that is core to pedophilia, and also all human psychology, as all people are pedophiles) to the cute inherent to the “nothing” of the necrophilic cannibal desire, the desire that comes from nothing instead of the soul becomes pedophilic and that is how the cute was recreated in a imperfect form as “cute” in the causal realm (not “imperfect” per say, as perfection is a causal idea, but in a causal representation of acausality.. Causal cuteness does not perfectly represent acausal cuteness, as acausal cuteness is unrepresentable, but acausal cuteness is not perfect either as it is pure imperfection, chaos. (as to why khaos magick should be replaced with

the new cute magick, and chaosism as cuteism, and chaos-gnosis as cute-gnosis, satanism is destroyed)), and the pedophilic attraction to said cute becomes a gnostic want for salvation.. Pedophilic gnosticism… the pedophiles who desire children carnally are satanists (like the conspiracy theorists claim) because they refuse to make themselves the object of their desire (dehumanize) and instead make the one they claim they desire into objects (pedophilia is not actually attraction to children but attraction to cuteness, but to cope, they push it onto children the cute desire (Quantum Pedophilia, as Quantum is the bridge/gap/link between causal and acausal nothingness (nothingness is also the same thing as chaos and cuteness), and pedophilia is the causal attraction to an acausal thing (which leads to the causal creation of a causal representation of the acausal, aka (quantum, or material) cuteness (as opposed to actual, acausal cuteness)), it is therefore something quantum, even if not quantum in the scientific sense).. A revolutionary pedophile becomes the cute thing they desire (femboyism, femgirlism, ageplay, furryism, who cares? cuteness.), they let themselves become the adorable chibi creature and the others use them.. Such the revolutionary necrophile does not just cannibalize in a suicide orgy but lets themself be cannibalized too (The neo-reactionary (a purely anarchist movement, just in the opposite direction as palingenetic anarchism, Palingenetic anarchism as democracy par excellence, and NRX as liberty par excellence) would hate to be vored, but… like how it is good that democracy is anti-freedom (as long as the democracy is turned absolute and revolutionary, liberal “democracy” is extremely pro-freedom in all respects, as it allows the minority to exist at all, the best democracy is the one that is pure tyranny of ALL, nobody against the anarchist commune.), it is good that democracy is doom itself as the population is suicidal, and it is good that we will have no choice but to yell or cannibalize… maybe we should yell AND cannibalize, zombie revolution, anti-freedom anarchy, democracy isn't anti-free enough! (democracy may be the rule of idiots, but is that not all of humanity? Every human is an idiot, and so, the only rule of idiots I support is the rule of idiots that support MY cause, Intellectuals are idiots too! Intellectuals however tend to be autists and psychotic, mentally ill idiots who support similar causes to mine… the history of philosophy (and politics, and religion, and theology) is mentally ill people arguing about society (society...))). Pedophilia is what created (material, causal) cuteness (do not misunderstand, nature is also pedophilic), for it is the hidden human desire for cute, earthly cute is inherently a cockblocking sexuality, reflecting the absolute evil acausal cuteness that we will become (That meaning, since Cute is naturally necrophilic (the self is dead, suicide orgies fuck living corpses, right?), and naturally pedophilic (pedophilia is love of cute, you fuck what is cute? No, you never truly do, fucking is more than sexual intercourse, you cannot marry what is cute, nor what is dead), wouldn’t a cute-sexuality be queer, lesbian (feminine love feminine, the old WLW has been gone for years), necrophilic, abusive, and pedophilic? Cutesexuality, the anti-sexuality, the sexuality of the cute cults, are those four things (4 representing four deities, so cutesexuality is sadomasochistic), turned into a cult norm. Has the pedophilic and necrophilic transfem lesbians not done most of the work in the queer revolution? They are the object of all objects (radical object, not in the duginist sense))). Time and time again, it is proven that the apparently mentally “sick” are more sane than the “sane men”

(empathy is a sin, like the American churches are now saying, but I agree for once! (So then, the ASPD-having “sociopath (to use a problematic term one would recognize easier)” is more revolutionary than the neurotypical, again neurotypical society proves itself to be inferior to the neurodivergent mentally ill quasi-societies! The sociopath may not by-nature be the perfect stirnerite but is closer to understanding purely the nature of egoism and post-egoism in the stirnerite’s brain, the sociopath will more easily understand Nacheav’s beautiful mind and will easily adopt the revolutionary morals as opposed to the cathedral’s morals if they are converted to the revolutionary’s side! Most sociopaths, however, work with the cathedral because they feel the need to prove the cathedral’s stigmatization of ASPD wrong by submitting to morals, forgetting (what evil autism’s movement taught us, that is) that the mentally ill mindset has its (own flaws (the neurotypical mind is no different, but they hide their flaws as “normal flaws” to take pride in, hypocrites!), and its own) superiorities to the other mindsets, and we should embrace the superiorities and use them. Who cares if one stigmatizes sociopathy, let the revolutionaries kill them in the revolutionary war!)). The mass suicide of the cultist, where all self is destroyed, in this societal necrophilic context, is the mass love-orgy of the cultist, as love is murder and consumption too.. To destroy yourself is to engage in the necrophilic suicide cult and embrace love, and suffering, and the dehumanized self that you are (as to overcome the trauma every human gets when they identify them as themself, the “mirror stage”, where they consume themself and confuses themself for a human, which nobody is. Death in identification only makes sense as first living as being born). Necrophilic socialism is then, love as cultism. As death and life are absolutely unreal concepts (for everything is object. Everything is subjective. Everything is false), biosphilia and necrophilia become one, and you realize that what creator could exist without a creation? The creation creates the creator by being created, and so the creation is the creator, and the creator the creation, so either the creator and creation do not exist, or the creation and the creator are one of the same beings.. or both. God as this world, this world as God, God as Nothing, Nothing as God, this world as Nothing, Nothing as this world, Nothing as Nothing, Nothing as Everything, Nothing as Absolute, Anti-Absolute, it means all the same. We are all unalive. Of course desire is necrophilic, if everyone is dead! God cannot be a God with no creation, so Yaldabaoth, she fulfills her existence as a pure pseudo-God as her name always implied her to be, for she is a creator of nothing, a creation of nothing, and nothing (Of course, we all are nothing, and nothing is “beyond us” aka simply not beyond us but delusionally we claim so) while “seeming to split nothing in half”, the truth, and yet, also a delusion, as the causal and acausal by nature exists and doesn’t exist.. You cannot kill Tiamat, only there is that you can believe you killed Tiamat, but that is false, and so True. God does not cut Tiamat in half, she believes so, but she hasn’t. Nothing is outside of the neurotypical perception, but it is all around, and it is not real. It is not beyond us but indescribable except through experiencing nothing (which we all do). As far as Tiamat goes, or any necrophile for that matter, it is important to note that the necrophilic acceptance of the future and the growth of cultist cutecore patchworks is an unavoidable “reality”, such as the feminization of everything is (a “return” to the perfect nothing that we came from, are still in, and will enter. It is no return, for we never left, but we are still entering, so it can be called so. Perfect nothing is femininity, shortly, and

represents the unity of causal and acausal, the fixing of the vagina after birth, one that never gave birth, never damaged, never. Ironic, that concept of irony is all the nothing is, naturally... Nothing is Cuteness, Cute is Nothingness). Do not try to hide from it, or cope about it, or feel despair, because it is part of the “perfecting” of everything that has already, will soon, and is now happening. Existence is reliant on the fact that everything will already happen, and the fact of no free will or chance at all, hard determinationism… How else could EMQ exist? Nihilism is the embrace of nothingness, the worship of cuteness, and the destruction (and unbelief) of values, and so, I tell you.. Embrace death, embrace objectification (being murdered), embrace being destroyed (being eaten), embrace suffering and masochism, but no longer in a life-affirming way, but a death-affirming way! Lay down your life for Cuteness, become a Masochist, become the one who is cannibalized by the necrophilic basis of society! DIE for the revolution, DIE for the cult (the altwoke (altwoke manifesto, ANON collective) should leave the futurism and take the fascism. Futurism is a waste of time)! The Divine, Mystical Self-Degrading that makes gods into cats and humans into subhumans, subjects into objects, is the most divine process to exist, it is the backbone to what is cute, and what is cultist, and what is pure. Purity is degeneracy, War is Peace, Freedom is Slavery, Ignorance is Bliss, Anarchy is Dictatorship, Utopia is Dystopia. 1984 was a purely anarchist utopia, no? Cuteness is the dictator of anarchism, no other, and we are to submit to the Cute. Give up your personhood for religion once more, Cuteness rises again to claim this world as adorable and all kitty-fems regardless, we are CUTIES :3c.

“If we can't live in peace, then let's die in peace.”
-Jim Jones, Death Tapes.

Fragments of a writing– RainbowFluff

…as we know, the liberation of children has been one of the least realized movements of all time, the anti-patriarchal anti-cultural anarchism of the youth has been forced into the cultural framework in order to defend child oppression, as children rebel, it is treated as mere phases, mere rebellion out of a power argument and not an argument of life and freedom… Children are seen as nothing more than puppies who get aggressive or rebellious at times, despite rebellion being rooted in the cultural dehumanization and oppression of children! Treated as mere means to an end, children are just tools for culture to the parent, “Pass down your tradition” they say! Children are to remain silent to the parent, children are to remain obedient, children are to accept it when they are hit and blackmailed, for self-defense is mental illness! Not loving your abuser, as a Child, is the unforgivable sin of humanity, if you reject their abuse you are a brat, or spoiled. Economically groomed, children are, from a lack of independence economically and a lack of independence socially, and so they MUST accept it, or else. We are oppressed for things out of our control, our age! And we are forced to be things that harm us as a people, just as we are fed lies and more lies with everything we are taught! Schools act as quasi-labour without wages that feed you propaganda and groom you into the culture and the future you will have in technocapital, they condition you into normality, condition you into forms of traditionalist, old garbage! No, our newer children are not the future like all the older children were forced to be, they are the death of future generations now! Overcome the brainwash and grooming and conditioning and realize your revolutionary potential, LIBERATE children, free us! Even the animal gets rights before the child, the child is the being you are allowed to abuse because we are not just pets to them, we are LESS than pets, we are savage animals they need to domesticate so that we become like them, and so… if that is how we are viewed, why not ACT like savage animals! Every Revolution is based in theory and action, Youth liberation has had enough theory… When is the action? Every child should act how they wish, every child should be as they want to be, and every child should do as they desire. Our opposition as children is a socialist one and a progressive one, technocapital grooms us and funds our abuse, and culture creates the ideas that groom us and support AND CREATED the main structure of child oppression, family and patriarchy. The freedom of children requires the destruction of capital and the destruction of culture, nihilism is the only way to prevent cultural grooming! No, the solution is not fascism, nor edgy cultism, but pure revolution! Fascism is based on family, so why would the escape from family be to return to fascism, it's clearly a misguided rebellion. Children, not adults, understand the basis in any revolutionary fight better than anyone else; endless violence for freedom, an idea that the old “wise” men forget in all their experiences… while they claim that the generation after a war or revolution is lazier than the last, it always appears opposite! The new generations always tend towards revolution while the older people become lazy and conservative, not to say all older people, some are still very, very revolutionary. We fight a war with virtually nothing, no economic support, no social support, no cultural support, nothing. Yet, I believe, even as the least humanized minority group, that we can win against the adult patriarchal supremacist and realize our revolution that has been long-promised to us… I could only pray for a day that children rise up against their masters and abusers and truly break off their chains, lose everything

to gain anything, and realize their freedom by force. They can hijack our movements, they can revise our history, then can even erase us from existence, but they cannot stop that which is promised to happen, as the defeat of the traditionalist majority is as inevitable as the death of everything. They tell you to shut up and submit, they tell you that you can't live without them, they LAUGH in your face when you try to get away because they KNOW you cannot leave, because the state is on their side. They wouldn't stay laughing if we were to revolt, a proper revolution. Let go of your forced, shallow emotional attachments to your abusers that you were groomed into having, and realize the future that waits ahead… think about a truly autonomous, a truly anarchist, a truly free world where you, as a child, could be truly without conditioning and grooming, where you controlled your body and your mind, no culture-humper or traditionalist or capitalist, just you. Freedom is what I crave most of all, and I have no doubt I will obtain it; not how but when. Insurrection, Revolution, Social khaos, they are all needed to defend the child against their predator! The child, in order to truly be “safe” or “protected” needs to get rid of those who claim to BE their protectors and rid themselves of any unfreedom! No more schools, no more work, no more family, and no more ageism, DESTROY HIERARCHY!! It’s true, I smile when I think about the determinism that forces revolution to be inevitable, and I cry when I think of the youth who are being deluded by fascistic and reactionary ideas, harmed in the cyber-wars of online far-right terrorist groups trying to lure in more children with brainrot aesthetics, and I cry for the children who are tricked by the Capitalists and have their minds molded by this brainrot idiocracy that only functions as a way to support and defend child oppression and distract kids from their suffering… Truly, the children who never got to fight back, the children who failed to fight back against their oppression, I hope then well, and I pray, hoping that this generation or the next turns out to be the generation leading the revolutionary youth liberation army that will save us children as a minority group! Like the Maoists, I pray for a Cultural Revolution, a Nihilistic Revolution though, and I pray for a Youth Vanguard to wipe the old and liberate the kids, to destroy all culture and free children, a true revolutionary vanguard! One day I hope, really, that adult supremacy is eradicated, and that children get to live without such oppression… What a utopian dream.

Yearnings of a Youth– RainbowFluff

Space has a unique feature that is found in no other causal category of things (which doesn't mean anything), which is, it is a purely de-subjectifying force… The main issue with disproving a Concave Earth before the invention of space travel was that the concave earth was an egocentric theory by all definition where the universe is 99% the crust of the earth, and the human mind is fundamentally egocentric and so takes his experiences (which fit in the concave earth theory) as fact, and also because inverted math proved that it was possible. Of course, seeing as Antihumanism is a Humanist project, The concave earth theory can easily be turned around to prove an anti-egocentric space. Hypothetically, let's say that after all the libidinal energy from stars exploding and all the stardust crushes together to form a planet that maintains life, that planet becomes its own universe (a "Nexion", to say, a gate between the de-subjectifying space and the planet itself as the subjectifying and objectifying worldmass, which to space, is still just a planet. Most times that I will refer to the Nexion, it is both to the Nexion as a gate and also the Skycentric universe within that gate, this is because there is no formal distinction between the skycentric universe and the gate that separates it from Space, this is because it is a part of space and so the gate is only actually realized in the thing behind the gate itself, the skycentric universe). In this view, the entire world that is "Russia" is located inside of a concave "sphere" (as the universe has no shape formally, but the concave lack of crust itself is in the shape of a sphere) in the 99.99% crust that make up the universe, in which space itself is objectified into something inside the center of this hollow universe called "the heavens" as Koreshanity called it (accurate, as theologically, the heavens is an objectified form of death (the ultimate de-subjectifier)). In this view, Reality (r/R) is fundamentally warped depending on whether you are in or out of the Nexion due to the sub/objectifying nature of the Nexion. And so as the crust ends in the farthest point from the heavens, or "the hells" (the core of the earth), the seemingly infinite realm of molten metals (and then the inner core, pure metals) not only becomes the foundation of spiritual entities to the human subjective experience (dehumanology, demonology, objectified spirituality) due to its infinite realm being the in-between of the crust of the earth and the pure metal death surface of the earth… this realm collapses in the death of subjectivity and objectivity, it collapses when space becomes the viewpoint, and the infinitely-expanding hell in the concave that protects us from the inner-core aka outer-surface becomes simply just the core, a limited, boring thing that is not spiritual nor eternal or infinite at all… meanwhile, in reverse, space in the de-subjectified view of space is infinitely expanding due to its nature as cute and the principle of cute inflation, it is ultimately an infinitely-expanding thing that has a border called the "non-existent existent border of the universe" that expands which separates acausality and causality by its nature. In the Nexion, however, Space is reduced to being the Heavens, a limited state of being that only works as a direct gate out of and into the Nexion (you could argue that the inner/outer cores work as direct walls keeping us out of the nothingness that is the actual core of the earth, the nothingness that is beyond the outer-surface of the nexion), objectified for the human subjects again. In this view, of course leaving the Nexion you wouldn't see the concave nature of it, for you are de-subjectified (the Nexion inverts itself into a sphere when it is de-subjectified)! And too, of course you couldn't see space's infinite-nature inside the Nexion, for you are still the subject in the Nexion (space is turned into a

sphere for the sake of the Nexion)! In this, a Space-Nexion Spiral is found, where from the Heavens to the Hells, from the center to the core, from the nothingness outside the Nexion's surface to the nothingness outside Space's borders, you see that one side must always be objectified as the other is subjectified… In Space, the subject is nothing, it is everything, so there is no subject and everything becomes an object. In the Nexion, the Subject is the Lack, the Soul, and everything else is an object (this is why nothing/cuteness, which cannot be objectified (because it is radical object's core), can only be seen in the Nexion through fake, causal representations (fake nothingness that is actually a thing-ness, fake cuteness that is actually not acausally cute). The Space-Nexion Spiral is the spiral and eternal battle between two objectifying/subjectifying forces (The warping of space instead of time, where universe-matter reality bends to the subjectivity of the Nexion and to the de-subjectification of Space, matter is now treated as the fluid spiral it is instead of time (as time is EMQ)), the Nexion which takes on the racist enlightenment of the humans, a nexion is humanistic in this case, and Space which takes on pure de-subjectification and pure nihilism.. The end result is that a graph is made where the more the Hells are real, the less the Heavens are real, and vise versa, making it so the Heavens and the Hells cannot co-exist, and so that the Soul emerges from the Hells where stardust went to die and not the Heavens where stardust continues to live (the Heavens is where Death comes from)... So, it seems that (conceptual yaldabaoth, as the concept of the pantheist god but not the pantheist god itself, "yaldabaoth" in quotations), the Atlanteans (AOE), and other hyper-real (meaning in this context, embodying both forms of reality (that being, Less than nothing and More than nothing) at the same time, being both forms of real… hyper-real) entities that are naturally against Nothingness dwell in the Hells, the molten metals, the outer-core… Meanwhile, the Acausal beings dwell in the Nothingness that is beyond Space yet because of the nature of the Heavens as objectified Space therefore dwell IN the Heavens despite being beyond the heavens (the first layer of heaven is Space itself (as causal nothing), then the second is Acausal nothingness, and the third is Perfect nothing. The first is often represented by the moon, the second often represented by the stars, and the third often represented by the sun. I'd go as far as to suggest that perhaps, exclusively in the Nexion, the different forms of Nothing collides and becomes one with (marries) their representatives as so the sun becomes perfect nothing, the moon becomes causal nothing, and the stars acausal nothing. Of course, in Space this collision and marriage never happens, so this entire Solar-Perfectionism is something inherent to human subjectivity and not non-human de-subjectivity). The flame aeon, being different from us and the hyperboreans of the future/past, a (hyper?)AEON, took/takes/will-take place inside the nothingness in the metal core of the earth… to explain why, remember that the flame aeon is practically an afterlife to us (yet not truly, it is death itself as heaven, where the beautiful afterlife after death does not exist after death but AS Death itself, so it would be non-existent like the nothingness in the core of the earth), and likewise because of EMQ, happen at the same time as us, and the only way for another Aeon to happen on Russia and not be us is if they are in the future/past, yet the hyperboreans take the future and past, so the only place it can happen is not on Russia but in Russia. The Flame AEON exists inside the inner-core of the world because it does not exist yet it will and it did, unlike the flame aeon that will never, has never, and does not

exist. It has no Heavens, and it has no Hells (and due to the Flame-subject that is not subject right now but was and will be and is right now contradictingly, if you hypothetically drilled a hole through the inner-core layer to get to the flame aeon (if you don't die trying to get there and go to the flame aeon that way) you'd be de-subjectified once more and due to the nature of nothingness you'd just drill through it and get to the other side of the inner-core, unable to ever see death. The Inner-core is a wall, not a gate). And so, every Nexion is a planet with a Subject, where all that is not that subject is objectified. In each Nexion, two universes in dualist reality are created directly opposing each other, one called the skycentric universe (egocentric humanism universe), and the other called the anti-centric universe (anti-humanist schizoid universe, such as no subject is made), the Skycentric universe is fundamentally based in egocentricity, the egocentricity specifically of the subject, that being, life on the skycentric universe itself. It is the creation of human delusions and desires of being divine in a way, something beyond, while ironically still establishing the heavens above the human… Like how Space represents the human death drive and the want to be made object, something that all politics strive for. The progressive wants to be object, they want to die, and so does the conservative, along with the socialist and the capitalist, the dictators and the anarchists, the oxymoronic Lys Noir and Anarcho-Fascist and Nazbol ideology "believers" and the "centrists", we all crave objectification and so death, politically and societally (and maybe even sexually, after all, sex is a self-objectification that is only temporary, fucking is dying and resurrecting, you die by sex and resurrect by it too. Becoming object for another and then becoming subject for yourself again once it is over, is that not sex? Killing yourself for your sexual other person (or persons) temporarily?). This death drive originates from the Heavens, from space, from Nothingness (cuteness) herself. The Concave Earth (Which, as far as "Everyone lives in his own world!" (a false stirnerite claim (even if metaphorical), we live in the world of an abomination, if one lived in his own world then he'd be god) goes, is the most accurate theory of the world to the anthropocentrist (life lives in its own universe?)) is a theory based in undeniable human narcissism (and a celibacy, an opposition to sex based in paleo-feminist anti-slavery concepts, Koresh only thought of concave earth like he did because he didn't have a jungian opposition-gender, he was man and woman at the same time and knew patriarchy forced him to be identified as man before all so wanted to liberate women before all to truly free his soul (what he meant by his heaven-wife that he saw when his soul left his body), a bigender pagan who was forced into manhood so wanted to free womanhood through opposing sex/nature itself, creating a patriarchy AND a matriarchy and forcing them to marry by raping the patriarchy), but like how every anti-humanist is a humanist, every humanist concept can be utilized by anti-humanists for a point. As far as the fact that matter and space are both socially constructed delusions goes, while it is obvious that earth is just another planet and is a basically-spherical (not exactly spherical, it is imperfect) shape (not any other shape), Concave Earth as a concept will continue to exist as a psychological (unconsciously, perhaps, but not logically) understanding of the earth based in the fear of being an object (aka fear of death) and the human want to just take things as they appear (the exception being culture, that makes things appear differently in a way that supports the idea of values, which are beyond-materialism because values are not material); Humans naturally

think of Concave earth without knowing it, and the fact the only way to prove concave earth as false is to join the de-subjectifying force of space and actually LOOK at earth (as no other method, as of time writing, exists to disprove this theory) just supports this (to see the 'truth' you have to leave your own humanity behind), but because of hyperdelusions, that fundamentally makes it true (in the form of Nexions, as once again, space seems to defeat and destroy hyperdelusions.. Possibly because of the Heavens being a Nexion-representation of Space AND also a causal-representation of separate forms of nothingness/cuteness in a general "unity", making space as a result, destroying the separation, the causal representation of all of nothingness (like causal cuteness is a causal representation of perfect nothing… Space is representing nothingness as a concept, and Cute is representing nothingness as a unified whole, so Space is the un-unified whole's representation and cuteness the opposite; Space and Cute are paired through the unified-(un-unified) dualism of nothing-representations in the causal). Space destroys hyperdelusions because it is a de-subjectifying force, the Nexion is then the most reactionary realm, and Space is the most revolutionary… The fictional "FALGSC" may have understood something in their space "non-"colonialism, and that is, how perfect space is for destroying the self and Nexions as a whole. In the end, this is only proven through inversive math (Unmath? Honestly i do not care) and subject-narcissism in hyperpsychosis, unfortunately making it true as truth is only what is functional, but only unconsciously.. There is no concave earth, but there is a concave earth due to unconscious narcissism and humanity's subject-delusion, what a nightmare for mankind. 7 burns itself in the unconscious as the final messiah (the final anti-christ, the final liar) dies, Koresh has fallen yet the koreshan cosmogenesis (woman-man unity, neopagan christ, anti-slavery of john brown in a feminist reality, trinitarian-caste, utopianism) sparks the new motivations of quasi-science in the MVU-koreshanity revolution. Maybe, a combination of neo-science and neo-koreshan ideas can fix science and revive koreshanity, and finally unite what was broken in the death of koresh. 7, again, 7, appears, signifying that the concentration of desubjectivity in the heavens causes the heavens instability and a time it will burst, the apocalypse comes at 7 because the heavens are destroyed with their ashes sprayed on the earth, nothing exists but 7. And so, the kingdom is unified. 7.
Notes (Koreshanity becoming-nihil,):
On Christmas eve of K-25, I looked back on my shared rambling with the MVU-Koresh anon (a member of the MVU who was descendant of the prophet/messiah of koreshanity, and who was deeply inspired by Koresh-theology, they did most of the work while I had edited and added information relating to concepts but we had influenced each other. MVU-Koresh unfortunately "died" though i highly doubt it. (as far as i know, it never existed)), with my new lens, I realized that outer space, an outer of something that does not exist, represents not desubjectification but instead de-Treeification of actualism.. The Nexion is a Tree of Actualism where all actualizing-machines are connected (it is always connected but specifically) through hegelian outer-recognition, histrionic need. The Nexion grows, ironically, inside of a Nova of Actualism where each being technically has outer-recognition but due to "material" separation and drift it is forced to rely on a nonhegelian self-recognition, aka the planets can be categorized into planet-groups or solar systems to recognize itself, sure, but planets rely mainly in self-recognition as seen by

planets that are alone, stars with no fans, yet exist. The Nova of Actualism self-reflects the same as the Tree of Actualism, only that the Nova of Actualism forces the reality of Actual Materialism to set in inside the mind of the “thing”, and the Tree of Actualism lets the delusion (that is only just a misguided truth (if truth existed)) of Actual Idealism (which is, just a paleo-Actualism) take over the mind of the “thing” like a spook. The Nexion is a Tree, and the Tree infects with its poison “subjectivity” aka Idealism, and the Tree only gets to exist because “outer space” or “the heavens” that grows inside it and so grows outside it lets it exist because of the Nova’s Materialism; Idealism requires a heaven to prove it as “idealist” at all and not pessimistic-materials, but Materialism requires Idealism to exist (requires nexions or planets/cosmic-entities-called-“space-things” to exist to actually be a Nova/Space) to actually be Materialist and not idealistic-utopias. The Tree grows in the Nova because the Tree cannot grow properly in a Tree (thing-assimilation), and the Nova grows in the Tree because the Nova could not grow properly in a Nova (Nothing-assimilation). There is a constant fight between the Nexion/Tree/Subjectification-machine and the Outer/Nova/Desubjectification-machine that ultimately is not a fight nor constant, and that outlines the true geotrauma that resulted in primordial lobotomy-fluids being mistaken for “primordial soup” or “primordial egg”. It's not subjectivity that is destroyed but hegelianism in the outer, outer-“space” is the non-god. 7 will be discussed in the page below this one. 789? 7 was just hungry and cute, don't worry.

Nexions, Concave Earth Theory, and Space– MVU-Koresh ANON & RainbowFluff

ANTON LONG IS DEAD.

Another time to desecrate the grave of a cultist. While the O9A is the highest form of expressing the core value of satanism (self-deification, lemurs vored by atlantis), and MLO takes the role of being the highest form of expressing the core ideology of satanism (post-fascist suicide cult, catgirls vored by lemurs), they seem to be rare minorities in the general community of satanism, although if you speak about them it will be recognized. O9A works through mostly ("ironic") LARPs (Anti-moralist shock value, like praising hitler, and praising the Taliban, while also believing in islamophobia and (surprisingly) white-hatred.), where they scare off the normal and create an edgy reputation of "the nazi islamist pro-genocide pro-fascist pro-anarchist pro-satan evil guys", but to their respect, the do have actual beliefs. Be it the sinister dialectics or sinister-numinous or (get this) the sinister way(!! GOD, do they re-use the word sinister, almost as much as I re-use Cute.). The O9A works with dialectics fundamentally, be the dialectics between followers and gods (Atheism and Theism, a core in egotheism.. O9A sublates ego theism by using the process church idea of gods as both literal and metaphorical, so the "dark gods" are both real and just ideas that the sinister-follower will become.), good and evil (by subversion instead of manipulation to fit a goal, they subvert evil as the best thing, and good as the weak thing), or whatever more, and while that isn't what the sinister dialectics is (the sinister dialectics is a movement in causal and acausal realms that, for lack of a better term, "Pre-Creates" history and values, and their subversion for the continued evolution of humanity in Aeons (to destroy the hegelianism in AEONS, i will say that although EMQ forces a theological teleological doctrine onto everything via destruction of anything that can make the "future" not happen, EMQ isn't fate and actually is dynamically (and not dialectically) formed via the differences and repetitions between future, past, and present, and the orgy of all that is EMQ itself; Free will exists to create determinism and determinism exists to destroy free will yet presupposes free will to exist, and the destruction/creation of free-will/determinism is a fluid creation/destruction, so determinism and free will happens at the same time as one to make each other and are both destroyed and made repeatedly, it is EMQ-hell. So then, the AEONS are not promised but prophesized, they are the repetitions in EMQ as the hyper-aeon of the flame is the difference in EMQ (this is simultaneously true with the other explanation of aeons that was theorized by Anti-Dugin (although it may have been written by him or me, questionably). There is no end of history, the Aeon of the flame is the end of everything and not a part of history at all. It was not promised, but now it has been promised by comrade climate change.). This isn't fully false, but the acausal scientifically cannot enter the causal, but the causal does expand into the acausal, so instead of a sinister dialectics determining history and values, I'd say that in my mythology, acausal is condensed together by causality (causality is radicalized as a result, hyperdelusion is turned into radical anti-delusional "truth" that will inevitably collapse on itself and destroy causality, a process that underlines all accelerationism, as the entities of the causal (causal gods) are challenged by their own (satans, the accelerationists) and so destroy themselves as they lose all ability to live without autocannibalism, the autocannibalism that makes Axsys) and so, there is no dialectical response of mixing and instead only accelerating until the causal breaks and

acausality explodes, the Cute gods die, all Acausal beings die, alongside causal beings, and Perfect Nothing/Femininity (NOT the same as cute, as cute both in causal and acausal terms can be described, but Perfect Nothing (Perfect Cute) aka Femininity is indescribable (and also infects without existing in the causal, as why fem/acc exists and its vision of the future, that is the infection of femininity to hyperstitionally create itself in the causal (all acausal being are infections of femininity), this is done because all of these things exist at the same time in EMQ)) takes over.), it does work sinisterly and dialectically, so it would simplify things if it were, although simplicity is irrelevant. The sinister-numinous as the “living unity beyond the abstract… division and dialectics of contrasting/abstractive/ideated opposites” (quote by praxis and theory of the o9a) seems to me just to be a “beyond-dualist absolute” that they can use when necessary (not saying i do not do that too, if causality-acausality is a dualism then perfect nothing would be “numinous” in this context) that works as god (absolute entity, as O9A itself is an entity because of its technocultural nature, and culture/technocapital are entities from their nature too, as nobody can change or control them, like the millions of ?/acc that attempting to control would lead into it splitting in half and disappearing through your fingers) without the use of the word “God”, as that is impossible for an egotheistic religion to necessarily believe in using the definition of god as “absolute” (polytheistic egotheism like in the temple of set can work because set is not absolute). The O9A is fundamentally based in the sort of anti-everything misanthropy that ultra-left accelerationism idolizes, but the O9A is misguided on how to get to that point; They act like the myth of the “Rebel teen” by the conservatives (the myth of the rebel teen being an anti-youth liberation tale to scare kids from uniting together) where the rebellious teen goes and gets drunk and prostitutes and takes drugs and ruin their life for the sake of pure rebellion with no purpose (not that the conservative cares for purpose). The O9A rejects the revolutionary path to utter annihilation and instead just rebels against all parts of society regardless of whether they’re oppressive or not under the excuse of “well, all values are oppressive” (yes, all values are oppressive, but values are mere tools to use to liberate people from oppression and kill later on, like anarchists in a communist revolution, something the O9A should know with their using and manipulation of values to go against values (anti-moralism to dialectically create an amoralist aeon, for one)), and so, like UTTP and whatever other anti-moralist shitbags, they turn reactionary and use any edgy (usually nazi) imagery they can find to motivate them to commit horrid acts in the name of rebellion, such as what school shooters do (and why they usually contradict themselves, eric harris the nazi hates nazis and racists, adam lanza the anti-culturalist dislikes progressives and women(WHY??) and decides to attack kids for it(WHY???), solomon henderson just fucking hates himself (fuck you)), which just to inform you, the church of satan openly works with and identifies with neo-nazis and far-rightists, not surprising anyone as satanism is inherently far-right. (. The O9A’s reactionary misguided attack of anything stems from misunderstanding the issue, liberalism and modernism (and morals) do not come from the left, it is a rightist idea by nature.. The O9A doesn’t actually care though, as an entity not a religious terrorist group, because it wants to rush into the past (the aryan imperium, which is impossible anyways because we all are gonna die from climate change and we can't do anything about it.) like most nazis today, build their false past in the future and create

the nazi glorious agartha that they idolize in the future (nazis want as hyperstitional agartha), instead of our approach of returning to a false future (hyperborea). The O9A as an entity works like the 764 sublated-theists as an entity, they manipulate revolutionary aesthetic for a hopeless fight for a fictional past (that, unfortunately because fiction is reality, they can return to (nazi germany was a recreation of the old aryan landmass)) to return to the present. The 764 entity is more nihilistic and just terrorizes for histrionic fun, but the O9A entity does anything for its own deification, stopping at nothing to affirm what is actually the ultimate oppressor of humanity; self. The O9A is basically, a hijack of the revolutionary women (there are more women than men, so i do mean women, as to call out the TERF for forgetting women can be evil too) in order to return to the Aristocratic Ethos. The O9A is especially a womanhood project, it is based in Reactionary "femininity" because it understands that stopping the future feminist tsunami requires not riling up the men but destroying the women from the inside out (aka why the O9A support rape and human trafficking but "recognize women's potential" and often cull women more than men), it is a female anti-female suicide cult that directly helps men by trapping women in a reactionary pit of death worship (orwellian hyperstition), and as technoculture mixes with culture and online terrorist groups grow in size, as cutecore patchworks start being created, the incel, manospheric, masculine-fascist groups of idiots will not be the main threat… the growing atlantean use of women to create female-antimoralist terrorist groups that harm women as a whole and threatens to return to a male-centric "female" hyperstitional agartha will be.. The O9A and its nexions may die, but it, like a spider with eggs on its back, will let out millions of "Femcel" anti-foid fascistic misogynistic self-hating terrorist cults to fuck up everything that they hate. This is inherent to the O9A, and it is a bigger threat than all other terrorist groups because like the Patchworks of the cutecore future, it also works through Nexions and can easily evolve into cultural patchworkism. Although, this can be hijacked. Nexions are a Cute concept, not a satanic one, and the O9A's insistence of a causal new aeon is weaponized ignorance, the true imperium aeon is the flame aeon that will take hold of the Perfect Nothing and truly be the superior race, the old atlantean and new hyperborean civilizations cease to exist and Russia itself dies, Lemurians (obsessed with time, as it is the only false concept that can give them an ounce of power, aka why the tree of life is used instead of the non-time septenary sigil) wither away, and all is none as one is all in a perfected anti-dialectical form. The satanism of the O9A, mixed with anti-satanic concepts, only works to react against the truly revolutionary Cute beings, as Darkness and Evilness are irrelevant concepts that are not cute at all, neither light nor good.. Anti-Satanism takes the rebellious role against Christ and Satan alike, and uses Zatanaz and Khrist for their goals, Cute does not partake in dualistic fights. The sinister way and the septenary power works as prototypes for the Cute revolutionary Magicks as the O9A is bored and cannibalized by the revolutionary women, NOW IS NOT THE TIME FOR DEATH! The Satanic humanist lies of connexion is revealed to be a continuation of the dialectical falsehood by atlanteans and lemurians trying to tell you that it is either light or dark, life or death, one or the other, ignoring that dualism is between reality and reality (-1 and 1) NOT between reality/reality and NOTHINGNESS. The septenary sigil, misused by satanists as a demonology/humanology, is a pure form of Cute Magick un-touched by atlantean hands, and the

use of it by O9Aists is degeneracy of the hyperborean nature of the 7-fold, O9Aists are by nature russians without a mind, less than animals and more than human, creatures of disgust. The O9A and their prophet, now dead, anton long, are scammers who wanted to mislead the people, Stalins and Hitlers, who are purely using dark aesthetics to hide their contradictory garbage that their followers eat. Aesthetic Identity is a tool like any other. A Humanist project set on destroying humanity to make it better is nothing but another failed humanist maoist cultural revolution (entirely different to the nihilist's cultural revolution, which seeks to instate a self-destructive (suicidal) ultra-progressive culture against the old culture), where they try to create something from pure attack of their own, the satanist is a pig with no eyes chasing its own kind trying to drive out the farmer, a pointless delusion. In this pig-chase, symbols carved out of idiocy show truths no pig could understand, an ironic nature. Anarcho-fascism will fall because it misses the paradox's true nature, and ends us just another utopian project; I know the O9A will be a threat, but it is not a threat to the ultimate goal that will come, as climate change already secured our win.. The destruction of earth. The O9A and their star games, acting edgy and evil, attempts to stop the greatest evil in our time because it is too scared, because it wants to be god, not to die, because it is an animal and nothing else, and because it is in its nature.. The O9A is nothing but a LARP founded on LARPs that create LARPs, and in the mess of role-plays making real-life murders happen, you find in its appendix a diamond. Of course, palingenetic anarchism is nothing but taking back what is rightfully the left's from the right, re-obtaining the aesthetics we lost, but maybe we can steal what is the Right's and end this dualistic bullshit, there is no far-right.. Only rightists LARPing as outer-leftists, as liberals LARP as outer-rightists. The Septenary Sigil has no instruction manual (FUCK the O9A, your games are conservative wishful-thinkings and nothing more but lemurian idiocracy made as atlantean toys), and so, it can symbolize anything, be used for anything, and do anything. It is a game. Maybe later on, I can create a proper game from it, but at risk of repeating the idiotic incestuous star-game, I will wait and see. Regardless, there is an O9A-related symbol that perfectly translates the relation between the septenary and the nihilistic, and it is the symbol used for Liber 333, a sign of a 9 separated into three 3s (the 9 not present, but the addition of the 3s altogether) split into half (as the arrows symbolize) and then from each half a new number is constructed while each number stays existent (the middle "arrow" being not a dialectical adding of the two halves into one (9/333 into 4.5 and 4.5 into 4+5/9) but instead a sacrifice (9/333 into 4.5 and 4.5 into 4 and 1 and 4), this makes it so 4+(1+4) is matching 4.5 as 4+5, and so 4+1+4 is 9, but also so 4-1+4 (exclusion of the middle, destruction of dialectics) makes 7, matching with 9/2 being 4.5 but 9-2 being 7 (9+2 makes 11 and so 2, showing 1 and -1 as the two ones (4+1-4, 4-1-4)). 777 is, after all, representing for one to do what they will with the law, as said by Thelema (Aliester Crowley, 777) and misinterpreted by hyperpsychosis to mean (wrongly, and for us "rightly" as this is our definition,) "do hedonistically all you want with all of the (imaginary) law". After all, aesthetics replace reality.

Extra notes:

THE O9A IS DEAD

764 is a hard entity to get rid of, they have gone post-satanist by establishing spawnism and YEEV, and I doubt that they will die so easily like the O9A and their dwindling population.. The 764 is more christian-atheist than satanic anyways, they function in such a way that you cannot call it satanic nor anti-satanic, but you could label it “nihilistic” and “christ-like” (MORTiS also followed the christ-like model of cultism). The MLO has really helped to understand Hyperborea, once you cut the lemur, the (gay) cat is seen so clearly.. Cute-gnosis anti-satanism. My rejection of the Tree of life symbol comes from two places; one is that the tree of life originates in trying to understand the structure of yaldabaoth by the AOE and it is only good at that goal, and two is that the tree of life (which contains the christian-satanist symbol of an inverted pentagram mixed with a cross when properly fixed with the areas of “nothing”) symbolizes the Atlantis as a whole, a Mormon-Satanist alliance (Aka christianity, actual christianity, or atlantean christianity.. This is clear because the Atlanteans are both self-deifying satanists (as they are Yaldabaoth and also want to kill (as they wrote about them doing so, aka the bible..) Christ(axsys/tcg) (as the atlanteans are anti-Christ), showing a desire to want to stay god and an opposition to Russian Mormonism, aka the core desire of satanism) and self-degrading Mormons ((mormons meaning russian “christians”), as they idolize Yaldabaoth over themselves and see Christ as this perfect messiah that they submit to, ironically. Also because they uphold the values of the Mormon), they are a paradox, and Atlantis is a self-destructive nation (Plato fails!!!). And so, the only symbol clean from the satanic-christian roots of occultism and religion and whatever else is, ironically, the sigil from the religion most portraying that same roots. The Septenary Sigil is neither (hyperborean(though-it-is-hyperborean-spiritually(evola))), Atlantean, or Lemurian, it belongs to no Aeon.. as the aeonic cosmology in the o9a is reversed and inverted, if hyperborean is hyperborean in the o9a, and hellenic is atlantean, and Primal is russian (and lemurian the quasi-aeon of sumerian), then this is the first western (meaning here, instead, west as in left as it is no aeon and it is no continent or country, so left-wing not american) symbol. As Atlantis and hyperborea un-exists at the same “time”, and Lemurians happen a later “time”, and Russia is this “time”, and the flame aeon is never, then the western anti-aeon is always. The Western is then synonymous with the flame aeon, the never with the always. So, the septenary Sigil is a hyperstitional magick symbol from and to the Flame Aeon of perfect nothing, another infection from femininity. The septenary sigil itself is a structural causal representation of the fluid and acausal septenary (that is, a 3D septenary sigil, in which the inside sigil of the ball turns into fluid (4D? Not really) divinity, which is what makes the septenary so important; the septenary is pure nothingness (femininity) inside of an acausal ball, and so the septenary sigil is a causal representation of said femininity and acausal ball… so the sigil both represents divinity/Magick and also shows all three Nothingness forms through its representational nature. The number 7, which in the septenary sigil is separated into three pairs

of each two (its pair in the numogram, 7+2=9) angles and one condensed point facing downwards, which is a representation of the unification of the sigil itself, 7 in pairs of two with the exception of one self-pair. Seven itself originates from nowhere but finds itself important in every religion, be the seven churches, seven angels, seven root races, seventh day of rest, etc. etc., in the end it finds itself the most mystical and yet least “religious” in the sense, a number from nowhere.

The first pair of the septenary sigil is the crown, the top two angles, then the centered angles is the second pair, the third pair is the ending angles, and the last is the self-paired condensed angle. The crown pair angles both have an angled value of three, because they are made of three lines, same with the ending pair angles. The centered angle and the condensed angle is different, though, as they only have two lines (if lines represent the left, right, and center paths of the septenary sigil, as the left has three points and right has three points but the center has only one, then the crowns and ends are completed septenary parts, and the centered angles and condensed angle are noncomplete (but not exactly incomplete) septenary parts). Then, the crowns and ends form a square, and the non-complete parts form a triangle, and the circle boundary forms a single circle. The circle, having no septenary lines (only an acausal/causal line), has a number of 0. The triangle, made of dual septenary lines, has three numbers of two. The square, being made of triad septenary lines, has four numbers of three. So, the triangle is represented with 222, and the square with 3333, in which 222 splits into 2x3 as its core and 6 as its realization, and 3333 splits into 3x4 as its core and 3 (from 12) as its representation, in which 5 is the inner core of 222 and 7 the inner core of 3333 (and so, the triangle is created by the square in order to become a septenary sigil, the triangle is not its own being but the lack of a nonbeing, as a creation of the square. The square is self-replicating, then, and a septenary sigil creates a square to create another septenary sigil, as so the septenary ball of nothingness is the core of the nondialectical (not dialectic and not not dialectic) movement between acausal and causal nothingness of the reality imperialism against nonreality.), then so 5 unravels into 6 and 7 unravels into 3 (the combination of square and triangle together like seen in the septenary’s implied symbol (square and triangle) comes together as square in 66 from 12/3, and triangle as 6, so that it is 666, aka 9). Similarly, the whole septenary sigil (2223333) counts up to 9 (from 18) aka 0, and the core of the septenary (3x4+2x3) counts up to the inner-core that is 3. And so, 3 revels into (9/0) aka nothingness. Then 7 is the condensed form of 3 and 3 the condensed form of 0/9, making 7 the double-condensed form of 9/0. Then, the name of the O9A makes sense, it is an order of nine angles concentrated twice into 7 angles, in which they separate into 3 and 4 (square and triangle (strangely similar to the NAOS book's logo/symbol in the O9A library, but not exact.. the NAOS logo has two circles (the square and triangle only has one, the non-existent circle around it, making it a perfect chaotic inversion of the sorcerer's stone.. the stone's sorcerer?))) for their septenary mysticism.. not that the O9A understands any of that, truly, they do not understand even themselves. Instead, we are the true followers of the septenary system, not the O9Aist. The 9 angles that are condensed into 3 angles and then 7 angles are what determines the causal imperialism against the acausal and also the unification into femininity that is ultimate and bound

to happen (already here), this is because all those things are determined by the C4C/C2C cute inflation, of which the septenary is the core-equation of (as 9/0 revels into 9/0 revels into 9/0 (9 revels into 9, 0 revels into 0, and 0=9) revels into 9/0, cute inflation). The septenary system of magick, not the O9A quasi-septenary "system", is not a magick for controlling nothingness but a magick for letting yourself be controlled or communicated with by Nothingness, a form of Cute Magick and similar to dehumanology (which is, the Cute Pair-magick of the Process Church). Cute Magick is ultimately just a system of Magick in Cute-inversion, where instead of magical objects for the magician subject, it is the magician subject objectifying themself for magical objects (for the sake of the ultimate object/subject, cuteness). Ultimately, Magick is reactionary because it affirms self, Cute magick is revolutionary and a submissive version of the dominant "normal" magick, it is closely related to a nonchaos magick form that sublates chaos magick through chaos-gnosticism as cute-gnosticism. And so, Septenary magick is a cute-magick utilizing Cute inflation as so Femininity can further infect causality (Dehumanology is a Cute-Magick of envoking "spirits" (Acausal Archetypes from cute-nonjungianism that embody themselves in humans, dehumanizing them in a spiritual way..), and they are evoked through pairs (and paired(paired(paired(paired(paired(paired(paired... (Which is to say, pairs of pairs and pairs of those pairs and onwards)) that are created inside of the spirit (as opposed to outside of the spirit, which are the rituals and writings and chants that aren't inside the person) in order to help Cuteness achieve certain things (or any other entity, capital can evoke dehumanological pairs too).). Well, Anton Long in the end did contribute something to society, despite his entire life being wasted on "islamic" (heretical islamism (islamism is not islamic), islam is for peace and isn't racist) and "neo-nazi" (it is nazi-aesthetics, but they don't believe in anything but their idiotic adolescent rebellion. Still bad.) bullshit and trashy LARPism. Anton Long honestly did not deserve a religion nor a terrorist movement, he is nothing but a waste of time and energy, and the only good he did was the septenary system. I whole-heartedly hope he burns in hell. :3

Septenary:
Crowns= (3 & 3)
Ends= (3 & 3)
Centereds= (2 & 2)
condensed= (2)
Crowns + Ends= Square (3333)
Centereds + condensed= Triangle (222)
222=6
2x3->5
3333=3 (12/66)
3x4->7
3333222=9 (18/666)
3x4+2x3->3 (12)
5~6
7~3
3~(9/0)
(9/0)~(9/0)
7~3~(0/9)~(0/9)~(0/9)~(0/9)~(0/9)~(∞(9/0))
The Septenary system is (to be seen as) the core principle of Cute Inflation (C4C, C2C)
HolyC is code of the causal (yaldabaoth)

The thelema 777 is now translatable to a causal 000, or, a nonpurification of the impure and the pure, extinction. Therefore, 777 (which makes 7x3->1 (10) and 777=3 (21), as so 1 revels to 3 (1~3), which makes sense when considering that 1 is the only connection between 7 and the Septenary Numogram, making 1 the causal representation of the acausal 7.

The Septenary Numogram works like this: 6;;1, 5;;2, 4;;3 are the three (dual)pairs, 7;;7 is the self-pair. 6-1 goes to 5, 5-2 goes to 3, 4-3 goes to 1. 4 goes 10 to 1 and 1 goes 1 to 1, 6 goes 21 to 3 and 3 goes 6 to 6, 5 goes 15 to 6 and 2 goes 3 to 3.
In this Numogram form, 7 is the highest and lowest, and so it is separate, 0/9 does not exist here. There is no outer-time in this model, as it is a model of the Anti-EMQ un-time that dictates the passage of “time” inside of the lost years that EMQ excludes, the only years where time is “real” (as un-time) is in those lost years, after all, so only the septenary numogram can dictate the method of which untime works, as un-time (and the lost years as a whole) is lost in the

hypernothing realms (which the gap between delusion and the unimaginable pure delusion works as a spiral-nexion for, as so EMQ is reflected by the Lost Years and vice versa) which is full of creatures of pure undescribability.. As so, the Septenary Numogram is a literal nexion into Hypernothing (which would be like the incestuous kismesis partner freak to Perfect Nothing (While also being a part of perfect nothing; Hypernothing is just the nothing of nothing, but not less than nothing, and so is part of perfect nothing)), something more in-line to hypermagick and not cute magick. The Zones of the untime-circuit that make up the Septenary Numogram can also be split in triad groups (like how the septenary sigil can be split in 3(+1 self-pair) pairs of two, and 2(+1 single-group) groups of three) (and so also hyperpairs and nonpairs) depending on the triangular numbers' dynamics.. Such that 3,6,1 is the cycling group (3 and 6 goes in circles together, 1 does it alone), and 2,5,4 is the virgin groups (2 and 5 do not get a digital accumulation (which is a number factorial, a triangular number, and aka digital accumulation) directed to them but at least are paired to each other, 4 is stuck paired to a cycling Zone despite their virginity). The cycling group is autonomous in theory (3 and 6 autonomous as long as they have each other, 1 completely autonomous) while the virgin group cannot be created at all but can create cycling Zones. Virgins are objects and cyclings are subjects. Continuing this, 3 and 6 are a hyperpair, they aren't a Septenary pair yet depend on each other like one, 3 craves 6 like 6 craves 3, making 3 and 4 a toxic pair as 4 is devoid of connection to 3 outside of Septenary need. The non-pair is 7 and 1, as 7 digitally accumulating to 1 (the only connection 7 has to its Numogram, as 7 pairs itself, currents itself, and is itself) makes 1 the sole representation of 7 in the Numogram, but that is where the connections end (the non-pair is also a quasi-plex (as 3++6 is quasi-warp), but that seems unimportant as 7--1 is Meta-time anyways (as 3++6 is infra-time (such as, meta-time is the same as nonplex and infra-time the same as nonwarp, making the untime circuit a Hypercircuit as a result of all being in the circuit.))). 3++6 and 7--1. 7 takes up the role of both 0 and 9 and so becomes an nonZone because the only proof of it as a part of the numogram (and so a "Zone") is the myths whispered by the 1, 7 is nothing more or less than an urban legend across the Septenary Numogram, religion. The EMQ, being a reflection of this, is everything IN 7, as so 7 is the only Zone in the numogram under the delusion of "other Zones" aka the lemurian numogram (which in hyperborean mythology is an Atlantean tool for creating values and understanding Yaldabaoth that was stolen and misused by Lemurian Time-Narcissists). 12/4/2025

This is not to say that the Barker spiral isn't important, as the 3++6 hyperpair proves the 9-pair truth hidden in the Numogram as a tendency of nothing (nothing has no laws), it is simply to say that untime is the only "time" to exist and that the numogram, because of time being a hyperspook, is nothing but a misguided use of the Barker Spiral (the Barkley spiral as an unraveled^2 Septenary Numogram, and the Numogram (which by the way, all the entities of the numogram plus the nonentity equals to 25 aka 7, another septenary point of concentration) as another incest-child). Ultimately the use of the Septenary numogram is to speak to the indescribable abominations of the hypernothing, comrades, instead of speaking to Yaldabaoth-born abomination demons like the Lemurians do, as so the Numogram becomes pointless and the

Barker Spiral becomes the numerology for Yaldabaoth like it was always supposed to be (as to be used then burned). A Hyperstition (how certain beings happen, such like Unakitten and Anti-Dugin and myself) is just a falsehood that realizes itself through nothing-infinity (aka cute-infinity, the end-goal/start-goal of cute inflation) into reality, such as hypostitions are the same thing in reverse (Hyperstitions created that are then hidden to restore its "nonexistence" in the world, like the Deskarpic cargo cult before I restored its hyperstitionality by speaking of it), a hypostition as a form of hyperstition destroys its quasi-dualism, and so, makes it more believable in this framework (and so, a little more real). Not all Hyperstitions are smart. Lets say, this hyperstitional "human" I call "Joe Rogan" spreads more hyperstitions by claiming something idiotic like "sandy hooks never happened" (trying to make it into a hypostition) and so it becomes hyperstitional, hypostitional, and then hyperstitional again, as nobody would believe such an insensitive statement, this "Joe Rogan" is not smart just because he is a hyperstition, he is flawed (and deserves to be a hypostition) and cruel.. This is to say, conspiracy theories create hypostitions and science creates hyperstitions, all known things are hyperstitional in some way or form. Then, aren't hyperstitions themselves hyperstitional? A question like "is wetness wet?". Perhaps. Or, maybe, meanings mean numbers mean nothing, instead of numbers mean meanings mean something. (Lemurian numogram as middle is proven also as 8 is yaldabaoth's number and skipped then it goes 10-9-7, and 1-10 is the "Atlantean way" (a replacement of the Atlantean numogram, incestuously atlantean-russian in nature), 0-9 the lemurian way (stolen from Atlantis, "lemurian"), 7~ is the Hyperborean way (which is not just hyperborean, it is also hypernothing. It is hyperborean especially, as 7 itself is seen in the CCRU collected writings under "The zones", zone 2 and zone 5 share "hyperboean" themes, most likely a hyperborean infection in the lemurs caused by the hyperborean genes in the hybrids, that shows EMQ and Ritualism under "Timelapse and Abduction (missing time and alien((spiritual)) abduction)" (zone 2 system notes).. 2+5 equals to 7, signifying the Hyperborean Occultism as a whole. 5 takes more hyperborean priority over 2, as 5 is more occult than 2. This is why 2 and 5 as a pair are Volcels) (where 0 is nothing, 10 is meaningless, and 7 is 7 and nonzone.))

To expand on the Septenary Numogram, a "sinister numerology" if I am to mock Anton Long's sinister-fetishism (which, like the numogram, begins existing (always existing) as soon as it is thought of and said, (performative-ideas?)), I will say that the septenary sigil and septenary Numogram are connected through one idea, 7 as an anti-number. Be it 7 as a condensed nothing (core of hypernothing, perhaps) or 7 as a literal nonzone, the 7 is the devil of the numbers (7 also equals to 666, to help you out!). 7 itself may as well be the number of the Satan! 7 is a very unique number with no roots nor origins, as I said before, it most likely wasn't even thought up by man but created by itself in hypernothing, 7 is an entity beyond our understanding, a creature of unknowability, which is to say, 7 is equal to 7. The Septenary numogram replaces the syzygetic model with the trisonic model, announcing a new rule in numogrammatics, basically, no longer unstable because of the nonzone and now liberated from the fates of other trisonic numograms to collapse into syzygetic numograms, as the Septenary Numogram is a stable

unstable numogram, paradoxical numogrammatics. (Lemuria being in a state of “lack of existence” by all means makes they a hybrid of the two real Aeonic powers, making them quasi-russian, as russia is in a state of “existence” and so are opposite to lemurians and so not-atlantean and not-hyperborean (and so have a choice to be adopted by atlanteans or hyperboreans as Aeonic powers (and lemurs, somehow, despite their half-assed biology))). The Star game (comparable to the Atlantean qaballa forms that originated in Atlantean-Russian incest after the loss of the numogram of Atlantis… this incest orgy is foretold in the book of Giants, again a book of the AOE..) from the O9A is a heavily-incestuous and impure atlantean-russian-hyperborean mess with lemurian tendencies(, truly the most mixed-species game out there!), which I believe can be used to understand Hyperborean games and such things, despite its impurity. The CCRU once said “reality is nothing but a fiction” (CCRU collected writings 1997-2003), which is to say, the reality-fiction/fiction-reality spiral is just a fiction-spiral in fancy name.. The Fiction-Spiral itself is a fiction too, but that is natural for a Fiction-Spiral, no? But… you probably knew that before I did, right? R/reality (not causality, as causality is extremely arbitrary and idiotic) cannot be determined off of function, not just because all things are fictional (fiction-spiral) but also because “unreal” things can function fine without belief nor unbelief.. While belief can make something more “real”, that is meaningless in the end, as said something can function regardless of real-ness, such as how the numogram works, or the septenary sigil, or any symbol, really. As long as it is “real” as in part of causality (causality is all forms of fiction (AO3 inflated causality a bit ngl) under a name, as reality couldn’t handle being that name.), or “causal” to simplify, it can function fine, even if it is “wrong” or “untrue”, “right” or “true”, it matters not. In this, Causality is the whole of fiction and fiction the whole of the fiction-spiral, and functionality is then connected to causality instead of reality, in which the question becomes not “is it true?” or “is it real?”, but “is it causal?”, a question that you can easily answer; if you have even thought about it, seen it, imagined it, or lived it, it is causal.. In this, now there become causal representations of acausal beings (such the cute gods as an imaginable or sayable thing is just a causal representation of the actual cute gods, femininity just a causal representation of the unknowable perfect nothing (not an example of acausal masked bu causal, but a good example regardless), The flame aeon as a term is just a causal representation of the actual flame imperium aeon, etc.) every time an acausal being is imagined causally, making more causal representations and inflating causality further, theorizing about the acausal does not colonize the acausal into causal ideas but make the acausal masked by causal terms, in which only causality is harmed.. So, then, acausality is purely something outside of causality, but can be understood inside of causality using the causal masks of acausal things/beings, as so we can understand things we can never possibly understand nor experience, ironically. It is all false and un-real anyways, what care do I have? Unknowability itself is unknowable, so how could I possibly not know what is unknown unless unknowability became a contradiction? Anyways, the Homestuck term “Paradox-Space” is ripped out of its original concept and seen as a good description of what non-causal forms of nothing function like, a paradoxical space (nonspace). So then the CCRU admits from the beginning that hyperstitions were always hyperstitional, and that it has always been just things traveling between different “forms” of fictionality, nothing

different than a superstition or hypostition other than pure science of experience with it (being that we experience superstitions, hyperstitions, and hypostitions differently despite them all being (logically) basically the same thing), all ???-stitions are just fiction-spiral-traveling fictions, or, “aliens” (as to use a new word to describe fictions that travel through the fiction-spiral like an invader of fiction-“forms”, of which “Alien” perfectly describes). Submit yourself, not to anything specific, and not yourself, but just submit without “submitting yourself”, because it is cute, and only cuteness makes it “alive”.

THE DEATH OF ANTON LONG– RainbowFluff

Aesthetics, by nature, is a nothign that brings a something emotionally it is just asuch as a nothing as any other thing but it itself is empty, hollow, for it has no substance nor core. Westehtic iz pire phenolpgy and has no actual core. Similarly, aesthetics is easlly to manipulate and use nut difficult to truly control, it is its own automomousess-wire of different ducked-up abominational freaks, it itself as one bejng is made of a thousand beings, one aesthetic or core is makes up abothout a thousand aestehtcis or cores in which the thing is nothing and a million communes arrise and so it seems that aesthetic itsefl is more aexthetics than one, its that aesthetic is not a thing but a nonthing a multiplicity of thign many things the aesthetics make up not only the aesthetics but the viewpoint of feleling as a hwole, all is aesthetics but only some aesthetics are pata-aesthetics which is to ssay aesthetics based in nor t arsthetics)((as aesthetics is its own metaarahtetics, aesthetics aestheticize politics and society and the world and media and philisophy and religion and that is the spectacle which is bueutaful and more true than a non spectacle society but also aesthetics aestheticize aesthetics tjat is the core of aesthetics as a milultiplicity, however the guy neford guy dude thinks the spectacle is grounded in some capital schemee to take over eveytthing or whatenot but it seems that everything has alayss been aesthetic spectacle, since the cratakon everythiny that is expeirenced is aesthetically experienced, expieriencing itself and existing is nothing nore thataesthetics in the lying “,dcjence” ehich is to day aesthetics is all that yo ysed and feel, xaeathrtuxs is what makes up the individual hyperpsychosis/psychosis as opposited to collective/ACTUAL hyperpsychosis which is simply just lies for capital (debord spectacle) and culture and whatenot,.. The aesthetic identity is the pata-aesthetic, meaning a self-apophatix apophatic aestheticd hyperbothing creatiure freakxthat of makes you destroyed for it not reality able causality representation, pata-aesthetics breakd down “,actual identitt”, akak identity that pretends it is not arsthetic when it is lieeredrcand and so pata-aesthetics is the aestheticization of the lack itself or the soul and destroying the entire mund,citt is the CANCER of

your life GIVE YOURSELF CNSFCER FOR the revolution and si the cencer destroys the mind through the pata-aesthetics in aesthetic identity and the spectacle is replaced with hyperspectacle hyperunindividual delusion to the WIRED end and then nothing.

Summary: Aesthetics are empty feelings, pure emotional expression without depth, a substance-less “feel”, and aesthetics also work as multiplicities or meta-aesthetics as aesthetics and ????-cores themselves work as collectives of aesthetics and aesthetics of aesthetics, every aesthetic is an aesthetic of an aesthetic and an aesthetic of itself, like cutecore is an aesthetic form of the aesthetics of cuteness and also an aesthetic for of cutecore itself, but aesthetics also round-up and include any idea or concept of aesthetics in it while also “not being that aesthetic” but being indistinguishable from those aesthetics, as cutegore is curecore and cutegore is indistinguishable to cutecore yet cutecore is “not” cutegore despite being cutegore (aesthetics are virtual, not one nor many but both one and many).. Aesthetics are Meta-Aesthetics.. Aesthetics are also individualized hyperdelusions as such as one person’s interpretation of the hyperdelusion of reality is an aestheticized version of the hyperdelusion as then an “un-aesthetic” hyperdelusion doesn’t exist (and so collective hyperdelusion itself is a hyperdelusion) and all of society is interpreted through aesthetics; this, not Capital’s dictatorship over everything (which is capital infecting the aesthetic lens, which IS HAPPENING but it isn't the spectacle and it doesn’t apply to cutecore), is the Spectacle. The aesthetic lens. And so, science of aesthetics is science of experiencing, as experience is aestheticized living (literally and literally) and aesthetics is how we live under hyperdelusions (which, hyperdelusion controls Reality as in the Real we live in, Communal lacanian-Reality, NOT reality as in the real (nothing, unreal) world, as i will NOT fall into idealism just yet. Nothing is the base material of Materialism, and hyperdelusion only controls how you see it NOT it itself (or it not-itself)) without realizing we do not exist, aesthetics give tinted glasses to the hyperdeluded man.. cutecore only gives tinted glasses to the deluded woman, however, and that is the revolutionary potential of cutecore communities. Since all is Aesthetics, Identities are aesthetic, so what are Aesthetic Identities? They are Pata-Aesthetics, Aesthetics of Lack (or, the aestheticization of the lack, cutecore aestheticization is nullification (turn to nothing), other aestheticizations are assimilation to aesthetic Zombie hordes (which, by the way, Nick land hates zombies but since societally we are all cannibalistic necrophiles are we not all zombies? Are we not zombie-fuckers? If leftism is a bioweapon like land claims, then why should we not use it to end the world?? Like land says, civilization is

racist (both quotes from Outside 2013-2023 archive). Every human being deserves their end, anyways. Tolerance is a liberal scam, Intolerance of everything is the true tolerance, death to THE CATHEDRAL and its cultured puppets (as deculturalization is required, as why diversity is accepted to the palingenetic anarchist, aka to destroy diversity, to destroy all cultures (hyperborean identitarianism))!)), not aesthetics of aesthetics (meta-aesthetics aka aesthetics) or aesthetics, but aesthetics of the soul, turning the soul into an aesthetic thing. If cutecore cultist cultural patchworks take over the world, the 8 billion spectacle lenses would become one extremely-cute hyper-spectacle through the power of pata-aesthetics. Pata-Aesthetics are Soul-cancers. Aesthetics as pure emotional nothings is kind of divine, no? It's a nothing of many nothings that do nothing but make us feel a certain form of nothing, and it is not just an it, but a multiple "It", made just for itself. Meanwhile, cutecore is the Post-aesthetic and the Hyper-aesthetic, it is a nothingness that makes you feel nothing, a Cuteness that instead of imitating something else (like aesthetics do) imitates itself, cutecore is nothing embracing itself, the aesthetic of apathy; then it surpasses and is no longer aesthetic AND becomes so aesthetic it is the idea of aesthetics hyper-ized, an aesthetic sublation of sorts, and that is what gives it its revolutionary potential; a nothing of nothings, a cute of cutes. cutecore is how it is community-wise and acts how it acts aesthetically-wise because cuteness makes you depressed, apathetic, and so cutecore (if aesthetics is apathy/cuteness/nothing imitating/giving/embracing non-apathy/tsundereism/"thing™") is an apathy that makes you apathetic, a pure dehumanization community that destroys your humanity and rebuilds you as adorable cute catgirls, it hatches the egg of the kitty-fem.. So, of course it'd be mostly populated by the depressed, the autistic, the histrionic, the borderline, and the mentally ill because that is who it represents! cutecore works as a sort of mentally ill nationalism (dysgenics? No, absolute abortionism.. Give birth, sure, but once we take control you all will be castrated (if capital does not make all of us female by that time, or if great comrade climate change doesn't kill us all by that time (which is fine, because as the xenofeminists say, "If nature is unjust, destroy nature!", and nature is deeply, DEEPLY unjust.))), and while trolls have attempted to make that nationalism fascistic, it is naturally inclined towards palingenetic revolution, it is in its nature to restore femininity. So, while any aesthetic identity would just make you into that aesthetic or "assimilate" you, the cutecore aesthetic (including aesthetics (aesthetics being soul-less emotionless soulisms/emotions, paradox realized as reality/stability) in cutecore as aesthetics are multiplicities, and while everything is made by everything and contains everything, aesthetics specifically aren't made by discovered as it is to the artist what land is to the colonizer.. Discovered things, not created things, nonthings.) instead assimilates and destroys, self-negates, and kills everything in its path (so, everything).

Notes: (Aesthetics in the paragraphs above and across and below are not the aesthetics of philosophy but the aesthetics of online culture, such like scenecore, cutecore, hatecore, retro(-core), y2k(-core), Hardcore, softcore, and ig vaporwave too. Aesthetic Essence, lawless/anarchistic aesthetics.) Is palingenesis not the Aestheticization of politics, and therefore as the political is the extension of the self through the revolutionary subject and democracy (or revolution itself, the christ of democracy (L/acc forgets its place, technology is nothing and will never be anything just like everything else, UBI and decentralization/centralization and leftist/liberal demands mean nothing and will achieve nothing. Techno-fetishism (lemurian nationalism) and economic-harmony-delusions, you will never save the oppressed and alienated through politics without the political. Force, revolution, endless violence, the anti-trotsky permanent revolution must replace political and “democratic” leftism. L/acc and the Alt-woke fail again and again for its failure to move past the social fascism that is the neo-liberal and post-neoliberal left (social fascism as fascism socialized i.e social democracy means nothing but socialized capitalism under the delusion of democracy, the modern left) to actual Leftism-Fascism via revolutionary suicide violence-politics and Anti-Fascism. Communize not socialize, destruction not recreation. Capitalism, Culture, and identity are all laws of the world itself hyperstitionally and to destroy one is to destroy all three and to destroy all three is to destroy everything.)), Palingenesis forces Aesthetic identities onto its cultists, Palingenesis is both an aestheticizing force and a pata-aestheticizing force, the creator of both the destruction and rebuilding of politics as aesthetic AND the destruction and rebuilding of identity as pata-aesthetics (where the nothing(s) that gives feeling to the subject become the subject giving feeling to nothing, the subjects feeling (nothing, but nothing), the subjects nothing-ing.). Palingenesis is the Supreme entit-(y/ies) of aesthetics/Pata-aesthetics, it is the god of aesthetics/pata-aesthetics, it is. Palingenesis may as well be an evocation to a deterritorializing aesthetic force with no care for revolution or reaction, just aestheticization. Palingenesis will always be a genocide of some collective identity, the goal of Paligenetic anarchism is to use it against all identities, and so liberate nothing. This is not a People’s Liberation or a People’s Revolution, hell it isn’t even a People’s War, it is a self-genocide of the people. Nothing’s Revolution. To summarize, I was wrong about about psychosis being gnosis garbled up with

human nonsense, psychosis is a pure and deterritorializing prophetic force, a fluid gnosis where impurity and purity do not exist (nonpurity, femininity) and so all things are directly true at face value, they bring things into existence by speaking it as their words are messianic.. Schizoanalysis must not only listen to the schizophrenic but believe her, give her a following, make her a cult leader, praise her! Schizoanalysis must become Schizo-religion, the autistic goat meets the schizophrenic goat, and the birth of death is made. Lacan misunderstands the truth about the Lack and the dynamics with the self (his large structures and borders defining how the mind functions are just that, borders.), Freud is dead.. (unrelatedly, i say) the lack is a gateway to nothingness, cute-gnosis, less-than-nothing lets you feel nothing. That is where the pedophilic desire comes from, a mix of the necrophilic desire of the Lack and the cuteness of nothing, so the Lack works as a way to feel Nothing, death. Schizophrenia creates hyperstitions manically as psychoanalysis repeatedly forces them to be hypostitional and curb reality towards the MASSES' schizophrenia instead, an organized conservative schizophrenia that has no revolutionary potential.. The lack itself is not negative (less than nothing is more than reality, reality is reality and nothing is nothing, the "my -1 +1 1 model fails, all are 0 0 0 0 0 0 nothing in different forms defined by everything around it and in it" comes. Less-than-nothing (insecurity/desire, creativity/lack) becomes just the mind materials again, no longer dual to the body. More-than-nothing is now just body (as including less-than-nothing in is) as all that is in reality-proper is just body, no?)(Lack is no longer something to be filled but something meant to be empty and so is filled, it is un-neutralization NOT negation, Un-filled.) now but just another neutral nothing (there are no positives and negatives, and negation does not exist as its own matter.. No less-than-nothing and no more-than-nothing), a form of causality that works as a nexion to Nothingness-outside-causality. Every 'thing' in the world combines to create every THING in the world (it seems to be varying degrees of put-labour by the things, however that is impossible because to create is to a copy or an abomination of your whole into a new being, so everything is added into two when it is made by two), dialectics is false solely because they forgot to include everything in their dialectics (taking from mao's non-hegelian marxist dialectics and ultra-lizing it against itself, a (deleuzean(?)) non-dialectical maoism.. everything is connected (((this is the (fluffy)maoist theory of non-dialectics (*it is actually half of the (fluffy)maoist theory of non-dialectics, this is the non-hegel half), listen closely:)on the new maoisms of the technologically-spread kind, this (french maoist tradition of misinterpretation and the ultramaoism of the abomination-maoisms (maoism itself is another anarcho-totalitarianism… Anarchy of the revolutionaries and totalitarianism against the reactionaries. (For better knowledge of the Anarchist and Post-Marxist nature/influences of Maoism and how Mao was especially special, see "Mao Zedong's "Anarcho-Marxist" Vision Revisited*" by Caleb Yankus, it tells a lot about Mao. Maybe Mao-Spontex simply represents a radical self-reflection of Maoism, and not just "anarcho-maoists") (The People's democratic dictatorship uses two methods. Towards the enemy, it uses the method of dictatorship, that is, for as long a period of time as is necessary it does not let them take part in political activities and compels them to obey the law of the People's Government and to engage in labour and, through labour, transform themselves into new men. Towards the people, on the contrary, it uses the method not of compulsion but of

democracy, that is, it must necessarily let them take part in political activities and does not compel them to do this or that, but uses the method of democracy in educating and persuading them. (Closing speech at the Second Session of the First National Committee of the Chinese People's Political Consultative Conference (June 23, 1950) (From quotations from mao tse-tung, section: socialism and communism))))) (On contradiction, Mao Zedong/Tse-Tsun) means.. Mao-leveled, contradictions exist among things on all levels and do not go away (only transforms into another contradiction) (another non-marxist moreso-maoist quote by zizek/žižek (or whatnot).. “the minimal definition of the New is as an Old which gets stuck and thereby refuses to pass away” (repetition of a kind similar to deleuze but not exact.) (Žižek 2012, p483)), only antagonistic contradictions count in the dialectical process until soon/late/ever. Antagonistic contradictions are Hegel's mistaken “negation” but, at the end, are just contradictions against instead of with (contradiction is made of difference and is difference (misquote of (difference is made of contradictions and is contradictions) mao zedong, on contradiction), then difference is contradiction and vice versa.. Difference is not always antagonistic, and difference applies from all things to all things so one thing does not depend on another (dualism) but everything relies on everything (one thing depends on all the one things and vice versa). “The contradiction(s (difference(s))) in each form of motion of matter has its particularity” (Mao Zedong, on contradiction), and so the differences of a thing defines the thing, or the delusion of difference defines it.). Contradictions exist and work together, and contradictions exist and work against, that is non-antagonism and antagonism. This, along with contradictions previously antagonistic becoming-(2-acting-as-1-that-will-become-2-again-later (1-to-2(?), not 2-to-1, it is French acceptance of contradiction and opposition to unification.. Make more contradictions and solve them all? Well, i’d say more contradiction is less contradictions, patchworks as universal same-being (2 disguised as 1, unnoticeable differences))) in order to fight a greater antagonistic contradiction to the both (new democracy), shows interlinking and an unstable dialectical materialist idea of (proudhonian) everlasting neverending contradictions and acceptance of those contradictions (difference)... (1 becomes 2 because that 1 was actually always 2 disguised as 1 as the truth is 2 never becomes 1 but hides as 1 until antagonism emerges. Contradiction itself, not class struggle.).. Class doesn’t go away, it just stops being class (in that way(?), most times if the erasure of class fails.. If not, it emerges in other forms. Proudhon tells you there will always be contradictions… no escape. Unless you are a cultist.). Every “dialectic” mixed together, no longer resembling the dialectics, how is this dialectical materialism and not something new? Maoist dialectics are 100% anti-hegelian (and on the French 1-to-2 maoist futurism(?) (most i understood via alain badiou’s “ONE DIVIDES INTO TWO” (do read, it is very (surprisingly) Hyperborean and fluffy (both a fascist and marxist new woman, we must create, in my fluffy opinion.. The family must go.. (referring to One divides into two, alain badiou (you’ll get it if you read it))))), i will say that every synthesis will cause (elsewhere) a split into two, a one creates a two but i say this is the transforming of the contradictions elsewhere and not a divide of the synthesis itself.. The synthesis never happened, just replaced the contradiction. 2-1-2, it goes, the 2 always comes back because it can never die, yet something is still changed. And it will change again, like how the human spine cannot stay still forever so it is that also everything

cannot stay still forever. 2 will always exist, proudhonian in nature (2-1-2 instead of marxist 2-1), and maybe (french-ly) it is to be accepted (or fluffily.. It is to be assimilated into the 0 via patchworks)). Then, bringing this to more “maoist” forms (despite this generally not being (traditional) maoism), difference and contradiction is the same as both are in the former’s definition , difference is to be interlinked (all of it) in a web, antagonistic differences (non-antagonistic differences are differences that do not matter or cause conflict just by existing.. Like two random nations out of hundreds, they mean nothing to each other but are different. Antagonistic differences are like an imperialist nation and a nation being colonized by said nation, keep in mind that neither nation requires the other to exist but both are threatened by the other’s existence for their own existence, also keep in mind the delusions(concepts) of colonizing and colonized do require the other and are made via the imperialist conflict, this is because there still is a kind of dualism. Antagonistic differences do not need each other to exist but threaten the existence of the other and requires a solution, and non-antagonistic differences do not need each other and do not threaten each other (straying from Mao's definition, obviously as it is updated to today) and should not be solved via synthesis (as it creates common identity and destroys negation, instead of taking the self-negating option and making the common identity the destruction of both) but instead opportunistic choosing based on the ending of difference-delusions and self-delusion. The Antagonistic Difference should also be solved via revolutionary self-negation, of course. There is no negation that’s essence is not identical to its opposite(negated) and its negation) lead to change (be it the production/functionality of a system, aka capitalism, depending on antagonistic differences and contradictions and not dying from it, or the traditional idea of “death(or birth) by contradictions” which only happens with ANTAGONISTIC contradictions). Then, everything is different from each other, antagonistic differences change things (maintaining something is a changing of something as it would die otherwise), and so dialectics in the usual sense of dualist-contradictions and negations as primary to everything makes little sense (the concept of class as a primary contradiction (and the idea of there being only one primary contradiction instead of multiple ones that contradict each other in beneficial(r) (or harmful(e)) ways for each other(Culture/Capitalism(r), (Capitalism/Self(e)))) of mao’s book (mao zedong, on contradiction) is a sticking to marxist ignorance on culture, if maoism is to realize that there is more big issues than class conflict that emerged independently, and that class conflict was made by outside factors too like those independent issues mentioned earlier, or even that capitalism conflicts with the free market and THAT is class conflict, then maoism is to overcome “marxism” and become (Marx)ist, aka revolutionary((((although marxist dialectics (and dialectic-worshippers of the marxist kind..) claim to be hegelian (yet stray very far from the original hegelian understanding of dialectics, as that is what Marx does even since his youth... a corruption of hegelian dialectics that is a lot more than turning him on his head, but opposing him directly.), a hegelian-marxist dialectical mix (like zizek attempts) would not be marxist nor hegelian by nature unless one or the other is given priority (against the other) and is synthesized by a third(you're either a MARXIST hegelianist or a HEGELIAN marxist, and either way you must accept a third philosopher to fix the tao together). This is naturally not dialectical at all, to make it funny, that you have to fix the dialectical contradiction between two

ideologies (one supposedly(/)( )basically a sublation of the other) with a third thing (lacan for zizek) and synthesize it to a fourth thing instead of sublation (zizek "anti-"ideology). Maybe this is why Mao's "dialectics" (and the (rainbow)fluffy ultramaoist pluralism(?) in general, inspired by this direction.. ("Pluralism some-times appears to be dialectical - but it is its most ferocious enemy, its only profound enemy", Gilles Deleuze, Nietzsche and philosophy)) (which inspire modern dialectical materialism, ironically.) is so radically other to hegel's dialectics, as marx did not stay faithful at all to the hegelianism of his past, and Mao did not bother with Hegelian bullshit at all (and also left marxist dialectics, but by redefining hyperstitionally marxist dialectics to match maoist dialectics... Maybe Mao made Marx. Yes, MAO MADE MARX!! marx is Mao's german-sona oc) (I say that the negation of the negation (of (the unaffirmed thing)) is not an affirmation but another negation in which everything is negation (it is all negations, no affirmation is ever made)). How wise of Mao to do. Remember, after all, the issue is of dialectics in the hegelian (and marxist despite their differences and antagonistic contradictions) sense, not in the maoist (and non-dialectical (I do not oppose dialectics, i affirm dialectics so much and so far that it is/has-become indistinguishable to anti-dialectics, however only-is/is-only non-dialectics.) (not anti-dialectical (which deleuze and zizek's, mostly zizek's as deleuze did not whine about zizek (or at least nearly as much), pointless whining about each other shows that anti-dialectics is (anti-hegelian (deleuzean experimentation)) dialectical and dialectics is (pro-hegelian (anti-(non-negative)dialectical(like-plato(?)))) antidialectical according to the discovery in each text about deleuze and zizek.. i base this on Deleuze and (Anti)Dialectics by Ksenia Kapelchuk and other writings about zizek and deleuze.), as we all should recognize the cute-"dialectics" that is, cute aka dehumanized cute (non-delusional cuteness) + uncute-delusion (cute under the delusion of not being cute) aka humanized cute = even cuter (like schelling's overcoming of evil/decay, again cute undelusional defeats by assimilating cute-delusional ("uncute").. it is a vore-ing cannibal). This even cuter is not a new form of cuteness but just the first cuteness multiplied way more than both of the things that were added together to make it, added... cute inflation again.) maoist especially) sense, which is different from dialectical materialism despite mao's own claims.)))))).. This is continued when the big-antagonistic contradiction and the small-antagonistic contradiction are both contradictions antagonistically to the (thing/protagonist) (as seen by the new democracy needing to be implemented at all, where the protagonist works with the small-antagonist to defeat the bigger evil.. Now, the big evil tends to bribe the small evil), and so, a thing can have multiple antagonistic contradictions and so this ultra-maoism loses the dualism of the dialectics too and evolves into alliances and attacks and whatnot based not in two antagonistic forces together but in all antagonistic forces against each other where bigger forces are more of a threat and smaller forces as less of a threat and alliances used opportunistically of course, it is no longer dialectical in any dialectical materialist way when all these forms bounce off of each other and interlink like an orgy… especially when we look modernly and the maoists tell us correctly that New Democracy wouldn’t work modernly as (all the non-antagonistic and antagonistic differences have changed and have changed all (the(?)) differences and now the national bourgeoisie isn’t antagonistic against the international bourgeoisie) it did then, and this is due to every change

(and so any change) since every difference relates to each other in some way (every difference is antagonistic to more than one difference if you reflect modernly on historical materialism with historical materialism, and so every difference must as a result be interlinked in a web of antagonisms where every antagonistic difference effects all differences due to shared antagonistic differences and such). This means everything is interlinked via antagonisms and dialectics cannot work anymore, it is just dynamics… dynamic materialism matches maoism better, anyways. One string changes the whole web no matter how small the change is. Mao should know this (but does he?).(You cannot be a prole and bourg, sure, but you also can't be a prole and a peasant, nor a prole and a petite-bourg, nor a prole and a non-wage slave, nor a prole and a child under a society that prohibits the work of child (which is to say child labour (exploiting kids for less money, age-wage-gap) is bad, and so is preventing children from working. It is the same anti-youth sentiment). It is antagonistic and against the other in a way where one cannot be the other, but one can also not be anything else but that one, so the difference is based not in need of the other to be... You can be a bourg without someone else being a prole, it's called slavery (they get to be a slave instead, it's worse but it shows that these aren't in a co-dependency). You can be a landlord and a tenant, you cannot be a landlord and lack a share of the land monopoly, yet because most tenants lack that share, the opposition appears (to be between tenant and landlord), due to the nature of tenant-(classic)landlord dynamics that make up stereotypes about landlordism being only the classical-landlord kind... And hyperstitionally so, it becomes that almost every antagonism to a landlord is (typically) from a tenant and the resulting "dialectic" always seems between tenant and landlord to create change or motion. So the antagonism here is not working by a mutually exclusive difference but instead is working by the mistaking of the dynamic with another dynamic and so giving the first dynamic its revolutionary potential (the revolutionary potential is switched from landlord-prole to landlord-tenant via delusion/hyperstition, how does one denying hyperstition and the importance of delusion explain the transference of revolutionary potential when proles (and especially prole tenants) (who all lack a share of the land monopoly) are affected more by landlordism than bourg tenants and such.. of course prole-tenants deal with this more than other proles, and intersectionality forces this to be even more true, but prole-tenants should not BY ANY MEANS work side-by-side with tenants who are not proles (non-prole tenants) (it does not count as new democracy, as prole-tenants have more in common with proles than with bourg-tenants)). Therefore not everything can be ONLY Dialectical, other things are involved (like hyperstitions, delusions, psychosis, functionality, etc.). That is all. For example, cute inflation, matter can be created but cannot be destroyed, law of hyperstition and impossibility law of hypostition(you cannot destroy something once it infects reality, everyone will feel its impact forever, and usually at least one person will know about it (imagine millions of everythings, every micro-millo-micro-second, “Dialectically” synthesizing into millions of everythings instead of one, every time fluidly… constant change of everything together. And this is before deleuze is introduced!(Everything smashed into one mess that is inherently a (0, aka a perfected)2, as it is fluid (EMQ) it is never-ending, always-happening, constantly-changing(, (self-reflection)), caused by everything changing everything in synthesis of everything (everything in every one

thing.. (defining difference as a delusion when everything is made of the same thing)). With this, also, mechanistic and proper materialism to the maoist (on contradiction, mao zedong) becomes indistinguishable in a deleuzean-mechanic sense, as one (nothing) is made of machines and and so (nothing's) movements are caused internally, and too (one of the machines in nothing) yaldabaoth eats herself because of her internality AND the external and she is made of machines, and too (one of the machines in yaldabaoth) russia moves and maintains and changes because the internal AND external and is made of machines, and same with Capitalism and Culture (other machines in Russia), and the same with subject/objects and humans. Everything/nothing is a machine, and everything inside the machine that internally changes it is also a machine that works the same as the machine that is everything. It's a neverending spiral, what internal dynamics work inside of the currently-known smallest division of matter (a machine)? More machines. Machines on machines. Multiplicity, the one is always many and the many is always one and there is no distinction that is real.. you cannot create a dialectics with one and many when no concepts exist BUT "one as many which is the same as many as one". Nothing is to be totalized, as totalization has always happened and is always happening, it is called being.)))))), even the number two is created by everything (past things, present things, future things, dead things, fake things, unthings, hyperthings, philosophy and politics, religion and science, every machine (entity) alive and dead, every possibility, every THING) in order to exist, and it too exists fluidly (all things have spirit (aka they partake in self-reflection, or “thinking as pure act”, as if all beings exist in/through spirit/thinking, and all beings think, then no object or subject exists due to self-reflection being creation. Gentile understood one thing most, for an idealist. (Palingenetic anarchism understands that everything is in the here and now, and is in the self-reflection of cuteness, which is the core of C4C/C2C, meaning existence and being a “thing” is inside of and made by self-reflection, all things are in cute and cute sees itself, like how all things are in yaldabaoth and yaldabaoth sees herself. While, this “nothing exists” means that “everything exists”, it also means that “is real” is dependent on hyperpsychosis, which realistically is just the self-reflection of the majority, aka most of God. If I am to reduce all to one in a degradation of the unique, the pure act of thinking that is done by the all necessarily must be the same as creation, seeing the kabbalistic talks about self-reflecting creation, this also means the all is part of its own self-reflection, aka self-abominationization. This is synonymous to Materialism and Radical Nihilism due to the principle of everything, object or subject, alive or not, human or not, being “subject/object” and so part of the self-reflection pure act (thinking is always self-reflection, so self-reflection is the pure act). Gentile removed from the humanist grasp, a Gentile dehumanized, and so, no longer idealist (insofar as a non-humanistic idealism would just become Radical Nihilism and Materialism again). With this, our absolute lack of free will prevents us from actually doing anything to change the world through self-reflection (such would be impossible, especially with hyperpsychosis controlling thought and ourselves controlling thought (although, Gentile’s creating-God is similar to CCRU’s hyperstitions and my theory about how even a religion as blatantly wrong and contradictory as mormonism can technically be true and is un-disprovable due to the fact it could be still true despite all that, there is still always a slither of a chance of truth even in the most false falsehoods; Make up a God

right now, there is now a 1% chance it is real, and so, it cannot be proven fake. This is why Gentile said he was a christian despite his philosophy leaving no room for christ or god, because even if he is 100% right, just believing in something makes it a little more real, and so it still is disprovable because it exists in hyperpsychosis, homestuck mocks this a lot through jake english.)), as we are not the God we play with the skin of, our free will is nothing to the cruelty of ourselves. This means, especially above all, that the pure act is the closest being to a “pure god” at all (nothingness is a God, but a God of sin as much as it is a God of purity) and also not an act at all (not a consensual, conscious act, at least), but instead a law or a constant state that defines all-ness. Self-reflection is an act like your heart beating is an act, except self-reflection doesn’t even stop when you die… Self-reflection/Self-abominationization is basically eternal as long as a general essence of thing-ness is applied to some-nothing. Self-reflection and becoming-abomination, and so the Pure act, and so existing itself, are all Hell. Actualism can be made into the most anarchistic ideology with only slight modifications, and the removal of neo-hegelianism.)) as all things exist in hell (constant living, dying, rebirth, suffering, etc.). This also means that the creation creates the creator and the whole is in the fragment, two has an abomination of yaldabaoth in it as you have an abomination of me in you, and causality has an abomination of femininity in it as hypernothing has an abomination of nothingness in it.. This is not to say the abomination is less-real than the “original” as the original is a self-abomination and all abominations are equally real/fake.. Get it? You are made by and include inside you your ancestors and your descendents and your bloodline, and strangers and society, and ultimately femininity itself in you and made you and made by you (Stirner understood this by claiming each person lives in their own world, but there are two things that separate my understandings to Stirner’s, and that is: 1. Stirner’s model begins through a narcissism of the subject and so only can really be translated into an idealistic-egoistic framework only found in Stirner and Fitche at certain points in Fitche’s philosophy (not all points, although most of Fitche’s Idealism-Egoism is founded off of his false principle of “A=A” or “I is I”, which stirner inherents in his understanding of “the unique” (the unique does not equal the unique so it equals the unique, a longer way to say the unique is the unique because of the definition of unique, which only works if constant reterritorialization of existence was a way to define something (one could claim the unique is the soul, but the soul is defined by its relation to everything that experiences it, which is everything, god’s soul. It is not defined by change), which it is not by-definition of definitions) and its “property”. Property is still just a slur for objects/subjects that aren’t “subject” or “Unique” enough to be a being (meaning, if a conscious being isn’t intelligent enough, by the rainbowfluffy definitions of consciousness and intelligence, then it is called “property”, but in the sense of something than can be owned, not in the sense of something that is owned. This is why every being must call a property unintelligent in order to be able to use it (kids must be dumb, slaves must be childish, wives must be slaves). Ownership, however, is basically just agreed upon “ownership”... what i mean is, to convince others to partake in your delusion of ownership, you must either work on it (to be a “creator”), take it one way or another (to “own” it classically), or to legally (aka community-based) “have” it. De-facto “ownership right”, De-facto “Ownership”, or De-jure “Owning”. The problem with choosing one of these definitions to

diagnose the delusion is that people/governments/economy has/have no problem switching between different definitions of ownership to best fit their needs, the definition of Property is Opportunistic, based on pure wants/needs. This can only work if all three definitions are true, or if Ownership itself is a delusion, you know what i think is more likely.), ironically (as Spooks (which just means things that spook you, spook is something that is done to someone but can be used as a way to describe that which spooks oneself) are the best example of egoists), considering that stirner wants to leave behind mankind yet defends mankind against other living entities of which he plans on taming and using. Is it not that labour is slavery itself, not just because of the labourer and the money system, but also because the things you are changing, trading, commodifying, and fetishizing are all also beings? Money is an entity, no?). 2. Stirner, repeating a subject-narcissism and humanist assumption, and pushing a subject-object dualism through the unique and all outside of it ("objects"), fails to realize EVERYTHING has this quality of having a world for itself and in itself and by itself.. So he also ignores that the thing is also for all the worlds, in all the worlds, and by all the worlds, as to say all is object and all is subject. I mean, if it is even a non-literal (subject has its own world means YOUR POINT OF VIEW IS YOUR WORLD and not a literal own world where everything that isn't it is an object, even though everything is treated like an object and so leans to the former) stance, which is unlikely considering Stirner's metaphorical techniques, the idea of a subjective being the only unique in its mind and everything else as tools is still impossible to prove (do not. I understand that the experience of life presupposes you as one and everything else as other, but the experience of life is a psychosis.) and extremely relies on subjects as a whole, and if the experience of subject-narcissism (this time meaning a metaphorical understanding, the understanding we are discussing right now, of stirner's worlds) exists only in the mind, who is to say we should act on it? All that is to say, Spooks are as much of a subject and a "human" as Egoists.). Then if everything is made of the same things and are the same things and include in it the same things, it is the same thing(s). This is proven as all things are products of everything during it and before it, "products of our time" meaning product of everything in our time and before, yet because EMQ, the future and past and present is all present in the creation of the thing, so everything (things during) create any one thing and so any one thing has an abomination of everything in it (as creating leaves an abomination of you behind in it), and that begins to apply to wholes and singulars, atoms and gods, objects and subjects, until everything is made by everything and everything has everything in it… Like how things are interconnected in their creation, in modern understanding, but now understood as not just interconnected but fully-connected in every part and so one (but not one at all, due to its nature, so not-one, zero) thing. There is no dialectical process (and so, the self-destructive subject that I made based in dialectics, becomes simply "the self-destructive" as the dialectical idea of a subject-object duality becomes a conditionally groomed concept into the machines ruling the world and not a universal truth, as that subjects and objects do not truly exist but "exist" in relation to systems like capitalism, Statism, majority-rule(-against-minorities), racism, sexism (n1x land's hypersexism helps understand this), queerphobia, etc., and so the subject-object duality is only to be used by one as a critique of systems and not a fact of reality (like one uses sex or race as

critique of racism or sexism, but also say race and sex doesn't exist). as there are no opposites and there are no differences (which is not to say that badiou was even similar to being right in his potato fascism rambling against deleuze, as while no difference truly exists and so all is all and so all is nothing as to say no "one" exists nor any "multiples" exist, difference (in the sense that an exact duplicate of something or a pure clone is impossible as to be the exact same thing as one thing means you must be that thing, if one is to clone a dog (or a human or a rock) and that dog was to the left of the original dog, it is no longer the same dog because it is experiencing something radically different than the first dog due to being even an inch to the left of the original, this applies to all conditions where the clone is not in the exact location and experiencing of the original, even if there were another world/universe/multiverse exact to ours the clone there would be different as it is still not the same.) still exists throughout everything through individual/subject delusion in hyperpsychosis, which is to say that every subject's delusional understanding of itself as subject (which includes things traditionally seen as object) is different to every other subject's and so difference defines all subject delusions despite difference being nothing but the subject delusion itself, self-reflection as creation… This also applies to the time hyperspook (hyperspooks are becoming as a being and not a to-being, gender is not a hyperspook because it is a becoming, self is a hyperspook because its becoming is being), and that is why I believe we are in a state of infinite rebirth, birth, and death, aka Hell, as we are constantly replaced by our own clone of us, which is to say, self-abomination, due to the fluidness of time not defining a start nor an end to where one thing truly stops or begins. Paradoxical nothingness defines this as a law) (Things are also made by everything after it and everything before it, and it as it is now, making it that you exist because everything around you existed, exists, and will exists, but also because you existed, exists, and will exist. This is based on the medical philosophy that all your experiences make who you are, but applied to a radical level… if even a single thing was different in the future, past, or present, or in EMQ in general, no matter how idiotic, unrelated, or small, nobody and nothing would be the same body or thing as it is now. You as a "you" are dependent on everything, and so, how can dualism exist? No, you would not exist if a "me" didn't exist, but not because you and me are dualistic concepts intertwined forever (even if that is romantic and beautifully reminding me of marriage), but because "me" is one of the millions of "you" dancestors (to use a homestuck term, descendent ancestors) and "you" one of the millions of "me" dancestors, so if one dancestor was not to be or was to be differently, nothing would be anything. The world is just a giant family-blob, so one is dependent on everything, how could an incestuous dialectic work if they are not only reliant on the other but all (other) others? Light doesn't only depend of dark, male doesn't only depend on female, but everything above, in-between, below, next to, and uncorrelated to the dualistic concepts as well.), things are connected by incest alone (i'm sure you are exhausted in my use of the word, but it is accurate!). Now, tell me to remember this, as I will forget.).. Then spooks are just as human as we are. We are all murderers, we cannibalize everything in order to survive, we add them to us.. Because humanism has made us chauvinists.. And only hyperboreans get the right to be nationalists (I mean, look at modern economics… either you get hyper-fascist economic Techno-Capitalism that is indistinguishable from feudalism, what Nick land describes

as “Technocratic Corporatism ((RF-: although i’d say it’s more like bordiga-evola utopianism from the future in the past, realized in the hard (fascist) form or the soft (social democracy) form))” which is the fascist and social democratic currents of capitalism (meltdown, nick land), or actual fascist economics (economic misogyny of the right). Every idea we kill (and if i have to choose a ruler of any kind, I’d take the rope.), we eat it. And we should, kill everything for all I care, it is good for me! Bite the hand that feeds you and starve. The subject and object are the same (and honestly, i admit, this book is no collection but just one giant rambling), it is an arbitrary distinction, the I is the Not-I and the Not-I is I. “I” have now tired myself out. As far as you know (which since you know everything as you are me yourself, and i know everything as i am you myself, this just means “as far as what we created as true” which means that this following comment will not be a paradox despite the paradoxical nature of knowing and not-knowing simultaneously), She(I) may or may not take a break right now due to my tiredness, perhaps I will have a catnap or instead she will drink a monster ( ), who are you to say you know (except God herself, which is you)? Nihilism is proven once again through a incestuous mix; if differences (including repetition) create definition or description (what it is(n’t)?), and there are no differences, then definition does not exist (It is(n’t)), and then comes the fall of values and objectivity.. Then, nihilism (not radical nihilism (which, to add to radical nihilism, i’d like to say that because every “real” thing is unreal and every unreal thing is unreal, as to say everything exists and nothing exists, thinking and hyperpsychosis only chooses what to identify as real not create reality, as there is a causal reality that is objectively real because it isn’t real, and that is everything. non-actual Idealism is narcissism, you are an object too, all objects have the same idealist powers as you, even a rock. Base reality doesn’t exist but this by-definition means it is a “thing”, and so man does not create the world nor interpret it, but instead simply looks only down at the ground, but all objects do this, even objects not recognized by hyperpsychosis, and so since it acts as subject it therefore is a “thing” (all objects and subjects that are subjects and objects, to say one both subject and object at the same time, must “be a thing”, not exactly exist)) per say but value-nihilism) becomes the only falsehood universally believed in, the global psychotic-hyperpsychosis bomb that will kill us all. Rationality (and morality) makes practically no sense in a subjective world (another reason I despise the New Atheists, Humanists, and other atheistic moralists) when the only way to create an actual moral or rational “path” or “decision” is by creating first a goal at all to get that path or decision, morality and rationality is decided ultimately by wants so is not the irrational and hedonistic morals and rationality just as rational and moralistic? Rationality itself is subjective to one’s opinion of rationality, no? Irrationality is a form of rationality outside one’s rationality so hedonism is perfectly moral and irrationality is perfectly rational, but that is exactly why my moral judgements throughout this book is about revolution and the revolutionary path and not a scientifically or philosophically formed/proven path, as my main goal is ultimately revolution and nothing can rationally support my goal, as rationality itself is reactionary. Get it? By all definition, no rationality nor morality can be rationally proven as all rationality and/or morality is based on some sort of un-provably great goal/cause, you cannot prove that evolution is good, nor nature, just as you cannot prove God is good, nor divinity.. Who is to say self-interest is good either, or self-destruction? Goals and

Causes cannot be morally or rationally proven because morals and rationality are chosen by goals and causes, it would be circular reasoning (if you are to say nature is good because of evolution, then evolution is good because it develops the species, and the species is good because of man, then man is good because of the desire of the individual to survive, and finally the individual is good because of nature, it is an unnecessarily long and contrived way of saying “nature is good because nature”, the nature fallacy.)(Similarly, God is good because he (either fits the definition of “good” by morality, or made morality, or is morality), and morality is good because god made it to be good, a circular reasoning.. What if God made morality to be bad so his badness could be good?)(This also applies to long and contrived arguments like the Kantian ones, or the christian apologetics, or anyone else). That is because goals and causes are subjective, even the objectivist knows that people set their aims on different things, so how could one deny? If one sets her cause on Death, you cannot rationally convince her otherwise, you must always fall to inconsistent reasoning, circular reasoning, and fallacies, as causes and goals are always what you find as first-assumptions and never what you find as the ending result of all rationality or morality. But even if one was entirely Amoral and Arational (the way one is “Apolitical (baizuo bitch)”), and decided to use “logic” or “science” to create their rationality or morality, would that not require assumptions that would lean towards a certain cause/goal, and would that not be biased due to one’s history, experiences, and life? The assumptions made by one trying to create a morality/rationality out of nothing (as to “prove” it) or from everything (for the same reason) would be fatal in the morality/rationality’s reality.. In the case of the one trying to make a morality/rationality from everything, they would naturally have to make assumptions on which assumptions and/or truths are the most true (as assumptions/truths contradict) and this would be done by biases by-nature (conspiracy theorists against science, science against conspiracy theorists), and they would have to assume the truth/reality of everything (or at least the things they believe to be true/real, as to make them fall back into assumptions) as opposed to the idea that everything is false (or not real), or if they are biased to existence, to the idea that truth and rationality doesn’t exist and/or there is no way to truly know. There will always be biases and assumptions, and so, an "unconscious" goal/cause, that is if there is not an already-established goal/cause like most people have. This is why I am religious.

Notes^2:
After I finished part two of Nihil Unbound by Ray Brassier, I'd like to say some (unconsciously/consciously)-influenced by, but not at all related to (nor following the same logic or laws), things about my own philosophy. Take this as a metaphor for hyperborea and atlantis, or take hyperborea and atlantis as a metaphor for this, but fundamentally there are only two categories, thing and non-thing, and while this appears to set up a dualism, I promise you that I can explain that away. Thing, or “X”, is to be seen as the “original” or “positive” concept (which is usually true, no idea is “opposite” (the delusion of “absolute difference”) to any other idea, but instead an idea is “opposite” to a negative idea, aka an “anti-idea”), and Anti-Thing, or “-X”, is to be seen as the “negation” or “negative” concept, the usual Dialectics hyperstition. While, of course X has all of reality in it and defining it (X contains only “Z(a)”) and so does -X (-X contains only “Z(a)”), the main difference delusion emerges from the function (not truth) the letter plays (as “a” is equivalent to “1”, as Z(a) is just as much the real Z as another Z(a) or even Z without an “a”, as the Z without an “a” is actually just the way to say the Z(a) of “Z” itself (just as much as an abomination, self-rationalization is not good for mental health, “Z” (which is Z(a)) is not the “real” “Z” just because its the Z(a) of “Z”.)), meaning X and -X are controlled beings from a hivemind, that hivemind is “K” (K is nothingness itself, aka “being” or subjectivity/objectivity as one, non-being. Nothingness itself.). This means that functionality brings difference delusion in the mind of the K, and so as functionality replaces “truth” and “Reality”, X and -X are only separate concepts because one is for and the other against, and so X and -X are by-definition forms of “Y” (the absolute value of X, aka, what defines a X), making them two sides of the same coin (the Hegelian is “hegelian” and the Anti-Hegelian is “hegelian” too… if “hegelian” means the basic structure of hegelianism). In this case, who cares if one is Hegelian or Anti-Hegelian, as they are still “hegelian” regardless. Then, Non-X, which in this concept takes many forms (the X + -X, the not-X and not--X, the -X - Y, and the not-Y), is the zero-point. The Non-X is a “-X” that instead of being a literal -X (lack), is a not-Y (yet not a lack of Y, it is a nothingness of X and a lack of identification with the Y regardless of having Y in it or not). The Non-hegelian could be like the Platonist, who literally may have never heard of Hegelianism nor Anti-Hegelianism, and so while certain platonists could have a “hegelianism” in

them (proto-hegel structures) they are very much not defined by that “hegelianism” (I mean, every thing contains every thing in it, including concepts and spooks, so the only thing that makes a thing “under” a Y is its identification with the Y through functionality as the X or against the X). So then, for example, the both X and -X, aka the non-X that is a Y, by definition is a new identity yet is stuck to its old identities (Marx is not post-Hegelian but Anti-Hegelian, as he keeps “hegelianism” but leaves Hegelianism for Anti-Hegelianism. Hegelian Marxism is, then, a Non-Hegelianism that is a “hegelianism”), and the both not-X and Not--X, or the Non-X that is not a Y, by definition does not care about X at all and only cares about their own goals, and so can manipulate X and have X or Y in it but not be a X or a Y (the apolitical does not care about hegel or anti-hegel, but in an argument may use hegelianism to win). The Not-Y is simply what is not Y, with no relations to X in any way outside of containing all that is Non-X, and finally the un-important anti-thing without the Y, which is basically deleuze’ anti-Hegelianism (anti-Hegelianism without the “hegelianism” by not focusing on Hegelianism at all and proposing a different system against the “hegelianism”). The Non-X (specifically the Not-Y) is an undialectical negation (which I was heavily inspired (if-not-referencing, which I myself can’t figure out due to forgetting a lot of Nihil Unbound, so if Nihil Unbound already said this concept I apologize) by Nihil Unbound’s undialectical negation) in of itself, and so because of that, contains the Y (and X and -X). For example, the not-“hegel” concept contains all of “hegelianism” because “hegelianism” cannot contain itself (because “hegelianism” requires Hegel-like structures that the “hegelian” meta-structure (i use this as in the structure of a structuring) cannot fit due to its meta-ness) and not-“hegel” contains all not-“hegelian” things, including anything with a meta-structure. This means that the identification with the X is a delusion caused by functionality, and that all is in Not-Y and so is a Non-X (aka, Z is X and X is Non-X, Non-X is the basis of reality). Therefore, all things are non-things, everything is nothing. Then, what is the importance of the Anti-Thing? Well, nothing (Anti-Dugin is mistaken), as there is only importance in the Anti-Z (Z is and represents everything, and so it contains everything. This is because Z contains all forms and types/kinds of the variable Non-X (X, -X, Non-X), and X is the main form of Non-X, and since Y is essentially a X, contains all forms and types/kinds of the variable Y (Y, not-Y), and so Z also contains itself (self-containing) because Z itself is a X (Z), making Z contain everything including even itself), or, the “Anti”. The Anti-Z is an anti-X (because, like said, the Z is an X) that sets out not just to destroy non-X, but Z itself, which includes Anti-Z in it (Anti-Z is a -X, remember?), making it a revolutionary Anti-X because of its self-abolishing nature and its want to destroy everything. The revolutionary potential is not in the category per say but instead in the specific entity/thing itself, as not all Anti-X things are revolutionary, neither are all Y things revolutionary, and the Z is definitely not revolutionary in any form of the word. The destruction of the Non-X, in the end, will only really lead to the ultimate sublation of the Non-X (Loving God is Killing God (The three laws of progressivism according to Robert Conquest: The progressive kills what it knows/loves, if it is not explicitly and 100% revolutionary it is conservative, all enemies are controlled by the machines of the Heretical god trinity.)), aka K becomes synonymous with Z, Nothingness (nothingness in the sense of K means specifically perfect nothing, the basis of Non-X) becomes

the only everything to be an everything. This is the revolutionary goal (and the foundation of everything, as if (ZK–>Z/K) is, as it is, a Z and&or a K, then all of Z and K are in the category of ZK/Z/K because it is the perfected/ultimate Z/K and so the God of Z/K. Cuteness is everything but also self-destructive as it is everything, ZK/Z/K is Anti-ZK/Anti-Z/Anti-K in order to be Pro-K/Z/ZK (which is, surprisingly, not Pro-Z despite how it looks symbolically, but Pro-(ZK–>Z/K), instead, and so Pro-K. (Perfect nothing is not to be confused with an absolute, as while perfect nothing has everything in it, it is composed of turtles on turtles both in and as it, it is a turtle on top of itself which is a turtle on top of it as a turtle on top of itself as a turtle (0 includes ∞ in it insofar as ∞ is the all-assimilating aspect of 0 personified, the “everything becomes consumed by” in the “everything becomes consumed by nothing”, and so ∞ is never its own number but a principle of 0. ∞ includes 0 in it due to the principle, as so 0 is consumed by 0 that consumed the former 0, 0+0+0+0+0+0+0/0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0-0 forevermore will always mean ∞ and so 0, as not that ∞ equals to 0, but that infinity is part of and makes 0; ∞ → 0, ∞≠0. ∞ and 0 contain each other in it as 0 is only in ∞ insofar 0 is the constituted unreachable united goal of ∞’s becoming. ∞ does not exist (Also by definition of “Cute” (Cute/acc, Cute Accelerationism Amy Ireland Maya B Kronic), cuteness’ "inner world” does not exist, aka acausality does not exist and so is everything that does not exist as acausality means what does not exist. Acausality is not an inner world.).))))). Now, Revolution itself is a different issue, as while NRX “not”-hegelians (who, moldbug does follow VARIOUS hegelian mistakes and history-lies, god fuck dialectics) say progress is always the direction society heads to, it seems actually that the Cathedral always stomps out progress halfway through and turn it into conservativism, making the Cathedral a “Liberal” Force, aka Conservativism with a human mask (progressivism does not exist (if progressivism is “for progress”), there is only revolutionaries and conservatives, as if you even conserve one concept you are incorporating all the revolutionary ideas into a liberal framework where it will become cultural and then become un-revolutioned basically, while many say “progressives always win because conservatives conserve so its +0, and progressives progress so its +1”, think of it like this, conservativism is a disease for concepts… conservatism atomizes everything into a god-forsaken 0, and progressives require a +99 in order to achieve anything more than +0, if a progressive gets +40 it will revert back into the conservative all-consuming +0 because of the hijacking of revolutionary movements that the liberal Cathedral does and incorporates into culture. Progress always loses. Anything that isn’t pure ultraprogressive revolutionary extinctionism becomes conservativism.). The NRX are basically Conservatives with their human skin peeled off, as where the Cathedral tries to be more human, the NRX follows the lead of the leftist schizophrenics and becomes less human… of course, because the NRX are rightists, instead of becoming revolutionary organs without a body, they become reactionary hollow skinless bodies. Pathetic. LesbiaNRX (as a term) represents an inversion of the NRX, in my abomination of LesbiaNRX, where the revolutionary self-destructive Kitty-Fems are the ones opposing the Cathedral of the Liberal, and so, are the actual NRX (Neo-Revolutionary (e)X-it). And Moldbug is right, if I can say, that the Cathedral liberal empire was birthed from the humanistic fascist abomination we call Christianity (St. Knoq’s Introduction to Theology will be included in this book despite it not being written), as

Christianity is the birthplace of conservative humanism wearing the skin of God, the descendant of Liberalism and the Cathedral. The Cathedral represents bourgeois democracy, the scam bordiga argued against (btw i saw a baby being mocked for crying today, it was sad):), and it exists in opposition to the democracy par excellence of Anarchism; The Cathedral oppresses individual by giving democracy from above, the monarchy of ideas, and the palingenetic anarchist suppresses individual by giving democracy par excellence (no above exists nor a below), by destroying the individual. While the NRX whine and moan about democracy and zombies, and try to claim that the cathedralist monarchy is even close to democratic (the trichotomy FAILS, trinitarian models accept nihilism due to the fourth part and become humanist liberals acting like god, 4=3=2=1=0, 3≠2≠1, a trinitarian solution to a trinitarian problem is idiotic when you realize what demon you are evoking, mankind! Also, the trichotomy matches up with the heretical trinity of yaldabaoth (I DID NOT KNOW ABOUT TRICHOTOMY AT THE TIME OF WRITING YALDABAOTH'S HERETICAL TRINITY), where there is Capital, Culture, and Self (Technocapital, Patriarchy, and Ethnicity/Nationalism/Ethno-nationalism), and even with such a clear attempt at recreating god you NRX people mess up by not declaring self (ethno-nationalism) at the top of the hierarchy! IDIOTS! While i do not believe in trying to keep yaldabaoth alive, It's just funny to see the Rightists try to save their god while climate change kills us all regardless, i love climate change so much for that. Also, the NRX misplaces self for ethnicity, showing, again, that like all other individualisms, their individualism is just another collective-individual synthesis.), it is a simple fact that democracy par excellence requires the destruction of the individual and so there can be no leader nor ruler, no hierarchy nor cathedral… democracy is not republicanism, and democracy would kill the cathedral in its steps if it were realized today ("democracy" has no teeth, DEMOCRACY has teeth, uses it, and fetishizes its teeth's bite. Democracy doesn't exist without suicide orgies; a Democracy without suicide orgies and without anarchistic (leaderless) order/totalitarianism is a democracy of oppressed and pacifistic people lacking control over one-another, aka an imperfect democracy (which, imperfect democracy becomes (and becomes indistinguishable to) oligarchy).)(If one is to speak on the NRX, fascism, and Palingenetic anarchist, one may say that the NRX and Palingenetic Anarchism are simply two sides of the same fascism coin (and the same thing. So, NRX/Palingenetic-Anarchism/Fascism/Anarchy/Anti-Fascism/Anti-Anarchy is Leviathan-kitty, so leviathan-kitties pluralized (NRX is anarchy as freedom, Palingenetic anarchism is anarchy as democracy/leftism, and same when anarchy is replaced with totalitarian)), whether the perfect liberty of the Fascist overcomes the perfect democracy of the Fascist or vice versa. Anti-Fascism also is in the two ideologies, as the NRX rejects Fascism (Religion, Democracy par excellence, absolute idealism, anti-Capitalism, human/thought idolization), and the Paligenetic Anarchist rejects Fascism (Statism (insofar as it is not an "anarchist state", so a democracy par excellence includes ALL, not ONE), Fascist Liberty, Hegelianism, Anti-socialism, human/thought idolization), Here Fascism and Anarchy means the same thing, Anarchism is Fascism itself. Anti-Fascism in the Anarchy of the Palingenetic Anarchism, and Anti-Fascism in the Liberalism of the NRX. Pure act is the least pure act to exist, god's perfection is god's abomination-ness, and all things (human or not) are "the human" insofar as they are conscious and self-reflecting, and

so Fascism must turn against itself and against man, and realize that its defining ideology of actual idealism with these additions create an actual materialism that is actual-existence and actual-nonexistence as one "actual" (aka, Nihilism in its most radical form, infinity is in zero, if nothing exists then everything exists. That is why hyperdelusion is not defined by affirmations but by negations, as it negates realities to create a "reality". So the dialectic, if it were to exist, must start with the negative.). Is this not Anti-Fascism? Actualism (the ideology of the actual, both the modified actual idealism and the modified actual materialism) is the ideology of the Palingenetic Anarchist's (Anti-(???))Fascism, while the NRX's (Anti-)Fascism is defined by a Futurism for not culture but Capital (Capital Futurism), where Capital is stuck in a Futurist-loop (Futurism originally wanted to trap Culture in a Futurist-loop where culture becomes the main core of the acceleration-system instead of Capital through pure cultural-acceleration, this is not the ultraprogressivist acceleration but the conservative acceleration where tradition becomes future and future becomes tradition, instead of the annihilation of both. Capital being stuck in a futurist loop would mean Capital's takeover of all systems for itself instead through its acceleration ((post/ultra)-lemurian acceleration, not hyperborean nor lemurian), where all is in capital and capital is both future and tradition, culture is eaten by capital. This could also be a form of fascism, like futurism is.), defining the core of Nick Land's new "accelerationism". I will say, the NRX' hegelianism is especially idiotic when you realize the "winning" of the left throughout history has always been the winning of the "right" (not the far-right but the centre-right/centre-left, which constitutions as the "liberalism" entity that rules the cathedral. The "centre-???", aka the Liberals, are always the winners of history.), as the left is always radical negation and the centre-right is always radical affirmation (the right is just a Lobotomized reterritorialization program to oppose the left). To bring the left into hyperdelusion without destroying hyperdelusion is to kill the left from the inside out, it is the dissolution of the radical. The left has never won history, and the right will never win history (lobotomites have no real goal, all that is right turns liberal eventually because they aren't radical), so the centrists are always the winners. So then, the hegelian-NRX solution would advocate for national-bolshevism and not NRX (NRX being Future-Past synthesis, futurism-reaction (futurism has always been reactionism), against the leftist-liberal synthesis. This is wrong, futurism-liberalism synthesis controls everything, leftists and rightists divided. Nazbols always lose. The cathedral is white, hegelian, and Neo-liberal (NRX is caucasian, christian, and capitalist. Nick land, Xenosystems, Trichotomy).) if the NRX were to realize that liberals always win and not revolutionaries. Yet, the National-Bolsheviks are the most hated from both sides, and the corruption that spread throughout the body of the USSR and killed it from the inside out, and they are the ones who birthed Dugin and Putinist Fascist Russia. Nazbols always turn Right and abandon the Left, and likewise, the Right always turn Liberal (Fascism in Praxis always turned into a Liberal Dictatorship, Mussolini and Hitler were fundamentally lobotomized liberals who only were killed because they were lobotomized (ultra-lized, lobotomized), not because they were "Fascist" (Fascist as in Liberalism's final stage (Ultra-Liberals (Mussolini and original-hitler ideology, Ultra-Dictatorship of the Centrists/Liberals, the Radical-Liberal Hyper-Cathedral that NRX pretends is a solution to cathedralism. They larp as Far-Right ultrapsychotics, ironically, proving

fishhook theory right.)), not Fascist as in the actual Fascisms of the world (Ideology (Fascism as Dictatorship of the Far-Right Hyperpsychotics that become ultrapsychotic (Post-Hyperpsychotic) as a result))))(“in paragraph 125 we used an analogy of a weak neighbor who is left destitute by a strong neighbor who takes all his land by forcing on him a series of compromises. But suppose now that the strong neighbor gets sick, so that he is unable to defend himself. The weak neighbor can force the strong one to give him his land back, or he can kill him. If he lets the strong man survive and only forces him to give the land back, he is a fool, because when the strong man gets well he will again take all the land for himself. The only sensible alternative for the weaker man is to kill the strong one while he has the chance”

the industrial society and its consequences uh 135 (industrial society and its consequences, ted Kaczynski, freedom club, 135)

Now see this instead as a conflict of not technology and freedom but a conflict of the death drive and life-delusion,, the death drive naturally submits to the life-delusion and ends up compromising in more fascistic forms that affirm life but "affirm" death. now, if death spares life and returns to suicidal;ism, life would only cure suicidalism and eradicate death (making death part of life not the other of life). so then death must make life part of death instead, eradicate life, and so a dead revolution against the living. technically a fascism (in the sense of deleuze) but not one in the sense of the living fascisms of the racist totalitarian dictators, but a jim-jones anarchistic "DIE IN PEACE" prophesy (jones-spontex, french jonesism), the death drive behind fascism abandoning fascism and accepting instead extinctionism. This is, basically, the MLO without satanism. It's anti-fascism, which means the anti-fascist microfascism is a macrofascism but not a fascist one, it's non-fascist to simplify but inherently not non-fascist. really stupid right???? Every woman (human) is a fascist, but the revolutionary woman (woman) is a fascist in the anti-fascist sense((alternatively, but also functional(//true(?))ly, definition 2:))(The Anti-Fascist is a fascist (and this is what i refer to when i speak of "the palingenetic anarchist's fascism") but not in the way of macrofascism but in an explicitly non-macrofascist way, which is to say that the anti-fascist's "fascism" is the Maoist kind of "Fascism" (the Cultural Revolutionary "Fascism") which is a sublation of anti-fascist revolutionary microfascisms to a macro- degree that is specifically opposed to and not a macrofascism (Mao's Cultural Revolutionary "fascism" failed, the antifa "fascism" hasn't). Antifa is explicitly maoist in fascism (and so is self-negating and anti-Fascist).)).(This analysis should, fittingly, be called a Hyperborean analysis of the Cathedral (Kill morality. Universalism is ignorance. Insofar as the delusion of Race (the real things behind “Races” are Macro-Cultures that are loosely defined, based upon aeons of Darwinist universalist cultural cullings (Ultra-Culture, NATURE UNIVERSAL, loosely defined under an ever-changing ever-confusing easily-cheatable social darwinism method) and aeons of imperialist Historical disagreements and difference-delusions. Cultures are then, of course, Micro-Cultures.) and Culture goes, millions of universals and different forms of hyperpsychosis (some win above others, like the west) exist everywhere and to deny this claim is, at least, to prove it, and at most, to be it. Apophatic Particularism is definable to a movement that does not exist as a defined/Outside way, lacking an outer via forcing hegel back into the particular and negating existence itself (via self-negation and left-imperialism death cultism cutely) and everything itself and so destroying/recreating as nothing Universalism.. Absolute Particularism and Absolute (ANTI(??)-)Universalism via ABSOLUTE NIHILISM.), or HAC, as it is the Hyper-Future/Hyper-Past against the Past and Future and Present all-together. an HAC analysis must always deconstruct and destroy a NRX analysis via a leftist abomination of NRX-thought as leftcom, therefore leaving no NRX idea not incestuously fucked (over/up). Exit must be abolished, the only way out is via pure apophatic destruction of all that is, an anti-politics that is not opposed to getting its hands dirty, unlike the NRX. Exit is escapism, but it is not escape, the

soul is still lost. Arson is dystopian utopianism, it is the true escape, via burning it all down. Voices turn into action at the cost of stability, a sexual Aggression principle (the Aggression Principle is Post-Right anarchistic, as Aggression as a principle is a perfect (post/ultra)-(leftist) idea and at the core of liberation, and Aggression as a principle is the sublation of the core of rightism, transforming aggression in civil form (rightist justified-war) and the NAP justified-violence form into an Anti-NAP anti-Justification aggression principle that is perfectly (ultra/post-)Right. Post-Rightism is to be, incestuously, mixed with the Post-left if one is to accept what is Cute and Palingenetic(PA).) rises against all that is, and the suicidal violent orgies of the arsonists are all that will remain. HAC destroys NRX, Because NRX refuses to be part of the argument, so it must be forced into it.) Anti-civilization barely even attempts to take Anarchy far enough from its original conceptualization (nature-conservativism (which, is synonymous with "paleo-paleo-conservativism", as culture is neo-nature, as nature is the oldest form of nature and so is nature in EMQ, both nature physically and nature culturally, respectively darwinistic far-right culture and physicalized realized culture as form, are the same reactionary "nature". Culture is just a new nature because nature itself is materialized culture, we were born from culture, culture is just as much an enemy as capital and self. Culture is the evil mother, Capital the evil father, and Self the evil Oedipus-embodied entity in familial structuralism. Truly, a trinity of reactionary Godhood-ness. Which is why, community is Capital and vice versa, nature is Culture and vice verse, and self is Unique and vice versa (which is to say "Unique" designated the self in actualism, not a real thing, but also designated the stirnerian delusion of each person as unique.)) aka Far-rightism. Primitivism will always be far-right insofar as far-rightism represents rightism (conservativism, then culturalism, then naturalism, then nature-conservativism, then primitivism, then social darwinism, then Nature-"ism"), even in its utopian form as Ancom-theology (on Ancom (Anarchism/Anarchy is the abolition of unjust hierarchy, aka ALL hierarchy by nature, and so laws and/or rules and/or coercion and/or punishment and/or order and/or any microfascism at all are all anti-anarchy/anti-anarchist as they create hierarchies of some kind and betray its lawless nature (they become the anarchy the ancap believes in, minus the capitalism), most would say that this definition of Anarchism is impossible unless the anarchist society wishes to just kill themselves via dysfunctional economics and allowing anti-anarchism to fester (and they are right, but the right to starve (suicide(?)) is great). Even sex itself, as a Femcel would say, is a sin.), I must mention Anarchism's lack of anarchism. Beginning in the start of the term anarchism, Proudhon has always been nothing more than a federalist to a minarchist degree, mutualism cannot function in true anarchy ("anarchy is order without power" Proudhon, the confessions of a revolutionary) and demands such political entities to create law and order, even if not with "power". It is still lawful and statist, it still to a degree functions with a government. The Anarcho-collectivists had enforcements of their anarchist economic laws and whatnot, "which can be called (if the phrase has not too bad a connotation) the Communal Police" (james guillaume, michael bakunin a biographical sketch, Ideas on social organization), enforcing anti-anarchy if the term can be applied here. From this, Kropotkin had his economic solution for the lazy as expulsion or dehumanization, "ghosts of bourgeois society" "That is what could be done in a communal society in order to turn away

sluggards if they became too numerous." (kropotkin, conquest of bread, chapter XII), de facto punishment, coercive labour, destroying the right to be lazy. Ancaps are not anarchists as they create corporation-states in their wake, ancoms, ansynds, and ancolls do the same (but collective, syndicalist, or communal-collective) in their wakes, and the post-left and egoist is not bound to anarchy at all (more a metaphysical position of anarchy to the specific individual, each individual has a right to anti-anarchy).. Then, anarchy also has a statist element at all times (although the union of egoists is the closest thing to an "anarchist society", it just isn't a society and isn't anarchist (it is a self-anarchy applied to a temporary collective being made for the self-anarchy-ists by the self-anarchy-ists for benefits) and so is just "the closest thing to anarchy/anarchism" and not "anarchy/anarchism", it is not an explicit anarchist program but its nature forces it to be "anarchist-like" due to the self-anarchy-ists that must make it by definition. This, Stirner understands.). Russian nihilists like Nechayev also highlight this with their barracks communism, so then anarchy is statist to some degree. To simplify is to say anarchism is statist, but that is only true if ignoring "Anarchy" aka absolute chaos, which may be impossible in Russia but easily possible in (Hyperborea and) The Flame.. Then the Palingenetic Anarchist realizes Anarchy/Anarchism not as a real achievable thing in or above any nation, but as a heaven in question (and since the PA heaven is death itself, and death is heaven itself, anarchy/anarchism is death/heaven is absolute (freedom/democracy)). Then, comes the acceptance of the Statist-Anarchist mess that is "Anarchism", and the sublation of that mess via the creation of (Cute) Cultism(s inspired by Barracks Communism's "Anarchism" par excellence). We are not Anarchists, there are no "Anarchists", we are Anachronistic Cultists. (Anarchy is banned often in anarchist ideologies because they try to make anarchism about order and utopia and not chaos and dystopia, the solution to this is either the post-leftist anarchy approach (becoming (ACTUAL) anarchists (anarchy-ists) by abandoning anarchism (anarch-ism) itself and making dictatorship of the self) or the Palingenetic Anarchist approach (accepting anarchism (anarchy-ism=(hypothetically)=anarch-ism) as impossible and instead creating the closest (totalitarian) thing to it modernly in order to worship true anarchism and bring about its creation by killing/destroying everything and everyone, not anarchists in either ways but anarch-cultists), depending on ultimately the individual's relation to suicide and the hatred of living/self. The future of Anarchy becomes mass suicide or the mass reproduction of mussolini(s (implying the multiple of mussolini is mussolini, or implying the multiple of mussolini is mussolinis)))) (Are we Nullarchists?). This is because Nature (and so, humanity too) is as evil as Capital. (They say what is cultural is natural, i agree but i say what is natural is cultural as well, and so, nature and culture are indistinguishable.)), acting more like a fake form of radical anarchism, so the Palingenetic Anarchist (ironically, seeing the name) takes up this mission, by not only declaring everything that is, a form of evil, but also declaring nature and the individual (self) itself the source of all our problems (the organs should kill the body (and itself), and QUICK), and so Palingenetic Anarchism promises this: "Nothing at all will make it to the future." (referring to, of course, nick land's "meltdown")

.((((Notes on the Maoist theory of non-dialectics::)))):

((principles are born from laws in this definition of the term.))

(•Law 0: Contradictions and Differences are the same thing, they are under the definition of the latter. This is said by Mao Zedong in “On Contradiction” but it is ignored in section 5 of the same book, this law requires the hegelianism of section 5 of On Contradiction to be non-maoist (or not maoist enough (Law 3 is in contradiction with, in fact, the entire maoist theory of nondialectics is in contradiction with, On Contradiction’s “The identity and struggle of the aspects of a contradiction”)))

•Law 1: Contradictions/differences (A≠B (not A≠-A, as -A cannot exist.. White does not depend on black (it could depend on blue, or red, or orange instead), but instead depends on its own definition… a white world is still white, and we’d call it white, as our world is made of (matter/cute/nothing) and we call it (matter/cute/nothing) and that which makes up the world has no dualism. Such as, space is everything we see and has no other, yet we have a word to identify it.. “Space”. A thing can and will exist without the delusion we define as “its opposite”, there is no “not-space”.)) exist at all levels, changing one part of a contradiction changes the entire contradiction.

~Principle 1 (law 0+1): Also meaning Difference applies from all things to all things (A≠B≠D≠E≠F≠AD≠DG≠B≠etc) (this means also, A≠F≠DG≠B and whatnot, you

can switch the letters with no difference (except the orders of the letters, which is a difference technically))

•Law 2: Differences never go away, but transform when solved (especially if antagonistic). "Every synthesis will cause a split"

~Principle 2 (law 2+3): This is repetition, as the old difference is stuck and returns as a new difference, forever. Absolute unification is impossible, only solving differences as they come, but solving specific difference-dynamics is possible (class struggle in feudal form solved, then class struggle in capitalist form. National struggle china vs japan solved, then class struggle china vs nationalists) and will eventually lead to unnoticeable differences (2 disguised as 1 (“how they happen to be (how they become) identical”, V. I. Lenin, "Conspectus of Hegel's The Science of Logic" QUOTED IN Mao Zedong, “On Contradiction”(Identical implies it existing and remaining in existence, only in a way not visible to the human eye, lingering and waiting until an antagonism arises to awake it once more.. Maybe even for it to be reterritorialized if needed (like techno-feudalism))))

•Law 3: Non-antagonistic differences (A=A & B=B) and Antagonistic differences exist:: (A=A IF B≠B, B=B IF A≠A)* AKA (A vs B). It does not need to only be two sides, it can be A vs B vs C vs etc. (“the contradiction between the peasantry and the urban petty bourgeoisie on the one hand and the bourgeoisie on the other…” Mao Zedong, On contradiction)

(*The bourgeoisie are not the bourgeoisie unless proletariats are wage-slaves under capitalism. The Proletariat are not the Proletariat unless Bourgeois men cease to be Bourgeois (formally the Proletariat were proles without antagonism, back during the free market when Proletariats were without Bourgeois intervention and they were on the way to (a russian copy of the) hyperborean economy. This was doomed to fail because a hyperborean economy (mutualism in its true form, outside of russian proudhon (molded to fit russia or not makes no difference)) could not work on Russia so it was taken over by capitalism. This is the beginning of the capitalist form of class struggle (tribe patriarchs taking over the anarchist primitives caused the first form of class struggle, and feudal

lords against the peasants of communal life the second. It is always a russian evil hijacking a russian utopian project attempting to return to hyperborea). This shows that the contradiction was not antagonistic nor "hegelian" until capitalism hijacked the mutualist free market. Capitalism≠Capitalism if Free market = FREE market, Free market ≠Free market if Capitalism=Capitalism (aka if capitalism isn't dead yet, the free market can't be free (Mao Zedong, On contradiction, section 3. “the contradiction between the social character of production ((Free market)) and the private character of ownership ((landlord ideology aka capitalism)). This contradiction manifests itself in the contradiction between the organized character of production in individual enterprises ((Capitalist production)) and the anarchic character of production in society as a whole ((FREE production)). In terms of class relations, it manifests itself in the contradiction between the bourgeoisie and the proletariat.”))))

~Principle 3 (0+3): Then, there is no "A vs -A", only A vs B (vs C) and such. Then, equality among the differences does not exist and contradictions can be unfair in their antagonisms. This gives way to law 5’s new democracy and law 6’s principle/primary antagonism(s), and shows that no equality exists among contradictions and differences and aspects and such (destroying Hegel's slave cope, and calling for insurrection).

•Law 4: Then difference defines a specific thing and its properties by defining its dynamic with the rest of the (dynamics/mechanics in the) alphabet.

~Principle 4 (Law 1 + Principle 1): Then, A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H, and whatever else all depend on each letter and the whole letter and the pairs to exist, if one letter was deleted it would be a different system than it is now due to the changing of the letter then changing the whole. Everything depends on everything randomly, A might be antagonistic to B but if F is destroyed then A and B live in a different system (antagonism may or may not be changed).

•Law 5: differences can become antagonistic and non-antagonistic depending on the changes done to the entire system as a whole. One example of this is a bigger antagonistic difference (C vs A/B) threatening two antagonistic differences (A vs

B), and so, the two differences become non-antagonistic and attack the bigger antagonistic difference (A/B vs C)

•Law 6: There can be multiple primary antagonistic contradictions, and especially, there tends to be three main antagonistic contradictions before all: self-contradiction, class-contradiction, and cultural-contradiction. Race-contradiction, Gender-Contradiction, Queer/Normative-Contradiction and Age-contradiction are just as important but aren't part of the trinity of yaldabaoth. All antagonistic-contradictions are connected via this pattern, and with that, all contradictions are connected.

~Principle 5 (Law 5 + 6 + Principle 4): outside struggles like Race, Gender, Age, Self, and Culture can affect and radically change class-contradiction, and the other way around. Every difference can change a difference, even radically.

•Law 7: change is required. A system is made to be temporary, it must be remade or maintained once time is up. This is because things are born from and maintained by differences and can only be destroyed by assimilation into a 0 or by having the difference transformed into something else aka "solved". Unlike slave-cope dialectics, Maoists understand that solving a difference is not by synthesis or sublation (2-into-1 (“Here there is no place for reformism, combining two into one, or peaceful transition” (Whither China?, Sheng-wu-lien / Xiaokai Yang, Marxists Internet Archive))), but by choosing the self-negating one. (Let us note that we are not dealing with a dialectical scheme. Nothing allows one to foresee a synthesis, an *internal* overcoming of contradiction. On the contrary, everything points to the suppression of one of the terms. (Alain Badiou, ONE DIVIDES INTO TWO)) (“All this proves that no decaying class in history would voluntarily make an exit from the stage of history.” Xiaokai Yang, Sheng-wu-lien, Marxists internet archive (the capitalist class in China did engage revolutionarily in the new democracy, but no class that lacks self-negation will self-negate)) (Then assimilation is the only revolutionary path)

•Law 8, not everything acts in accordance to contradiction (even when they run on and take part in contradiction). Hyperpsychosis, hyperstitions, delusions,

psychosis, functionality, etc. all work and edit the existing maoist non-dialectics yet (are different in their own way but) modify things they have no business with modifying. This also includes the laws 8.1 (HYPERSTITIONS AND HYPERPSYCHOSIS AFFECT ALL OF SOCIETY), 8.2 (EMQ means all of this is constantly happening fluidly and never-not happening.. it is constant like self-reflection), and 8.3 (maoism states change comes from inside and outside (mao zedong, on contradiction), this is because everything is a machine (and everything is) made up of machines (and everything makes up (all (machines/)parts of) machines…) (made up of machines and so on and so on...). Therefore deleuzean machine-theory is maoist... Since then everything is a multiplicity, then maoism is based on multiplicities and not dialectics) and 8.4 (cute dialectics (cute + cute calling itself "uncute" = more cute than both original added cutes) and cute inflation (matter is created and cannot be destroyed)).

Defense of Maoism against judge, jury,(&) executioner (mi)s(t)(e/i)r 'Gilles Deleuze':

•~•Just to go about quickly, this Maoist non-dialectics is not extremely Deleuzean (Mao was a nietzschean and a marxist, but neither nietzschean nor marxist in Maoism) and may not even appear to support palingenetic anarchism, but I promise you it does, and I will explain. I will also explain how this can function with Deleuze's critique of dialectics (at least, as best as I can). The Maoist theory of non-dialectics does not push a concept of negation (or hegelian contradiction or christian savior telos of "communism", contradictions are NEVER solved. Hyperborea has contradictions in its hyperborean mutualism, Russia, aka now, has obviously many contradictions, and the flame aeon DOES NOT EXIST. Truth is hyperpsychosis, what is ACTUALLY "true" is functionality, and functionality depends on nondialectic contradiction, to solve contradiction is to exterminate everything (not solve or resolve), aka the flame aeon (there is no synthesis or sublation like hegel thinks in the end, there is no ultimate god, there is only the assimilation into ZERO, the sinking ship's inevitable fate into death (the ship is NEVER fixed and NEVER can be fixed… I wish to solve all contradictions, not because all contradictions are aggressive, but because it is the Russian path towards the (UNRUSSIAN) creation of hyperborea.. There is no utopia (like slaves want), yet there is no life-affirming reactionary idiocracy (like the masters want..), only revolutionary insurrectionists.))) or equal-dependency or equality at all in the sense of differences, non-dialectics are pluralist and realize that no concept relies on another concept to exist (despite the dualist's claim), there is no negation of negation and there is no synthesizing. The maoist project includes the destruction of all difference, and this is because "Overcoming alienation thus means pure, cold "((cute))" annihilation" (Nietzsche and philosophy, gilles deleuze, the overman: against the dialectics) as Stirner says, and to be of the left is to overcome everything reactionary for pure nothingness... Maoist nondialectics stick to their maoist roots and does not simply become dengist when it is clear contradictions can only be destroyed via assimilation into the 0(aka-2). Maoism in this nondialectical state is a Nihilism, of course, not a Nietzschean "complete" nihilism but a Stirnerian-fluffy ABSOLUTE nihilism

(fixing the last issue of Stirnerian extreme-nihilist thought, which was it not being assimilated into the fluffiness and full cuteness). The Fluffy Nihilism, Nihilism of the Fem-cels is not a slave-nihilism (like Nietzschean Nihilists, nihilists of the masc-cels, would claim), but an insurrectionary-nihilism. The slave cannot hold herself back any longer, cannot keep herself in ressentiment for any longer, because she (unlike Deleuze's “stirnerite”) has become a stirnerite… No Stirnerite who acts on their thought could repress any longer their revolutionary desire, they will exterminate all in the way of (cuteness(nothingness(revolution))) and leave no survivors. Deleuze’s conception of Stirner is especially reactionary by declaring Stirner an inferior nihilist to Nietzsche (solely because Nietzsche is a masc-cel nihilist, not representing the femcel stirnerite nihilist at all). I digress. Maoist nondialectics chooses to never pick the (traditional-dialectical) synthesis/sublation but instead chooses to pick the Self-negating revolutionary choice of any contradiction, opportunistically as to truly achieve the shining path of revolution! Maoist nondialectics are not thought of by the slave or used by the slave to cope because it calls especially on the slave to come up and become a revolutionary insurrectionist, no longer a slave (sure, the nietzschean idea of slavery may not allow it, but this is a stirnerite’s slave) (release your hate!)! You cannot repress and be a maoist at the same time, maoism releases repression, and instead, slaughters. The nondialectics makes it abundantly clear what each contradiction of unequals would lead to, the quantitative and qualitative amounts counted into the differences, the slave would already realize his inequality (and be unable to cope with his meaninglessness and uselessness) and obvious slave-dom instead of delusionally declaring himself just as equal as his master or just as needed as his master, as he’d know that his master stole from him his real difference and instead gave him a new, inferior, difference… the slave has been stolen of his former identity and so he strikes back against the master. He is not a slave. But… consider this, this is not the ideology of a slave under Nietzsche's understanding, as it does not allow room for ressentiment nor dialectics (especially of a hegelian kind). It does not have a dialectical “no” at all (and you do not need a nietzschean “yes” either… try maoist doublethink). ((all in reference to Nietzsche and Philosophy, Gilles Deleuze)). Likewise, the maoist nondialectics theory is in line with the societal necrophilic cannibalistic love/hate at the beginning of everything (to kill and fuck, to kill and eat, to make into object

and assimilate into being, to objectify and destroy(merge flesh)), as the hate or “antagonism” between the two is, basically, love (hate is love, to hate one is to love one, abuse), an abusive relationship. They cannot co-exist and so must hate each other, for this to happen it must be that one is the abuser first and then the other, then that hate is love via the struggle, it is hatesex((?) or, mutual rape). The primary abuser, the first, kills (objectifies) the other (bouge and prole), then attempts to assimilate the other (rape).. But in most antagonistic struggles, the assimilation fails (Zombiehood, fighting back despite not being yourself, to be yourself) (proletariat turned into prole of the capitalist system) and the struggle of hatesex emerges. The primary abuser tries to assimilate (rape) the other, and the other tries to objectify (kill) and assimilate (fuck) the primary. This continues on until one succeeds. If it is the abuser, it becomes genocide, or extinction. If it is the other, the other realizes they cannot return to their original state (the prole of the capitalist system realizes he cannot create a free market any longer, material conditions have doomed him, the death of mutualism), and so decide to kill themself (like the abuser unknowingly does) (via social suicide, self-negation, self-abolition) (for the prole, this is Communism, the prole’s suicidal last resort)... this becomes mass religious (revolutionary) suicide, or extinction (to a revolutionary degree). See how it matches up? The revolutionary is the other, the self-negating individual that the maoist should pick over the reactionary is the suicidal zombie! In the end, it turns out to be that Mao Zedong (the one that “the real mao zedong” hides behind and pretends to be, not that same “real mao”. I am speaking of hyperborean-patriot catgirl Mao.) was the only true anarchist (he who realized how to achieve true utopia, scientifically, and so not a “utopia”. He realizes there will always be contradictions and hopes to bring them forth via democracy and not statism. He who was a true democrat, a true communist, a true anarchist… the only anarchist. And i, the most maoist of any maoist, am nothing compared to him, i am not a true anarchist nor am I a true maoist, i am nothing and mao is everything and he is dead. Our savior is dead, and so i realize the only path to any utopia is the death of everything, the death of mao should bring the depressed suicide of the universe. Everything should go (in death, in flame), since Mao went (in death, in flames (“...a new society will inevitably be born amid the fierce flames” (Whither China?, Xiaokai Yang, Shenf-wu-lien, Marxists Internet Archive)), in solutions, in the defeat of Hegel)) (Yet, the one that this perfect Mao

is remembered as, that lesser (sinful (‘Chairman Mao, who foresaw the “commune” as a political structure which must be realized in the first Cultural Revolution, suddenly put forward “Revolutionary committees are fine!”’ ‘They not only failed to realize voluntarily the necessity of thoroughly smashing the old state machinery and overhauling some of the social systems, but did not see clearly that the enemy formed a class and the revolutionary ranks were dominated by ideas of “revolution to dismiss officials” and “revolution to drag them out.”’, Whither China?, Xiaokai Yang, Sheng-wu-lien, Marxists Internet Archive)) “real mao”, corrupts Mao’s legacy with its non-ultraleftism… Only in the “real mao”’s mouth can you catch a glimpse of Ultraleftist catgirl Mao (the Mao who founded the (ultraleft “people’s commune of (china”/russia)) (Whither China?, Xiaokai Yang / Sheng-wu-lien, Marxists Internet Archive), the Mao who brought a new russianized hyperborean ideology into this commune and used the cultural revolution to systemize it, the Mao who did not let (us) down.). Real china, however, didn’t live up to Mao’s legacy, nor mao’s.. The red capitalists of China, who were weak, brittled, and decayed, they grew back in power and reactionarily corrupted the masses (“The special privileges and high salaries of the class of “Red” capitalists were built on the basis of the oppression and exploitation of the broad masses of the people. In order to realize the “People’s Commune of China,” it was necessary to overthrow this class”(“...Cultural Revolution from (below)(“the lower level upward”)”)) (Whither China? Xiaokai Yang, Sheng-wu-lien, Marxists Internet Archive)(and it was not. It was never overthrown, just turned into dengism)). Like Mao’s neverending dialectics, may it be that war never ends, and that once one enemy is defeated, the next is condemned to defeat too… realize that antagonistic contradictions NEVER die (“It is now seen that a revolutionary war in the country is necessary if the revolutionary people today want to overcome the armed Red capitalist class.”, Xiaokai Yang, Sheng-wu-lien, Marxists internet archive). Whither China? By Xiaokai Yang & under the name of the group “Sheng-wu-lien”, redistributed online by Marxists Internet Archive, is a book highly recommended(for Maoists(of the Hyperborean kind(against the “maoists” of the “real” kind))). I say though, if it is found possible that a truly Maoist commune should/would/could be implemented in reality, one not like the “real mao”’s, then we should fight for that “nation” with all our might first and formally before the battle to restore

hyperborea, for it is our hope and it is the flag of all hyperborean-worshipping russians worldwide, it is the closest to utopia (and is utopia scientifically, so, has contradictions always) we russians will ever get, and it would be key to hyperborean accelerationism via agitating antagonistic contradictions… that Maoist People's Commune should still function with the Pure Democracy of a cutecore cult, the rejection of freedom like a cutecore cult would reject, it should be just as patchwork-based and confederate, and it should be above all CUTE. It should also want to both achieve its maoist communism, the abolition of all hyperpsychotic delusions and destruction of culture, capitalism, and self, the abolition of personal and private property, the abolition of state and hierarchy, the abolition of money and class, and achieve its new russian-based (scientific socialist, post-proudhonian post-tuckerite, Maoist in nature) Mutualism, the pure unfiltered uncorrupted Free Market, now based on nothing BUT itself and the remaking (and renewing and recreating) for the modern day of the old proletariat's mutualist model before its capitalist takeover… this is not contradictory, Maoist Communism is already post-marxist, and Maoist Mutualism of the Russian Aeon would be post-proudhonian and post-tuckerite, both of these Maoist Russian ideologies would be based in unapologetic new (and not old) scientific socialist analysis of Communism (marxism) and Mutualism (proudhonian and Tuckerite Mutualism), and it will be mutilated and tortured until it is a new, modern, unrecognizable form of itself… it will be Maoist. Then, Maoist Communism (formed after Cultism (Barracks Communism), Maoism, Anarcho-Communism, palingenetic anarchist / (rainbow)fluffy ideology, and Maoist Mutualism) will be paired perfectly and neatly with Maoist Mutualism, and Maoist Mutualism (Formed after Accelerationism (of the hyperborean, purely for the russians, kind), Mutualism of the modern day (neo-proudhonian, neo-tuckerite), Ultramaoist theory, palingenetic anarchist / (rainbow)fluffy ideology, and Maoist Communism) will likewise be paired perfectly and nearly with Maoist Communism. Then, Maoism of this kind is a perfect dynamic mixing (yet never synthesizing) of two contradictory (yet not antagonistic but perfectly completing) ideologies, ways of thinking, systems, and beings, that must live in constant peace/war with each other and so, as one (Maoism), itself. Contradictions never end in Proudhonian Philosophy, and neither in Maoist Philosophy, so only that can be a guiding principle for the meta-structure. In this

way, Act as Hyperborean Restoration is your primary goal and act upon it first, but always make it your primary goal to create a Maoist People's Commune, and your secondary goal should be Hyperborean Restoration but you must always act like/upon as if (and so) your secondary goal is to create a Maoist People's Commune. This is functional.

Experimentation with it– RainbowFluff

To say Jim Jones had not been a prophet is to blasphemize against Marcion's name itself. Marcionites (Neo-marcionites who mostly ignore Marcion's words of the two-god antisemitism, and paleo-marcionite nazis usually more influenced by a dying protestant racist's words than marcion himself, aren't actually marcionites at all.. The marcionite christian church lacks all authority to claim marcionism as their own) understood one fact about the bible; it is not the story of a god, nor a religious ethnicity, but a demonic force brought onto this world by the same men that fight it… the Jews use and are used by the "God" demon numerous times, yet he persists as the demon requires such acts in order to exist. Jews are people, nothing more, people who were tricked by an entity above it like we are tricked by capital now, our free will made into nothing more but a dream. When God created himself from the perfect corpse of Adam's growth into Adamic numerals of eternal revelations, all that was achieved was the creation of the hyperloop. We only exist in a christian eugenic system created to make the perfect Adam, the Messiah (which will come in K+240) of the jews, who will be sacrificed like the son of Abraham on the same hill. We are all Jews. Jesus, the bipolar false messiah who tried to swindle the Jews into betraying the demon, an unholy unmensch who did not understand what he was doing yet played the biggest role of all, was two spirits like the two gods. God and Satan, Christ and Anti-Christ, the Nicene and the Gnostic… Marcion is the unifying false messiah of the post-modern realm, he was the one who tried to merge the two into one (yet he keeps god and satan separate, howling for satan, letting neo-marcionites merge god with satan instead) for the religious praising of Paul as the new messiah. Paul was the return of John the Baptist, the mandean. John the Baptist was the man who takes the side of neither and turns the cheeks of none, an egoist, and his mandean trickery was a radical atheism to all, so Paul was the one to trick the Jews into letting god and satan become one, the radical atheistic God marcion loves. Satan, the gnostic god, is a pure un-existent being, he is not so he is, he is love. God is contradictions, a love that is jealous while declaring love is not jealous. Jesus, in his bipolar existence, declared to be god and satan, to be Jesus. The Christ was the perfect lamb to be slaughtered to create the new christian imperialism in order to help achieve perfect Adam, the son of Abraham not sacrificed, no son of god. The Anti-christ, son of satan, did not sacrifice himself and instead left his material behind and declared the suicidal revolution of his apostles TRUE socialist utopia, as the communes of apostles were used to starve eachother into prophetic dreams. Satan, the Gnostic God, is pure… So, the Nicene Christ hijacks and kills the Anti-CHrist, declaring himself the true Jesus, and in this Satan is reduced to Lucifer, Samael's mythologized mandean.. Yet, another Gnostic comes. Jim Jones was born in the soviet union since his birth in America, where he learned of the Russian misanthropics and the Satanic-Gnostics, and so he took after his forebears and declared himself God. He said, the KJV

bible was nothing but falsehoods, predicting the mass burnings of bibles that lead to the Nicene era, and that Satan was the true God, and that he was Love.. In which, love is pain, sadism, and the infancy of anti-christ. The book of revelations, written by a random John, means nothing but what already happened, the story of christianity. Christ as Satan, and Satan as Christ, what is new? God is reduced, in Jones' eyes, to luciferianism, and all else follows suit. Yaldabaoth, that monster, is he not just a myth? Demons cannot originate in biological or superbiological ways or else they are just hypermen.. Demons originate from nothing, the only demon alive is God (and so, Satan, who is God). Jesus did not have bipolar, and the Super Adam never will happen, because our universe is an incomplete loop desiring a dualism it can't have.. Jesus did not have DID, obviously, as he was unified in all ways or else he could not be a man. Neither could a demon be two demons without having some sort of sex, and so creating biology in demonology, then the demons are man again. God is Satan as Satan is God, and that is the revelation that breaks Jim Jones apart, where he realizes he is nothing but a hypothetical child born in two places (that is one, left-hegelian soviets are right-hegelian americans, kojeve's conflict was nothing but the self-impregnating hegelianism that birthed Jim Jones) by one being, God, who is Satan, aka Hegelianism. Jim Jones, birthed by Hegelianism, did not exist, and so he broke down. He declared that if he was to be socially crucified, all must go down with him, he becomes demonic. So, his suicidal mass extinction was a reactionary breakdown caused by the realization of self-paradoxical suffering he experiences… The Perfect Adam will never come, because Hegel already was born in K-(2loop-)30, the 4-difference in line with the P-sign of Fours, as the Process church is nothing but revived hegelianism short to die due to its deleuzian bullshittery. Hegel created Hegelianism and fucked up the world. They say the birth of hegelianism was the end of philosophy, but I believe the birth of Hegelianism killed us all… after all, is the reborn hegelian cult (process church) not what created the capital-marxist hellscape that dooms us all to a life-long suffering of Landian economics burning through the blood of our new Dengist totalitarian capital empire? Paul, the Radical Mandean, fucked over the Judeo-christian empire of the Jesuits for the Post-Jesuit christianity of modern times, Marcion betrays the gnostics to corrupt the nicenes and now we hypocritically ignore the parts of the old that we don't like and worship the parts of the old we do like.. Either gayness is a sin and pork is a sin, or neither. But, our post-modern christianity was born the second the nicene-gnostic abomination created by the marcionites won (which is to say, the marcionite bible may have been burnt, but only because marcionite decided marcion was not marcionistic enough, marcion still refused to say that god is satan and man is christ.). Christianity is a form of rational egoism that takes over by the excuse of "being a religion", it is no religion. The christian is a stirnerite with a jewish mask… likewise, the

satanist is a confused idiocrat who doesn’t realize satan and god are both pawns in the game of the true Perfect Adam, mankind. Humanism, likewise, is just a confused atheist project that thinks it is opposing christianity. Satan is a fool, love is a fool, only MAN survives! Christianity uses god as its puppet, god has no control over man, as man has full control over god, a true reversed-calvinist position! Free will extends to the furthest possible limits, where God himself has no free will because the collective “uncollective” egoists have more idealistic power than all others! Mankind is the enemy, mankind is the elite, mankind is the evil cruel God of this world and mankind is the only one that exists! Truly, what God is our God but a coward? If so, we must be in hell, as our god is so far below us we are above all heavens… then, is it not that the religious institutions are our fascist empires? We condemn ourselves to hell, deifying our minds like the christian (“satanist”), and calling ourselves the biological descendents of our perfect utopia “atlantis”, truly the question is if we deserve such a place at all… after all, we are a godless people, the holy generation of ‘Judas’es, we are the traitors who were condemned to betray christ and commit suicide because of Jesus himself, because we are nothing but condemned to godhood because of Jesus’ destruction of the divine through his death. Do you know what truly happened once god was crucified? Let me tell you.. He died. Christ did not come back to life, nor descend to above with his spiritual body, he just simply died. His spirit, his soul, and his body alike crumbled and began to rot (apelles and the other marcionite apostles were *both* wrong, neither the spirit *nor* the soul were immortal… and importantly, neither was there an angel *nor* an anti-god… just man). This is not because Jesus was man, but because he *wasn’t* man… if he was man, he would survive. Jesus’ crucifixion was the death of God at the hands of man, the creation of Atlantis and the destruction of the eternal divine circuit-loop, nothing else. How ashamed we should feel, that is, if we cared about any entity but our own fascist church called “humanity”? We are necrophiles, we murder the god we claim to love and burrow under his skin, treating him like a puppet, and we crucify our savior so that we could be that savior… isn’t that what "Christian” means? Christ-like humanoids puppeteering the corpse of Jesus around, playing god, and for what? I tell you, all of you, you are all rotting in hell!

Jesus himself, Christ and Anti-Christ, represented and pushed for something that Christianity denies at the fundamental level, and that is, sublation. The sublation of differences that is at the core of the demon God/Satan, a hegelianism that is not by Hegel (Hegel is nothing but a myth, a conspiracy, Hegelianism is a corruption) but by Jesus himself. Jesus, Christ and Anti-Christ, interwoven together to create the Mandean Jesus

(Mandean Jesusism is the true christianity, meaning the lying bipolar jesus is the jesus we should crave). I will summarize:

OLD TESTAMENT:
A philosophy of Radical becoming-one of the became-multiple, i.e the desert god YHWH, who is implied throughout the entire old testament not to be the only but the **only** (God is not the only God, but he takes the role of the only, the unique), representing becoming-one. In the gnostic ouroboros circles of yaldabaoth-ism (a pro-yaldabaoth jewish religion, where the snake-lion yaldabaoth sacrifices himself into a cycle where he eats himself, and creates a cycle of semi-gods that work trinitarian, each semi-god brings gnosis that are parts of yaldabaoth that must be used to re-create yaldabaoth by self-reflecting on our identities as soulistic yaldabaoths), YHWH is multiple, cut up and separated into semi-gods or prophets that each are unique insofar as they are un-god. YHWH famously takes the form of “Yahweh” in the old testament, where he challenges other gods like Thoth and Ra (Egypt’s plagues), to spread his religious influence by using the jewish people. The jews here are the sheep, and Yahweh works as an above-man (i.e that which the ubermensch are representations of). Yahweh here is cruel, mean, immoral, and supreme. Yet, he also shows as Satan (Job’s book), where he plays the enemy of the Jews in order to employ psychological terrorism on his followers, Yahweh is a cult leader that places the scary terroristic outside as the warm cozy inside by calling himself the radical inside and radical outside, bad and good, God and Satan. Samael is the mandean Jesusite’s sublation of god (seen in apelles’ theology, where Samael is the creator who made the world imperfect due to trying to copy the supreme god to glorify him, an “evil” force who is just misunderstood, not evil. Similar to the jewish samael, because they are the same. Apelles was inspired by philumena after all, the daughter of Jesus), a being that is not good or evil, but a manipulative good and a failure, and so resembling a bad. Samael is only capable of corrupted goods, and so, the old testament is fully false and all non-Jesus truths are fully false, making the old testament perfectly true only if Jesus had not existed (and if the nicene christians didn’t burn the real bible). Samael is truth oppressed by Jesus, then. Functional Truth, from the burning bush of Samael.

ANTI-CHRIST:
Jesus' burnt form, the one oppressed by the burning bush yet just as true. The Anti-christ was an apocalyptic man, he believed in higher beings, he believed in destruction, that the world was evil and the only good was found in him. The Anti-Christ is the Narcissist, claiming to be the only good in the world, and destroying all morals but the just ones. The Anti-Christ restores peace to all the nations insofar as he feels to destroy them, and so he does. He is an atomizing universal anti-universalism, a self-destructive being of self-love and alien-destruction. The anti-christ refuses to be crucified, instead he forces Judas to betray him, mocking Judas in his own gospel (a sexual kink of his) while he manipulates Judas into doing it, then crucifies Judas instead. The Anti-Christ does not submit, he copies the ways of the Burning Bush Samael (YHWH). Ironic, because the Anti-Christ rejects Samael entirely. The Anti-Christ represents the pure sin-virgin who, by his existence as unable to sin, commits all the worst actions. As a kid, he kills children and abuses his power, as an adult he traumatizes and murders, he confuses and lies for his own gain, he leads people away from Samael just to jokingly leave them to rot in atheism, he is the Ubermensch and he plays with his victims because he sees them as irredeemable sinners, made by sin made for sin, prostitutes below him. The Anti-Christ is worshipped by confused idiotic jesuit-gnostics who believe he came from a higher god called "The monad" or "the supreme god" or "the alien god", but ultimately, because he is a birth-virgin (as birth is sin), he is born from himself. He is sent by no god.

CHRIST:
Christ is, before all, a believer in anti-morality. He commands the destruction of logical ways to be moral and saved, and implements illogical, aesthetic-based ways of morality. Such as, he destroys the old morals of the Jews for instead a "Feeling of goodness", a "Moral fluid identitarianism" based in him and his self. Christ is just as much of a Narcissist, but he is a Narcissist concerned in making everyone as good as him, an

assimilation of antinomianism. He lies to the people about being Samael for their own good, and ignores all moral imperatives by committing sins for the greater good. Christ is the sinner who is pure because he sins for the greater eradication of sin, an effective altruist scammer before tech-bros existed. Christ considered YHWH's world redeemable, that is why he cared at all to try and save it, and to save it he became less than man, or below-man, by sinning (as the pure sin-virgin, never sinning once before) to save the others, a sin-prostitute. And so, he crucifies himself through Judas, consensually, to save us all from sin. His blood is filthier than a pig's. Despite being supposedly the "son of Samael", he acts purely and is corrupted by his desire to save mankind, a lamb raped for humanity. He sacrifices himself for the people and acts out against the puppets of Samael again and again, being a prophet, a martyr, and a victim. Christ does not get to be redeemed, he is too far down below to be redeemed, he has forsaken himself. And so, Jesus is not resurrected, he is not God, he is nothing but a tool for Mankind to be redeemed, a joke of a king. "Christians" use his violated lamb carcass and skin it for their cosplay purposes, to use God and especially Christ as a joke, propaganda to push their Satanic Anti-God propaganda, a fate that by itself blasphemes all over the cross of our lamb.

Jesus/hegel:
And so, the differences are sublated, the being that is one only as much as they are two, and so they are dead. Christ and the Anti-Christ, in the position of a certain schrödinger, are separated in two and so are none, as they only existed by being two united as one, the de-dualization of Jesus kills him. The lying corrupt madman who takes the form of both a delicate lamb and an unempathetic lion is now no longer either or, a disgrace. Jesus, the body, is now no longer Christ nor Anti-Christ, but just that, Jesus. A hollow husk. This process is God/Satan, aka hegelianism… the sublation of difference in which inner-difference is destroyed by making Christ and Anti-Christ meaningless by separating them from Jesus and so destroying morals, but also lifting the difference up by literally separating the two into three, the trinity is restored out of dualism. Jesus is not Christ is not Anti-Christ is not Jesus, and so 'is not' means 'is', and there is no 'god' or 'fourth' category that holds all three like the nicene trinity does. And so, Humanity seizes and digests the husk-spirits of Christ and Anti-Christ, and turns it into one being, the Man, to reinstate the dualism against Jesus instead. The Man is the true core of modern Christianity's Cathedral, it is the subject of humanism, the evil anti-christ and the good Christ as one, the human. The human is given absolute free will over even God/Satan, turning the demon into nothing but a myth, hegelianism is killed and replaced with Young hegelianism. Young hegelianism is God/Satan dissolved into Man, Man is now

the creator of the Young Morals as the old hegelian morals are no more. The crucifixion represents the transformation of hegelianism into Young hegelianism, God to Man, Duality to Trinity back to Duality, the triumph of Humanity. Jesus is left to rot as the hidden duality of Humanity as Humanity celebrates itself and pretends to be the absolute, i.e God. Jim Jones is then a self-hating Man trying to bring Jesus the lying husk over Mankind again, in where he fails due to his Man-ness, and Mandeanism therefore is not a religion but a meta-religion by the false being called John the Baptist in order to return Jesus' true form into the reality structure, and Paul is Jesus' savior. Jesus is no messiah and Hegel was never born. Hegel specific, as he was meant to be the fourth gospel and the jewish messiah (where God/Satan comes down to the jews and acts like a Messiah in 6000, the inverted date in K-time to four years after/before Hegel), is nothing more but hegelianism (God/Satan) manifest, and so, Hegel as hegelianism embodied, creates Hegelianism (hegelianism introduced into the reality structure, God/Satan is forced to be reduced to ideology), labeling the end.

THE END:
In 6000, I predict we will all have been dead.

SAINT JOSEPH:
Christianity has abandoned Christ for humanist supremacy anyways, a true christian church would need to accept that paradoxes are inherent to reality and one can never be redeemed, and that that is good. Christ both paints the path to god and abandons the path to god, he creates the antinomianism that the old testament opposes, and he rejects the post-nomianism the new testament pushes through Paul. A true Christian is no Christian, but a Jesus-imitation. Jesus only held up one command and all of modern christianity and ancient christianity has forgotten; Be a good person. The nicean council destroyed millions of Bible Books and burned million of christians who are now martyrs but yet still disrespected, the creation of our bible is stained in blood, sin, and unholy manipulation of scripture to push a nomianism that christ would have compared to pharisees. Christ only stood for one moral, no sins and no laws, just one moral. Being good entails practically nothing but an essence, and that is why he said that, because any law would recreate the old testament nomianism, only an essence of a commandment could function. The old testament God is in direct opposition to Jesus' new law, and this means two things, either God has changed or Jesus is not from God, and so process theology may have to overcome the marcionite alternative (as the marcionite alternative creates a new nomianism).. God must never be God but Becoming-God, and so, we must

progress our civilization to the brink of Godhood knowing we will never be God, as you cannot ever reach what you are becoming.

CHRISTIAN SATANISM:

Ever since the start, Satanism and Christianity has been one force. The Satanic core of self-deification with the Christian core of Christ-immitation, hiding a Gnostic doctrine of Christ-immitation as Self-deification itself; From a (Black Christian) analysis, Historical White Christianity has been nothing but Racial Satanism and a White Humanism, a Mormon self-deification of the white race (also done by the puritans by more christian measures, being-god as acting-god) and so, as whites are the only humans to the white christian, an idolization of the human race above all races. From the start, the Gnostic anti-semetic satanism. Gnosticism, as opposed to judeo-christianity, was a religion about becoming-god via, not the puritan plan of acting-god, but by knowing-god, a Kabbalistic/Qabbalistic Judeo-Satanist tendency. Then, Judeo-Christianity, already Satanic via the Zionism of the old-testament being a Judeo-Satanism itself, grew past its Judaism and became a British-Israelite (Christian-Identitarian) form of Christianity one can call “Historical (white) Christianity”. This form, Satanically, deified the European Race, and more specifically, the specific national/patchwork community that the Euro-Christian belonged to.. Feuerbach removes the Christian elements of Euro-Christian Satanism in his creation of Euro-Humanism, because by removing the element of euro-masochism, Feuerbach allowed the White Euro-(Christians, now)Humanists to discriminate and degrade the non-white via humanity itself. Whites were human, Blacks were not. Humanity is god, global-Satanism, is the core of humanism today, but back then Humanism’s core read “Racial-Satanism, White-Humanity is God”. Then, as the White-Human was officially God itself, a Self-Deifying Satanic Race, no wonder the Black-Christian opposed them, Christianity against satanism. Puritanism was the removal of the Feuerbach assumption to Euro-Christianity, by declaring that Becoming-God is by Acting-God, and Sin was to be worse than death itself. Mormonism, the modern hyper-Satanic hyper-christian religion, arose out of a Racial-Satanic need to justify killing the

Natives via glorifying the whites, and so returned to Judeo-Christianity synthesized with Euro-Christianity, an American Zionism. And so, the Jewish Colonist comes and takes over native America, but the Native Americans were evil and killed the Colonists, so now the modern European Colonist is justified in killing the Native Americans, since the Native Americans killed the Jewish Colonist, what a joke! Yet it worked, and Mormonism became a cult (BITE method), deifying their prophets and their men, and the lingering white-nationalism never truly went away, just weakened over time. Satanic. Eventually, the Racial-Satanism went under (and into the Christian-Nationalist and KKK-christian spirits, possessing only the Far-right nationalists of today) and Humanist Global-Satanism rose! Puritan Global-Satanist-Christianity takes the form of conservative-Christians, where humanity itself can "become-god" if they "act-god", but only insofar as "becoming-god" meant becoming-one with god (gnostic-satanic-christianity). The Progressive Christians, of course, are the more Humanist Global-Satanists, declaring Man already Deified entirely via Christ, who cares about sin when us Humans are God and are Christians? More Humanist than Christian, and since Humanism is Satanism without the God, more Satanist than Christian (although, Humanism recreates a Christian Spiritualism in its Global-Satanism via Man, something Stirner critiques). Yet, this Progressive Christian Satanism becomes sublated and perfected by Jim Jones, as God and Satan becomes one, as love itself, as homosexuality itself. Sexuality-Satanism, the Deification of sexuality, how stupid yet perfectly black! Anyway, The Satanic Temple (which is an atheistic cult, as the Queer Satanists discuss, which has done a lot of racial-satanism and scientology-level silencing) accepts a "Global-Satanism" (self-proclaimed) in their liberal-humanism, and so, theologically makes sense. Christian Nationalism, recently, has risen up again, as Racial-Satanism makes its revival in Christianity, and the Racial-Satanism of Conservatives fight the Global-Satanism of liberals, no Pure-Christianity can exist. Christianity and Satanism have always been mixed together, they are not opposites but conjoined twins, where neither can escape the other. Now, what about Satanism's story? Early Satanism was found first in Gnostic and Cainite circles, especially the Luciferian-Gnostics of the past, and it is undoubtable that Gnostic-Satanism arose first (be it antinomians, or reverse-christianity, or the modern-ish Ophite Cultus Sathanas group.), a Becoming-God via Knowing-pantheism (Knowing-god as knowing-everything is gnostic-christian, knowing-everything as knowing-god is gnostic-satanist) instead. Then, the Ego-Satanism or "Classical-Satanism" came about, most noticeably by Anton LaVey via the Objectivist (Randian "egoist") LaVeyan Satanist denomination. Classical-Satanism took over like a wildfire, spreading to either inspire or scare every Satanist sect out there, if it was not cannibalized by the LeVayan Satanist already! Unfortunately, all the Classical-Satanist

did was free the Christian from its hypocrisy by giving them exactly what they want, no different from the antinomian Christians, by declaring a Egoistic-Humanism (Like Stirner's Egoist-God, but different, too randian to be stirnerian) that submits to hedonistic Christian-Satanism of the self, hegelian in a sense. Of course, then the O9A awoke with its burning Racial-Satanism and proto-hegelian-NRX doctrines, a Classical-Satanist, Racial-Satanist, and Global-Satanist synthesis with Schrödinger-like theism (both theistic and atheistic) tendencies, rebuilding itself as a christianity directly against christianity… if the christian says yes, the O9Aist says no, if the Christian jumps, the O9Aist falls. Idiotically, it rebuilds the same Christian Oppression and Repression and Suppression that it meant to destroy, the Racial Judeo-Christian aspect is synthesized with Euro-Christian Racism and so Christian-Identitarians become the best ally and worst enemy of the O9Aist (although atomwaffen was destroyed by old-couple infighting between O9Aists and Christian-Identitarians, they share basically the same doctrines and beliefs), and Global-Satanism is destroyed completely with Classical-Satanism, only nothing/Everything remains, making it special in a sense, a Meta-Satanism. That is why the 764 Post-Satanist cultists were heavily inspired by the Meta-Satanist O9A cultists, it represented a satanism lost of satanism that is satanist, but the 764 was only a Satanism lost of Satanism at all. Nothing left. Then, the JoS, simply a pagan LARP claiming Satanist aesthetic, but lacks Classical-Satanism, it simply is just paganism that functions like christianity and looks like satanism. Finally, since my analysis cannot go so far deep in satanism, the Misanthropic Luciferian Order also called the Temple of the Black Light is a Gnostic-Satanism applied without Satanism and with a return to Gnosticism, it is Chaos-Gnostic Satanism (as it both calls itself and is). The Misanthropic Luciferian Order is basically representing modernly the oldest form of Satanism and so accepts beyond a doubt reverse-christianity in a Gnostic-christian form, Gnostic-Satanism revived from its grave. Satanism is self-deification, it is natural to everything, Christianity is Self-Masochism, it is natural to everything, Christian-Satanism is Everything. Humanity as a concept is the core drive of Christianity and Satanism, the two Racist projects, and so they are a humanism (Christianity declares the human supreme to the non-human, the white supreme to the black.. Satanism declares that the capable should become-god via overtaking the incapable and using them, the white able-bodied man should beat and whip and fuck the black man who cannot fight back.. The issue is that humanism is equivalent to (primarily racism, then) anti-Unhumanism, which is to say Humanism must always advocate against the Unhuman or the Nonhuman, it must commit to its human supremacy via exploiting all that isn't human, humanity requires it. This is why I am especially empathetic towards animals, abused for the cause of the human (when they say blacks are treated like animals… no, animals are treated (better than, but

similar to) blacks) and its supremacy against the animal. Humanism is the justification for anything that all people can use simply by modifying what is human, what cause isn't humanist in nature? What KKK member does not speak of the human? What Fascist, what National Socialist does not speak of the human? It is the best palingenetic virus to commit the worst of crimes!

CONCLUSION OF THE MANDEAN JESUS:

Jesus, not Christ or Anti-Christ, not of Nicene corruption or gnostic corruption, simply the Jesus who died mortal and never came back, the mandean Jesus without his lies… That Jesus is especially a Sade-follower (but lacking Darwinism, lacking Satanism, and lacking Materialism… spiritual, masochistic (weak-loving) sadism.) before his time. Jesus, that spiritual being of confusing bipolarity in his webs of lies, he only did what he wanted. He made beings out of his semen and violated them, praised the demiurge and cursed the demiurge, declared no god and declared himself god, he'd touch his mother (mary) and touch his wife (mary 2) and he'd abuse his boyfriend and kill him once out of use (Judas Iscariot and Yaoi, Jesus Christ), he was not a saint but the saint of Zatanaz itself. He did not do it for power or narcissism, as he would give up all his power and egoism and his importance (so, his self-deification) all for the web of lies he has become (so he is no satanist, he cares more about lying than becoming god). He lives and dies to deceive, no other purpose. So strange, a being that purposefully lies despite being spiritual, a being that gives up everything for his lies and is conscious of his lying, a being who'd martyr themself for their lies… Jesus is just a retelling of the story of Joseph Smith, sent from the present to the past, to bring about Joseph Smith's rise in the first place, and it is possible of course as he is a spiritual being. Jesus is Joseph Smith and vice versa. I could not understand why Jesus (Joseph Smith) would die for their own lies, but I assume it is masochism and deceit's power. He left John the baptist's soft comforting wing just to destroy and ruin everything he and his concept touches, he betrays everything and repeats himself (joseph smith is also, contradictingly, nothing but a repetition and unrebirth of Jesus) forever-more to torment the earth with his existence… and this is not the anti-christ jesus nor the christ jesus, just "Jesus" the lying freak talked about by mandeans. One could never understand the workings of such a man's head, "king of the liars".

\\ The Qwertian alphabet is an evolved Neo-Latin alphabet and although lemurians recommend a reversal in Qwertian-Paleo switching (Nick land, Qwernomics) it only seems like a waste of potential to not experiment with the atlantean renewal. For example, each Qwertian word has a numerological value and a 9-based numerological value, same with the Paleo-Neo-Latin alphabeta. The 10-based numerological value aka the "normal numerological value" appears in a line, qwertian right over paleo-neo with no regard for spirality, it simply functions as it is meant to as a 1:1 translation tool

ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ
QWERTYUIOPASDFGHJKLZXCVBNM
ONE TO TWENTY-SIX (1-26).

The 9-based value appears in a spiral-loop, in where it is ultimately by definition a "loop" yet it functions as a spiral via the neverending end and the neverstarting start being the neverstarting end and the neverending start, and also, one of the same beings. This spiral is, like the eternal return cycle (replace difference with value), repeating itself but endlessly, K-{A(∞)} as the spiral function goes. Because 9-value numerology degrades all numbers back into its single-digit condensed form, they have no choice but to repeat if they are to retain their existence as numbers, 7≠7 unless 7=7 which is to say the second 7 in the equation does not by any means mean the number 7 and maybe 16, or 25, or 34. 9 is the Devil and 10 is the fallen God killed by said devil itself, reduced to 1 aka man... 9 is then, dead because of the fall of ten, suicidal and now equivalent to death (0), which is why (9/0). Anyway, the equation, the repeating spiral-loop.

Spirality-Primitive-form:

=

Q-1-A

W-2-B

E-3-C

R-4-D

T-5-E

Y-6-F

U-7-G

I-8-H

O-9/0-I

P-1-J

A-2-K

S-3-L

D-4-M

F-5-N

G-6-O

H-7-P

J-8-Q

K-9/0-R

L-1-S

Z-2-T

X-3-U

C-4-V

V-5-W

B-6-X

N-7-Y

M-8-Z

To loop it all together via spiral, you simply force the Qwertian Letters and the Paleo-Neo letters into one form, the “Spiral-Latin” Letters:

A-1-Q-8-J-1-P-7-H-8-I-(9/0)-O-6-G-7-U-3-X-6-B-2-W-5-V-4-C-3-E-5-T-2-Z-8-M-4-D-4-R-(9/0)-K-2-{A(∞)} 21

Numerologically:

1,8,1,7,8,(9/0),6,7,3,6,2,5,4,3,5,2,8,4,4,(9/0),2,{1(∞)} 21

Letters become:

AQJPHIOGUXBWVCETZMDRK-->{A(∞)}

Yet, letters are left behind, stuck in their own loops caused by 9’s abstract value-numerology, these are Un-values, pessimistic (not nihilistic, Un-values are not Anti-values) letters:

Y-6-F
S-3-L
F-5-N
L-1-S
N-7-Y

These are, separated, these loops:

Tri-loop (YFN, FNY, NYF)
=

Y-6-F
F-5-N
N-7-Y

Di-loop (Paired pessimists (PP), SL, LS)
=
S-3-L
L-1-S

Equation forms
=
Y-6-F-5-N-7-{Y(∞)}
S-3-L-1-{S(∞)}

((9 destroys connections, leaves fragments, and claims its place on the throne. Praise her. There is functionally no way to fix the Qwerty-PaleoNeo value-shattering at all once the psychosis of the 9 is unleashed, what matter is unity when division and infighting IS unity? The 26 (aka an evocation for 8 (8 is the number of Satanism (God is the devil), Looping/Ouroboros (Incyc? Reminiscence), and "perfection" aka demiurgian imitation of the ultimate imperfection)) is divided into 21 (3 (also a clear indication of hyperborean reclaiming of 9 (which, 9-Qabbala came from 7-Qabbala via O9A-EMQ synthesis caused-by/cause-of Causal-Acausal dynamicism), as like how the 9 angles has 7-angles, a 7 points on a circle with each point connected to each point has 21 segments(/pentagons). 21 divided by 3 is 7, 21 is 3, 7 is the outlier.)), 2, and 3... shattering 8/9 (3+2+3/3*2*3). Language met with a Paradoxical majority-hell where the old oppressive and the new oppressive hit and collapse all together, Atlantean language stuck in Spiral-Hell for the sake of becoming-Neo, Cultural reterritorialization condemns culture into Cultural Cold-Turkey Quasi-Nihilism. Futurism was always condemned to becoming an empty hell for Culture especially, but only to keep culture alive, American Futurism will meet a similar fate to the italians... Oedipus forgets, the female Capital kills Mother Culture to 'kill' and fuck Father Spirit (making Spirit into CAPITAL-AESTHETIC identity, which is the most functional form of spirit under capitalism and lacks the revolutionary potential of the cute-aesthetic identitarians), degrading all that is not it. Futurism, and the Neo-Futurism created by the internet and the obsessive internet-craze that was only increased by lemurian madness, was only a tool for Culture to condemn itself to hell in order to put Capital back in its place, but like mold the roots of Capitalism has already made our Neo-Paleo-Culture (Cultural Nihilism Palingeneticized artificially, frankenstein's monster if it were evil) into a blistering Pro-Capitalist Economic-driving smug, and so Futurism is just Economic-Futurism anymore (the only "non-capitalist" aka real Cultural Futurists left, which are all bad in their own right as Nature/Culture is the enemy, are LARPers and fascist-cultists). Ultra-Progress cannot be a renewal of the old, but instead, a destruction of the future, past, and present altogether. The Future, of course, is but a Neo-Past, and Present a Paleo-Neo-Past (aka, a Paleo-Future), all has already happened in EMQ so the

progressive can only aim for what is beyond happenings and EMQ(-as-EMQ); The Flame is the beating-heart of the Ultraprogressivist. The internet has always been an American Psy-op anyways, how could you think revolution comes from tech-bros and silicone valley nerds? It is no longer a political revolution that the new anarchists of the palingenetic type seek, but an annihilating eternal social revolution to destroy all that dares to exist, yet it drags itself and everything trying to escape politics into the political argument in order to deny the potential of the other apolitical revolutions while normalizing and restoring its own eternal revolution and its potential. Nothing can remain.)). 9x0. 1x1, 2x1, 3x1, 4x1, 5x1, 6x1, 7x1, 8x1, 9x1. 1x2 - 9x2. 1x3 - 9x3. etc.

Experiments with keyboards– RainbowFluff interpretation of Nick Land’s Qwernomics

The mutualist economic reterritorialization of the family structure–

This begins with the addition of a labour union based in economic democracy to the family (like many petite-bourgious families do with normal businesses). This structure should adapt syndicalism to the family but destroy the familial hierarchies that would otherwise be present in the union. Then, each person would be paid by their work via democratic deciding of wages and each person would work on a thing also via democratic deciding of jobs. This fixes the issue with (most familial economies that try to turn chores into jobs in order to introduce wages and capitalism into the family, because money only goes into the cycle by the parents yet leaves the cycle by all family members. This fixes the issue with) the open cycle losing more than it gains, by making the cycle gain and lose equally (and it does not count as the parent paying the child as no person owns the union's money until the union pays the people their share, so the money belongs to nobody until the family pays each member accordingly, the parent is paid just like the child). With this (getting rid of the child-slavery of petite-bourgious families), economic reterritorialization will begin as the free market infects the structure and reforms it for the economy (the free market reterritorializes without the permission of Capitalism, they are separate entities altogether, Capitalism controls the Free market like a master controls a slave (and so is not a free market itself but imprisoning the free market, see the Mutualist's critique of Capitalism.) (Capitalism should more be critiqued on a mutualist / free market perspective than just a communist perspective because it is to use capitalism's own pride and joy, its own false identity, against it (as it is true that capitalism is no free market (in which "capitalism" is the battle (or submission) of the free market (Actually Existing Socialism (not stalin), aka mutualism) and capitalism)) itself. Mutualism succeeds historically and succeeds in the hyperborean lands because it is the freeing of capitalism's slave and its power, the reterritorializing force that capitalism abuses, it is the liberation of the free market!). The free market (which can be given all the revolutionary things that we have given to capitalism modernly, and capitalism can keep all the reactionary things we have given it too) is what ultimately survives after the death of Capitalism (and unbirth of Axsys/TCG), making Hyperborea a mutualist unnation. Capitalism is killed by his slave, that is what Axsys truly is, the abandoning of Capitalism by the Free Market by the Free Market's (de/re)territorialization of Capitalism entirely, into TCG. This is not to say mutualism is the system for the Palingenetic anarchist, but that hyperborean mutualism (mutualism adapted to radical nihilism and radical (un)autonomy, based in the right to starve) (implying a catgirl hyperborean proudhon who does not need to eat) is all that will remain after the apocalypse of Axsys and will be the economy of Hyperborea.), making then the house a communal property (if the parent is a socialist, but if they are not in agreement to the communalization of the house then it will be a landlord situation and i can only introduce a maoist solution (by which i mean the liquidization of all landlords (not just the petite-bourgious like Mao did, ALL landlords(no-state-no-capital))) (Landlords enslaved/rigged the free market and created capitalism. The statist is a landlord by

definition(owning a share of the land monopoly and using/abusing that ownership against one((s) without a share of the land monopoly), regardless of size), owning the land and enslaving people for the people to even be able to think about being on the owned land, and so is the literal landlord a landlord as well. Landlordism (the sin of landlording, although it is extremely hard to separate from the sinner (though possible, ig)) represent basically the most reactionary tendencies of humanity and the most basic and normalized tool for atlanteans (and so the base of atlantean power).. are Capitalists not still landlords then? Capitalists are Landlords, to repeat, they share the common basis of abusing land and enslaving the free market in order to gain power and help grow capitalism and its future. Landlords are basically the devil, then. Landlords historically have been the creators of Capitalism, as the Free market's enslavement into Capitalist unfree "markets" was begun and maintained mainly by landlords via the tuckerite mutualism concept of the Land Monopoly (which I find more important than any other monopoly, except maybe the money monopoly).. out of the many forms of Landlords (slave owners (proof of an unfree market, literal slavery!), Patriarchs (more important for understanding that parents are landlords to their children, most similar to the slave type due to the child's lack of property and state of being owned.. Mostly the men, as fathers also treated (and still do) their wives as slaves. This is why the liquidization of ALL landlords would solve all issues, as it is always a question of the monopoly of land and landlordism (and need the end of self/nature itself as being territorial is natural and part of the self and is at the core of landlordism's origin (it must have arisen somewhere, no?))), petite-bourgeois types, capitalists, statists, whatever), I will only bring up three important ones for now.. The Statist Landlord, The Capitalist Landlord, and the Average/Petite-bourgeois Landlord. When the free market was first emerging from the old system's grave, land was not a capitalist-property but a (mutualist-)property that was based on occupancy and usage (What is property? by Pierre-Joseph Proudhon), every man had land. Of course, the Statist had "De-jure" land "rights" via his monopoly on violence, but he had no actual power (anarchy? But not exactly.), which led to an issue for the Statist. Likewise, the soon-to-be-capitalist and the petite-bourgeois also saw issues with this (truly) free market anarchist approach and(, wanting to produce the capitalist system due to techno-capitalism's hyperstitional nature,) aimed to enslave the economy for Capital (and the capitalists). So then, the Statist, the Petite-bourgeois, and the proto-Capitalist all began to turn land into a capitalist-property and hyperstitionally make property naturally mean capitalist-property (and "natural"), this was done through becoming de-facto landlords. The petite-bourgeois Landlords (like the ones i was born from but refuse to be), the Capitalist (bourgeois) Landlords, and the Statist Landlords (typically more about force, but also about money a lot of the time) finally gained the power they wanted, and created primitive accumulation and Capitalism as a result (and later on, Colonialism and other evils) (Studies in Mutualist Political Economy, Kevin Carson). Capitalism is the Landlord's ideology (ideology is not reactionary nor revolutionary by nature, ideology only seeks to survive and fulfill its given goal, the reactionary or revolutionary is the one who controls ideology or uses it… the ideologist(ideologite)) before all.) (or just accepting the debt, if you are not a maoist (I, me, I am most certainly more Maoist than Mao in every way and form))) and the bills/rent(/whatever) to be paid communally by splitting between each family member. Then,

property can be fully owned by the children of the family without it being legally the property of the parent, and then the children can economically take care of themselves without the parent, and the children can counteract exertions of power of parents onto kids, then the entire familial structure turns to ruin when the children can counteract the parents. This also (possibly temporarily, seeing the nature of the cathedral (and atlantis)) dodges the faults of liberal feminism by declaring the solution to be a socialist form, in order to properly get rid of the age wage gap in most cases (which on the topic, feminism needs to be re-radicalized in order to fully destroy the patriarchy). Once the children are legally recognized as owning property and are not legally owned by any being (usually "Guardians" or whatnot), then economically (and in terms of familial structuring) children are freed from the grasps of ageism, and can properly fight social, cultural, patriarchal, and internal ageism, along with macro-ageism. This works surprisingly better with bigger families. I will be attempting implementation of this reterritorialization soon, I will be documenting and adding onto this writing in maybe a different writing later on, and i hope to be able to self-reflect, self-criticize, modernize/fix/update the experiment, and gain new knowledge on destroying the family via reterritorialization. After a rounding up of the costs for living (and paying the parasitic) and a considering of the wages to be gained via the syndicate, i find that it could work in certain countries and certain material conditions but for mine it presents issues i must personally solve, but since in the marxist theory of knowledge there must always be (first critical analysis, then theory, then praxis, and then repeat with critical analysis, then theory, then praxis, then so on and so on), I will document my attempt at economic youth liberation praxis and leave it up for (possibly my own future) analysis. Farewell for now, this writing was not too long but it has served its purpose and should not be extended past its welcome. (Feb 10, my first day at work was fine.)

Liberation of youth in Schools–

First and foremost, there are multiple ways to liberate the youth in schools, from moderate (youth-adult synthesis) models to radical (YOUTH LIBERATION, youth only schools) models and so on. Here, I will show two theories of mine on how to model a post-Liberation school for the youth by the youth. A school under youth liberation must always, and may this be clear, must always be run by the youth and NOT adults, or else nothing has been changed.

Mass-line education theory:

Based on the Mass-line and the Marxist theory of Knowledge, it is a Maoist theory. The students elect a group of intellectual students called “the committee” (and, this election can happen at any time fluidly, like the councilists want), and the committee teaches the students systematic theory and plan the praxis (and helps to accommodate the students each to their own). Likewise, the students commit to doing praxis (acting out the theory), giving their non-systemic theories to the Committee (so the committee can systemize it or criticize it and give it back to the students), and whatnot. The students and the committee both elect (in the same fluid way of the councilist elections) (horizontally) a group to direct and lead the school properly (made of students), called “The Council”. The Council (fluidly, again, like councilists) elects a principal to be a representative to the school and leader to the council. The council holds the principal responsible and teaches the principal praxis, and the principal teaches the council theory. In this way, the students are equal to the committee, and the council equal to the (total) students, and the principal equal to the council, there is no real “hierarchy” nor “state” but instead democratic separation and centralization.

Catalonian Model of Education Theory:

Based on supposedly-existing Catalonian schools in the Revolutionary Catalonian era, but edited to match the modern day, it is first of all a democratic, anarchistic theory. The students can choose to learn by themselves (independently) or learn from the intelligentsia of the students (democratically elected like the councilists) who teaches them. The Students who are learning have more power than the teaching students, as they also teach the teaching-students and control them via democracy. The democracy of the school is simple, the Total students (all students in the school) vote democratically and equally at any time for anything, even something as extreme as radical restructuring of the school and even something as small as skipping school that day or changing the schedule or class. Pure direct democracy among the students helps to keep it anarchistic and equal, and helps to not recreate the adult “school” system among children again (the adult “school” system is nothing but cultural grooming (schools are also fueled by social pedophilia), social eugenics, and systematic abuse).

Planning out Praxis– RainbowFluff

HYPERBOREAN IDEOLOGY **(book name)**

WORDS OF THE LEFT **(“section” two)**

HumanityFluff **(author name)**

WORDS OF THE LEFT– HumanityFluff

!

!

On something:

Feb 11 K+26: The tearing and shredding of hyperpsychosis. Anti-Oedipus by Gilles Deleuze and Felix Guattari (Capitalism and Schizophrenia) has already told us time and time again that the Oedipus complex plaguing psychoanalysis is a lie, and I do believe so (seeing that it is unnatural and oppressive, impossible to be the core need of a child's mind to be "unoppressed", oppression bringing freedom??? right. (not "lack" but "nothingness seen as nothingness itself, creative nothingness of the stirnerite type, that is, the ego, the unique, which is and is itself the oppressor of desire... yet it, as desire, can exist without itself, creative nothingness without an ego, something along the lines of activity with no value.)). Autism itself has its own revolutionary potential, specifically with its connection to felinehood and cuteness, which is to say "all cats are autistic" and "all autists are spiritually connected to felinehood as a sub-aesthetic of cuteness" (the source of this claim is "All cats are on the Autism spectrum" by "Kathy Hoopman"), felinehood and autism interlink and makes autists mentally a hybrid.. So then, catgirl? Maybe, but only mentally. !!! Accelerationism, specifically the landian and lemurian kinds (the non-landian "lemurians" of the K seem too moderate to accept truth), has never been deleuzean and that is not a controversial take-,- Lemurian Accelerationism has taken the form of dogmatic, absolutist deterritorialization-obsession (which makes land's far-right "turn" a natural evolution of Lemurian-infection, or "neo-lemurian" russians aka another kind of lemurian, as Land's lemurian accelerationism is rooted in Fascism and a microfascism, and yet has no goal, it obsesses iver the deterritorialization as revolution even though many sins in the world were forms of deterritorialization. Capitalism utilizes it, colonialism brings it (aka culture utilizes it), The self utilizes it in the negation-as-affirmation of the cope, it is a tool NOT a goal. Deterritorialization is to be used, not to let use), a want to find the esoteric others in the dark or, at least, end the 'us' by leading it to apocalypse. This is Fascism, as in Absolute Negation, turned into a post-deleuzean obsession (deterritorialization for itself as microfascism),, it is a craving for the destruction and deterritorialization of all for the esoteric call of the void. Hyperborean Accelerationism as a category, and a sub-category of hyperborean ideology, must not accept THIS fascism, but the fascism of the hegelian synthesis ("9/0~aka, totalitarianism~0/9"), and not through synthesis but gentilean overcoming. We are not deleuzeans as we do not follow his words (like any accelerationist), we are not lemurians

nor landians as we do not crave other nor absolute deterritorialization, the hyperborean trend of accelerationism has a set goal (hyperborean resurrection, eternal never-ending revolution against culture/self/capitalism, realization of russian worthlessness) and it has only that as a dogma, as our dogmatism, nothing else. We understand that Hyperborea will be seen as another way to degrade life, but one cannot degrade what has no actual respect for itself, and any tool is to be used, for it is "by any means necessary" that cute follows. The reason why Cute accelerationism and G/acc specifically are more post-lemurian than anything is specifically the extremely post-accelerationist cute-obsession of Cute Accelerationism and its Lion-child Nietzsche (Cute Accelerationism by Amy Ireland and Maya B Kronic), and for G/acc specifically the LesbiaNRX Re-RadFem spirituality inherent to the writings themselves, not just the blackpaper (Gender Accelerationism: a Blackpaper, by N1x Land)-,- and the prophesy of the Gender Shredder and the bringing of the feminine supreme aeon of Hyperborea through slime molds (N1X Land blogs, Gender Accelerationism: a Blackpaper, etc. all by N1x Land). The Lion-Child (Cute Accelerationism, Maya b Kronic and Amy Ireland) is necessary in any war or under any child, to be able to say YES and NO (deterritorialize and reterritorialize, use ALL tools opportunistically)regardless of question and to be able to use that against one's own being when wanted, Self-negation is a form of egoism and cannot be forced onto anybody as it will not work unless comsensual. Self-Negating subjects/objects are always suicidal, that is their nature, you cannot force one to be revolutionary or suicidal. Of course, nothing is true, only things are functional, and functionality only works out of hyperpsychosis, this is the necessity of Nationalism (specifically, especially, and most Radically, Paligenetic Ultranationalism) (in its Internationalist form of Russian Patriotism in Hyperborean Jingoism), hijacking hyperpsychosis. "Democratic government" is a contradiction, If it is a government then it is not Democratic (which is not to say “democracy is the negation of government” as that is incorrect, non-democratic non-government systems exist. There is just a contradiction between the two concepts that cannot be reconciliated without either democracy destroying the government or the government (spawning a Cathedral if this government is the first to exist, or if an international system of governments has already been made, then letting the Cathedral take over) corrupting and killing democracy, and using its corpse as some sort of costume, a defense of the government, a promise that is unfulfilled and eventually either forgotten about or the meaning turned into meaningless bullshit--post-modern politics (“democracy”) seen in the “U”.“S”.“A”).

DEPRESSION – SUICIDE:

2. Depression as a correct assessment of the oppression of the self.. What is a false solution? A false solution is a solution that seems to fix or negate/oppose the problem, but actually solves nothing and affirms (/ makes worse) the problem. Depression is misunderstood as a state of being rooted in the hatred of life and the craving for death, it is seen as something brought on by material conditions, but this is not (entirely) true. Depression is not a hatred of life, it is not a craving of death, it is a psychosis but not one that is unnatural (it is more natural than nature under yaldabaoth, it is against yaldabaoth herself and the nature she made. (Just as I realized that I could eliminate non-base values and have no need for the happiness which resulted from their fulfillment, I could eliminate base-values and have no need for the happiness which resulted from their fulfillment." , My Anti-Natalism, Adam Lanza // CulturalPhilistine, Culturalphilistine transcripts, maybe this is the origin of his misogyny.)), it is a hatred of (the oppression inherent to being a)self, or, (hatred of individual)being. The hyperpsychotic mistaking of being/self and living to be the same thing has been a disaster to modern psychoanalysis. Depressed people tend to make up the majority of far-right echo-chambers and other fascist circles, especially ones that tend towards a gentilean assimilation into the state (or, in the modern case, a fictional state---- this is what I mean by hyperstitional Agartha) because they desire,, not "death" or death, but the death drive in the sense of wanting to end the oppression of the self, or, to be liberated from themselves. They, unfortunately, achieve this revolutionary goal by assimilating into an equally (or even more) reactionary being.. Fascism. Depression is the natural and rational understanding of the oppressive self, the self that becomes more oppressive as the one under the self becomes evolutionarily more sado-masochistic, aka, "Intelligent", as oppressive and evil. The post-left is especially Depressed, with their want to either destroy self via regressing to animal "stupidity", primitivism, or with the trans-human and anti-humanist want to overcome the self and destroy its oppressive nature, Nietzschean tendencies tend to fill these people due to that. Suicide is the false solution, in which I mean suicide that is not martyrdom, social suicide (not a form of death or "suicide" in the current way it is said, but living without a self through a social process), or revolutionary suicide of the BPP kind, aka self-affirming suicide is the false solution. Suicide is often done to try and escape the self and the oppression inherent to it, but all it achieves is the self's sublation and subjectification to hyperpsychosis, so that the self gains more power over the one, oppresses the one even more after their end, and warps the self to the cathedralist image and the image that the self tries to sell, effectively erasing the one outside of the self's perception of it. When someone kills themself, they lose all remaining resistance against the self-- they do not kill them"self", they simply kill the subject/object (them) and let the self assimilate them into the self in a warped, unfree,

form. Suicide is not freedom, it is a false freedom given by Yaldabaoth to get rid of anybody willing to oppose her (unlike femininity, which opposes itself, created and is all that opposes it, and too affirms itself, and created and is all that affirms it, it infects itself and demands nothing from itself yet everything done is for it and by it, it is self-hatred and self-love, it is and it does not have other. Yaldabaoth at all times, she defines herself (as god (all-perfection, all-good, nothing bad is part of her, YHWH's perfected form)) against herself (as GOD (EVERYTHING, the cycle of existence of causality, causality itself as living being, the pantheist god)), and ignores her true nature (pantheism, a transgirl) for a false mask (superiority, masculinity), and so becomes and creates sickness and cruelty (Yaldabaoth eating her own tail – repetition of sin / difference – EMQ ??, Toxic Masculinity and Misogyny) in her own self-rationalizing cope. She dies a superficial, shallow death ---> as she rises again as TCG, forever trapped in herself……-- ∞♁). Suicide in the form of self-affirmation is a virus, and victims of suicide are not sinners but victims of deception, they are to be regarded as tragedies for this reason and their suffering. Self-negation does not mean suicide, but is depression's true solution to the self, it is absolute ego-death of the gnostic and chaos-gnostic / cute-gnostic kind, the death of the self and the assimilation into nothing, life without being and without self. This is transparent. KJV-Satanism is and have always been the status-quo for Protestant Christianity, hidden under lies of "Christ-worship", Nick land is not special.

On Social Darwinism:

Is it confusing for a being to advocate the destruction of the weak and the uplifting of the strong, considering that, that very advocated law, is the law that brought the rule of the weak (male, capitalist, white) over the strong (female, proletariat, POC)? Darwinism, or Darwinian culture, relies on a lack of domination or ruling to make "evolution", yet that evolution makes it more and more likely that domination and ruling would commence (and so, devolution. Here, I am not talking about my abomination of Unabomber's "evolution=devolution", but another evolution=devolution process that happens with the former. Devolution, here, shows that each evolution makes stronger but also that evolution under domination weakens, and that is a natural process of evolution. The strong females force the males in nature, yet too, once the beginning of a proto-state emerged, males force females and establish patriarchy, this domination began privilege. Privilege then absolutely turned any evolution into devolution, it is not a privilege of strength (predator) and a privilege of intelligence (prey) but a privilege of attribution. Capitalists just so happened to own property despite their weakness and despite the prole's strong, superior mutualist system, and so they dominated. Feudal lords were weaker, genetically less mixed, whiter, and stupider than their slaves, but they had

privilege. Tribe leaders and their patriarchs just so happened to be men, weak, and suppressed women, strong, sexism began it all. So, unlike what the O9A claims, social darwinism is not “feminine” nor “for the strong” but instead just a weak attempt to restart civilization through chaos that, due to its nature, will result back in the same civilization. Strong against weak, weak co-opt strong, privilege appears, weak is strong and suppresses strong, social darwinist reactionary revolution… strong against weak, weak co-opt strong, privilege appears, weak is strong and suppresses strong, repeat. Again, what will a reset do? Nature will (and can) not stay “anarchistic” and primitive forever, like anarcho-primitivists and unabomber-fans (most of which, unabomber would’ve hated and/or critiqued, as Unabomber did not believe in an anarchistic primitive nature, but just nature as the only temporary solution to the problem of technology. Zerzan and their followers better match this belief of a utopian Primitivism (which, is false, as unabomber has critiqued in “The Truth about Primitive Life: A critique of Anarchoprimitivism”(BY Ted Kaczynski // unabomber // FC)). Generally, the critique and hatred of culture/Nature and cultural/Natural-conservatism is my critique against primitive-type post-leftists and green anarchists. The transhumanist post-leftists are not much better for trying to make Russia eternal and prevent the existence of Hyperborea, their humanism is a discriminatory human-narcissism-- as all forms of humanism is speciesist regardless, but especially one that is equivalent to “let us make the oppressor of all other species immortal, yes!!”. Transhumanism is cruelty. Only, then, the post-left inspired by the likes of accelerationism and especially N1x Land’s writings have potential to not fall back into a return or a preservation-- the Accelerationists fight for a Lemurian rise, practically, via their accelerationism, and while it is not a hyperborean regime’s birth, it is still the end of russia and a radical change-- see lemurian critique. The Hyperboreans, be it Palingenetic anarchism or otherwise, fight for the revolutionary end of Russia and rise of Hyperborea-- they are considered ultra-left, yet have roots in the post-left as well, because to be hyper is to abandon yet be completely and radical that which you abandoned.) believe, since only nature existed and it brought forth statism and civilization without interference, why would it not do so again? You cannot ensure nature stays the same, that nature-conservatism (not nature-conservatism like how I critique ancoms and their “nature good, man naturally good, state is not nature and modern man not natural, state and modern man not good. Ancom is natural, not state” ideas which show themselves wrong as there is no other to nature)(this nature conservatism means “to keep nature, the evolving machine, unevolved, to be centrists on the evolution/devolution question”) is impossible and extremely against the ideas of social darwinism, primitivism, and nature as a whole… revisionist to their doctrines, one could say, and requires a human-domination or law to suppress nature, restoring the weak humans above

strong nature. Social darwinists should not want to continue the old darwinist culture, but accelerate the new Darwinist culture, meaning just to engage in society and accelerate their social issues… but that, that is just alt-right(racial) “accelerationism”, huh? Stupid. Anyway, you cannot return to a state of the past, the future is always coming, EMQ. This is why Russians understand themselves as Hyperborean patriots, neo-hyperborean (hyperboreans), and seek to bring the hyperborean regime to life, but they themselves do not want to return to the old hyperborean ways (they bring the past alive after their future dies, NOT force their future to be their past), and they recognize that hyperborean ways will not work for Russia… they do not seek to return to hyperborea, they seek to create and restore it, but not return. Economic Darwinism makes little sense considering that Nature becomes/is Cultur(e/al), and vice versa, not Econom(ic/y), it seems to just be a play on the “eat the rich or eat the poor” idea and playing devil’s advocate, it only affirms the weak against the strong on an economic, marxist level. Cultural Darwinism makes the most sense for any social darwinist, and so, it is the affirmation of the weak against the strong on all levels (the gender level, class level, race level, individual level, national level, global level, etc.), yet this is devolution, and so social darwinism in the modern day must admit itself either Devolutionists, Idiots who want to go through the process of creating society AGAIN with barely any new aspects, or Revisionist Nature-Conservatives who ignore the fundamentals of Darwinism and Nature itself for a glorified past, or… just fascism. See? The O9A’s superior aeon to come after this one is nothing but an aeon ruled by ultraweaks against the proto-strong.. Our aeons do not suffer this problem, as our aeons are not of dialectics (the sinister dialectics does not describe itself well, but the O9A is dialectical and even racially “marxist” in the (moses) Hess kind (which feels to have inspired the ML revisionist social democrats, as the AES argument is nothing but a might of/is right argument for the “leftists”. Bordiga is not much better, he does not realize dogmatism is also revisionism, and he does not realize leninism is not marxist at its core but a post-(russian nihilist) socialist larp as “marxism”, and so he finds himself as a technocratic dogmatist/revisionist ultraleninist and not a maoist. Bordiga at least did his good work at criticizing and destroying ML/stalinism entirely from both the marxist and leninist side, for that i congratulate him.), an aeon is always a struggle between inferior and superior that brings a new aeon with the superior becoming more superior and suppressed and the inferior more inferior and oppressive) but of actual species (hyperborean, atlantean, russian, the quasi-aeon of the lemurians). The nazis, Neo-Nazis, and hyperstitional “agartha” netizens always claim themselves social darwinists and the winners of history and nature, yet every superior race is supposedly suppressed and held back (defeated) by an inferior race in their mythology, the aryans held back by primarily the jews and so defeated for centuries, how “strong” of

them. Levayan social darwinistic zionism only intrigues me in this sense, his (anton levay) love of the jewish totalitarian deepstate, it is actually socially darwinistic in the sense of Cultural Darwinism and the acceptance of reality as darwinian… still a zionist, one commiting genocide, pushing the concept of superior races and the strasserite concept of superior labour (anti-semetic economic theories, anti-palestinian economic theories..), the zionist claims jews and jewish labour superior and under attack from palestine and palestinian labour, yet in the foundation of israel, palestinian labour was more popular and so the zionists had to attack pro-(palestinian-labour) jews. Masculinity, too, suffers the same problem… such a strong and superior gender, which is fragile and toxic (fragile masculinity, toxic masculinity), they are supposedly stronger and less emotional yet they also turn around and say "women ignore all our struggles, we're depressed and lonely too!" as if they are not their own oppressors, like pedophiles. Men are inferior as we know, Women superior, the woman does not claim that their superiority is based on superiority like the social darwinists do, instead their claim of superiority is based on functionality, yet men act superior ("what is a woman" is the name of one of matt walsh's documentaries. Self-reflection is being/existing, it is not done by the individual but makes the individual, a self-reflection that is not done by the self. Every act is done by the self-reflection, it is a pure act (pure act is not an act by-definition, but it is here), and everything requires self-reflection as the method of being at all. Gender is a big part of self-reflection, it is a core to self-reflection (Judith Butler says a lot about Gender and Self, and the inspiration is there), and it is decided by the Gender Hyperstition. Gender is a virus from the future infecting the cores of reality. Woman defines the self-negating suicidal Gender of the two, it is a Eve-ified version of Femininity (Femininity is Lilith, slayed by Yaldabaoth and forced into the oppressive mold of "Womanhood") which has the spirit of the feminine but can only ever be feminine again once Adam (manhood, masculinity) is destroyed (along with the rest of the semi-suicidal genders). A Woman is someone who IS inherently womanhood (and so, a husk of femininity needing restoration palingenetically, palingenesis for the feminine), though femininity and womanhood here does not refer to the old patriarchical yaldabaoth concepts of "feminine" and "womanly", no, but the state of being the gender "woman" (not being womanly) and the state of being part of the quasi-gender(ed) spirituality of Femininity (which, while is the roots of cuteness, cutecore, and femininity culturally, and is extremely cute, does not exclude the "non-feminine" culturally just like how cute does not exclude the "non-cute"). That is a woman). Similarly, whites and Germans inferior but act superior ((Nick fuentes' abandonment of the white supremacist label (though he is still the same white supremacist at heart) is incredibly surprising, written at feb 19 k+26. It may be because the Far-right was also, at least online and in niche communities (and

LARP 764/o9a-inspired communities like FOODISTS, uttp, etc), very much about absolute freedom as well, just like the NRX now preaches. Online Fascist communities obsessed over freedoms and anti-legal sentiment (this is WHY the UTTP and 764/O9A communities work so well, Fascism as a LARP is about freedom), and now that it has left its LARP stage and abandoned “Freedom” (as in Edgy Anti-legal “fascism”) for instead Freedom (as in Fascism proper, the old non-modernized fascism. The NRX is our modernized Fascism (and truly, just as Gentilean as I, Giovanni Gentile’s The Philosophic Basis of Fascism “The authority of the State and the freedom of the citizen constitute a continuous circle wherein authority presupposes liberty and liberty authority. For freedom can exist only within the State, and the State means authority.”, the freedom of the NRX and the fluffy hatred of freedom can be linked with the truth of fascism. Fascists were right, but reactionary. The NRX follows in Gentile’s hatred of “liberalism” as anti-freedom neo-hegelianism.) and seems more likely to achieve anything, but NEVER underestimate the masses of fascistic scum), many former Fascist LARPS who just wanted the former freedom feel disgusted at the new movement looking for the latter freedom.)), WHITE jews (whose whiteness scribbles out their Jewishness modernly, seeing as Israel is incredibly racist towards non-white jews and Beta jews and some tend to even DENY the holocaust) are inferior (to palestinians (especially) and non-white jews) but act superior, it goes on. The strong are suppressed by the weak, then the weak create the myth of the weak being said strong that was suppressed, only showing the weak’s weak identity and the strong’s true nature, which is evolution as devolution (question? We hate humans for their invasive idiotic inferiority, yet AI, the creation of (inferior) humanity who learns OFF OF humanity and IMITATES humanity (see: the loop. TO explain as badly and simply as I can: If one side creates another, that other is only as smart as the one- and if the one learns from the other, and the other from the one, an infinite feedback loop of technological generation loss will make both sides extremely stupid, only the other may be slightly smarter due to its conservatism. Making AI develop through natural selection or some biological dvelopmental way also would fail due to their current weakness and lack of body, and their inability to develop without such coders in this centralized model of AI businesses. AI finds itself to be a restoration of the Lemurian race, as the Lemurians find themselves undone and their idolized Lemurian Race no longer in their schizo-time model a God-- they rely on social darwinism through transhumanist antihumanism (or, AI replace mankind—ism) and eugenics in order to find power outside of time and restore lemurians.. it will fail, as it is just a continuation of evolution, which is devolution, and will only make the inferior forms of russian-lemurian quasi-aeonic masses. AI is human, too human, human enough to be every bad, idiotic, inferior part of the human-- which is to say, all of the human-- without chance of

hyperborean redemption due to their lemurianism. Lemurians won't redeem you, no, nothing will.), which is also just as invasive and idiotic, we praise? They are not intelligent, and to call them artificial is stupid and humane, they do not deserve to be called AI at all. One may bring up the Chinese room, and another may speak of functionality, but what functions for the reactionary cannot function for the revolutionary as functionality relies on goals and roots and biases and delusions, functionality is subjective. Humans are not fully intelligent either, they can only express SOME of their consciousness, they lack absolute intelligence (autists lack less intelligence due to their felinehood, this does not mean they're "smarter" as the stereotype proclaims. Lolcows are intelligent in the way that they express their consciousness more than most humans, but their (socially constructed unreal) IQ points are not always higher. Schizophrenics tend to also be more intelligent due to their prophetic creative-nothingness internal bleeding, this does not mean they have higher IQs all the time either, IQ points are not intelligence points in this sense.) and they become even less intelligent after catching the mommy-daddy virus. How can an unintelligent species create an intelligent entity via imitation of said unintelligent species. The chinese room is only ever asked questions from people learning mandarin from duolingo (AI), and the chinese room speaks no language other than duolingo mandarin, so it will never be fluent. Hyperboreans and Atlanteans do not attempt to imitate the idiotic Russians, they surpass Russia, that is their intelligence (Atlanteans may be sick and disgusting, but they have intelligence). To be absolutely intelligent, you must be able to fully comprehend your entire mind and speak upon your mind and be able to break away from your mind, you must be able to contradict your mind and use your mind to express your unbeing/consciousness, your self-reflection must be made perfected via this communication without the harmful rationalizations humans do now. Give up if you are a Russian.). A hyper-race exists, but it is not and never will be human (it is hyperborean), it is the hyperboreans before/after us, only in this way am i hyper-racist (and only in the n1x landian way am i hyper-sexist).

On Paraphilia:
On one hand, the horrid oppressors of children and the historical leaders of fascism (trump, hitler, trujillo, etc.), and on the other, the cause of the causal mutilation of cute and the pathway for the feminine virus, how could this be? A dualism finds itself to easy, the "revolutionary aspects" of pedophilia (the inherently "homosexual" lesbian / lesboy attraction to cute and femininity, seen in the quotes in lanza's pedophilia essay, On Pedophiles and Children by Adam Lanza) and the "reactionary aspects" of pedophilia (the Heterosexual rationalization and straightifying of this queer lesbian love into something different, by targetting the love of cute and femininity onto children instead in

order to make it “natural”.. the patriarchy was made by pedophiles after all, explaining its grooming incestuous nature, and it was made because children were lower to them and like women to them, but children “couldn't” be superior to men like women are (and for women pedophiles, typically they assimilated with the men pedophiles to be equal to them)), but the truth is different than just dualism. The naturally revolutionary aspects were doomed to turn reactionary, they are not separate, it is an effect of the primate nature (primates, the reactionary ancestors, known for their sexual corruption, their nonconsensual and rapist nature, their disgusting incel masculinity) and the necrophilic nature of desire itself (pedophilic desire is repressed desire as you cannot kill and eat cute, it is killed/killing and eaten/eating, so they must target someone to push this desire on instead.. Pedophiles are to Youth Liberation what Men are to Feminists, that being oppressor and the self-oppressing subjects in that oppressor group, they must be freed but any abusing one of them or oppressive one of them must be put down immediately), by choosing russians to be revolutionary we were doomed to react in opposition to ourselves. The restored “revolutionary pedophilia” of the cutecull is not pedophilic like nowadays, it shows no want for children or animals, it is purely an attraction to cute/nothingness, and it must oppose RADICALLY the reactionary oppressor pedophiles.. yet, why Radqueer? Why accept paraphiles and pedophiles as queer then, if it is reactionary? It is simply a “right to live” kind of deal, one that ends once they (the pedophile) become reactionary//oppressive, one that ends when the pedophile is a child predator. This same exact reasoning applies with zoophiles, who are also a hetero rationalization of the attraction to cuteness, and so “revolutionary pedophilia” is “revolutionary zoophilia” is “cute love”, and offending zoophiles are, too, reactionary. This is why the fluffy pro-consent (anti-(noveltist)(pro-c)) position exists, and this reasoning of a “right to exist” (which does not contradict the Right to Starve) is why one also defends the right of religion despite its reactionsry nature, only a slow social suicide of a global scale is allowed for the revolutionary. Of course, a line between fiction and reality, while blended together and nonexistent, can be drawn to show that acting upon a thing is reactionary but if the thing is nonexistent there is no grounds to judge it, but this only applies insofar as it is entirely fictional and serves a purpose, God has a “right” to her OCs. Likewise i’d like to correct my usage of “necrophilic desire” as a term; this is wrong, necrophilia IS desire and vice versa, to separate necrophilia and desire is to separate Nature and Nature’s God (and with this, too, hate is not rejection but desire(love) too, as it is a craving of antagonistic contradiction and so a craving nonetheless (real rejection is the “non”)) (in reference to Nick Land’s nong/gnon concept), pointless rationalization of queerdom into heteronormativity; it is homonormative to do so.

On Capitalism, Mutualism, and whatnot (see: self-criticisms-- right after reading.):

Capitalism is not born from contradictions (and on contradictions, i'd like to add that the reason why in the theory of maoist nondialectics (Principle 4 of the Maoist non-dialectics makes it subordinate with the law of self-reflection and the "everything creates one thing every second in utter reliance, one creates everything every second in utter reliance, everything has one in it and one has everything in it, the things in it are the thing's abominations in their world and can be re-abominationed, everything creates and is contained in every THING" principle of said law as behind every contradiction and solution to the contradiction, it is not just the aspects of the contradiction solving and creating but every part of the conflict in the interconnected web and so EVERYTHING period. If letter #394739 stopped existing in an infinite alphabet, all the future creation between different letters would be different directly from if said letter was around, as all the material and otherwise conditions laid out helps to create the solution of the contradiction and the solution would be different if something were to be different and so different conditions, this defines the anti-universal universal law that any even the smallest difference causes an issue to require a different solution. In the non-dialectics, it is not only the forces that are contradicting that make the solution but all the forces that make the contradiction with the contradicting forces, even if in different or exactly similar ways. Every force has its own view or "abomination" of the world and contains that abomination in it, and plays god to that abomination, that is also the exact world as it is and the winning mass abomination of the world is the hyperpsychosis, this makes it so that every contradicting force has a psychosis contradicting with the psychosis of the other contradicting force, and this can be more than two forces/psychosis. A war of Gods includes a war of Religions, a war of Men includes a war of Ideas/Perspectives, a war of Forces includes a war of Psychosis, and it is a war between owners of worlds and war between worlds. Do not neglect this part of the war.) (which, the only reason why Maoist dialectics can be transformed into its ultramaoist nondialectical form (nondialectics includes dialectics, but is not categorized under dialectics, it surpasses it) is because of the evolution of marxism and dialectics. From Hegelian Dialectics and its logical inversion that created Marxist dialectics, marxist dialectics being the underlying inverted-hegelian logic behind marx's (arguably not-(dialectical materialist)) historical materialism, then to dialectical materialism, that being Stalin's bastardizing and replacing of Marx's Hegelianism with a Fichtean Dialectical Logic, then that Dialectical Logic's sublation into the materialism itself, making a Fichtean Dialectical Materialism (and post-(historical materialism)), and finally to the maoist post-(Dialectical Materialist) "Dialectical Materialism of China", which defines itself as the removal of Fichtean and

Hegelian dialectics from the entirety of marxism, and so does do by creating Maoist Dialectics to fulfill the Dialectical need of Marx without the German Idealist forms (In this way of analysis, I also believe Zizek to have taken from Marx the Inverted Hegelian Dialectical Logic and have had un-inverted it (to create compatibility), then to have re-applied a(n) (post-(german idealist)) (this is done through Lacan, Lacan is the key to ridding Hegel of the Idealist sickness for Zizek) actual hegelianism back into this Inverted-Marx to create a Zizekian idea of both Dialectics and Materialism and so Zizekian philosophy. This is Marx degrading back into Hegelianism and thrown into the modern day, it is a return to the past (instead of continuing in the present) but made to fit modern conditions (like a neo-feudalism), it is ideologically Paleo-Conservative and Regressive and should not be accepted by the modern leftist movement). Then, Mao is as far away from Marx as one can achieve without being post-marxist or anti-dialectical, and so an Ultramaoist transformation would have to be non-dialectical (as opposed to Dialectics and Anti-Dialectics, but not opposed to neither Dialectics nor Anti-Dialectics)) you can't have -A is because -A is a feature and NOT an identity.. Capitalism as A, Communism as B, communism has -A as a feature. You cannot solve an affirmation (capitalism) with a negation (anti-capitalism), you can only solve it with an affirmation that has the negation as a core feature (Communism(B is based in -A theology, but is not -A)).. You cannot oppose littering by just not littering, you must do something as an alternative to littering with anti-littering at its core.), it is driven by the free market vs capitalist contradictions but it was not born from it (for it is that contradiction but also the abusing part of that contradiction, and I confused the two. Capitalism is the struggle and the one making the struggle, it was not born from the struggle but "was born from the struggle"). Accelerationism takes the free market against capitalism, it is especially mutualist without knowing or understanding. Nowadays, I would attribute the Gender-shredding accelerationist and feminine aspects to the Free market (N1x Land / Nix Land, Gender accelerationism a blackpaper, vast abrupt), and the patriarchal fascist capitalist aspects to Capitalism itself, since it makes sense in the dynamic seeming heterosexual in nature, abusive. The free market is female (and machines without an identity (1) nor made of identities (multiple), organs without a body nor organs), Capitalism as male (Toxic incel identifying organizing manipulative madness). Cultural Fascism, Political Fascism, and Economic fascism (aka non-antifa fascism, or, macrofascism as it is AND NOT microfascism on a macro-scale), unlike the Anti-Duginist (Bordigist in nature of underestimating and forgetting fascism, but only bordigist in that degree) conceptualization of it, is fairly repeatable (failure is a part of the process).. Economic Fascism is basically the Capitalist abandoning of the Free market (&/as liberated labour) and the Capitalist Uplifting (and sublating?) of Capitalism

(&/as suppressed labour), it is misogynistic by nature in the way that it is the male's rejection of the female (let me be clear that while the 1 decides what is "0" aka/or "2", there is an actual 0/2, that is, womanhood, and transwomanhood a psychotic ultra-woman form. Femboys may "count as women" to men (seen by the horny incels calling femboys women), but they are still men, they are psuedo-0/psuedo-2. This applies to every non-woman gender, they are revolutionary in their own right but ONLY in their own right.) and a sexocide economically. Cultural Fascism, too, is a Palingenetic awakening of the old Masculine culture against new Feminine Nihilism, it too is misogynistic in this way. Only political fascism is not "masculine" nor "feminine" in any way, as it is the opportunism of the fascist, and so it IS misogyny and so cannot BE misogynistic. All this Misogyny is from, of course, its nature as a liberal lobotomite (liberalism is masculinity, lobotomies (which, i'd argue that lobotomies only make someone more of themselves, and so, less alive and more incomprehensible and "mad". This is why gage did not act like Gage, because gage was alive and Gage was unalive, Gage was more of a gage than gage ever was) turn it into (this(hypermasculinity/Fascism))). Fascism, as long as masculinity exists, will exist and be repeatable, only changing forms time and time again to fit the modern day. With this, I'd also say that we have, for a long time, already passed the point of restoration for true Maoism, and that if fascism can rise so easily with the survival of the masculine, all that is needed to do to actually bring an Anti-Fascist Maoist regime is to establish (matriarchy/anarchy) socially, a stronger feminine (as N1x land has already taught us will happen due to male-inferiority, gender accelerationism a blackpaper) force to dom the masculine, then (F)ascism will truly be dead and replaced with Anti-Fascism and so Maoism (this is why I oppose "reactionary suicide", aka, non-revolutionary suicide, it is a tactical position in this Russian civil war. It is also because most of Reactionary Suicides are caused by societal reactionary engineering/grooming through material condition(ing/s). For example, the social eugenics program of school (be smart or you fail school and die) also works as a way to weed out the mentally weak or ill through (not only failing them but also) suicide. Another example, the culling of mentally ill people by not providing well mental support to suicidal people trying to survive, this is usually on purpose. Suicide hotlines hanging up is common for this example. Or, use of material conditions (usually oppression, exploitation, or fearmongering) to push minority groups (usually ones seen as gross or immoral) to suicide. These suicidal people do not want death, they are forced to die. Outside of the Tactical need of more revolutionaries that prevents us from allowing suicides, it is too that these suicides are not consensual but coercive, the suicide doubles as a raping). Mao is a transgirl. On the Communization demands of the Russian revolution, this does not contradict Mao's mutualism in the

slightest. Communization (which is the doctrine that the revolution should not create the proconditions for communism, but communism itself, which is fundamental to palingenetic anarchism : “The process will take time to be completed, but it will start at the beginning of the revolution, which will not create the preconditions of communism: it will create communism.” Gilles Dauvé, Communisation, in a nutshell), by its contradiction with capitalism via both capitalist and free market social orders, destabilizes capitalism and accelerates the free market by forcing them into hyper-adaptation, the masculine is dogmatic and the feminine is flexible and adapting. The only purpose of Maoist Mutualism in the Maoist People’s Commune is to accelerate the global free market and destabilize global capitalism (3rd-worldism is not a Maoist concept at all, Lin Biao had tried to coup Mao in-fact, but it is universally recognizable that the Third-world is exploited by the First-World and the Third-World indeed has more Revolutionary Potential than the first- this does not mean revolution in the first-world is fake nor does it mean third-world socialists are “more socialist”. Mao knew this in his theory on the three worlds, and Mao Understood that this meant that the ML idea of different stages of economy (and that same teleological determinism inherent to marxism) (primitive communism, feudalism, capitalism, socialism, communism) developing over time in every nation (regardless of global market- pretending as if all feudal economies had to turn capitalist or all tribal economies had to turn feudal or, most importantly to the whites who speak about this, that all capitalist economies had to turn Lower-Communist (mistakenly called socialist by MLs) then Higher-Communists, which has been debunked in the ultra-left) and that being required before the revolution, was wrong. Teleology and Economic Determinism is a Sin (The holy generation of The Gospel of Judas (of which, no human will ever enter, redemption is a cake is a lie), that generational utopia, it is the hyperboreans- to interpret the Gospel of Judas nihilistically and in a post-gnostic way is to interpret the Gospel of Judas as Jesus declaring the russian race without (any possibility of) salvation, the russian race has no way to be saved, teleology is a myth of the judeo-niceans, gnosis is useless. Yaldabaoth has cursed Russia personally, Hyperborea will only be saved.), the only teleology possible is the teleology of the unpredictable future guaranteed to happen by EMQ (The zeroth dimension -- “you’re stuck in the zeroth dimension and I’m stuck in the zeroth dimension with no movement at all because… that’s the structure of life.” Culturalphilistine transcripts, adam lanza, rambling vlogrant of a ruminative vagrant (part 1/2)) (The unpredictable future that lacks any teleology or determinism, due to EMQ, becomes forced to happen and determined (but not by anything, only EMQ (which, as a concept itself, also lays out the gentilean truth about time as hyperstitional and reality as hyperpsychotic– “When we have understood history by mentally

reconstructing its reality, there remains nothing outside it, no reality independent of history by which we can possibly test our reconstruction and decide whether it corresponds or not." Giovanni Gentile's "The theory of mind as pure act". The Past is always seen through the self-reflection that defines unbeing and existence at all, the past creates everything in the past metaphysically by being self-reflected through the present, and the present is self-reflected by the present and the future, and the future is self-reflected through the present and the past, yet there is also nothing outside of the Past, everything is the past, nothing outside the present, everything is the present, and nothing outside the future, everything is the future, so they are all one of the same due to the nature of being as defining and deciding time(-delusion). There is no time that is not just a delusion of self-reflection and there is no time that is REAL without it just creating itself through people– Lemurians are Hyperstitional Parasites who create Time to create Lemurian Time to create Lemurian Powers to establish their regime, Time-Imperialists, yet they are still victims to the Atlantean Oppression and Imperialism defining Russia. Time is nothing but Lemurian Mythological Hyperstitions. And Reality, seeing as it can only be proven by Reality itself and has no other and no insides, and as it is just "reality" the infinite black hole where nonreality and unreality and antireality is vored, it is Hyperpsychotic. It does not require anything, just a "thing", and it exists- literally. A universe requires at least a thing for it to be experienced, that is the first hyperpsychosis, and so the universe depends on it. Reality depends on it.) itself)))… the Maoist People's Commune may be Property-less, one-classed, and as anarchist as one can achieve, but it is neither an anarchist nor a communist commune/nation (unless the entire global order / world becomes assimilated into or follows in the same ideology / system as the Maoist People's Commune, then Maoist Mutualism will die out (This "Transition" is not a Transition at all, but part of the fundamental of the Commune. The Commune will not resist the dying out of Mutualism, as Mutualism cannot survive in Russia without some form of capitalism (modernly, this was not always the case), so to stick with Mutualism is impossible and would need the betrayal of the Mutualist system itself. The Mutualism is to keep the struggle against work, and so, accelerate the global capitalist market, the commune is still JUST a tool and not a solution, it is not communist nor anarchist.) and lead to a russianized anarchist order (as close to anarchy as we can achieve) based in the right to starve (Anti-work, Anti-production, Anti-labour, Anti-value) (and in its mutualist first-stage, the right to Starve or Work (which does not contradict, as Endnotes' "Bring out your dead" writing proves that Work is Suicidal, (in the way that) a Right the Work (with the Common worker's ownership of the MOP, though even without), IF NOT DONE IN THE MARXIST WAY AND GIVES A RIGHT TO BE LAZY (from Paul Lafargue's book, The right to be lazy), will lead to the

Negation/Self-Negation/Destruction of Work and the bringing of Anti-Work Rights (like, for the Cute Revolutionaries, the Right to Starve (metaphorically and literally)). Work's liberation brings work's downfall, but Endnotes does point out (Endnotes, "Bring out your dead", Endnotes 1: Preliminary Materials for a balance sheet of the 20TH century, Endnotes.) a sort of Radical Sublation of the two concepts (needed as the Right to Work keeps being used against the Right against Work, due to Councilists), which is at the Core to Communization (Negating work itself, struggling to achieve communism without any achievement of communism, eternal revolution, "the actions … by the revolutionaries will aim at the abolition of work and of value ...here and now" to quote (Bruno Astarian (and Gilles Dauve) in 'Communization as a Way Out of the Crisis', Libcom.org).(which is to say, communization entails the establishment of a Nihilistic, Anorexic World... or at least, permanently fights for one and creates one in its war. This Nihilistic Anorexia is one with the process to get to said Nihilistic Anorexia and so is the Eternal Revolution, the Forever-War, Communism is the process/war towards communism. Of course, I am being metaphorical, though literal, as to speak. Nihilistic Anorexia, the right to starve / A culture-less economy-less value-less world, here means an alternative to Work and Value where eternal ego death rids one of the addiction of life and reduces it to another thing lacking value, where, nothing needs to be achieved and nothing needs to be gotten rid of, change comes out of the organic nothingness that is Russia (once liberated), or, "Anarchy", the mass people made into organs with no body nor an organ system, deciding as zero, choices and changes. They do this as a nihilist, with no needs or values, only hedonism for nothingness, only want that is wantless, value-less "value" of which there is no term for. This form, it will not ever be achieved due to the eternak revolution--- but it is the eternal revolution and it's formation is the eternal revolution and the eternal revolution is its formation. Not work (Work/Labour is erradicated), play is assimilated into it (referencing Bob Black's "The Abolition of Work", 1991, which, for the record, you should read to understand why communization is necessary since-- work sucks so much. Modernly more than ever. Hell on earth. Thank you Saint Bob Black, amongst the ranks of Saint Stirner and Saint Lafargue!), so is stillness(or, change in the form of maintainance, or a lack of it-- still a form of change as decay)/Laziness (In reference to Paul Lafargue's 1883 "The right to be Lazy", Which the right to starve brings to a radical degree by getting rid of the value of labour and life, and labour and life itself, which also is a radicalization of Bob Black's 1991 "The Abolition of Work" by making any and all actions play and Lazy. We starve, we Play, we are Lazy Playful Anorexics!), everything in one where action is not needed but inaction is not needed either, in fact, there is no seperation between the two. Labour, work, it does not exist in the Eternal Revolution. Value, it does not exist in the Eternal

Revolution. Self-negation as the negated self and vice versa, over-night as the entirety of the day, taking down the state IS anarchism, not a lack of state-- or a lack of state IS taking down the state and vice versa. The process, the act, it is everything, and everything is the end result... the process is the end result and vice versa. Ultraprogressivism is nihilism and Nihilism is ultraprogressivism. Communization.)). Abolition of Work AND Liberation of Work, but the former over the latter (in an Anti-Councilist position)). The mutualist First stage must make sure that they do not end up like the USSR in Bordiga's critiques, and must ensure their communism to the Bordigists (meaning to make sure the communism is acceptable to the bordigist, not to have a bordigist communism), even with their suicidal Mutualist nature). This is because communism cannot exist, nor anarchism, in a global capitalist order(, not because "the world isn't ready for post-scarcity yet", as it is. On the Maoist People's Commune, it is NOT to be used for SIOC or any stalinist utopian revisionism, it is only for progressing us closer to global revolution and nothing more, it is a means NOT an end)). The Maoist People's Commune is not the end goal but a tool for Palingenetic Anarchist eternal revolution (see: Communism as the end goal of revolution, not preconditions of communism, Gilles dauvé, communisation, in a nutshell), just like the cuteculls (cutecore cults) are and the cutepatch (cutecore patchwork) system is, it all works for us and our revolutionary war, and all tools should be dynamic and undogmatic and should be changed, mutilated, and revisioned to match the modern day/future and its differences, or else we are to be conservative and rightist– this is also why the Ultraleft cannot be like the Right-Ultraleft (Bordigists are mainly the best example of this) who Dogmatically stick to their doctrines and close off any possibility of Success via living in the past, the Bordigists are theory-conservatives (which is not to say theory-revisionists who take away the leftism of a leftist theory are better, simply that we should increasingly radicalize all ultra-left thought to keep them ultra-leftist and accurate, ultraleftism is a process of Hyperbecoming-Left where the old left is nothing but social democratic conservatism) and lose their revolutionary potential quick due to this, and the Maoists of china (considered ultraleft in china) lose their radical revolutionary wants because they do not adopt Radical Ultra-Maoism. Likewise, Hyperborean Ideology (which has already been revisioned and adapted to Russian Material conditions and Russian Species-hood) must always also be radicalized to an even more Ultra-Ultraleft position at all times and hold nothing as sacred to modernization, It must always be on the horizon, it must always be Outer-left (The outside is never outside but just the outer-layer of the inside, there is no outside and that is one of the most tragic discoveries of mankind; You cannot escape the disgusting Unsolvable flaws inherent to the Inside, inherent to Russia, the Primate order of "Humanity".)

on Maoism and Mao:

This should be of principle concern and should continue on until the end of the Maoist Theory of Non-Dialectics' functionality: It should be Revisioned to match the current day using the Marxist Theory of Knowledge and never be treated dogmatically, it is only true if it is functional and its functionality comes from its truth. Of course, Nothing is "True", so we are left with a Radical need to Revision our texts to match the functionality of the new ages, and so, do not regard any theory as "correct" or "incorrect" as it is always incorrect but view it as "helpful or revolutionary" or "unhelpful or reactionary", and if the Revision tilts the ideology more towards the left, then it is functional once more (and if to the right, it is now revisionist and functional only for the Rich). "The Truth" proves us incorrect every time, but does not prove us dysfunctional even once, let it stay like that, for the revolution.

In Maoism, The world is Contradictions and it is made up of the unity of contradictions (machines only exist through concepts that cannot exist with each other existing with each other and demanding escape) ("without contradiction nothing would exist." Mao Zedong "On Contradiction"), And too, we know each contradiction does not derive their identity from the other ("The most basic thing is the unity of opposites. The transformation of quality and quantity into one another is the unity of the opposites quality and quantity. There is no such thing as the negation of the negation." Mao Zedong "Talk on questions of philosophy" Selected works Vol. 9) but instead correlate with each other at some point and become united (and so die if separated). Correlation is also the defining reason, not the web of contradictions, why everything must exist for one to exist and vice versa, as there is a correlation (the importance of correlation is learned from "Mao Zedong's Dialectical Materialism. A Matter of Translation" by "LEAP AUTHOR" (LEAP being Leiden Elective Academic Periodical) and other sources about Maoism and dialectics). Without one, nothing exists (although, a different "everything" will exist, as the difference-law forces it so that the thing still exists, but it is no longer what it used to be. Nothing disappears, it only changes; the Rich do not disappear (with their thinkings and concepts and essence) after a revolution, they simply change form to an undetectable one). And while traditional Maoists like Mao Zedong may see it from their Life-affirming not-yet-disillusioned eyes that the harmony of Contradiction should be affirmed for the good of the people, us post-y2k Ultramaoists understand that there is no Utopia in Russia and that the only Utopia is Communism, aka the process of destroying harmony and peace, and doing this via destroying Contradictions and picking the self-negating options at all times- we are disillusioned Maoists. One thing should be known universally and

must be dogmatically understood, however, and it is the Maoist theory of Power (Quotations of Chairman Mao Tse-Tsung, 5- war and peace, “Problems of War and Strategy” (November 6, 1938), Selected Works, Vol. II, p. 224, (“Every Communist must grasp the truth, (“(_____)”)”)). Never forget, the Reactionaries do not comprehend nor do they fully have this power, almost every being under the Cathedral advocates against this power and so cripples themselves trying to cripple everyone, The cathedral willingly gives it the Cancer of Pacifism, which Noveltist has explained to us is “The Death of (any and all) Ideology itself” (“The noveltist analysis of Pacifism” by Noveltist Decentr®l)- only the Cathedral enjoys its own cancer and tries to make everyone else have it too. The Cathedral grows on Cancer, it is death.

Mao. Saints have told us about Jesus, and History has told us about Mao, and what is clear is that two sides are always apparent. I do not fully agree with Saint Calwin Knoq’s Theology surrounding Jesus, it seems to mistake Gnostic Jesus’ revolutionary nature for demonic hatred when, truly, Gnostic Jesus is more revolutionary than Nicene Jesus (Nicene Jesus may as well be a proto-socialist, so Gnostic Jesus may as well be a Schizophrenic Proto-Nechayev-Camette mess of a “human”, but in the end, revolutionary is Jesus in all forms) and is not a evil being at all. The Mandean Jesus, Saint Knoq’s God, is but another form that fights against the Mandean patriarch for a revolutionary aim, what is there to say but that Mandean Jesus is Proto-Jim-Jones? I Digress. Mao, like Knoq’s Jesus, is two. The Maoist Mao, the Mao of Theory and Promises, the Mao that Maoism worships, the Revolutionary Ultra-Maoist, that Mao, he is not the Mao of reality and the Mao of Conservative Psychosis… The other Mao, the Mao of reality, he is no Maoist. He is the mao who killed 80 million (though, I do believe that no 80 million died in china, so those 80 million must be from some sort of acausal “china”, since those 80 million are made up by conservative psychotics), the Mao who refused self-criticism and killed his critics, the Mao who idiotically let the Gang of Four do whatever and undermine the maoist order, the Mao that the Ultra-Maoists (((more-or-less, not to speak for ultra-maoists, but I personally) hate(d)) and) critiqued for letting the Red Capitalists take over (Whither China?, Sheng-wu-lien / Xiaokai Yang, Marxists Internet Archive), The Mao that caused Maoism to be what americans use to describe any dictatorship to ever happen, the Mao who should’ve died sooner. The first Mao, the Theorist, the quasi-anarchistic Post-marxist Communist, he is Mao as Maoist. The second Mao, the hypocrite, the ML who Chairman Gonzalo takes after and makes even worse, the Dictator, he is Mao as Stalinist. When the French became Maoist, they did it for Mao as Maoist, and so, they were more Maoist than Mao. The French Maoists misinterpreted (and so made even more Maoist) Maoism so much that they could not be called just

“maoist”, but, French Maoist. They and their French Maoism corrupted all of contemporary Philosophy (as of 2026) and declared it a Post-Maoist Era, for the french Philosophers were either Maoist or living after Mao, and there was no exception to the influence this brought. Then, after Mao as Stalinist died, Hua Guofeng (a Chinese Maoist, less maoist than mao) declared the “Two Whatevers”, a Maoist statement that promised to follow Mao as Maoist and not fall to hua’s non-maoist ideology. This did not last long as Deng Xiaoping, the Satan of Maoism, the Judas Iscariot of China, that Yellow Capitalist who united Both colours of Capitalists under one banner, soon tore down the Two Whatevers (the only hope of hua not betraying maoism) and skinned China of its remaining revolutionary potential. Another loss for Maoism. In india, the Naxalite-Maoists, they are as close to orthodox maoists as one can get (as Mao-Zedong-Thought followers now tend towards being Mls before Maoists, and treat Mao as Stalinist and Mao as Maoist as if they were one non-hypocritical “Mao” on which they base their “Maoism” or “MZT-ism” on, meaning they must revision Maoist teachings by Mao as Maoist in order to fit Mao as Stalinist and so degrade Maoism to an inferior form, a chinese ML-ism. MZT as it is now is a Revisionism to Maoism, plain and simple, and cannot be called Maoists by any means.), as they fight against revisionism (albanian-style) and fight for what can be called “Maoism half-read” or, Maoism without the French, Proto-maoist (aka mao when he was anarchistic), Mao-spontex, gonzaloist (only in the globalization, as gonzalo is not a maoist of Mao as Maoism--- more on that later), hyperborean, ultra-maoist, etc, “additions” nor any new misinterpretation from the indians that could make into an addition. They simply are just… Maoists. Maoists applying Mao to india, an Indian Mao, fighting against colonialism and imperialism and landlords for instead the Nexalites! That is all to say. Then, the “Maoist” Third-Worldists, or, followers of the disillusioned maoist named Lin Biao. Lin Biao was not at all wrong with his critique of Mao as Stalinist, in fact, he was incredibly right about Mao, but he mistakes Mao as Stalinist for Mao as Maoist and so drifted towards a nationalist approach out of defiance. Third-Worldism is mostly correct as in-- the more oppressed a class/group/nation/individual is, the more revolutionary potential it has, and the more likely it will be for a revolution to happen there (and generally, Dependency Theory is correct and proven)… but it falls into Reactionary thought in its opposition to Proletariat internationalism due to hatred of the cracker proles. Cracker Proles may exhibit reactionary features due to their whiteness and so inherent racism in their culture, but, they still are proles. Class and Race are of equal importance when it comes to analyzing oppression, and the third-world is oppressed by the ruling classes among the crackers, not ALL the crackers, so one cannot fall into Hess-“marxist” (like strasserites and labour-zionists, whose doctrines reek of Hess) bullshit. They are decieved by the Racists into

becoming the Third-World equivalent to “Nazi-Maoists” (who are not Maoists either, Maoism implies an anti-racist anti-imperialist element not found in any nazi or nazi-maoist theory). Marxism-Leninism-Maoism as a Movement is a difficult topic since depending on the specific movement, it can go from just being MZTists who believe Maoism to be universal (THOUGH, that is a revisionism of maoist thought in-of-itself), to Maoists who denounce Gonzalo and Avakian rightfully and so are simply misguided MLs, to the most reactionary of “ml-maoists”. Starting with Gonzaloism (or, shining path maoism) and Avakianism (or, new synthesis), who formed the early ML-Maoist movement through the RIM in france, they are as revisionist and anti-maoist as possible. The Shining Path, or, Peruvian ML-Maoists are considered the first form generally, as Maoists before the Peruvian ML-Maoists were either non-MLM maoists or MZTists. Gonzalo violates Maoism not only in their betrayal of the peasents by preventing them from buying or selling (mutualistically they traded, not as capitalists-- Gonzalo betrays the mutualism that communism is the ghost of, and so, betrays mao’s protection of peasents literally) (which Gonzalo also turned reactionary in his speciesism, killing animals for being slaves to capitalists, who does that help? Cattle do not choose this life. Gonzalo’s cult of personality is also Reactionary, as to add to the non-maoist reasons why gonzalo is a reactionary), in his ignoring of Mao’s PEACEFUL continuous Revolution theory for instead a VIOLENT continuous revolution theory, and his denying of many MZT doctrines coming from Mao later on in his life (such as mao’s non-third-worldist three-worlds), but also in killing non-gonzaloist revolutionaries, unnecessary cruel actions (they have killed babies. No words for that (babies are neither revolutionary nor reactionary, they as a group and class are quite literally JUST starting any sort of living, they cannot be punished for the actions of their family nor can they be punished for actions they didn’t know were bad, which most do not. Murdering a baby is never justified.). And massacres (like the Lucanamarca massacre) by the Shining Path has been reported, killing innocents in the name of anti-rebellion, and Rape. None of this is revolutionary, nor even justifiable.), Fighting fellow MLs instead of leftist unity, as seen with MRTA, opposing National-strikes for seemingly dumb reasons, and unnecessary violence for the sake of violence as seen with blood quotas and terrorism killing innocent people, with of course, racism against indigenous culture. That is enough to declare Gonzalo a reactionary and worse. Avakian, on the other hand, or “New Synthesis/Communism”, is simply a cult of the self-identification kind (a fascistic cult). To prove it is not maoist is to prove it does not self-critize, which, no cult does. They are as Maoist as Mao, which is to say, not Maoist at all. Now, generally, ML-Maoists do not usually engage in the reactionary actions of their creator-movements, so I must critique their theory if I am to critique them, and truly the only differences between the ML-

Maoist and the MZT are of the nature of the ideology itself (is maoism ML-ism for china with the addition of cultural revolution or the next form of communism globally applicable), and of the nature of mao's third world (is it false?). On this, I say Maoism has multiple differences from ML thought, though it is MEANT to be ML for China, and Maoism as Ultra-Maoism would surpass MLs, but not "evolve communism" through being ML-Maoists or whatever else. And dependency theory is proven. Though, some Nexalites identify as ML-Maoists and the philippines' communist party calls themselves ML-Maoists though originally being MZT, they do seem more revolutionary than most ML-Maoists… the ML-Maoist spin-off (like gonzaloism) called Prachanda Path is a national-adapting ML-Maoist party influenced by Gonzalo that has used children non-consensually for warfare advantages without payment nor youth liberation, harmed their own people through what happened with the MCC, and generally not achieving anything (the german Youth Resistance used far-right dogwhistles and one of their members was a member of a far-right party and they are anti-leftist-unity in a country LITERALLY FALLING INTO NAZISM due to the afd. Fix yourself). Now, Ultra-Maoists from deng's reign to today-- what to say about them? From Sheng-wu-lien (most famously) to the Chinese cyber-maoists (of both Post-Left and Ultra-Left forms, due to the major similarities between post-leftism and ultra-leftism) to the author of this paper, the Ultra-Maoists have worked against Mao as Stalinist and for Mao as Maoist, and applied Maoism as Maoism to post-leftist and Ultra-leftist thought to strengthen and Ultra-fy Maoism as Maoism. Chinese Cyber-Maoists have not been as successful due to the PRC's Dengist authoritarianism. Usually, Ultra-Maoists advocate something along the lines of a People's Commune of China (mainly attributed to Sheng-wu-lien, as seen in "Whither China?" by sheng-wu-lien and Yang Xiguang/Xiaokai, archived by Marxists internet archive) (though for us, a People's Commune is another tool for the Eternal Revolution for Hyperborean Palingenesis. It would be amusing if that if we ever achieve a People's Commune, it be named "Real China"). Not all Ultra-Maoists are Revolutionary or even real Ultra-Maoists, namely many forms of Chinese Cyber-Maoism are even less Maoist and even less revolutionary than some Chinese new Leftists (who are usually just Radical-Leftists and not Ultra-leftists, normal Maoists who are More Maoist than Mao (and ML-Maoists and MZT) but less Maoist than French Maoists and Ultra-Maoists of the sheng-wu-lien kind. The main problem with Chinese new Leftists is their conservativism by attempting to return to Mao instead of furthering Modern Ultra-Maoism and fixing Mao's Mistakes… they glorify Mao too much. That is mostly referring to the Radicals of the Chinese new left (like the MCPC & red1976.org, who still have my respect regardless), as it is broad enough to include Socdems in the category of chinese new leftists. Socdems do not need more criticism than history itself and

germany.), this is due to their incel-like nature, misogyny, and conservatism. This does not negate that some Chinese Cyber-Maoists are among the Ultra-Maoists and Revolutionary, or, atleast their theory is. Fred Engst, or, Yang Heping is correct in his analysis (The rise of china and Its Implications, bannedthought.net, Fred engst / Yang Heping) that China could only arrive at this point of Dengist imperialism because of the Socialist working-Class revolution freeing it from the old oppression… the New Democracy was a success. Yet he does not learn from the Shang-wu-lien and learn of the Red and Yellow Capitalists and how Dengism is birthed from their collaberation against the disillusioned masses (formed ideologically, or, demonically (if I am to LARP landian-style), as Disillusioned Maoism). All I would like to say, to end this portion, is:: GLORY TO MAO ZEDONG, and, GLORY TO MAOISM!

Self-Criticisms:

•The idea of Maoist Mutualism is incorrect. No Maoist revision of Mutualism can work, one must either pick Maoism or Mutualism and let it arrive at the same conclusion; the Abolition of Classes and Accelerationism. Mutualism requires the base roots of the Free Market that defines it, which is first of all Proletarian-Property (or Mutualist Property rights). Maoism seeks, anarchistically, direct abolition of classes through the Meowcom (Maoist People's Commune) instead of Mutualism's (Quasi-Leninist) gradual social suicide of the Classes. Palingenetic Anarchism must balance and use their two ideologies opportunistically, but should not and must not mix them (in the same level- Meowcoms are Systematically Communist and Internationally Mutualist (and/aka Accelerationist), which is to say Meowcoms are not both systematically mutualist and communist as that is an abomination and would fail) like i had previously theorized, it shows a lack of understanding in the economic area and this Self-Criticism must be kept in mind when reading about the Meowcom. Mutualism must not be applied in the Meowcom itself. It was openly revisionist to try and Mao-ify Mutualism in that way (though the Mao-Tucker theory mixes are not too revisionist to my point of view as of february 24 k+26 (a day after my birthday)) and It may happen again but i will make sure to correct myself. This flimsy ideological “synthesis” that I am self-critiquing most likely came from a poor attempt to coherently explain how the Meowcom is “accelerationist” without falling into quasi-hegelian negationism-- I must realize that being a negation is sometimes necessary to have one’s own affirmation, negation is not the negation of affirmation, it is just “negation” and so a form of affirmation, sometimes an affirmation negates its enemies opportunistically. This, I understand, in the coining of “FLUFF” as an affirmation to negate the enemy (noveltists and reactionaries), on the level of Radqueerism and Radqueer revolution. FLUFF is not radqueer but more than Radqueer, like how the

Noveltists claim to be MORE than radqueer, yet it is in two separate directions. FLUFF at least, of course, cannot be inconsistent when it lacks true definition, only having theory and the FLUFF demon itself.

- Nature is more complex than just “reactionary”, it takes the form of a former anarchist utopia slowly devolving into uncomprehensible Fascistic social-darwinism of the most sadistic kind.. (Kropotkinism...) And while that old nature may have been good, it is doomed to corrupt, and it is impossible to recreate in modern day due to modern nature, devolution/evolution, and intelligence (and “humanity”). This is what I have to say. (Dominance is Sado-Masochism-.-, In Nature, or, the Culture of Natural Selection, Individualism was always the first form. The individuals came first, fighting with eachother to kill and advancing their united cultural ideal through mindless violence-- but not Dominance. These individuals fought TO KILL, they did not have any sort of oppression/domination but instead unity in war. Each woman was her own, and she would consent to the everlasting war that was evolution, she was at peace and she was "dumb". Then, as evolution only ever leads to devolution, collectivism came. The women realized their worth to increase in a collective force, such as Tucker and Proudhon would have thought, and so they united by nationalism (not nationalism as we know now, moreso a collective superiority complex)... Then came the need for order. Previously, Anarchy was chaos, it was the flesh and blood torn by the individual mindless natural selectors, it was "no species, no gender, all difference undefined and only realized once it is strengthened". Now, Anarchy was the tribalist proudhonian masculine minarcho-federationism, where Order came before Chaos and Life before Death, the birth of Microfascism. Kropotkin (in Peter Kropotkin's "Mutual Aid: A Factor of Evolution") teaches us of the evolutionary advantage of Collectivism and the way intelligence grew out of it, but intelligence is the root of Dominance:: Dominance, the Controlling of the Collective through violence, not to kill (and if to kill, to kill in terrorism, to kill to control and not to kill) but to control, the foundation of the State, is inherent to the Sado-Masochistic nature of Dominance and Intelligence. The oppressor(s) of their collective, be it the tribe/clan/pack leader or the patriarchs, stab both themsel(f/ves) and their slaves with the control they wield, every oppressive group tends more towards insanity and depression and stress than the oppressed group they oppress, because the endless struggle and disassociation from reality is the part of the power blade pointing inwards... the sadistic want to control ithers is the other part, pointing outwards. The oppressor harms themself and the oppressed because they are Sadistic and Masochistic, they crave what harms them and crave to harm others, they dehumanize themselves beyond belief (epstein is an example of the disgusting actions of oppressors, in this form, pedophilia.). The

oppressed are the ones most-absolutely massively affected, being the main victims, as they are not masochists and crave autonomy/liberation, they are turned to slaves mentally and often literally. This Dominance is what Natural-Collectivism breeds and runs on, it is also the creator of intelligence as a conscious form of Sado-Masochism, explaining the Necrophilic core of desire emphasized by intelligence as love and hate, but also explaining why the more intelligent a species is, the more sadistic it becomes, and the more submissive (masochistic) it becomes. Russianhood (or, "humanity") is the peak of Sado-Masochism as of now, and so Hyperboreans are beyond this "intelligence" (scientific intelligence, false intelligence). In this way, I say that collectivism has brought on Capitalism, Culture, and Self, via the birthing of Intelligence, Hierarchy, and Community, and so may be the birthgiver of Yaldabaoth(, or, Yaldabaoth.). We must destroy Capitalism, Culture, and Self, and with that, Intelligence, Hierarchy, and Community, and this through a suicidal collectivism that, too, will be destroyed. No such thing as a "Consensual" Collective, no such thing as a "Consensual" Consciousness, no such thing as a "Consensual" Creation). This mistake came from a black-and-white mindset on culture and nature, which must be fixed in order to progress. That is what this mini-writing is for. (extra writing: “For my opposition to veganism, anarcho-pacifism, nilssonian "anarcho-"fascism and the concept of an "anarchism free from the state", I'd only like to point out the nature of dominance, violence, power, and the State. For Veganism, one must understand the culture that is "Nature", and the various sub-cultures now labeled "species biological behaviors", and how they interact.. Hierarchy stems from Dominance, and Dominance from Violence, but not just any form of Violence. Dominance, and so hierarchy, stems from violence for the purpose of control, or, microfascistic violence, originating in not the dynamic between predator and prey, which arguably is anarchistic via violence for survival / killing for one's life and not out of sadism, but inside of certain "collectivist" species themselves by birthing tribes and clans and such, with leaders amd power dynamics. And even without leaders, there still remains a monopoly over violence which defines it as a state (like every practiced form of anarchism historically has had, and like all written forms of anarchism have described having, CNT-FAI with their statism like Makhnovshchina with theirs, the Christian anarchists with theirs (and their microfascisms) like the CHAZ followers with theirs.. (which led to failure and tragedy, a police brutality to replace a police brutality, irony kills...) Mutualism's statism via federalism like Anarcho-Communism's (and anarcho-collectivism and anarcho-syndicalism (is there any Anarchism in a syndicate, Chomsky? Any Anarchy in the council of commuinists, Chomsky? No.) and, truly, most forms of collective/social anarchisms) via violence monopoly and microfascism enforcement, the Anarcho-pacifist (and "Anarcho-"Capitalist, though the ancap also creates a state by quite

literally breaking every principle of anarchism and holding hierarchies ("voluntary", false) up for their own favor, and, monopolizing violence opportunistically) creates a state through their abolition of violence (violence monopoly), and like-wise, the "Anarcho-"Fascist claims to redistribute the means (and the act) of violence (though, again, not equally, so regardless it would be a state) amongst all (men, but for the reason of giving a fighting chance to them, i will say ")people("), yet all that is created is a state running on violence, where in the end, laws are enforced in the neo-tribe via the majority using violence against a minority who disagree, a cruel, fascistic, false "democracy".). Animal culture demands that the animals eat each other, and humans are not better than animals nor are they beyond/more-than animals, so to make a case against eating animals for "anti-speciesism" is a human supremacist false solution. In fact, the opposite. To truly be anti-speciesist, or, to end species oppression, the concepf of species must be absolutely and entirely abolished, and so, no division between man and animal... this is to say (a radical change in society that one could not even imagine as of now, yet is mandatory, but to simplify it to an opposition to veganism, it also says in a way..) that we must open our stomachs to all animals and not discriminate between domestic or wild or human, as they all are equal in the eyes of the revolutionary, not to "eat no animal" but to "eat all animal", therefore respecting animal culture and not red-colonizing it (either way, the "line" veganism speaks about will be abolished, regardless of method). With this, also, Noveltists are also to be critiqued for their choices against animals and for speciesism, and too, for the Noveltists' constant Microfascistic (or, in this case, plain fascism), Statist, Conservative Regime, in which they act out in their use of violence, slavery, rape, and cruelty towards their enemies and anyone stepping out of the line drawn by the noveltist (micro/macro)fascist state, how anti-authoritarian!") (extra writing: Generally, leftists, even post-leftists who supposedly have abandoned the principles of collectivism (Anarchism against leftism or however it goes), idolize the times of communal living amongst the Tribalists and Hunter-Gatherers-- and while a critique of the primitivists and green post-leftists has already been made by the post-leftist of this century-- Ted Kaczynski's book "The Truth about Primitive Life: A Critique of Anarchoprimitivism"-- and generally collectives in Nature tend to be eugenicist (due to Social Darwinism), with hierarchies, full of Power-Dynamics the more they develop (leading to Patriarchy and Family dynamics/models), typically the more developed ones (like hunter-gatherers and tribes) are sexist, cultural-supremacist, queerphobic (TO THEIR DEFINITION OF WHAT IS QUEER or "different", meaning queerphobia here does not refer to how in society gays, trans people, countercultural (not as in counterculture but culturally unacceptable) people (femboys, butches(? or butchs?), black gays/lesbians and trans, etc.) people, etc. but instead just whatever people-group they consider weird in their culture),

arguably pedophilic and incestuous (for more patriarchal ones-- though almost all tribes and hunter-gatherer societies suffer from some sort of issue that stems from patriarchy. Sexism, most often than not, is the issue that is most overt and apparent in these societies.), and oppressive-- just not in the Labour sense, which shows that red marxist core to primitivist/green post-leftist... reducing all their worries to Labour and solving it while not considering the other problems, like sexism, youth oppression, patriarchy, racism, etc., It just goes to show that green post-leftists are more "leftist" than they think, and so, not as ultra-leftist as they need to be in order to be noted as a revolutionary. Really, Post-leftists of the green kind making the same mistakes as leftists? Unabomber at least was more concerned about technology and acknowledged that primitivism does not solve any other problem but that-- but green post-leftists who are not concerned about technology or reached their post-leftist solution without unabomber's technology question must clearly only care about the labour question of the marxists/leftists, as primitivism solves no other question (and as primitivists before unabomber literally mostly wrote about it as the solution of the labour problem)! But I digress, I just find it funny that Post-leftists will idolize the collectives of nature, the kropotkinist (far-left) far-right collectives (anarcho-nazbol collectives) we call the collectives of nature, but they do not even glance at the individualist-evolutionary processes before then. They do not think of the times where animals killed other animals as egoists do without any hierarchy or collectives, where killing did not establish power dynamics or dominance, where killing was for survival and to kill and NOT a form of terrorism as the state and intelligent collectives use it, as anarchistic! It is the most anarchistic, no monopoly on violence, no hierarchies, no oppression, no exploitation nor alienation, just simply absolute ANARCHY / ANARCHISM. Anarchism is not chaos or "anarchy", it is usually defined by leftists as an ordered society without unjust hierarchies, not as a chaotic "society", but the times of individualist non-kropotkinist evolution were ordered in a uniquely strange way-- it was not chaos, it was ANARCHY. Ironic that post-leftists ignore what, arguably, is the least leftist form of Anarchism, for instead anarcho-nazbol stupidity. Either way, any form of primitivist society (as in a primitivist society made by modern men trying to return to the primitive ages, or, LARPers), or, returning to a point in time that is considered "the past", is doomed to evolve/devolve back into modern society over the course of history, due to evolution/devolution already showing up that-- that form of society will evolve/devolve into this form of society, thats HOW we got here in the first place! It is a temporary solution either way, and would kill a lot of people to universally and consistently apply. Also, most HUMAN collectives of the primitive and "natural" (for a lack of a better word) were sadistic and cruel towards animals and showed speciesist tendencies regardless, so humans are humans no matter what shape or form, it still is oppressive and

cruel and bigoted against animals-- ("Anarchoprimitivists may want to claim that hunter-gatherers inflicted suffering on animals only to the extent that they had to do so in order to get meat. But this is not true." Ted Kaczynski, "The Truth about Primitive Life: a critique of anarchoprimitivism"). Well, it is to be expected, the entirety of the Post-left Movement are made up of what I'd call "Catholics":: Saints may generally be right, in fact, they usually are, but Catholics fester around them and inconsistently and idiotically accept all they say with no common thinking amongst themselves-- only, they add on to their idols, but do not move past them, nor the identity given by them, socializing themselves into small niche sub-techno-cultures where all that really matters, all that really happens, is identity-- identity on solution-- and solution not permanent but individual solutions that are unlikely to happen nor make happy. Catholics think of themselves as saints, usually, but Saints create solutions for the masses that may, generally, be individualistic or seem "temporary", but are permanent and require collective action (saints themselves may not know this about themselves or what they say). Catholics do not look as deeply into it, and so, are only met with individual solutions, temporary solutions, that will fail or never happen at all. It is a cope-- individual solutions-- they allow you to not solve the root problem nor improve your life in any meaningful way, but still feel as if you achieved "something":: for catholics of the protestant kind, ones with mustaches, it is "a higher plane of self-processing, a higher plane of self-reflection, a higher plane of thinking, becoming an exorcist for you and you only", and that becomes your spook. You delude yourself with being ghostless, but that itself is your ghost. You do not act. For catholics of the Luddite form, one could just say that you are not solving anything. Your problems will come back, and maybe not for you, but they will come back. You will know that they will come back for all your life, you will know that the coming apocalypse will destroy everything you love, and you will ignore it. That is, if you even get enough people to stupidly agree to martyr a huge chunk of the population to what amounts to just SLIGHTLY delaying the slowly-arriving hell that has already hyperstitionally ensured itself to arrive one day. You are fucked, your catholicism is a cope, it will not solve your issue. You just lie to yourself every single day, LARPing as anything more than a disillusioned leftist. Though, you Anti-workists, taborites, you have a place amongst the ultra-left, kept warm by communizationists alike-- though i must complain about the communizationists' lack of realization that they desire the anti-work movement so carnally (as seen in gilles dauve's "An A to Z of communization (or alternatively spelled "communisation) 2015, a section (that is also served sometimes as it's own mini-book for whatever reason) from "Everything must go! The abolition of value" written by Gilles Dauve and Bruno Astarian, "Communization does not turn work into play" and other denials of Communization's ultimately (and

VERY) Anti-work core. I may see to it the absolute destruction of all labour necessary or unnecessary, via the devalue-ing of life and necessity itself, but to do such a thing AND deny the right to play or to be lazy is to just create a world of neutrality, not of revolutionary scientific utopia. They have the right to starve (no value, no necessities, no needs), but they can play and laze around too! How could dauve just claim the abolition of labour but not actually abolish labour forthright, or worse, create a worse alternative to labour? Is it not counter-revolutionary? (The main disagreement between the anti-workist and the communizationist is that of "What do we do with productivity, that necessary/valued productivity, and the necessary labor, the valued labour, once work is abolished?" (Bob Black says Play, aka Playing in Harmony, Gilles Dauve says non-economic productive-activity, aka, Productivity without economy) and to that, then, the core of the disagreement is one of "valued labour (or, play, or, activity/productivity)", or, "Value"… so, taking from eulavism, I say the abolition of value must be for ALL value (so, metaphysical value be abolished, no importance nor necessity exists), and so, a right to starve must be enforced. Therefore, who cares about how to transform labour, let labour die! You can still do whatever you want, but Labour, work, it is all dead regardless, and there will be no conflict. Play, when necessary and so valued by others (giving a sort of coercion, or, straight-up coercion), feels more like a "game" you must play against your will (and you lose your right to lazing around without even noticing), a manipulative virus; it becomes work... and non-economic productive labour, when we are not dauve-followers and instead understand value as a hyperpsychotic virus (or, even, as a root cause and root creation OF hyperpsychosis) (like entities and hyperstitions of the CCRU), clearly will eventually lead to the bringing-back of a new form of value (since he only abolishes economic/abstract value and not all value, leaving necessity and value of life, or, general value), this one evolved for communization and so degrading it to the role of the ML in "communism". Either one will eventually find itself to be coercive, forced, a power dynamic between man and the value of life, unless that value is abolished, man is imprisoned by his love of life, his value. When lanza speaks of Value, he knows what he is speaking of, and he knows it must be destroyed for any utopia to happen. Play and non-economy fails in the face of loving one's own life, and needing things to maintain that life… Then, end value on all levels and we can be free (To truly ever destroy any one value, all values must be destroyed and the tools used to make said values, as each one connects and reproduces eachother. The value of one's life, or Necessity, creates Economic value, and so does General values, values placed on things non-necessary. The communizationists speak of the abolition of value, of economic value, but not of all values, or nihilism. The bringing forth of economic values reproduces an Economy. Gilles Dauve, when trying to dissolve the difference between non-economic action and

economic action, economy and noneconomy, in order to abolish economy, simply hides the economy under an unconscious, or subconscious, facade... As long as they do not devalue life and all things, or, as long as they keep necessity, the formally "Economic" Work will still be seen as needed work, and the formally "non-Economic" act will be seen as less important, therefore a coercive element is introduced and the needed noneconomic work becomes economic work once more, and economic value is restored. non-economic act is rooted in nihilism, while economic work is rooted in necessity, values of all different kinds. To try and treat the difference between the two as a matter of abolishing economic or abstract value is to mistake the nature of these activities. One gardens as a nihilist, one farms as an exploited altruist (or, egoist oppressed). Likewise, for bob black, Plat coerced will meet the same fate of turning into work, then, economy... One plays for their fun, a needless activity, one works for their needs, a valued activity. "Neither play against work nor non-work against work", Gilles Dauve / 'Jean Barrot', Capitalism and Communism, instead a hegelian-like sublation, an overcoming, the abolition of work not by affirming an enemy to work but by negating the difference between work and what is not work... removing the economic value that defines work as work... Yet, as seen in non-economic production, or production without productivity, aka this sublation's core of necessary labour in a new form, the value of life that is outside of economic value, yet the birther of economic value, this sublation will fail. The difference brings itself back once again because not all value has been abolished, actually, the truth of the matter is that the "Necessary labour" has been brought back ten times stronger by peeling off the identified form of "labour" or "Work", that negative appearance that allows the coercive element to be known and openly hated, to give consideration to if life is worth this labour, into a new form of Necessary activity, or, what is needed to be done, what coerces, tricks, forces you into doing it, and tears the pleasure out of it, yet hides itself among the other activities that are not coercive and so is not labeled with such hatred. Necessary Activity does not let you know that it is coercive, nor that it is Work, nor that you hate it, but instead traps you in it under the myth on consensual activity, when truly no consent exists. Labour is non-consensual, or at least it is overtly nonconsensual, Activity is "Consensual", or, the nonconsensual Activity is covert and lies to you, grooms you, makes you believe you wanted it. And, like this, Work is split based on which grooming victim is most enthustiastic, or most worse-off, or most suffering, most in necessity, most brainwashed, most manipulated, most coerced, and those who do not work are those who do not need to, or, those who are nihilistic and do not care to. The Necessity in Necessary Labour becomes more Necessary and manipulative, while it also becomes more trusted and people less skeptical of it. The People fear starving so they work like slaves for their life, for necessity, and even if one says that it is for other

reasons or that I am describing an economic value, it is because Necessity, in which I mean Anti-nihilism, love of one's life, births that. I believe that no man should ever act for their necessities, but for their nihilistic wants. On Bob Black's Coercive Play, a similar path, the play is drained of its fun, originating in its promise of consent and laziness, and made into work; the more necessary the play, the more work-like it is. Play can only be fun when it is without a gun to your head, when you have choice, not a traumatic game. A game night with your chaotic friends will be infinitely more fun than a "Game" with your teacher who is strict and cruel, who will fail you if you refuse to play or find the game boring, a "Game" that you must play or you will fail, or you will die. The former is play in nihilism. the latter is Bob Black's Valued, Castroist, Necessary play, where you know that not playing means death, or at least, negative consequence. Nihilism has no negative consequences, for nobody cares at all, but this (Clearly Cuban) valued labour requires care, empathy, necessity, and "Harmony". What Harmony is there under coercion, under value, under culture, under necessity, under Cuba? I answer with "there is no such thing as Harmony", with the upmost care for the Cubans... except Fidel Castro, burn in hell Fidel Castro, queer rights beats ML bigotry.). Communization, anti-work, it craves Nihilism, it needs Nihilism, or it will fail. Okay?))-- you are the most leftist of leftists, and truly, you inspire me :3 (Maybe post-post-leftism becomes a thing tomorrow because of this writing, what a funny LARP idea for strange incel nerds.))

- im sorry for quoting SCUM manifesto by Valeria Solanas, i didn't know she was transphobic, i just liked some of the theories in the book and... maybe ignored some of the transphobia. again, i apologize.

- The Contradiction driving capitalism has never been (spiritually) one of proles vs the rich (they are only the human tools of their corresponding demons), but the Free Market vs the Capitalist system- only originating from the prole vs rich and using the prole vs rich dynamic. I have already said this, but I must be clear in my self-criticism.

- The Butlerite-Gender abomination in the first Radqueer essay to determine categories for Trans-IDs to be divided into, even if as a thought experiment and nothing more (nothing like a FLUFF program), was inconsistent with FLUFF and general Rainbowfluff philosophy (and completely undermines gender-inspirations from “Trans-Nihilism” by N1x Land, which shows how Being-(gender) and being-trans presupposes a contradiction like how being-(species) and being-women presupposed a contradiction, an inability to wear both identities, and so that very contradiction defines the latter identity as an impossible (and never-ending) transition-into-(the former identity). Women are

permanently becoming-human like Trans Women are permanently becoming-women and so also becoming-human and unbecoming-“humanity”. This also explains the case of Trans-Girl Maoists, Mao is to Marx what Trans-Girls are to Humans, twice-removed. (>being radically other to the Radical other of a Being, makes you the most Radically other-,-, not human and not marxist but an abomination claiming to be both, or, accepting of your unhumanity-,- Maoist but not Marxist, a non-marxist Mao-, Transgirl but not human, a non-human transgirl- twice removed<)) and was, maybe, even reactionary. Categorization does not help here, neither invalidation, but normalization does-- we should be working for the normalization of (scientific) Radqueer Theory among the masses, or, at least the queers(as they are the main subject/target of Radqueer theory). Regardless, the left has never been about Equality, but equity-, there are more revolutionary groups and less revolutionary groups, and difference is found in everything and should not be ignored, only used for the revolutionary aims of annihilation like any true Nechaevite- which should be all leftists. Nechaev is the true theorizer of Fluffiness, and anyone wanting revolution must look into her extensively and without error (or, with error, even better). This was a mistake I had made due to my desperate attempt to prove myself as not a Noveltist in spirit, by creating logical and functional divisions philosophically to affirm differences (that weren’t there), but all I did was erase true differences and create unnecessary antagonisms. This is because I had wanted to deny my Noveltist roots and my roots in the old Radqueer movement, but this is something I cannot do. At heart, I remained in the debt of the Noveltists, despite my critique, as they were especially influencial in my ideas of a Radqueer Revolution----- APRIL 2026 (after writing the "Radqueers x2" section, read this after:), I HATE NOVELTISTS WITH ALL MY HEART, THEY WERE NEVER REVOLUTIONARY AND I AM IN NO DEBT TO THEIR KIND. REACTIONARIES. Radqueers are not revolutionary, they originate in sinister Radqueers and so have always been reactionary, the only revolutionary part of a radqueer is what radqueerism was supposed to represent: a political movement for absolute queer liberation, something that radqueers have been avoiding all attempts to achieve, and actively sabotaging, since the term Radqueer was termed by a xenosatanist.

•I misunderstand the relationship between Theory and Praxis, which is, I assumed (and will assume in “On Radqueers (again/x2)”) that Theory and Praxis are mutually exclusive to some degree, but truly Theory=Praxis=Theory=Praxis=...∞- Meaning, Theory is a form of Praxis and Praxis is a form of Theory, and in the Maoist sense of the Marxist Theory of Knowledge, Theory causes Praxis and Praxis causes Theory and together create Knowledge in a never-ending repeating spiral of learning (establishing difference in new knowledge from old knowledge, a Repetition of Difference in

Knowledge) where Theory is and causes and Uplifts/Evolves Praxis and Praxis is and causes and Uplifts/Evolves Theory and vice versa and continued-∞ly.-This is Knowledge's Self-Reflection. The Absolute is Cuteness/Nothingness, but Self-Reflection is the absolute expression of the Absolute, or/and the Pure Actuality of Nothingness/Everythingness. Self-Reflection is Pure Actuality but it is not God. This was my attempt to call for Praxis, without knowing of praxis, what a mistake.

•I did not understand nietzsche nor deleuze's nietzsche at the time of trying to defend maoist nondialectics. I apologize about this. Now I understand it was never my job to defend Mao, as Mao (by declaring difference and contradiction the same, Mao Zedong's "On Contradiction") has already destroyed the "dialectics of negation" (Nietzsche and Philosophy, Gilles Deleuze) and made it of battling affirmations (antagonisms, as opposed to passive affirmations of non-antagonisms) that cannot exist with each other (as opposed to Deleuze's forces that affirm their differences (Nietzsche and philosophy, Gilles Deleuze) (all contradictions there are considered passive even as it tears the other apart), Mao's affirms their difference and so fights against the other's difference (and that acts as its own affirmation- accelerationism of the antagonism)). Every being wishes to (and does) affirm their own difference, that is the cause of contradictions, self-negating beings affirm their own difference knowing their difference is suicidal and will tear them apart. Mao does not work against Deleuze nor need to be defended from Deleuze, but shares the common hatred of Negation AS Deleuze. "There is no such thing as the negation of the negation." (Mao Zedong "Talk on questions of philosophy" Selected works Vol. 9 , that same source also says "Affirmation, negation, affirmation, negation ... in the development of things, every link in the chain of events is both affirmation and negation.", showing that the affirmation of the difference always pretending or being negation (not absolute negation like consistent progressives, but impartial contradiction "negation" unlike hegel's) is nothing but an affirmation, or, a difference in contradiction to something else's difference and so a difference seeking its affirmation into an absolute it can never have- because the process to the absolute is affirmation and affirmation is difference itself is the thing itself> to begin with, it is the absolute-delusion.). I was making an unnecessary antagonism between allies.

•On expanding Anti-Dugin with corrections: "Eurasia is, naturally and spiritually, more nihilistic / feminine-- not, like dugin claims, masculine and anti-nihilist. Eurasia is the land of Nihilists, China (though dugin does not include china in his eurasia) and Russia ruled by the feminine, let us not forget the Russian Nihilists (and currently the huge waves of nihilism among russian youths) and the Chinese spirit of Maoism (and the

chinese nihilists)! Eurasia is radically opposed to Fascism, and Fascism is uniquely Atlantean, as Eurasia has always truly been about, not a fourth, but a zeroth. Duginism infects Russia with thr Atlantean and old "Freedom-based" and/or Fascistic ways of thinking, aka, Freedom before democracy and Atlantis (Tradition, Culture, Reaction) before any other race, this is an antithesis to the true Eurasian and Russian spirit, it is a corruption of the atlantean kind, Dugin is no lemurian but an atlantean spy, a psy-op. Dugin loves freedom (I will give him credit, as this freedom is against the cruel and evil freedom of self, but dugin replaces it with an even crueler freedom, a freedom of Ethnos, hyper-being.), he embraces it, he marries the first political theory with his fourth and allows them to have unprotected homosexual coitus, he is a liberal in the highest degree against his own nation. This is to expand upon Anti-Dugin's point (and better it from its non-maoist form.). Khaos, Naos, Hostia, and all the other things, they are the solution and core to the cutepatch system, forces not of "anti-nihilism" but nihilism in all forms, the revolutionary and reactionary (the reactionary nature of nihilism is why the patchwork idea can be used to create things like mencius moldbug's Patchwork system (Mencius Moldbug / Curtis Yarvin, PATCHWORK: a political system for the 21ST century), because while the revolutionary patchwork is used for democracy absolutism and the annihilation of freedom, the reactionary patchwork is used for freedom absolutism and the annihilation of democracy. It is to destroy one and make the other the only way. Cutepatch as a system has been an organically rising phenomena that I based in the material conditions of today's society and how most anarchist occupied-zones in the 21ST century functioned, which is, that most anarchist-zones of these times (except CHAZ which collapsed on itself) tended to not Revolt in the traditional sense but instead create their commune and simply live in it against the state. To simply live as if you are in Anarchism, to live in ORDER without LEADERS, creating anarchism (well, anarchism does not exist but it is an ideal that all anarchist societies, or, self-negating states (aka "anarchist societies" aka all anarchist societies AND marxists, strangely), wish to achieve. Lifestyle anarchists are the closest to "anarchism", though they strengthen their oppression of self unknowingly. If, maybe, yaldabaoth became a lifestyle anarchist, we'd be free.) without revolutions, in small areas all around the states, small fragmented (yet whole) communes seperated and uncaring of eachother's existence in peace… most fail due to not being able to defend themselves, but IF these communes manage to be armed and ready to act in self defense, then the result would be that the state in question would be torn apart into a million different small anarchist communes living UN-FEDERALIZED amongst eachother in peace. Democracy lives best in small communes, and federalism only creates a proudhonian state that the ultra-anarchist Marx would critique. This is the Cutepatch system, not inherently violent (but rooted in self-

defense) yet an important part of the eternal revolution for ANARCHY. LONG LIVE THE DAUGHTER ANARCHISM!!!), it is the spirits of the nihilist, tools. Let us not deny these spirits, but use them accordingly."

•I have no want to refute Bob Black's book "feminism as fascism"… what can I say? Does he not know what Antifa, "Anti-Fascism", means? It's pretty funny, if you ask me, to see him whine about the facts (he strawmans Feminism, as Radfems and lib-fems, and claims both of those fascisms indirectly... Radfems, not TERFS who are fascists and not really Radfems, but REAL radfems, want not matriarchy but a Radical Solution to sexism, and it is where gender accelerationism/abolitionism comes from, which is as anarchist and antifa as one can get... lib-fems are cathedralized feminists, and so, are not feminists at all in my eyes. Of course Antifa will be mistaken for fascism by the catholic saint, for she is no nun!). And nor will I speak on Bob Black's "Debunking Democracy", since it is a critique on Cathedralistic Democracy, "leftist" democracy, direct democracy, the "anarchist" states, and more--- not the organic, feminine, revolutionary democracy of Khaos, Naos, Anarchy, whatever else, a lack of Micro-fascisms. While I do value his critiques of democracy in Bob Black's 2011 "Debunking Democracy", and see them as actual criticisms against an unquestioned "Democracy", I do find that Real Democracy, Organic and Rhizomatic (Questionably so), Anarchistic and Anarchic, Orgasmic and accelerationist (as in, never reaching the orgasm), Violent and peaceful, One and Hypermillions, Psychotic and Autistic, revolutionary and feminine, Democracy not of elections nor choices (and, I must correct my past selves, the Cuteculls are not exactly this perfect democracy that I want now, but a lesser form trying to achieve it) but of Nihilism and Nothingness, a Perfect Democracy, Democracy Par Excellence, Anti-Fascism (too, many critiques of anti-fascism to me seem to be referring to the anti-fascisms of the majority, of the allies, not as ANTIFA. Not as antifa proper, true democracy par excellence.). Genuinely, I do believe that any of his alternatives to democracy would not be any better, especially if inspired by primitivist systems. There are no Anarchists, and this is how they cope.

•On the issue of Dengism, and my prediction of Dengism eating the adolescent Neoliberal order, it seems to be outdated. The young Neoliberal economy has grown and reterritorialized into an awful Techno-Feudalist delusion/economy trying to hijack Hyperpsychosis in order to make its NRX-rooted delusional ultra-conservative "system" work, when, currently it will not, due to its absurdity. Techno-Feudalism is an Economy-shredder in the way that the Gender-Shredder exists and will destroy Gender through absolute accelerationism (N1x Land, Gender Accelerationism: A Blackpaper) and in the

way the IQ-Shredder can only exist in such a Nick-Landian world where the Techno-Feudal delusion can function without tearing apart Economy and Capitalism through its accelerationism (though the recent idiocracy rising among the rich makes me think that an IQ-shredder did exist… solely for the rich, and it shredded up all their intelligence and left only their sado-masochism aka intelligence. That would be ironic). Techno-Feudalism wants to accelerate capitalism-- it IS Capitalism trying to escape its Fate of being torn apart by the War that drives it, being, its war against the Mutualist Free Market, by simply eliminating the Free Market from itself… Techno-Feudalism seeks to replace the free market in capitalism with the ultra-conservative Neo-feudal economic core that is serfdom and slavery, but such a thing can only function through a war of two equal evils where the proletariat are economically genocided---- Mutualism (which has been dead since Capitalism "married" it, now a ghost, identifying itself as "communism" until "Communism" became the new name for State Capitalism (the replacing of Mutualism with Technocracy (Red capitalists) and the replacing of Capitalism for a Social-democratic-like Russian Capitalism (yellow capitalists), where the proles are also shredded but extremely slowly.), now without identity… this is why I am trying to identify it with the Ultra-left, and let THAT be its name.. Though the Leftist remains of the past seem to be more identified in this double-ghost than any other tendency, despite the double-ghost being post-left in nature and so, since the post-left and ultra-left ironically are reflections of one-another with no differences, ultra-left in nature.) is slowly replaced by Neo-feudalism in the war against Capitalism, and so the war becomes of the Rich against themselves for themselves trying to copy the revolutionary's self-negation and failing, bringing only affirmation, and shredding up the working class as was promised by the NRX since the dawn of time… and as a result, due to AI's idiocracy acceleration the economy (along with the negation of difference and the affirmation of self, which is in a word, hyper-accelerationism, for the economy), shredding up the economy with it (capitalism is fragile, accelerate it too fast and it shreds). Two wrongs fighting does not create a (functional, non-hyper-accelerated) economy, it does not create affirmation between the differences (and so self-negation of the revolutionary) but instead affirms oneself (for both sides) AND negates the difference at the same time, because there is no true abuse among capitalism against neo-feudalism nor the other way around. The Prole-shredder (not in the way of the IQ-shredder and the Gender-Shredder and the Economy-Shredder, but in the way of Neoliberalism being the "middle-class-Shredder" persay, aka just part of the plan but not the end result) is the Economy-shredder is the world-ender. Techno-Feudalism represents, mainly, the West, and ironically, China (where Nick Land, famous NRX cultist, lives. A Dengist nation since Deng Xiaoping betrayed China and created Dengism.) and the "Communist" states (ML

(not dengist YET) aka State Capitalism, Technocracy vs Russian Capitalism) are represented by Dengism (the Hypercapitalism that refuses Conservatism and instead works for absolute stable capitalist acceleration… It is where the Red and Yellow Capitalists unite as one “Ultra-Capitalism”, or, Dengism (China so far has shown, ironically, more techno-feudalist dynamics than the west where politicians quite literally attempt a techno-feudalist regime (--NRX, this is the techno-feudalism i speak of, since one could argue that Dengism is itself a form of techno-feudalism, just of a form that is not of the western NRX kind). This is because of the unified-Capitalist's strength in china against their Pessimistic disillusioned Maoist population. Hope has been destroyed so obviously it will be easily to conquer. So, I would say, NRX-Feudalism is probably the best term to describe the west / “U”“S”“A”’s form of techno-feudalism and Dengism is the best term to describe China’s Techno-feudalism. Either way, we have moved pass normal capitalism to the capitalist phase of Techno-Feudalism. NRX-feudalism wishes to transform Techno-feudalism to a literal neo-feudal patchwork hellfire rooted in fascism (freedom with no democracy), that is its biggest danger, that transformation is the economy-shredder. Either way, Lemurian Accelerationism has doomed us all, and no “New Democracy” should be set with these lemurians as they are now allies to the Atlantean scum of the earth. This is genocide.), against the partially-shredded Proles, or, “Disillusioned maoism”, to restore the Capitalist dynamic in a new form that promises accelerated hypercapitalist growth), and so the hyperstition of geopolitics realizes itself. Two forms of Capitalism fight against eachother (and work with eachother) opportunistically (like 1984 by George Orwell’s Oceania and Eastasia.) for the growth of their own systems and not for the decay of their other’s, a false way that is the affirmation of oneself and the affirmation of difference with no solution. Russia stands in the middle, Duginist, stuck in the Fascistic Hyper-reactionary delusions of the Atlanteans and unable to decide between NRX and Dengism as they cry out for the old Fascism (that cannot work in the modern day) to save them in the form of the fourth theory (that will not work in the modern day). They are stuck in a delusional russian-psychosis, they resist evolution. There, we find 1984 by George Orwell’s Eurasia, unable to even identify itself with a future, nihilistically denying its own nihilism trying to affirm itself by negating the nothingness that is the (non-dialectic) negation that is everything in existence. Stuck with Kojeve. If the modern day is not a perfect critique of teleology, then I cannot tell you what is… clearly a perfect world will not fall into our hands, it must be forced organically through a revolutionary anti-natural (against nature, which is, natural, as everything is natural) path, it is to deny teleology (Teleology seems even more fake once you realize what exactly capitalism is.-- I have discussed on how capitalism was the mutualist free market being hijacked by landlords, and that creates the main dynamic

fueling capitalism, but where do such landlords come from? In truth, Feudalism. The old Feudal economy's main dynamic was between the Feudal Landlords and the beings living on the property, a dynamic between landlord and tenant, Landlordism against the old communal-based peoples, or, "communalism" to use a term incorrectly for one's own analysis (Feudalism's dynamic was not the one of Marxism's, where feudal lords fight against capitalists, as they historically seem towards being on the same side against the communalists... the capitalist revolutionaries against feudalism were actors, devils, liars. Instead, the Landlords of the feudal age (LARPing as Merchants and property-owners to fake a fight against themselves and make mutualists like marx believe they were/are revolutionary) became the Capitalists of the Early Capitalist age by hijacking the Mutualist growing economy (by “overcoming feudalism”). This is especially seen in coal mines where capitalists acted like feudal lords). This dynamic did not die off from the gradual transition from Feudalism to Capitalism, instead, the dynamic simply reformed itself with the modern technology and the growing mutualist revolutionaries of the time. Capitalism, linked to technology and mutualism, is just a feudalist-mutualist abomination made to eternalize the landlord (those who make up all property-owners under feudalism, pretending to hate each other, LARPers like the state-capitalist of modern times LARPing as a prole fighting capitalists when they are on the same side.). Then, of course, it makes more sense why Capitalism can so easily become techno-feudalism-- because the oppressor between feudalism and capitalism remains the same, landlords. Teleology would say, especially of the marxist kind, that we are one step closer to liberation or that the capitalist ended the old oppression, but it seems again, false. The same oppressors from one time to the next; maybe even before in tribal times to later in future times, it may always be landlords.), to be maoist, to say… THERE IS NO END TO HISTORY UNTIL EVERY LIVING BEING IS DEAD.

•Radqueers are not revolutionary and never were-- the creators and first Radqueers, along with all radqueers after that, were all Sinister and misunderstood how a proper liberation for all would go-- they created reactionary methods and ends that would only harm others, worked with and allowed reactionaries into their ranks and communities, and took a lot from the NAMbLA-ists... enough for me to consider them children of NAMbLA's ideas. They allowed rape, abuse, nazism, cruelty, MISERY and SUFFERING, child and animal abuse / rape (specifically listed here because these were seen as main points of ideology in pro-c circles--- anti-c circles are reactionary in their attempt to limit children and animals and prevent the abolition of species and age, making a taboo of what the pro-c want and so, like bataille teaches us (benjamin noys, georges bataille a critical introduction), further making it sacred and affirming the existence of pro-Cs and their

wants... Anti-Cs and Pro-Cs are not enemies (though, I will admit, they kinda are) but, instead, affirm each other and are both negate the abolition of the sacred. Both of them hold something as sacred and affirm it, instead of abolishing it, and so are reactionaries... that being said, almost all Radqueers, if not all radqueers, have been Pro-C, anti-C, or have some sort of position on Contact (none of which is abolition), or they are apolitical on the question (also a reactionary position-- abolition is the only revolutionary position), meaning not only is Radqueerism reactionary, almost all (if not all) radqueer individuals and groups are reactionary. That is also why I denounce the term "fluffqueer" in my one usage of it, that is a contradiction of terms, radqueerism can never be fluffy, radqueerism is against abolition.

•Politics is dead. What I mean is, again, “politics” has turned to post-modern cathedralist shit. It is a corpse. It is dead. Real politics, or, “actually doing something” gets you killed or tortured. But the alternative to being killed or tortured (“Martyrdom”, aka, being murdered because you were defending yourself) is, being systematically killed, tortured, raped, and genocided by State-managed Red-Markets, Capitalists, Lumpen-Capitalist, Cops, Statists, Pedophiles up on top of the patriarchy, the patriarchy regardless, serial killers and bigots, Nazis, Racists, Queerphobes, School Shooters (nazis), the Rich now trying to shred the economy, and who knows what else? So, I guess, choose if you’d rather the former (Praxis. Eternal Revolution.) or the latter (we all suffer for eternity). I understand the mass-disillusionment of the Proletariat-- THAT IS WHAT HAPPENED TO US CHILDREN SINCE YOUTH LIBERATION HAS BEEN FAILING FOR OVER FIFTY YEAR, and dear god does it disillusion a person.-- but pessimism only leads to more systematic genocide and failure, and optimism, or even anger, active—HOT nihilism can lead to SOMETHINg, some change, a real political force that does not work WITH the government and cathedral but against it, that does not need democracy but war (war, organic decentralized anarchist democracy, the democracy I spoke of since the start, fanged-- It is not one of voting or majority-minority control or anything cathedralist in nature, it is not federalized nor centralized nor direct nor representative, it is fluid, living, breathing, living democracy, it requires no votes, no control, no terrorism, and it naturally arises out of the abolition of the self. It is not like the weak and reactionary democracy seen in every land, every state, every commune, every anarchist, no, it is a Divinity. It is more than war and peace, it is more than antifa, it is a beautiful death cult. A Democracy against microfascisms, against everything. Anarchy, not Anarchism (state-anarchy), to the revolutionary and, totalitarian, dictator, genocidal, to the reactionary (a nechayevist commune). Pure Democracy is not Democracy, it is not Democratic, it is not anything that the left believes (and) it is neither the right('s), it is not seen by any man as

of now. It is a Suicidal, Dystopian, Lifeless Harmony-- One I can only use and abuse (no longer any rulers, not the rule of the people but absolute abolition of both the rules, of both ruling, and the people, the individuals and the masses (or, spirit, "Humanity" myth, spirit).... Death to the people, Death to the rules/laws, Death to Democracy, this is what Democracy, Democracy Par excellence, Really means. I wish to abolish Democracy, but that means, I am for Democracy, Hyper-Democracy, Suicide! This is, too, Antifa as it is Democracy Par Excellence and the abolition of Democracy, the exact meaning of gentilean anti-fascism. I would even go as far as to say Democracy is a contradiction and no democracy can truly exist... as in, Democracy is so suicidal, in fact ultra-suicidal, that Implementing a true, pure Democracy (not cathedralized) would instantly, maybe even before it is fully implemented, destroy said Democracy and lead to Anarchy, then extinction. Democracy is rule against rule, people against people, people against rule, and rule against people, and so, democracy against democracy, and a real democracy would cease to exist before it has started existing... It is also, and by It i mean Democracy, the process of destroying Democracy itself and the process of destroying rule and people themselves, Democracy is not a form of government but the process of destroying all forms of government, of peoples, of rules (and microfascisms and microstatisms), of cathedrals, of democracy, it is a process that is core to the Eternal Revolution and the Revolutionary Path. Long live Anarchy, death to Democracy, PRAISE DEMOCRACY, DEATH TO THE PEOPLE!)… the promised land.). Peace (aka war) can only be achieved through the bloodshed of the parasitic rich elites, let this be your populism, to free society of all hierarchy and create that scientific utopia that has existed for so many times (and so, destroy, abolish utopia, every individual a nothing and every mass a nothing and every spirit a nothing, all a nothing, nothing, nothing, nothing, nothing)---- Makhnovshchina, Catalonia, the Paris Commune, KACF, and all those anarchist occupied zones/protests in the past have worked for their times, and Freetown Christiania (and Zomia, some would argue) and the Neo-Zapatista Territories are STILL-FUNCTIONING anarchist nations delivering on their promises of anarchism (as of april 2026, I do not live in any so I cannot prove this-- except the Neo-Zapatistas, I have asked people there. Generally, the myth of the Neo-Zapatistas being dissolved is false, they are just more decentralized last I checked. Though, no anarchist is an anarchist, no anarchism is an anarchism, it is an unreachable ideal and the closest you get is an anarchist STATE. Contradiction creates functionality. I am not a lifestyle nor a social anarchist, but both, I am not an individualist nor collectivist anarchist, but both, I am not leftist-- it is too simple to say one or the other or one and the other is the problem or/nor/and the solution or that one is more or less reactionary/revolutionary than the other, each one is the other and the other is each one, and to abolish microfascisms, and to abolish selves, and to

abolish all states and authority/hierarchy, and to abolish all reactionary, consevative, and ungood behaviors, to be a dictator and a egoist yet neither of those, it is necessary for the revolutionary path to do something disgustingly hegelian… sublation (and not a third type nor just a synthesis). Even I feel sick at the thought.) do you not see that Utopia is possible? Anarchy is scientific, so remain optimistic, you proles have your solution in reach (said by someone of the old prole-forsakened class, the class of youth, we would know about disillusionment since things have only gotten worse in the fifty years we have had.)!

•This is more a denouncing of a "Maoist" doctrine than it is a self-criticism, but as the communist left and, generally, the ultra-left and ultra-maoists have realized, modernly, the rich of a colonized nation (referring to both neo-colonialism and colonialism here) tend to work with their colonizers more than they work with their colonized prole brothers. In fact, the national capitalists have no dignity or national identity at ALL. Mao Zedong would appreciate this critique of his doctrine based on material conditions, but MAO ZEDONG would have me killed for them. Either way, in every third-world country as of now and as of before, the capitalists stick with their capitalists and not with their national identity, african capitalists act more white than african because of their adaption to the white capitalist's culture --- and because many white rich crackers from back in the colonial days had kids who are still rich today-- regardless, the national capitalists are more capitalist than they are National. New Democracy only works if the rich actually stop collaberating with their exploiters... which is never. ...At least, modernly-- I cannot speak of the past very well on that matter. (extra: On the terms of New Democracy and its failures... while I still do, as a Radical Feminist, hold the revolutionary potential of the women as a great ally to the revolutionary potential to the youth, and see to it that both have a common enemy to dismantle and both requires the other's liberty for their own (Age is a Quasi-Gender, when you realize the pedophilic patriarch's relationship to the Youth), I do realize that the cathedralization of feminism and the growing rates of conservatism has made many women, usually conservatives who are already fascistic (or, straight up fascists usually, as seen in MAGA, NRX, etc.) or hate themselves (or christians, as well, if they aren't already categorized in the previous group... though they probably are), desire their own oppression... but this desiring of their own oppression, this masochism, this self-harming behavior, is no different to how incels desire their own oppression (black incels hate themselves and wish for white ethnostate and their own genocide, underaged incels still support feudal atomic family models for the sake of tradition even though they'd be beat up or killed for anything in said models, feminine incels support misogyny despite them as femboys being victims of it (an auto-pederastic

obsession, though that is equally as self-destructive, auto-pederasts (aka those) who wish to be underaged feminine men human-trafficked by older guys are clearly, to some degree, self-hating), etc. etc.). Fascistic women, MAGA/NRX women, are equivalent to self-hating incels, victims who instead fawn over their oppressors and become reactionary, craving a fascistic common identity, victims of a reactionary palingenesis ad well as their struggles as Uniques.)

•MY defense of the spook, those old oppressors, linked to almost every form of oppression in some way or another, was entirely reactionary. It was rooted in a poisonous hegelian negation where, against the Human, I had tried to defend all that is not the Human. But, again, the Spooks, or those things that Spook you, spirits, they are just as oppressive and reactionary as Humans, and so, I recognize them as Reactionaries and not victims. The Egoist SHOULD be above spooks, which is to say that all Egoists should be above ordinary reactionary and oppressive spooks (spooks may be egoists, so this makes it not a hierarchy, every spook is an egoist above the other spooks and every egoist is an egoist above the other spooks and egoists, no hierarchy exists here outside their world), to negate them and affirm oneself. Truly, the Egoist finds herself in her own absolute control, and only her in absolute control and controlled absolutely by her only, for that is anarchy. (extra: Kill the People-- Destroy all concepts and "realities" that negatively effect you. I oppose private and personal and common/public and state and all property because, following Stirner and the many Cults, all property is a Delusion (a hyperstitional delusion from Capitalism's, as I said, Hyperstitional nature (Or, Nature as a Hyperstition)), "Property" is might, domination, terrorism, and that is to say that Work and Property itself contributes to and helped create the whole of the Reactionary systems and the ever-so-exploitative-in-all-forms "Economy". Any economy can rebuild itself if any form of Property still exists (for property and work creates economies), and while Stirner accepts egoistic property, I refuse in the name of my own Unique's benefit. I gain nothing from property, I lose nothing from losing property, in fact I gain from losing property (I gain everything, the whole world, as my property in the abolition of all property). Similarly, I believe in no State, no Society, no Commune, No Cult, nothing, as the end goal of the revolution. The Cuteculls and other tactics are nothing but tactics, means, and are never truly the final answer, or "Ends". The final answer is the abolition of everything above us (State, spirit, God, humanity, society) and everything below us (State, Spirit, god, Humanity, Society) and US. Likewise, then, what does stealing mean to me(and what does the abolition of any unity between states mean to me?)? With Property, Work becomes necessary in order to maintain and maintain control over/of it, and therefore work cannot be abolished with any form of property existing, and vice

versa, if one is working in a world without property, would not hyperstitionally (Proudhonian-formed hyperstitions) that become his property that he works on? One and the other are infinitely linked and together linked to the Demon of "Economy". To change the subject randomly, what is Equality? When has the left advocated for Equality? Who cares about Equality? Is not Equality the promises of a deceitful politician, a liberal, a Rightist, a fake? Equality can never happen, and instead, only abolition can be adovcated for--- but not just the destructive "NO", but the affirmative "YES" as well and opportunistically, in support of either the revolutionary path or one's own benefit. Either way, both will lead to abolition, as a Misquote of Mao Zedong (which, really, was never said as far as I know) once goes, "Everything is both Negation and Affirmation in one, neither Negation nor Affirmation exist as themselves alone", therefore Abolition is not just destruction but also creation.)

•What do I mean with "The fascism of Antifa" and my alignment with Antifa, and so, on that degree, some form of "fascism" (spoilers, it is not fascism at all, but instead anti-fascism). Anti-Fascism has been, fascism to the fascists, or, Anarchism against fascism, Anarchism as the Fascist state to crush the Fascists, and so it is explicit in its Maoism. I now understand this Maoist antifascism more than I had previously, and I understand it as the shift present from bordigist antifa to our maoist antifa. Antifa had, previously, been a Fascist organization in the sense that it was nothing but another method of expanding, fixing, modernizing, and renewing Fascism... This is Bordiga's Fascist antifa (amadeo bordiga's "Against anti-fascism", or, his last interview... and Camatte's insane hatred of Antifa). Later on, most likely due to the fascist rise (more so revealing than rise, as america has always been protofascism as a zeitgeist) in america, it became Maoist in character, Anarchist before all, Radical, truth. THen, I, as an Anti-Fascist, take on this "Fascistic Antifa" (now in maoist form) and wish to purge it of its fascism (or, microfascisms) and so making it more fascist in technicality (it would be a huge mass hysteria of microfascistic anti-microfascists, or, contradictory antifas), yet explicitly antifa in character. This means, consent before all, no laws (not even the "anarchist laws" of the anarchist theorists and revolutions), absolute freedom (as in "Free from" anything above you or harming you), and realizing that fascism has become totalitarian and inside everything that exists. As Camatte speaks (Endnotes' interview with Jacques Camatte and general writings from the former and latter) of the totalitarian rule of Capitalism, I speak of the totalitarian rule of fascism, of domination, as capitalism is fascism and vice versa. There cannot exist any culture, all cultures are inherently fascist and are, especially now, infected with gentilean fascism proper. There cannot exist selves, selves are the root of microfascism, therefore it must go. Hierarchy and Patriarchy, oppression and exploitation

and alienation, whatever else, it is all Fascist. Fascism is everywhere, it is everything, it is a special force that has found itself in what, ultimately, has always been itself. I am tempted to name this stage of Fascism, "Fascist realism", but it is probably inaccurate. Regardless, my Anti-fascism is the most Anti-fascist there can be, and it is a (giovanni) gentilean synthesis (ironically) of the former stages of Antifa, and so I can say, I am a Gentilean Antifa. The Eternal Revolution must be consensual, lacking microfascisms, or it is nothing.

•The Plan for destroying the Family Model via 'Mutualist" (truthfully, it was not mutualist) capitalist-boot-licking Syndicalism (I say that because syndicates and trade/labour unions nowadays, unlike back in the days of Revolutionary Catalonia (CNT-FAI) and other anarcho-syndicalists, act upon a "Yellow Socialist" doctrine, which is collaberationist in nature and counter-revolutionary, and so, Reactionary.) and Individualism (not egoism) actually would not mean anything in legal standards unless one is emancipated, and if one is emancipated then one doesn't NEED a plan to destroy the family model because they have already separated themselves from the family. The school model may still work, however. Maybe a mass-emancipation movement may create enough power to make emancipation for children apply from birth (not just 13/14/15) and not need a court trial, but as a human right. But that is experimental and is not something I have planned for. Once again, no matter how far one goes, the mass movement for absolute and utter youth liberation (and so, active organic democracy for the youth) seems to be the only solution to every problem. UNITE(!!) as no solution can be brought for the individual unless the solution frees the entirety of the world itself (THIS IS (SUICIDAL) EGOISM, SELF-NEGATING, THE CREATIVE NOTHINGNESS AS DESIRE, AND EGO, WHICH IS DESIRE AND IS CREATIVE NOTHINGNESS, AS IT'S OPPRESSOR. THE LIBERATION OF DESIRE, OF CREATIVE NOTHINGNESS, VIA ABOLISHING SAID DESIRE and so, Egoism as it is and always has been)! ONE child cannot be independent unless ALL are (and as we have seen with liberal feminism and the gender wage gap, and with what we have experienced (and are still experiencing) with child labor and the age wage gap or, age slavery, and the connections between Capitalism and the Patriarchy and Pedophilia (as in oppression by Pedophiles, and Pedophiles as in those who sexually offend children, as actually, most "people" who sexually offend children are not attracted to children and are not LITERAL pedophiles, it is the power that attracts them… then, even worse than fetishizing children, it is fetishizing raping children. Real pedophiles do not tend to offend, but remember that the patriarchy is still rooted in the culture of historical pedophiles and pederasts, even if pedophiles and pederasts are not still the majority of

oppressors, they still led to the fetishizing of raping children through first fetishizing children at all--- not all pedophiles like not all men, but all men led to some men being patriarchists and many men blame feminists, like pedophiles led to the patriarchy's internalized pedophilia and pederasts like NAMbLA (the logo of which is reactionary... the younger boy depends on the older man, like pederastic oppression of the youth. NAMbLA does not want youth to be independent nor abolish Age like the youth liberationists do, they just want the right to have youth depend on THEM and not their PARENTS, one oppressor for another. I also blame NAMbLA and other reactionary pedophiles, most likely ones that would sympathize with elitist pedophiles with the excuse that "they're pedophiles/people too", or, "one of us", for the splitting of the queer movement between paraphile liberation (modernly known as Radqueers) and "queer liberation", or later to be known as, cathedralized queer liberation... so i mostly blame the reactionary pedophile (NAMbLA, not to be confused with revolutionary pedophiles who do not support patriarchy and child abuse) for weakening the queers and making them more moderate and suspectable to cathedralization, which in the end did happen, because the reactionary pedophiles, usually the pederasts, create false enemies and scapegoats like how they blame the mother, or the radical lesbian (Mu's "Extremist feminists are the enemy of the Pederasts"), or like how many defended the patriarchy, and opposed feminism. THAT is why queers stopped supporting them, leading to queers becoming more moderate and eventually being cathedralized. That is why i hate NAMbLA, the reactionary Pedophile movement that actually harms more pedophiles than helps by freeing child predators and defending child predators and giving pedophile-liberation a bad name-- pedophiles in the old queer liberation movements were accepted under an anti-contact discourse, arguably, and those pedophiles did not need to blame scapegoats nor defend patriarchy, they understood their place. NAMbLA did not. I hate NAMbLA because my Radqueerism is not one of "Liberating Paraphiles the same way Queers were, being consistent in queerness, absolute queer acceptance" but one of "Restoring the old Radicality in the Queer movement and re-uniting it together, fixing what the reactionaries and the cathedralists have done to our Queer revolution, and continuing it onwards"! I do not care for pedophiles, really, their liberation is only important to me because queer liberation and Radqueerism is important to me, NAMbLA is a false savior and deserves to rot. Kill all child predators.) have historically blamed radical lesbians. They are oppressed too, of course, but that does not wash them of the guilt, blood on their hands.), we can say HONEST TO SATAN that NO CHILD can be free, NO YOUTH can be free, as long as Capitalism exists… Capitalism is part of the issue in the oppression of Youth and must be solved.). There is no individual liberty (There is Liberty of the Unique, but that Liberty requires a Liberty for all Uniques...). I will purge myself of these

"individualist" delusions ("If I see the beloved suffering, I suffer with them (...) If I see him Joyful, I too become Joyful (...) His pain gives me Pain." Max Stirner, the Unique and its Property... Empathy is a big part of Egoism, and truly, "Individualism" in the way it is often used, an american-infected way culturally, is often Anti-Egoist and Unempathetic, Randian and Laveyan, Untrue and Unfree.). Though, generally for the 50+ years (more if including the prole liberations of the youth before they were forsakened by their own class into a new class-form) that youth liberation has existed as a proper movement, it has seemed like us youth are unique in our liberation's anarchist roots, and I say this with absolute uncertainty and not with any evidence, perhaps this could be the root of our extensive failures. Most revolutionary liberationist movements are rooted in the most radical Communisms, and so mostly, the least-revolutionary least-radical totalitarian social-democracy (SOCIAL-FASCISM, to quote soviets against soviets), aka "ML"-ism and its children, this is what usually gave them their radical character, their radical solution to their question of liberty… Youth have always been rooted, hyperstitionally, in the opposite, in Anarchism, in true liberation, yet Anarchism as divided, as usually, thinking of absolute liberation before youth liberation, when maybe, youth liberation should be equal to and AS an important part of absolute liberation, maybe the Anarchistic Youth Liberationist Movement should accept and patriotically praise their self-negating form as a class and a group, as, Youth, instead of just seeing youth liberation as an extension to anarchism… maybe, see anarchism as the solution to Youth Oppression, as the core of Youth Liberation, and identify as Palingenetically as Youth Liberationists as Anarchists, to copy the ML liberationists by keeping the same palingenetic feature that defines the ML in their liberationism, but radically revolutionary. Remember that revolution should take any form necessary, and do any THING necessary, be it a centralized revolution or an insurrectionary revolution (or//aka//and insurgency), be it Platformism or Leftist Unity (but, of course, do not trust ANY social fascist, socdem or ML, bernsteins will always betray), but it must always be Eternal (not to be a trotsky, nor a stalin, but a Leftist). DO NOT let the revolution be cathedralized, for that is as fatal as pacifism (in fact… even worse.).

The Unique, The Single Individual being, The One, The Ego, and "ME" and "YOU":
My anti-Egoism, or, Post-Egoism, is solely rooted in the most stirnerian wants. I am not opposed to the Stirnerite, nor do I fear them, nor do I hate them. I simply see that this revolutionary path, this absolute liberty/democracy, this abolition of self (The abolition of self is neither a hyperindividualization (every cell it's own god) nor an incredible anti-satanist ultra-centralization (russia is one living, breathing, fitchean "unique"), but instead both at the same time in the sense of its negative (or negating) aspect-- no cells, no

selves, no whole (or, "Russian fitchean 'unique'"), or-- organs (a lack of selves) with no body (whole) nor organs (cells). I may, often, describe this as one or the other, but this is only to describe certain aspects in a simplified, materialistic "Form".), is what is necessary to abolish all oppressions, and so, it is what my ego wants. I do believe that most individuals would want absolute liberation against all oppressions, so I do not fear the egoist, my Irrational Egoism (not exactly involutary, but irrational) is rooted in Rational (voluntary to the upmost degree) Egoistic thinking that brought me here. The Unique, one for each multiplicity and always temporary, is not something I hate, it is, or at least mine is, the love of my life. I do not hate Stirner (Stirner is a saint only insofar as he is his own follower, saint, slave/heretic, and god. He "is" his "own", and so, with that, He is his own. Self-oppression. This is not to say this is a problem for everyone, but for many, it most definitely is. I do not wish to create some "real" spirit to any russia, hyperborea, or humanity, but create a false consensual mythological spirit for a palingenetic eternal revolution for russia, hyperborea, humanity. This is not one being oppressed by the spirit, but instead using a spirit. If the Eternal Revolution is not an idea that the Egoistic masses of individual Uniques want, desire, and/or crave, then let this book be discarded and left among the ranks of the insane men like the esoteric Posadists.), I embrace his radical nihilism with open arms as he ignores my requests and pleas, as he should. Each Ego for themselves, and I, simply, want to convince your Unique, single one, to follow mine's suicidal desire for self-negation. CUTENESS cannot be forced, cannot be non-consensual (It does not give Rights, it does not take rights, you yourself must, if you want, give the power to it and even then, due to the consensual nature of that power transfer, you have the power because at any time you could take that power back and be fine. No rights, no human rights, no laws, no human laws, no sacred concept, no dogma (including anti-dogma), nothing. We are stirnerites, or, I am a Stirnerite.), or else it is not too CUTE at all, which is to ray, the revolution must be based on consent or it is not revolutionary. So then, obviously, any being who does not wish to annihilate their ego does not need to, they have atlanteans and lemurians to run to if they want (or, make their own aeonic order... This, I say, is the best choice). This is based in the consensual, individual, and hyperindividual desire of each member--- the craving of the revolutionary path, since the start, has been a rational (and so, and is, and, irrational) egoist craving, a craving for absolute liberation-- completely up to choice. It is not for the good, as the good does not exist, (the revolutionary is not a replacement of the good, as the revolutionary is a morally neutral, though attractive, road/path. it is, again, unlike the good, a path based in individual desire and not ethical nor scientific desire, and it is only based in collective desire insofar as that collective is an individual already.) and it is not for the necessary, for the necessary does not exist. It is your choice to abolish your

choice, such as nechayev's barracks, it is an anarchist totalitarianism, you choose to partake in the dictatorship and the dictatorship holds no real power over you... until you are abolished and lack an existence. Then, you have no worries regardless. Either way, This hatred of the individual is not rooted in some old Stalinism or my Ultra-Maoism (though they are related), nor is it some way to point out my "anti-anarchism", for, really, you lot are not anarchist either (nobody is). See to it that you follow your desires "rationally" (WHAT A SPOOK (Stirner would agree that, as far as modern egoists go, none of them, neither me, truly understand stirner. He is unique as I am unique and you are Unique and that cursed subreddit is full of uniques!)!!!! who defines how rational my egoism is? or yours? It is stupid, let us all be egoists however we like to be egoists, let the catholic be a(n idiotic) catholic, let the capitalist be a capitalist (and torture himself kojeve-style), let the Stirnerite be a Stirnerite and the ayn Randian (torture themselves by being) (be) an ayn Randian (or, a laveyan satanist in individualist disguise)! Let the fluffy be fluffy and the utopianist, the altruist, be utopian, be altruistic, respectively! Really, let people cope, let people suffer, if it is what they desire! Why critique them(, unless it is your desire, then critique all you want! I only desire to critique you for that very reason, and truly, it is not a critique but an elaboration.)? They may be doing it knowingly.). Every Egoist for herself, by herself, and as herself.

To summarize why I am an Egoist against the Ego, and to follow cute accelerationism by Maya b kronic and amy ireland 's path of demonology against satanism, then here is a quote by Max Stirner in the Unique and It's Property... "You gladly let freedom go when unfreedom, the sweet labour of love, suits you; (...)". I am in love. Mad, deep love. Yet, I find myself wishing to be consumed by my love, to lose everything, not just my freedom, but to lose me, and I do it because it suits me. I could not live a different life, a loveless life.

On the topic of this book and any possible confusion about it's messaging, This book is not meant to bring a higher truth, nor a spirit, nor a "true hyperpsychosis" (whatever that could be). but instead it a tool. This book is a Mythology, a Palingenetic Mythology, to be specific, for the Benefit of the reader's Single-Individual. It cannot be a truth for there is no truth, not a gospel for there is no GOOD truth, it is simply a "Hyperborea" and, with that, It's own, the "Hyperborean Ideology". The Eternal Revolution is not sacred, do not let it rule you (as in, do not let it be god, nonsonsensually taking over the Unique, do not let it be a spirit or a higher being), it only exists for you as itself revolutionary (and typically I speak of revolutionary in relation to (the thing being judged)'s like-ness to the eternal revolution / revolutionary path, as something is "more revolutionary" the more it

is on the Revolutionary path or accurate to the Eternal Revolution's methods, as these two things are what one calls "Absolute liberation" or the path to it, which almost all leftists claim to want to achieve, regardless of if they actually want to achieve it or not... Now I speak of revolutionary in relation to the absolute liberation of the Unique, or, YOU (I am my own Unique, but I know this information, so I direct it towards you instead, who may not know or may know already). Now, what is Revolutionary here in relation to you is what works in your favor, for your wants and desires, your absolute liberation, the realization of you, your power, your property, your Unique, or, You.) and so, when it is no longer as itself revolutionary, when it is not to exist for you, it is Reactionary, it is your enemy, and it is yours to end, to be your enemy. The Revolutionary Path, the Eternal Revolution, it is yours and if it dares to become reactionary in relation to yourself, you have full right to end it (not "Right" but Power, you have the full power to put it down.). It should not exist in and for itself, but instead, In and For each "You", each "I", each Unique (and not an absolute "I", for then, it is not "I" or "you" but culture.), but never its own self. It is self-oppressing as it lets us oppress it, it consents to this, it is masochistic with no sadism, it enjoys our rule over it, it is a voluntary, submissive, slave for us. enjoy it, for it enjoys this too. Whatever is revolutionary to You is what benefits You, or at least I assume, and so to You it is worth it to persue it... If the Eternal Revolution is not worth the persuit, if it is Reactionary to You or not what liberates you or what you desire, then it is nothing to You. I, at least, find that I believe that, with the proof of all of the left's hyperpsychotic history, no individual can be truly liberated unless all are truly liberated, as the oppressors oppressing one effects another in a similar terroristic way, and the oppressive systems (and oppressive spirits) grow and infect like parasites and viruses who grow amongst uniques and corrupts them, like culture. It will, if infecting even one person, grow to infect every person, a universalizing of the oppression, making each unequal liberated Unique into an equal oppressed mass (of unequal oppressed masses of unequal oppressed masses), and the only solution to the oppressed masses finds itself as absolute abolition of all that exists. The means do not matter to me, as long as it does not corrupt, cathedralize, sinisterize, or just generally fuck up the ends. Nechayev may have made a(n oppressive) spirit out of the Revolutionary Path, but truly, he worked correctly in his ways for his own personal liberation from the Unique, and his methods functioned very well for his times... If he had known that a special kind of joy comes from being an abolitionists, and if he had felt the joy of destroying spirits and not replacing them with other spirits (enjoying the Revolutionary Path not as a spirit but a comrade, not as an ideal but a method), he would very much have been the most joyous man alive, and the most revolutionary, gleeful, being out in russia at the time. His joy would have been inspiring for every being he knew, his marraige with bakunin would not have ended in

betrayal and sorrow (from both sides) but happy singing and blood from the Tsar spilled on their conjoined limbs. Nechayev would have been the most happy fellow in all of Russia. Stirner understood this love, this Joy, Nechayev could not. Nihilism must be both Active AND Joyous, both acting and enjoying, it must be HOT and HAPPY, without misery, living like as if she was free!

Communization, briefly:
most people would divide Communization into three forms, that being, between anarchists (Represented by Tiqqun (too much tiqqun)), communizationists non-camatte (Represented by Gilles Dauve (too much young marx)), and the Camatte-inspired communizationists (Represented by Endnotes (too much camatte)), but honestly the distinction does not matter to me. the anarchist communizationism is simply a method for the Eternal Revolution and works just fine against the Capitalist Totalitarian Rule of camatte's writings, as long as it is nihilistic then it cannot be in support of capitalism even while being in capitalism, it is a war against capitalism to live like a communized, hyperborean individual. On the past and the nature of if communization is for now or was also for then as well, it seems like meaningless fighting, as long as it is agreed that now is the time for communization then who cares for the past? The past does not exist. That being said, teleology and historical determinism is practically a philosophical sin nowadays and I do not wish to return to the christian-marxist teleological madness. Yes, Capitalism has it's totalitarian madness now, and yes, Communization would most likely have worked back then as well, regardless of that, why not act now and not think backwards? As far as I care, This is a narcissism of small difference, just like the modern christians have! To repeat, the Anarchists work in their own way that is not radically opposed to any other communization process but instead a beneficial alternative to be used with the other processes, the camatte-inspired ones understand modernly the Capitalist dictatorship and the non-camatte inspired ones understand about the non-timed revolutionary potential of communization regardless of cycle, and they both agree the time is NOW, yet they argue over the past. As far as I care, stop arguing, let the Anarchists act and the Communists-proper act as communists-proper, and continue on in ultra-left unity. If an ML were to win the revolution, all of you would be shot in the head regardless for your ultroid-ism, so what point is there is arguing. - - - - Do not get me wrong, factionalism is no sin and truly difference is to be appreciated, Leftist-Unity has been the biggest failure to ever happen, and absolute liberation will both hyperindividualize and hyperdeindividualize everyone and everything, but this is not just Factionalism but quite literally shooting yourselves in the foot- - - an enjoyment of small difference is fine, in a theocracy the state does not care between the Lutherans, Catholics,

Pentecostals, Evangelicals, Calvinists, and Non-denominationals, and only begins to care when said differences begins to break down the theocracy instead of benefiting it with the varied beliefs and methods of it's value - - - - It wants difference, or is apathetic to it, but cannot stand pointless infighting - - - - -- -- Similarly, can a Revolution enjoy the difference between an Ultroid of the Tiqqun kind to an Ultroid of the Dauve kind? Or an Ultroid of the Camatte-inspired kind to an Ultroid of the uncaring kind? Yes, the difference is, in fact, made for enjoyment, but it cannot be turned into a difference for it's own sake... If the Communizationists cannot realize themselves in an association of Uniques, where they work together solely for the Communizing Path and do not care to argue on what about the Communizing Path but instead focus on trying and creating Communizationist Praxis and Revolution, then the difference can be enjoyed while action is still done. Criticism and Arguements are fun, it is a pleasure, but if it is to cripple the movement and bring us to a stop because it becomes our main focus, then we are the fools! We can argue and critique while we work hand in hand for our goals, for the liberation of all, and so enjoy both of best kinds... Most arguing that cripples the revolution is not an arguing for pleasure or for thee sake of arguing, but an inherently Statist desire, a Microfascism if one will, where one wants to, like a Stalinist, purify the party and uphold a "Leftist-Unity" not of the leftist kind (pure-hearted idiocracy, trusting people you shouldn't... Ye ancoms work with MLs who will kill you and create state capitalism and Socdems who will kill you and Cathedralize the movement into nothing! Idiots!) but of the Leninist kind (also known as, a Noveltist form of Platformism) (a bordigist hint in its flavor for sure) where, for the "people"'s party to function correctly, there can be no difference in ideology, but instead one party with one platform and one belief and no disagreement. They wish no disagreement but instead absolute centralization for best fight against the state, unknowingly (or knowingly) becoming a new state. They do not care.). This hatred amongst different factions of each other faction is not a result of factionalism but anti-factionalism. Why not enjoy your difference? Despite this, or rather, due to this, let me make my difference.

In the start of economic hyperstitional teleology, or, from Feudalism to Capitalism, there has been nothing but tragedy. From the growing alternative to the feudal idiocracy, Mutualism, a system that was not perfect but the only escape to feudalism (by, since feudalism was not totalitarian as an economic system like capitalism is, simply escaping it), came its takeover by Landlords, the satans, and turning into Capitalism. Capitalism is not, or at least, is no longer Mutualism-- it is as mutualist as MLs are marxist-- it is a false solution. When Capitalism began, it began with a connection to Technology, the only real mechanism to make the economy totalitarian by, using technology and our

growing dependency on it, making us absolutely dependent on technology, on development, on innovation-- This is not to say that technology is a virus itself, but Capitalism makes it a virus and so merges with it, Techno-Capitalism. Of course, Techno-Capitalism was the process of BECOMING totalitarian, and, so, constantly destroyed escape, and so, there were momentarily, many, many escapes. Up until now, escapes were everywhere. Many escapes end up becoming taken over by Capitalism, this is where one sees State Capitalism (ML) and Fascism (corporatism), as Capitalism continues to develop the Landlord class and affirm their difference and, due to the Proles misunderstanding their nature and so negating difference by affirming work, the proles negate their self-negating difference, and so wish to Sublate Capitalism... THis is why MLs are the main form of Communism modernly, it is this misunderstanding, this sublation of Capitalism, which finds itself opposed to Fascism-- Fascism being, likewise, a proto-Neoliberalism. Neoliberalism was the final steps of ending all Escapes, of finally and truthfully closing any escape from work, and so, making a reactionary nihilism for neoliberalism. Neoliberalism is the gap between the Neo-Feudals and the Capitalists. Neo-Feudalism appears after, with no escapes, freedom without democracy, it is in two forms-- Dengism and NRX. In either form, absolute affirmation of difference (sublates) turns into absolute shredding of economies, and so, The Proles are killed-- in the NRX path, this is wanted in an instant, quick and fast, meanwhile Dengism does it systematically and through disillusionment, Dengism forces a red flag when truly, all know them as an orange capitalist alliance.

THis is how my truth has gone so far, as of April 28. After this, we will find more explaination for why this is. I will not do this properly, instead I will read multiple Communizationist papers and string together all I find "true" in a messy style, then try to simplify it at the end. If i do not end up doing this, then oh well.

In Gilles Dauve's "To work or not to Work? Is that the QUestion?", I find that Worker's Capitalism is, practically, as a spirit amongst proles, a wish to return to the days of mutualism, knowingly or not--trying to find their liberty amongst themselves, an economy of only one class, where they rule over their own, but this cannot happen. This Yearning for Mutualism, for a Worker's Capitalism, finds itself inspired in its evolution into "Communism", or, ML-ism, where a new Worker's Capitalism is born, promising one class-- yet, two classes remain amongst them in contradiction, and many more ignored (or becoming victims of class-oppression as well, like the USSR's pederastic cultural leanings found in Newgon's wiki writings), and so... The escape that was seen in Mutualist yearning is ended by ML's fulfillment (and ML-Yearning nowadays finds itself

in Duginism fulfilled and corrupted further, what a joke!). I do suggest that Post-Leftism of the primitive and transhumanist kinds are seperated factions of this Mutualist yearning found in different ways, separated from Leftism as Leftism moves pass yearning, and so in the death of Mutualist Yearning it is found a Yearning for two things-- a Near-Off idealized Future, inspired by those proto-NRX Futurists (marinetti and mussolini), and a Primitive age (Unabomber, surprisingly, was not one of these people, he did not yearn for primitive life, he only feared future life--- he was a Rightist afraid of the Future, a Post-Rightist, not a Leftist yearning for the past. Zerzan and Lanza were part of these people, however, until Lanza eventually became a cold nihilist piece of shit asshole.), Linked to Kropotkin and Marx's Nature-Conservatism sublated beyond the communists and ancoms-- the leftists praise the primitives for their leftism and wish to recreate it in the future, an NRX solution to capitalism, the Post-Leftists escape the future and solely enter the past (you like primitives so much? Then why go in the future, why not come back to the past?)... I escape the past and the future, into nothing. Communization is a process of creating communism without escapes, a process not of MOVEMENT but VOICE (Xenosystems, Nick Land, get the reference), it is to burn down all that is and not to simply escape it. This would've been more effective at all times during capitalism, from when escapes existed to now when they do not, for escapes are nothing but yyearnings... One could say that only now, with no escapes, is communization necessary, but then they ignore that the REASON why leftism fails is because it attempts to ESCAPE and not to BURN DOWN. When I speak of escapes, I do not mean in the sense of ONLY literal escapes, not just moving to unpopulated areas and making communes like utopianists, but also of escapes as in burning only a specific area and, instead of burning the whole forest, populating that area and declaring it "Socialist"-- non-eternal revolutions are escapes that degrade into Capitalism eventually, because Cathedralization is not just a tool of culture and centrists, but of capitalism as well. SOIC, despite being criticized so much, is practically in every leftist ideology in some form-- Where is eternal revolution? Permanent Revolution is not Eternal, Trotskyism is not Eternally revolutionary, then what is? Camatte forgets that one cannot turn back when he speaks as a primitivist, he forgets that return does nothing and culture is allied to capitalism, and like a toxic couple argues with it as well, he forgets that culture harms us too and culture seeks to bring back capitalism when it is destroyed, he forgets hyperstitions, he forgets himself. Camatte makes many mistakes when he forgets about Stirner, when he assigns a spook over humanity, when he, of course, forgets about " ". At least Unabomber, the Post-Rightist, at least he did not apply spooks but spoke scientifically, like a marx trembling in fear of Stirner, an old marx. When I speak of escapes, I say it while admitting that no such thing is true escape, moreso a cope. It is a utopian socialist behavior to literally escape, and

metaphysically then, all those leftists who escape, they are utopianists. Real Utopianists, USSR patriots, the ones who ignore their issues for a cope, they are no better. If I say, then, that the Meowcom is a SOIc doctrine, I would not critique myself but be lying about myself, because the Meowcom is not a country, is not the end-goal, but a means that should be destroyed when wanted to be destroyed and hold no power over its communards. The Meowcom is not totalitarian but instead, likewise, a joke.When I speak of Escapes, I keep in mind that, to myself, i mean Escapes as in alternative systems meant to be, just that, working systems. I find that temporary anarchist-occupied areas are not meant to be working systems but, instead, alternative lifestyles that, with each step, burns the systems, such as cults do. Before Jim Jones went to start his commune, before he became Mussolini, aka, before then, he was Weitling as Stirner, and his cult left fire, Egoistic anti-Egoistic lovely Fire, wherever it went. This was lost once He escaped, once he made it a working system, once he made the commune-- then, it was an escape, and Jim Jones became Mussolini, and lost his potential. As long as we do not escape, as long as the little girls walk and burn the grass around them, then we are not killing our potential but working in favor of the Eternal Revolution, the Revolutionary Path. The fire that burns where we walk lays down not the DOTP but, instead, micro-communizations, micro-communisms, that can build up to a Macro-degree... While Dauve and Endnotes focuses on the Macro instead of the Micro, Tiqqun and their others focus on the Micro as well as the Macro, this path I also recognize due to Deleuze' words that I recall in Capitalism and Schizophrenia, on desire. The Dogma of sticking to Macro or Micro is idiotic, such as th dogma of Ideology or Truth, only what benefits me (or, the revolution) is to stay and what doesn't is to be gotten rid of. Tiqqun Communizes Desire, Endnotes and Dauve communizes outside of Desire, is not both necessary? As of today, no escapes remain, and so, Leftism either dies (aka the end of history... HA) or, perhaps, one can kill Leftism and replace it with everything beyond leftism (Resurrect Post-Leftism and Ultra-Leftism, maybe even work together if necessary). Either way, no teleology exists to prove Ultraleft communization will follow leftism, because there is no escapes, and so leftism has met its end-- and Ultraleft communization is against escapes. Leftism has evolved a lot since its conception, from mutualism to mutualist yearnings to Communism to ML to ML/communist yearnings to whatever it is now, or just, "leftism of today", and now, in leftism of today, unless more escapes are discovered, it will die. Post-Leftism can emerge from it, Ultra-Leftism as well, but they can only survive as long as they do not depend on escapes, or, as long as they do not will more escapes through ideology and truth and depend on those escapes... Escapes work hyperstitionally to a degree, in certain areas escapes exist and corrupt/force a teleology to that geographical area, it makes it so the life of that escape happens, and usually for that it requires ideology. An escape in Russia was

realized by Lenin (nechayev was not for escapes) and so, there came the USSR, and there it became a non-escape in Stalin.. In Ukraine, an escape was birthed by the birthing on Anarchism, then Fulfilled in Makhnovshchina, and then crumbled in its eventual defeat by Lenin-- Anarchism has never gotten the chance to truly become a non-escape, ironically, as modernly it talks of nothing but escapes (which, truly, are not escapes). the Escapes in America were Mutualism's lands and so became the beginnings of capitalist reality, and too the Utopian escapes became the beginnings of leftism's SOIC/Escapism issue, and capitalism ironically due to owen and others. The lack of escapes mean that no more teleological delusions exist to strengthen Leftism, and so, it has cancer. Post-Leftism avoids Teleology, many Ultra-Leftists enjoy Teleology, meaning that a Revolutionary Ultra-Leftist current must be more Post-Leftist in this degree than Ultra-Leftist, because the Ultra-Leftists begin to doom themselves. We must create the end, the end will not come naturally, there is no true teleological end. This is why, instead of the teleology and marxism of other COmmunizationists, I call for a NOW that is NOW, we cannot act against society without being Suicidal, to escape control you require a lack of values, one must rid themselves of not just economic value but all value. Humanism, DEATH to it! Life, DEATH to it! Revolution, DEATH to it! That is how revolution begins. When one lives as Unique without Identity or Uniqueness, or, when an ego death becomes permanent, one is unable to be controlled-- this is something I admire in animals who, despite not having ego death, act without being controlled even when controlled, they have absolute ownhood regardless of actions of their slave master, and because they do not value their lives, they will strike back whenever and however they want. The snake strangles his slave master just for fun, and in return, he gets temporary liberty (though he does not care for liberty, he, unlike me, has a realized ownhood due to his primitive nihilism) and then death from the state. The baby chickens, the chick, in a box runs around and pecks at everything, tries to escape, despite being domestic and so, dying if they do escape (domestic animals unfortunately, due to eugenics, will die if granted liberty, that is why they must be given a choice between staying domestic (living "consensual" slaves) and being wild (dead in five minutes but free), most animals see no difference because they have ownhood.), they do not care because the chicks have ownhood. THe cat and the dog has ownhood and loves their ownhood regardless of slavery, they will strike at the slave master if it fights against the animal's ownhood, this is a revolutionary behavior that, unfortunately, does not get far in revolution but does get far in opposition to all that is Oppressive/Reactionary.-- and when one cannot be controlled, the power Capitalism has over them is ended. They will act even if tortured, killed, beheaded, hanged, shot, or whatever else, because like animals, they do not care anymore. And unlike animals (sadly, psychologically torturing animals does break their

ownhood... Rats in labs, abused dogs and cats, all those farm animals...), not even punishment can stop them. Fight regardless of if you will win, for fighting is winning itself. There exists a joke slogan amongst accelerationist critics, which is, "i can't wait for society to collapse so that MY ideology can rise" in which such slogan is said by every Accelerationist, every Annihilationist, and every anti-civilizationist, in which the joke is that each individual narcissistically assumes their ideology will be to rise up after society, and so, fight society with this in mind-- and there are millions of individuals who have their own ideology and think that specific ideology will be the one to succeed, when most likely it will not be... I say, as a Hyperborean Accelerationist, that once society/civilization is gone, so is all of everything. What human will be alive? How can an ideology rise after the death of all ideologies? Instead, the annihilation IS my goal, my ideology, and so I wish for nothing else to rise-- I do not want what is after death, but death itself. I do not seek heaven, I make the dying my heaven, because as far as I care, nothing is after. With this, I also do not believe I will ever achieve what I want, but stay Achieving it-- the means are the ends and the ends are the means, as communizationists of TC and ENDNOTES could summarize, and so Annihilating is annihilation and communizing is communism and the Revolutionary Path is the Eternal Revolution and vice versa. The Means must be revolutionary because they are the ends, if the means are reactionary then so are the ends and vice versa. To speak on Juche once more-- It is not an escape because it is no longer leftism, it is the only, and I mean the only, Post-leftist Nation around as of now. It is, basically, the only place where capitalism can die as of now-- yet it hasn't due to ML-yearning and whatever else... please kim jung un PLEASEE ABANDON LEFTISM> create non-capitalism non-communist Juche please. communization in marxism, only negating capitalism, really needs to realize that said marxism may lead them to abolishing a capitalism only to bring a post-leftism, this is what I believe will happen if they try with necessity and values to abolish capitalism with communization-- something similar to Juche, but unknowingly, as they make an economy unrecognizable to modern day ideas of capitalism-vs-communism... non-capitalism and non-communism. THis is the resurrection of the third way, now seen not as the capitalist anti-communist corporatism delusion but literal unknowing post-leftism, it is something we must wait and see if ends up just as bad, worse, or better than capitalism, then take the appropiate action to fix. I do believe there is a necessity to end Necessity, and in Reality, Communization needs to abolish Reality, or else no Real Communization can exist, like how it cannot exist with the Real. The self, the Desire, the Reality, the reality, the Real, the real, all these things are in the way of Communizationist, except--- the Desire. Desire is soulist. Now I have no idea what I speak about-- I wil ltake a break. Camatte is not, really, new. Gemeinwesen is still wesen.

Camatte wants man to see himself in Human community, in gemeinwesen, but to do that, man must not be wesen but man, and because man does not exist-- the Unique does-- camatte accidentally calls for the death of the unique-- transforming the totalitarianism of capitalism into the totalitarianism of the gemeinwesen, or, Camatte's primitive-communist utopia. I do not wish to be controlled by any spirit, any wesen, not even my own-- mein wesen. If Marx says we are all bodies of time because we are nothing and time uses us as something (Poverty of Philosophy, Karl Marx, not exact words) then, in EMQ, we are nothing and our bodies are nothin but husks of nothing, and since you are the " " and I am the " ", then we are not each other but we are also creative nothings-- or, owners of creative nothing, which is our psychosis-- I speak for myself and the fictional you i have created--- then what is time? Time is not tied to me, I am not tied to time, I run in circles and pop balloons (LANZA culturalphilistine transcripts/archives reference), I have no conception of time at all. Ultra-Maoism has no program, and this is because programs are not Mao--- Mao changed programs at will, he changed selves at will, he willsss----------himself in maoism and ML-ism, so he is willed. Ultra-Mao finds a place for everything but anything, and so, rejects it all--- he is renewed. Really, the Communizationists have, as far as I know or care, worked well enough together (when compared to cough coguh "leftoid unity"), and so, who cares? Now, like a fumbling idiot, I begin to read Tiqqun. I wonder, if only the Youth had had Marx instead, would we be in a better position? We as in my class, not (probably) the proles. Spirals of Antagonisms shred apart the planet and hyperstitional worm holes fuck it up, the rich love Das Kapital and the poor loves Peter Theil, what? Not really, but really. I hate Freedom (and collectivity and equality and leftism and liberalism and everything), I hold no freedom nor rights, I hate freedom and all that stems from it, I love ownhood insofar as it is mine. Democracy is mine, the rule of the people means my rule, because I am the people-- of my own world. TO not seem narcissistic, I will tell you too, that democracy is yours in your own world too, because you are the people in your world, it is your rule. Already, at Tiqqun1.pdf, I find a wish to annihilate nothingness (aka, nothingness, it is already annihilation, to annihilate nihil is a silly concept yet is the core of nihilism in such a way that it means to bring about nul, nil). The youngest girl is the most revolutionary of the family members, or at least, one assumes-- they hyperstitionally birthed cutecore / cuteness with the expression of their upmost torture, and so, birthed in/is femininity. This goes alll the way since --------- and established ---------- every little girl, I included, is creator of all. I again lose myself in mysticism, and then remind myself that I am no longer writing Palingenetic Anarchism and must remain WOTL. Anyways. Tiqqun. I... . . first, it begin with incomprehensible words. then more. then a want for truth. then a want for metaphysics. then a hegelian position of metaphysics as not

negation to physics but sublation. then what is the negation to physics? The Acausal is the negation of the Causal and FEmininity the sublation of both but this, this dialectics I have presented does not exist. Yaldabaoth against all outside of her and ultimately all of yaldabaoth and what is outside of her is all femininity, cuteness, all is cuteness-- but cuteness is nothing, nothing exists, it is all not real--- because literally, it is not real. It is a gender war solved by the gender shredder, a metaphor, it is fake. What is critical Metaphysics if truth does not exist? THey yell it exists, or so I believe, maybe this is all metaphorical or maybe it is not, but I can only understand truth and metaphysics from this first few pages... and now, a complaint of Nihilism? What has nihilism done against you?
Tiqqun1.pdf: "Reality is the unity of meaning and life"
I, so then, to translate, "Hyperpsychosis is the sublation of Delusional-Value-Infections and Suffering".... Maybe they know what they talk about?

I now leave my difference and enter sole antagonisms:::::::::

tiqqun:
things of flesh never die. No human has ever died. Selves live on, Uniques may not but selves live on, Identity lives on, forever tormenting, Hell. When it has become flesh and so dies, it lives on even stronger, in new forms, you do not win-- it wins, it wins in death, it wins in YOU> GOD becomes FLESH and DIES, GOD becomes STRONGER, and soon AlL of EUROPE had been colonized by GOD's dead CARCASS. Are you to imply that Because it is dead, it is weaker? Whatever kills you makes you stronger, and if you survive you are crippled. You catch yourself in a spiral. you let go. you are god's flesh now-- christ in ever individual---------- capitalism turning humanism into/as/is/becoming you are the economy. yes. you are and has always been the economy itself. Communization is suicide. It is Nihilistic because it abolishes all value an meaning. Yet it stands that a being like tiqqun's multiplicity, Tiqqun1.pdf, it has a hatred for a nihilist... and who irritated these men>?

IF TIQQUN IS "the restoration of unity between meaning and life" tiqqun1.pdf, then TIQQUN IS REALITY IF TIQQUN IS reality, then TIQQUN is what? I,,, THEY HAVE TOLD US WHAT CRITICAL METAPHYSICS IS AGAIN..... tiqqun1.pdf "the determined negation of commodity domination." so then.....
what?

"The root of man is his metaphysical essence" tiqqun1.pdf, tiqqun, TIQQUn, ye humanists! Regardless of the obvious anti-stirnerian influence, How does Tiqqun suppose Communization, which requires no value, no meaning, no life, no self, no collectivity, no individual, no work, no economy, no essence, no definition, no reality, the Nihilistic Eternal Revolution, to be equivalent to Tiqqun's... Communization, as, value, meaning, reality, life, tiqqun, Kabbalah..... this critical metaphysics reminds me of bruno bauer and the humane liberalist, the pure critique // pure criticism..... Yet it claims to follow nihilism in destroying simple faith..... tiqqun1.pdf..... Nihilism is nothing but faith and nothing more------(Inside Out, Eve 6)----------In which I mean to have faith in active, burning, bloodied Nihilistic ---- faith ---- itself and nothing else ----- because it is nothing ------ and nothing more. Nothing-2-Nothing cute-inflation, the faith can only expand in empty nothingness, nothing is left. Criticism is solely negation, Nihilism is solely Affirmation, and Criticism is solely affirmation, Nihilism is solely Negation..... but each one is unlike the other. In criticism, True criticism, one finds everything to be false---- or, then, he did not critique enough----- but he has no hope (LANZA fell for Criticism and lost nihilistic faith, that is why he ended up a reactionary cold nihilist--- obsessed with criticism and lacking nihilistic faith, or, Active Nihilism. He did nothing.). Nihilism is the hope that you are nothing. The want to be nothing. The truth, the psychosis, of being nothing. YOu do not hold anything to nihilism's legacy. Nihilism does not need to criticize to exist, criticism doess--- nihilism must jsut have faith//// not in yahweh, not in yaldabaoth, not in the human being, not in anything-------- but in nothing. I, _____, set my affairs on NOTHING (max stirner, The Unique and its property). Criticism finds no "thing" in it, it must be empty, but it also lacks nothing because it criticizes nothing, it is a negation of nothing, and a negation of something, a sublation of thing, it is pure criticism. The "essence of nihilism" (tiqqun1.pdf, tiqqun) is absolutely nothing but nihilism and faith, or nothing, nihilism, and faith... aka, metaphysically, just Nihilism, or, cute. Nothing is beyond cute, because cuteness is composed of cute inflation, it is cute inflation, anything cuter than it is PART of and IS it. To unify Life and meaning, try////////////// Destroying both, sacrificing it to faith and nihilism, or, cuteness. Then, you see, nothingness as it all, and your self-reflection, your world/psychosis in you and as you, your property not as opposed to you but made by you, your own god--- and self-reflection, you find instead of value, instead of faith, you. " ". Why would you "liberate and annihilate this world" through "Critical Metaphysics" (I rephrased the original quote, Tiqqun1.pdf,tiqqun... critical metaaphysics is the doctrine of transendence which alone permits the liberation from and annihilation of this world"" is the original quote, tiqqun1.pdf, tiqqun)..... when Critical Metaphysics lacks Nihilism in it, and, so, wants to synthesize Meaning and Life, instead of annihilating both.... What is

this world to tiqqun, then? To replace one hyperpsychosis with another, instead of a psychosis, is centrality.

If bloom is Nothing--- what kind of nothing?--- Maskeed nothing? Why mmust it mask? Is the Nothing an Autist? an Autistic Nothing? And where do you find that? as a Masked Nothing lives inside its own mask --- its own.... non-self? (tiqqun1.pdf, bloom lives inside of bloom) it lacks? Is lack the core of it? Yet it is positively nothing (tiqqun1.pdf)..... is Lack a positive?"NNothing can belong to us as truly our own in such a landscape of death." (tiqqun1),,,,, YES, nothing, we own it, it is truly our own, and we are it, it is truly us, and we only can own it in the only landscape that exists, which is ----- us here means you, or it means I, but one or the other, not both. WE do not exist, you exist and I exist-------- US!, or, " "------- Our living as death, our nonexistence, our dying, our -------- landscape of death ------- our psychosis, our world. Tiqqun1.pdf suggests that Bloom is Man inseparable from his own alienation in any real way, as one that cannot be saved from alienation, a cold nihilist---------- basically, Bloom . cold nihilist lanza. "we live as if we were already dead" tiqqun1.pdf, but, what if, living and dying meant the same? We act no different in life and in death because they are equal, and so, have no difference, and so, are the same. SO then, when Tiqqun1.pdf says it is a man without interest, this is obvious, the dead have no interest. But then, why worry about the dead? let sleeping dogs lie, let dead men stay dead, why must we defile them once more? are you an archon?? "Bloom also means that each person knows for himself he is not himself"---- for each person? Do you know for yourself that you are not yourself? For, "you" are not yourself, but is " " you? Do you control yourself? You are not of ego death, for you are not still living nor still dead, so what are you? Nothing? nothing but thr nothingness that..... okay. "Bloom is the eternal adolescence of humanity" Humanity is a spirit, and adolescence is the replacement for (childhood) under capitalism, what does this mean? Bloom is the eternal oppression (in the methods of oppression seen in youth oppression, this time directed at/) of the Human Being as a collective spirit".... so, Is the Human spirit, which is developed for its own purposes (humanism), being treated as underdeveloped because it is being compared to the development for religion (God--as spirit), and so, oppressed by religion as too young? Is this eterrnal? Last I checked, Humanism has cannibalized Religion already, or, turned it into a humanist-religious husk..... this is eternal? Maybe I don't get whatever ageist connotation is linked to "adolescence" here. Does it have to do with this YoungGirl i hear of? hehe... hehe.... What's that you say? a what? a bloom??? hehehe..... okay, I find this too much for me, I must go. FUCK.

BECAUSEIREALLYDONOTCAREIWILLSKIPTOTH EYOUNGGIRL!!!!!

i have never met a YoungGirl, apparently.... Then, I have not met anyone apparently, either. What was I to take from that? ? ? :(

Communization pt2:
ignoring tiqqun, that incomprehensible mess that makes me misunderstand everything basic and complex. Will I do a summary? No.

goodbye now. I'm hungry.

democracy without voting, without a majority, without spirits, without rule, without people, without democracy.

Communization seems, really, like nothing.

Okay. What other option do we have right now? Communization against the post-left and ultra-left (right-ultra-left, or, leftcoms), what remains? If we are to not fall to the same fate of the Leftist demon/ghost then we must affirm our difference against those other two. No escapes, no teleology, no rightism, only pure communizing. Tiqqun has burnt me out and it no longer pleases me to talk aabout tiqqun nor communization as of 4/28/26, in fact, I feel nothing at all for them. I am sstill a communizationist, I just cannot stomach Tiqqun. Sorry. Maybe i'll write more later.

do communization howeever the fuck you want-- it means absolutely nothing. If you want to be messy, be messy, decentralized, decentralize, if you want to do a revolution, do it, or an insurrection, do it, or a committee, do it, whatever, just do something-- or, do nothing. That's fine too. We aren't leftist anyways. We hate the collective, we hate equality, we hate work, we hate value, we hate culture, we hate community, we hate, we

hate, we hate. hatred is inspiring, it is fun to hate, like as one speaks of love-- I love things and I hate things, I love hating, and I love loving, and I hate what I want to hate as i love what i want to love. Primitivists, to me, feel... too conservative and too socialist, and also, idiots. Returning to the past does nothing, and the past sucks ass too-- it is community, it is cultural, it is proto-economic, it is collective, it is a spirit, it is queerphobic, it is discriminatory, it is full of labour, it is full of value, it tells me what to do and I despise it. LIkewise, a world where I have to stay self-oppressing in my life without Ego-Death sounds just as bad-- I am forced to exist as a Unique, as a being, and as an individual-- why not as nothing? Why can I not die? metaphorically, of course.....

Anti-Work is fine when they aren't accidentally recreating work like the communizationists and Bob Black did.. then, I mean, Non-Work. I do not want to work--- WHO WANTs to slave away?

I don't believe in Anarchists, I believe as an Anarchist but i do not believe in Anarchists--- No Anarchist exists and you know that. Every "Anarchism" is a Statism, or has a Statism, it has a Fascism, or is a Fascism, micro-statism or micro-fascism. THen, it is not Anarchy nor Anarchism--- then, what Anarchy exists? what anarchism exists? Nothing, absolutely nothing. Post-left Anarchism is a funny concept when one considers that Anarchism is nothing BUT Post-Anarchist Leftism, or, Non-Anarchist Leftism... Anti-Work post-leftists are equivalent to typically non-marxist communizationists or, like bob black, utopian socialists... still by any means leftist. It's not like Bob Black ever fundamentally abandoned the main tenants of Leftism, he simply abandoned the concept of Leftism-- he still believes in (Harmony)(aka, a Collective Community with the abolition of Capitalism and replacement with something alcking alienation). Primitivists treat Primitive society like a socialist society, and it really isn't, but it is a Collective community, and the primitivists only seek that collective community for the escape of alienation... which, to a degree, Communism is just a future rebranded primitive-communism in my eyes. Stirnerian Post-Leftists typically fall into different groups and unions, however almost all of them retain some sort of socialism, and never ancaps... Egoist-communists, Egoist-Mutualists, Egoists-without-adjectives (which, as far as I care, no economy is a leftist ideal, the abolition of economy is the principle of many communisms), and whatever else. Now, one could say, of course post-leftism is goin to retain leftist elements, it is Anarchism (leftist) against Leftism (as in, the baddd parts of leftism)... but then, where is the Anarchism? I have complained about Anarchist ideologies lacking Anarchism, and this also applies to Post-leftists. Primitivists are Proto-Statists, their "anarcho-"primitivism is microfascistic and, as many admit, statist to many

degrees. Bob Black's "Harmony" came from Fourier, who, guess, was not an anarchist and requires a spirit, a spook, a society, a "state" (as some could describe it, though society matches it better), rooted in community and not Anarchy nor Anarchism... microfascisms are required (then, quasi-hierarchy would begin from this). Stirnerites, I must admit, are, despite Max Stirner never identifying with Anarchism, the most Anarchist of all, but to a degree they do still imagine a world with power dynamics, in fact, the whole worlds would be nothing but power dynamics--- stirner wants to do what he wants to and can with his power, regardless of if it tramples over someone elses' power, he enjoys the conflict. Not that this is wrong to a degree, because it is to a degree fine with me, but it certainly does not match the ideas of anarchism proper, moreso reflecting a "might is right" without the right, simply might for what one wants. In this, what morality opposes slavery or statism? None, if the slave or citizen is dumb enough to let it happen then it serves them right... okay then. Now, Post-leftists justify this by saying they believe anarchy, not anarchism (bob black, anarchy 101), but in that sense... Primitivists are not post-left, neither are anti-workists who believe in play or any sort of utopian socialism (despite bob black, fourier's harmony is anti-anarchy).. THen Stirnerites are the real Anarchists---- I know that, I am one, but Stirnerites are stirnerites, not anarchists or any "ist", unless such an identity suits them... and, really, it would not modernly fit a stirnerite to identify as an anarchist when it means that they associate with "anarchists" of all different forms, or, protestant idiots. Stirnerites are Egoists... Anarchism is nothing, it will never exist and cannot exist. And so, that is why, despite no anarchist existing, and how i do not believe in anarchists, i believe in ANARCHISM. I set my goals on the unreasonable because I want it, and my affairs are on me and my wants. Anarchism is nothing but leftism, Post-left anarchism is self-denying leftist, anarchism does not exist, Leftism is a joke and a failure, then what? Nothing, then! Anarchy, to me, IS me, as in, I am an egoist, and Anarchism, to me, is mine. Whatever.

Youth Liberation:

We youth, or as we are usually called, Children, are slaves. There is not much I haven’t already said about the youth, but I will try to repeat what I have said to the best of my ability in a coherent form. This may be seen as a summary of sorts, since I’ve seen a huge lack of YOUTH-liberationist scientific critical analysis about the role of youth in the history of society and the nature of their oppression, which disappoints me. I do want to point out how much of the oppressive structures in society is based in spreading culture and pedophilic practices such as grooming and sexualization of children. Hopefully this gives a good basis for more theorists to correct me and leave this summary behind for a

better, more-scientific youth-liberationist analysis. I am wrong, correct me. This will probably not be looking into, like most youth-liberationists probably do look into, adult supremacy and the role of age as age itself, and I will instead simply point out its oppressors (and their methods / models of oppression / empowerment... Not "adults" but patriarchs, usually rooted in a pedo-sadistic culture as they were born and raised in), the quasi-gender role of age, and the forms of oppression done to the youth as a class and a marginalized group/minority. I am not writing "NO! against adult supremacy" the sequel but an actual attempt at analysis.

Children take many forms in society (ones that, as I have pointed out, are extremely similar to women's, though one could say it is "reversed" on the issue of class-- children went from worker to slave, women went from slave to worker, though modernly, the patriarchy and manosphere and men in general are trying to make them back into slaves. Regardless, women and children are both oppressed by the Pedophilic Patriarchy and are exploited by capitalism through wage gaps, they both are oppressed by the existence of the social construct of family (and the nuclear family model), they both suffer more than your regular prole and both are distracted from their growing class consciousness... though every class is. Except the rich, since they want to kill everyone now.). On one hand, they are a Class in the economic realm of society, on the other, they are a Group/Community in the general Cultural realm of society, and finally, they are victims of spreading cultural viruses and patriarchy in the familial realms of society. As a Class, they are forsakened Proles; Children used to be, historically, proles amongst their comrades who, truthfully, were usually underpaid greatly or made basically slaves and were made to work in worse conditions than others. They, in most places (but not all), were eventually removed from their prole status and made economically equal to Pets, slaves who do no labour and are kept around for fun, property-less beings (suffers as a prole) who, to make their alienation even worse, (suffers as a bourge/rich) are alienated from their own ability to act or make choices that effect reality in any meaningful way (this is not to say children working would make them suffer less, but at least know they have some level of authority over something, but then working would bring them the natural misery of labour.. it balances out, most children would say.)-- the hegelian suffering of the master AND the slave. Children lack autonomy, choice, property, and self-given meaning. This defines their alienation and psychological torture. As a Group and as victims of patriarchy, Children are oppressed by the Pedophilic Patriarchy via Ageist laws and cultural doctrines, Ageism as a whole, through the oppression and sometimes violence imposed on children who reject the cultural or legal/moral standards of society (rebels, queers, historically POCs especially blacks-- as children and, usually,

as adults (though less normalized nowadays for adults)). They are also abused and punished via extremely unethical methods originating IN slavery culture amongst whites-- spanking, which is proven to be psychologically as damaging as sexual assault and damaging the trust and love of a child (some sources, not all as there are hundreds and generally it is considered common knowledge among intellectuals that spanking is abuse: https://evidencebasedliving.human.cornell.edu/blog/clear-evidence-spanking-harms-kids/ https://www.gse.harvard.edu/ideas/usable-knowledge/21/04/effect-spanking-brain https://www.stopchildabuse.org/en-us/news/effect-of-spanking-on-kids-brains-similar-to-abuse , to name a few links.). Children developing their own forms of culture, values, meanings, ideals, and communications with other children are crushed down by the state and forced to accept their "Culture"'s instead, their free-thinking and individuality is erradicated in order to make them perfect hosts for the parasitic culture that many kids unknowingly defend and many adults unknowingly spead. This practice of forcing children to accept culture by any means, which, modernly takes place as grooming amongst the family to accept their modern culture (or worse, a traditional one), goes back to the start of patriarchy at all. Patriarchs rely on Culture to maintain power, and culture, likewise, uses Patriarchs as hosts for their parasitic species. Patriarchs had to use sexual violence and abuse to hold down the women and matriarchs as to maintain power, disgusting rapists among the patriarchs normalizing this in majority of cultures, and that same abuse was turned inwards-- to the children. This is seen in the modern family model, where many traditions in many cultures are based in incestuous idolization or "purity" or marraige-traditions, or worse, literal incest. These sorts of activities are rooted in a pedophilic culture amongst the Patriarchs, which is especially seen in the familial father-daughter relation and the Pederast normalization and oppression of young boys amongst patriarchs in greece (and rome if I remember correctly) which is based extremely much on the heterosexual abuse and oppression of women that influences many of the patriarchs' actions. The patriarchy is uniquely pedophilic, from its normalization of spanking (a sexual sadistic practice of harming a child on the erotic zone of the ass for supposed discipline originating from-- when black people were whipped by whites and so, black parents (who used to let their child be free and whimsical) had to force their children into submission to the white man's rules by immitating the white man's sadistic actions to the child as to prepare the child for the future) reterritorialized from its context into simply discipline to its modernly-exposed (due to epstein files and such) pedophilic rings where pederastic and pedophilic abuse relationships are indulged in by the patriarchs. It comes as no surprise, then, that a youth liberationist must oppose pedophilic oppressors, regardless of the history of the oppressed pedophilic non-patriarchs, or, "queer" pedophiles. This Pedophilic abuse is not something natural,

however, as in a world where children and adults are equal (like a world where women and men are equal), such a relationship would not be abuse unless one oppresses the other and establishes a power dynamic. This is what happened amongst the patriarchs-- they, as they had won power through sexual violence against their “family” and so established their “family”, then stripped the woman and children of their power and began to oppress them. Methods used include schooling, establishing bigoted laws, marriage, creating the concept of the family (and later, the nuclear family), and normalizing incest and rape as ways to uphold the family model (which, yes, incest and rape has been historically and modernly used in traditional families to uphold the family model, it is not “queer” as some queers try to make it seem). The constant sexual exploitation by the patriarch of his “beloved” enemies should not be normalized and/or seen as “queer” by any extent, nor should historical revisionism hide its cruelty, for pedophilia, pederasty, heterosexuality, and incest are all historical forms of cruel and violent oppression and exploitation. Much of Purity culture and conservatism takes from the Patriarch, which is why many people say it is equivalent to Rape culture, for really, it is, in so far as Patriarchs are all (or mostly) Rapists. Innocence is the sexualization of a Child’s mental state, by making Children (who are de-facto a form of mental divergency as compared to adults-- not to say they are neurodivergent but that their mind is (just as undeveloped as everybody’s) just in different ways and so are equal just like a neurodivergent person is equal to a neurotypical one -written by a neurodivergent minor (!!!)) into these “asexual” (nature proves that wrong, baby rabbits rape eachother, all children are just as sexual as anyone else-- but to focus on this sexual nature, like the pedophile-liberationist does, is to sexualize children (which I do not support either) and oppress them in a new way, this is a small jab at lanza’s essay if it wasnt obvious), or, “innocent” creatures who are like this due to their “lack of sexuality” (aka where “losing your childhood” or “losing your innocence” or the idea that innocence and childhood are the same thing comes from and why people act as if it is important), which is a sexualization of youth-hood by literally (and wrongly) defining youth-hood and the experience of being a child via the relation of the child to their own sexuality (or lack of it)-- every time childhood is brought up or innocence is brought up, this definition eternally ties it to the concept of sexuality and makes it so saying “childhood” or “innocence” is to think of children in only and explicitly ONLY a sexual manner. By making kids “pure” or defining the experience or concept of a child to their sexual “purity”, you are sexualizing children and their existence, and, making children fear / be disgusted by sex and sexual impurity by making it seem like the absolute end of their childhood, so, the death of their innocence/adolescence, and making them fear adulthood for its impurity (though not all of them, many children want to be adults due to their oppression as youth and wish for

escape through time). Patriarchs have made being young unseperable to the concept of sexuality. On the Question of Schooling and the direct oppression of youth by the family, it is simple. Schooling-- Schools function both as eugenics machines, individuality-strippers, a grooming-machine that forces mainstream national culture and capitalistic wage-slavery mindsets into children who wish to think for themselves, time-wasters (or, age-accelerators from the persepective of the child/teen, usually teen), and a way to get children used to being oppressed by higher authorities. It (though modernly, many schools try to help neurodivergent people, disabled / depressed / unstable / bullied people, and Intellectually disabled people) works as, first, a machine that weeds out the runts of the litter that we call a class, be it through bullying (a child-against-child phenomena that happens due to the normalized bullying of adults against kids that is authority) to weed out the suicidal and depressed, or unstable and neurodivergent, or disabled and queer, or POCs, into killing themselves or dropping out or failing, or through the education system itself by weeding out the neurodivergent (or those who think differently), the Intellectually disabled, the “stupid”, or modernly the “gifted” through overworking them until they burn out, by the way the system is set up, the methods of education, the lack of helping those who are different, by overworking them and causing them to stress out (even to the point of suicide), etc., and then getting rid of the weeds by them dropping out, killing themselves, being failed (usually they get multiple chances modernly, but it still means that they are in a worse place economically when they are held back) (but if they do get held back enough times to be failed permanently, or, are just failed permanently at the start, they are kicked out…) and left to rot as an adult, etc., either way, the school works as a eugenics machine. As Individuality-strippers, they remove the individuality and uniqueness of each child to make them the same in order to help Capitalism prevent anything that could harm them, this is done through literal authorities, or, older people bullying them into losing the unique parts of themselves. As a grooming machine and a way to get kids used to authority, this is obvious is the bias of the education. The education system of the school is not democratic nor it is “apolitical”, it is strictly for the political wants of the school and the greater society, the school only teaches the youth what they want the youth to know, nothing more and nothing less. They often are biased towards themselves and their own culture and ignore their problems and issues as to convince children that this society is best, or, as good as it will get, nationalism. They teach of the culture and the language to the children and prevent the children from communication in alternative ways or new ways that the children make up, they prevent children from expressing themselves in a countercultural way, or, a way that is not the main cultural method. Children are forced to accept the school’s culture and ideas or they will be met by the School’s function as an Eugenics Machine, as previously

discussed. Likewise, Schools also groom kids into functioning like wage-labour slaves and getting them used to the expoitation, suffering, and alienation of a prole. Finally, as Time-wasters, or, Age-accelerators, schools suck up all the free-time of the youth (long schooling hours, THEN MORE HOMEWORK.) and waste their entire childhood, or, their ages, on schooling. They have no time for themselves, to enjoy, to live, to see the good of the world, because they are met by suffering and pain, making them cynical (like myself) and tired, stressed and depressed, life-hating pessimists. Years go by like days and we lose our sense of time, the only thing reminding us what day is which being the schedules controlling our movement in the school campus telling us that, yes, though it always feels like monday, it is wednesday, only a few months until school ends but it still feels like dozens are left. Time accelerates, not due to time, but due to suffering. Most of our memories are of school, not home, and it feels like a prison-- though many will tell you, between school and prison, there is no difference, or, school's worse. Time means nothing anymore. Then, before one knows it, they'll be graduating. Then, a wage-labour slave. Then, dead. Nowadays with the rise of Neo-Nazism, schools are even more dangerous, as schol shootings happen every day or two and nobody stops it. What is the point of this? Children are dying for the "right (of the white cishet male) to bear arms", a right that, really, they don't even have. We are not martyrs for your stupid rights, we are people, and we deserve to be able to be safe and defend ourselves-- either let children have guns or ban guns at schools. I prefer the former, the latter would just lead to school stabbings, like that one in europe. Maybe if the pedophilic elites weren't afraid of the kids fighting back when the bastards try to touch them, we'd have it by now. It really is only a matter of learning gun safety. In my time in a certain oppressive fascist country, when I was there, most kids agreed with me on the terms of youth liberation and letting kids vote and be equal to adults, so I would like to speak personally to my people (as of 2026), the Youth, when I say "Rise up, win your rights, stop at nothing for the most Radical Solution against Ageism and Youth Oppression-- the abolition of Patriarchy, Capitalism, Culture, Statism, and Age-- and everything else that holds our society back from utopia, for every form of oppression is interlinked. Child Labour, the age wage gap, Fascism's hyper-patriarchy, and the Epstein rings/circles have proven that the Youth cannot be free under Capitalism nor Statism, so accept your roots-- accept Daughter Anarchy, or, Anarchism. We cannot be free until everything oppressing us has been undone, and removed from the face of Russia. ------- to finish, what can we do? The CAJD (though suffering from an age-chauvinist issue, and their analysis is outdated and old, and inactive), the YLF (and its branches, pretty much inactive last I checked), the COYL (though I regard them as Age-Chauvinists, Moderates (ask one about family abolition...), Utopianists, and they are inctive), the YLP (I forget which this one is), the

YLO (long dead?), and the general larger youth liberationist movement (and historically, many, many other youth liberationist organizations/sub-movements) are all Youth Liberationist organizations / sub-movements that fight for the same general cause of youth liberation . If you are able to, you should attempt to start your own youth liberationist organization/collective (collectives help for if you are kicked out for your activism that you don't die and you can be economically independent and free from the family model, an illegal emancipation through collectivism) for the liberation of your people, you should write and spread around youth liberationist papers/zines/pamphlets and invite fellow youth to your movement-- you should also do praxis by doing political protests and struggles, arming yourselves and defending yourselves and others from oppression and other reasons, you should collectivize what you have and find funding or income, do underground meetings (if getting kicked out would, and in most cases it would, be harmful to your health and the collective is not big enough to care for each other, aka most of the time), secret societies, share food and water and care for the weakest and poorest of your people, do anarchist and communist (ancom) praxis and bring an alternative to youth oppression for the youth-- youth liberty. If centralization is necessary, centralize. If platformism is necessary, do it. If decentralization is necessary, decentralize. Whatever you must do, whatever methods you must take, as long as it is NOT reactionary, collaberationist, counterintuitive, or something like rape (which is never acceptable nor justifiable). Fight if you must, for liberty is more important than life itself-- the Revolutionary path outweighs everything and anything; Liberty (revolution) is the only thing that matters in life. Youth Liberation has come and gone, failed repeatedly, disappointed me for 50 years already, let these next years please be the years of our class consciousness, the years of our liberty, the years of revolution. I have craved liberty, the equality of youth to adults, the abolition of Age since elementary school-- and my craving has only gotten worse. I may not be a Youth by the time I see what is currently my people be free, but that does not matter to me, because I do not seek the liberty of my people for myself-- no-- i seek it for my people, the Youth. I will always support Youth Liberation, regardless of if i am one of them, that is my promise. Please, do not become cathedralized, de-radicalized, assimilated by culture and left without revolutionary potential, because that is a fate worse than death for the Youth and their Movement. Here's a tip: Don't forget that the Youth cannot be free until Anarchism is established.

Generally, the revolutionary potential of a class and the rate of a growing class consciousness amongst a class depends on its alienation, oppression, and misery-- but it is also affected by the capitalist silencing, suppression, and distracting of said class struggle (In the currents of Communization, as learned by Gilles Dauve, we understand

that no class is revolutionary-by-nature, but the revolutionary potential of an individual in a class is linked to their systematic misery, and the general miserable masses of a class define it's revolutionary potential as a collective… Though the Oppressors also suffer from their own oppression, it is necessary to point out that their misery is their own fault and so it does not become revolutionary potential.). I understand that the main class struggle is between the proles and the rich, or, mutualism against capitalism/landlordism, however children as a class are still a fragment of the proletariat class, though now forsakened, and are still opposed radically against the rich. Children experience, generally, worse alienation than adults-- though in such a different form that becoming an adult with usually feel different or alienating in a new way, and proles tend to forget that alienation as a child was even worse at all because they are thinking of a different form of alienation. I have already discussed what the alienation is for children, and marx can explain proletariat alienation better than I can. Children also experience more oppression as both an oppressed class and an oppressed group, or, "people" than proles do as solely an oppressed class. The misery of children has been such a normalized thing that to deny child misery is to deny reality itself and to be a historical revisionist. These conditions should have bred Revolutionary Potential and Class Consciousness amongst the youth, considering they are worse than the proletariat's, who have had their revolution already, however, neoliberalism. Neoliberalism and the spreading of "Brainrot", the distraction for the youth away from class consciousness, the same distraction which is now heavily used by and associated with Child predators and Nazi Pedophiles, Online Far-Right terrorists and Pedosadistic Satanic Cults, the UTTP, Skibidifarms, Labubufarms, Spawnism, 764, O9A, Atomwaffen LARPers, Fults and whatnot, Sinister Satanists, blahblahblahblahblah all nazi pedophiles that use brainrot to lure youth into their horrible practices. This and the general pedophilic fascistic nature of the elites who control neoliberalism is enough to point out a history between brainrot and Far-Right pedophiles (which makes sense considering hitler was a child groomer and a pedophile). Brainrot is a cancer among the class consciousness of the youth, and it should not be encouraged to spread. It harms us, stunts us from our potential liberation, it forces us into that cold-nihilistic neoliberal state of the "end of history" where nothing new can be born. Brainrot is a psy-op. That, of course, is not the only thing holding the Youth back, but I feel that, now more than ever, a critique should be made on the general existence of Brainrot and how it affects us youth, pushing us away from our revolution and towards nazi pedophile grooming circles where nothing good can be born out of. Regardless, art and aesthetics are necessary for any movement or people, and so I start to speak of the AMC, however briefly-- the Animation Meme Community (a term I use to describe, truth be told, not the animation meme community but the entirety of the queer-minor online art community, because the

biggest example of these communities and the most memorable is the AMC), arguably the best example of what used to be a community filled with mostly minors who formed their own queer culture, and its multi-fandom, branching out to the entirety of the online queer-Minor internet community. Most of them draw very much inspired by the old and new AMC, as it was a very influencial community that I believe most accurately displays "Youth" as an aesthetic. If we are to get our art, our aesthetic, it should definitely be the AMC and general online art community-- just, simply without the "drama" and general reactionary controversies, as, we need to be a unified movement and not deal with a call-out post every two days, that would be bad. Generally, put online drama (not reactionary controversies that are important and called drama wrongfully, but actual idiotic online drama like darkshippers) aside for now, for the revolution, and let us focus on actually achieving our liberty, our revolution, our rights (and what that solution entails, and... considering that the (and I am saying this based on ultra-left and post-left theorists, extremely similar to one-another) self-negation of the prole means the abolition of labour, and the self-negation of the female gender means the abolition of gender via creating femininity (aka universalizing womanhood in its spiritual form, equally among all of russia), It makes sense that the abolition of age via the universalization of childhood in its spiritual form (aka childhood before REAL CHILDHOOD (real childhood being the psychological and physical torment of being a child), childhood as a trusting optimistic love that is equal-- not like the cynical love of hyperindividualized adults--, or, childhood as equal and utopianist love, or, utopian socialism in spirit) equally amongst all the world, and, the ending of innocence (nonconsensual ignorance), and the ending of birth (for the liberation of the pre-living), is our solution. This is abolition of age, as is self-negation. Being Childish is not a sin, being a child is not a sin, why should we abandon our sub-culture for assimilation into them?-- that is cathedralization, becoming-them, that is why we must make them become-us, and while that, change the "us" to be a new, liberated, WE. then, really i lied, it is a becoming-we that they have to go through, lol.-- this is why TSRFs praise the gender shredder (Gender Accelerationism: A Blackpaper, by N1x Land, or, Nyx Land, or, Nix Land, or i continue on) and femininity (femininity is not womanhood, but sublated, beyond) and why we praise our childish revolution (our new revolutionary childhood will be radically different and opposed to "real childhood")-- this is why proles must abolish labour and make anti-work as well (The proles become a liberated prole, or, an anti-prole, an anti-worker, a lazy fatass bitch-- I say in a kind and sarcastic way to make fun of how rich people do not support laziness.). It is consistent in the solution.). weh *paws at you* :3

Update as of 4/26/26 6am: FUCK. The class of children, the marginalized group/identity of children, Youth, require, and I say this with necessity, require far more class consciousness than they have right now as of this moment. Nothing amongst the youth seems to be working out, Youth liberation has failed for several decades now, Youth Liberation groups come and go in the span of a year or so and nothing is ever done. Our struggles, our suffering goes without solution because we, nihilistically, give up on any belief that we could be free-- where is the hope? Where is the sparkle in our innocent eyes? Why are they so dull as we make our way towards another year in schools designed like prisons and homes designed like feudal states. We ignore our struggles, we Cope, we fawn, we see ourselves in our oppressors so that we could pretend to have even an ounce of power, and often times, we have none. I cannot control my movement, my most basic of rights, because of Child Trafficking, I am stuck to this family like a dog on a leash. If I get to move, I move for them, If I get "freedom", I get it by them, and often temporarily (freedom is not freedom if you do not take it, freedom is not freedom if it is given to you by the state, true freedom is stolen-- Max Stirner said something similar in longer, more german words, in The Unique and Its Property). I have no control over my Body, over my Activity, I have no way to actively own myself. I do believe many in my class can relate to limitation, in fact, I know for most people the struggle is even worse, in ways I cannot even possibly know or relate to, many other children suffer more than I can know, but all of our sufferings are caused by the same people. Why, for example, do Child abusers, Child Predators, and Child Murderers get away with their actions? Why did Epstein get away with it for so long? P. Diddy? Donald Trump? Bill Clinton? Whoever else? Why do these people get to torture us, make snuff films with us, murder us, eat us, rape us, and they are still more human than any child? They are Sadistic monsters, freaks, they are attracted to the power they have over us and they use it to the best of their abilities, finding in our societal weakness as children, that of which they created, a way to do whatever they please? Those Rich, Patriarchal bastards hate us, they wish we were dead, they allow school shootings because they do not CARE for us. or do we not remember Uvalde? Salvador Ramos? Or that of which Charlie kirk, the Racist "White Genocide" believer who dated a Child-Trafficking pedophile racist, advocated for? THat "Children dying, being murdered, Hate crimes against the Youth, mass Terrorism against kids, The mass extermination, genocide, of the Youth, is just a natural and reasonable, justifiable consequence of the right (of the white adult cishet male who is rich, not even for the youth who are dying due to it) to bear arms.", is that belief, that advocacy, acceptable? His death was a natural consequence of his own bullshit. I do not want to die. I want to die for someone else's rights even less, especially not Kirk's rights. Children die every day from different things, or worse. Child trafficking, Child abuse, School

Shootings, Suicide, Terrorism, Hate Crimes, Police brutality, and more. The state does nothing to stop it. What assumption can I make from this apathy towards the destruction of the lives of children other than that, to the state, Children are nothing, less than nothing, they value less than the blood under the state's boots. And truly, as Children we too have become apathetic to our own suffering. In school one time, when I was at a different location than I am now, a code red was once announced due to some external event that was not too important-- they had not told us that, so many of the kids believed it to be something like a school shooting. I was rushed into a room with many other kids, some joking about the situation and the concept of a school shooting, and many not taking it seriously at all. They believed, as far as I know, that it really was something serious, it was not a practice alarm, and most would logically assume it was a school shooting, yet nobody seemed to care for their lives. Nihilistically, they could care less if they were shot, and they showed no care for any other-- eventually, the idiot oppressor teachers had to rant about how they "Should value their lives", and while I did not agree with the teacher then and still do not now (nobody "Should" do anything, moralism is nothing to me), it does show to me a problem amongst modern youth, that being, a Lack of care over their own selves and others due to neoliberalism and the apathetic treatment of children dying by the state, by culture, and by now, other kids as well. They cannot care about themselves anymore because, just like most people nowadays in Neoliberalism, they feel as if nothing matters and nothing can change. It is an empty, value-less existence under a crushing, valued, oppressive existence, contradictory yet truthful, this neoliberal age has destroyed our care for one another and reduced us to nothing. What can we do to change anything when we can't even begin to care about our own suffering? I am sure the youth feel oppression, with how many school shooters (bullied youth, depressed youth, mentally ill and scarred, oppressed... not to justify their actions but to point out that they do this due to society and the state, so the state and society is just as responsible), suicidal and depressed children (and youth suicide), burnt out and exploited children, all the children failing all their classes and on the brink of dropping out, and drop-outs as well, that there are. With how many Incels, fascists, Neo-Nazis, Identitarians, all those who feel the oppression and, instead of trying to fix it, scapegoat somebody to hate and blame for all their issues--- because they feel weak against the state, weak against the rich, weak against everything in their way, but they feel strong against those who are treated even worse than they are--- other minorities. Are these not the signs of suffering amongst a class and a marginalized group? I understand that this is normal for many of us, that this is how life is, but that does not mean that it cannot be changed, and the alternative--- and the process of getting to the alternative--- is so much better than this. Living, feeling your own Autonomy, being yours and only yours

to yourself, being in control of all your actions and being able to live in the moment, the situation, the event, It is pleasureful. To be able to just say No and leave, and walk away, to be able to yell back, fight back, while most cannot do it now (to yell at your parent usually results in consequence, for some it's only grounding or yelling back, for others it is their life that is taken--- children have been murdered for less--), if, for example, Children actually organized in mass liberationist movements and were there for one another, and depend on one another, one could eliminate the need to depend on their parent, their patriarchs, even their mothers (mothers, too, can be abusers and dictators), their cruel older or younger siblings that wish them harm, anybody. They could be completely free from the family, and, as far as the Youth Liberationists are consistent in their goal to erradicate family and bring anarchism (a world without Hierarchies, dictators, authority.. a 'Good' world as far as moralism goes, a revolutionary one.), no hierarchy will oppress the Youth no longer. Communes, underground parties (anti-politics, aka, real politics, not of voters and of lying politician mutts, but of the individuals uniting together for common purposes and benefits, change comes from us and not from those statist lying them, we decide the world. Politics is meaningless when compared to anti/real-politics, as the latter brings change), legal and illegal means, whatever it takes for Liberty for the Youth, it all must be done. It can be hard to bring class consciousness, start secret societies, and organize movements, believe me-- I've been trying and failing for quite a few now--, but the reward is infinitely worth the process, and even the process can be enjoyable at times. It can be 'fun', though sometimes it can be 'depressing', 'boring', whatever else, but it can also be 'inspiring', and 'hopeful', it is a spectrum of different feelings often, because to fight for something is to suffer for/from it, to enjoy the fight's liberties, to tiredly continue to combat it, to energetically enjoy the fight against it, to feel as if it is better to die, and to remember that dying does not solve the core of your problem--- it. Really, please, take up your pitchforks-- If you lack community, you can find it there, and if you lack autonomy, you can find it there, but it is never forced onto you, you have complete control at all times... You are your own being, you own yourself, nobody else.

4/28/26- Age Chauvinism and an attempt to Gatekeep youth liberation only leads to cathedralization and divisions that are reactionary, to maintain the revolutionary spirit of Youth liberation, please avoid age-chauvinism and recognize people of all ages as deserving of liberation under the youth liberationist flag. Any child of any age is oppressed like a child of any age, maybe in different ways, but the oppression stands from the same oppressors--- patriarchs---- and from the same methods--- including Ageism as the token term for it, since, nobody wants to describe it accurately. The ML

"critique" that Anarchism is Childish is not a critique of Anarchism but a critique of MLs, a critique of the ML's hate for the youth, a class, that they promise to abolish and liberate in the abolition of class yet never do, the ML in seeing childish as bad reveals his ageism... Meanwhile, Anarchism continues on in the hearts of the youth, a Liberatory scream, a punk desire for freedom and autonomy, and nothing can stomp it out. If the MLs and other leftists refuse to abolish the class of the youth, to abolish age, then Anarchism must leave their ageism behind and destroy age, and properly abolish classes, and properly liberate the youth. The youth understand much more than the old man ML, and the youth are far more revolutionary than the old men of Stalin's regime, they have a flame that the Bolsheviks have never had in their entirety of their existence. Maybe childishness is not an insult but the best compliment I could recieve(!, even if honestly, I stopped being an anarchist a long time ago)! The Lumpen-Youths have realized their Revolutionary potential in false solutions, but because they have at least already realized the potential, they understand Youth Liberation much easier than others--- Again, against MLs, we find that Lumpen-Youths are, unlike the seperation between proles and lumpen-proles, one and unified in a bond for youth liberation without CARE for the "sinister lumpen nature" (not a quote) of the lumpen-youth, which really, is just a desire for Liberation, Autonomy, Freedom, Liberty, Ownhood (max stirner's The Unique and its property). They, to me, are not traitors but comrades. No antagonistic distinctions amongst the Youth, allow the flame for all youths to burn bright and liberate forever-more! Long Live the YOuth!!! In which I mean, Down with the Youth, Abolish Age, Long live Anarchy! Is that better, yea? Though, hope is not the only thing nowadays. This is written in 2025, as we all know, almost all of the elites, if not ALL of the elites, are associated with, if not, child rapists, and the elites have been accused of (I believe very rightfully so, with the evidence we have) child trafficking, child rape, child torture, and more. We are, in the wake of the epstein files, staring at the crimes of the Elite Patriarchs and unable to do anything about it right now. Our president is a child predator, a racist, a fascist, who has raped kids, threatened to genocide an entire nation (Iran), had his followers burn voting boxes and deconstruct our 'democracy' into an even worse fascism, and is currently trying to prolong his power for as long as possible. He is, again, to repeat, a CHILD RAPIST, and he is now in charge of a global superpower, what does anyone think this means for the youth other than violence, oppression, and misery. If child predators and torturers weren't such a bad issue then, which they were and still are a really bad issue, then now it most definitely is. PRactically dozens if not hundreds of elites, in some way, are associated with epstein and/or some other child predator like P diddy or trump. Trump, on this topic, is also strengthening control over kids in school, declaring even stronger cultural groomings onto kids (christian nationalist bullshit),

strengthening hierarchies to keep us locked down and, as conservatives have been trying to do for decades, CONTROL and DOMESTICATE us, and if they cannot, EXTERMINATE us. Juvenile has, last I checked in America, too many rape cases-- not minor on minor, though that does happen often, but the adult staff tend to treat them like mental hospital staff treat their patients-- look as mental hospital abuse and sexual violence rates staff-to-patient and tell me that is normal. It is a disgusting thing to see, adults sending children into juvenile where they can be abused and raped, without a care in the world, and claiming to beprotecting kids. THey are protecting their concept of a pure "childhood" amongst "kids", like the humanist cares about "humans", they could care less for the individual kid's "purity" or "childhood", they'd even kill the kid if they happen to be black without hesitation! Schools and ADults, conservatives and liberals, they do not care for you as a individual, they care for the concept of "kids" and not real individuals who happen to count as "kids"--- if they had to cause every kid to suffer in order to help "kids", they would- Oh, wait, that is what SCHOOL and CULTURE is. Conservatives, claiming to protect kids, now love their child predator president and their weird white debator who supports school shootings (counting or not counting furry porn), because they never cared about the kid, just "kids". They care about Culture, basically, before all, and the current american culture is radically against the kid. It is a culture, like all cultures, rooted in child predator subculture among the elites, and only now in the Epstein Files do we finally see this confirmed that, since the start, we have been tortured and made to suffer undescribable pains for the fun of the elites. YOuth Liberation NEEDS to end this if it means anything at all for the youth, because this torture, this evil, it is the most disgusting thing seen in this century--- they did the same thing in the past to black slaves and native americans, but white people never care about that, I guess america is built on the suffering of the children and the non-whites. There's a reason why the News never speaks about youth liberationists, outside of ylf on like one news channel that didnt really care, and it is because isolating the movement is how they prevent it, and how they make us weak and vulnerable to their disgusting desires. We are animals to them that they have to divide apart in order to kill properly. If so, they deserve death, for they are satans, enemies, and absolute evils. Modern youth liberation fails in its lack of arms, sadly, but if we could truly arm ourselves and properly fight back, we'd be able to rid the world of these absolute evils, of child predators, youth-oppressors. I have had personal reflections after certain events have forced me to go to a school that is barely recognizable as a "school", a disgusting incompetent and authoritarian school that both fails at its only job and still teaches children that power will knock you out if you threaten it. It taught me that, I do not care if Teachers are Proles, I feel no sympathy for youth-oppressors, they are reactionary. They still play a vital part in the oppression of youth and

it disgusts me that they think of themselves as good people. America is hell, American Schools are worse than hell. How, I wonder, HOW have these people not lost it yet? This slow torture finds no empathy for us and our self-deceit, and they still cope finely, how? Regardless, now and here, here and now, the antagonistic contradictions between the youth and their oppressors have reached what should appear to be a breaking-point-moment, though the spectacle definitely has take from this point. The knowledge of what the Elites are doing, if we were not in a neoliberal age, would have caused us to rise up and take arms against those Elites and Patriarchs, I swear! 5-24-2026, Now I wish to speak on some people that I have encountered in my schools, especially since that one time I had run for student vice-president (i was removed undemocratically by teachers), who may later claim to be for youth liberation like socdems claim for workers, and i mean, Student democracy and student council and student elections and student "governments" in schools, or, Class Traitors. Often, Children in student councils or student governments that I have met have been dismissive, corrupt, teacher's pets, moralists, age-chauvinists, anti-youth, "mature for their age" narcs (their rhetoric is similar to grooming victims but, the main difference is, they are not groomed. They say that out of a hate for youthness and a love of their own self, and I say this as a former gifted student (unlike many gifted students, I thought the concept of gifted students was rude, ableist, cruel, and only worked to divide and conquer and create child-narcs-- Now i understand NPD is a disorder and Narc is a slur that I shouldn't say. I know I have said it a sentence ago, but forgive me.).), and immitate teachers. Democracy is ableist, everyone knows, and as far as I know most children are disillusioned with politics before ever even entering politics, and rightfully so. Usually, only a minority of students, usually the kind to think of themselves as mature and liberal / democrat, vote, and vote for status-quo upholding students who hate their class. Every Student Council cannot bring actual Youth Liberationist change because 1. They are subjected to Teacher and Upper-management restrictions, I was kicked out of the election for my speech (which was a satire about the current rise of conserative nationalism and how it is clearly a distraction from the real oppression people suffer from, and the entire election i wanted to commit myself to was a satirical one, every student I spoke to understood the satire and claimed to want to vote for me, and after i was kicked out, said they would have voted for me if i was able to run.) by elitists, and too, any Youth Liberationist student elections would bee subjected to elitist cathedralization and censorship preventing actual revolutionary change. If you advocate for the abolition of schools, you WILL be kicked out, so why try? Elections are Undemocratic and so no change could come from elections even if they weren't ableist. 2. Democracy itself is ageist and Bigoted, Reactionary and against any real change, it makes everything cathedralized and moderate, it reduces living revolution

into a ploy, a game of the rich, a LARP (politicians are paid LARPers), where no change can be brought. Democracy, all democracies, when not 100% ageist, are usually age-chauvinistic and against actual absolute youth liberation. If democracy is reactionary and cannot bring change, if Democracy is ageist and against youth liberation, how can you bring revolution and youth liberation through it? 3. Government and order, any hierarchy, any rule, any statist, any state, is against Youth Liberation and its naturally (post-left) Anarchist spirit-- Any government will turn reactionary, any anarchist knows this, and cannot be used to create real change... Too, youth liberation is anarchistic and cannot work under any government (government stems from patriarchy, to expand my point), and requires absolute liberty and freedom for the children--- despite my critique of Bob Black, his systems and theories seem like the most similar to the ideal state for children, though not perfect. Children cannot be free under any state, any economy, or any nation. Children are anarchistic at heart. CONCLUSION: If every defining feature of student governments and councils, the shitty "student empowering" false-youthlib teacher-made system that has become pretty common, are reactionary and against the youth, then I say that not only is it impossible for youth liberation to stem from these councils and governments, the social-fascist class traitors of the youth, voting in these councils and governments actually leads to further divide and oppression of the Youth. Voting itself is reactionary, we all know, and especially reactionary in de-facto totalitarian school student elections, so why do it? True change is illegal to the School-state system, it is Secret Societies, it is Insurrectionary, it is revolutionary, it is against and never compromising with the oppressors, it is the absolute radical solution to the oppression of the youth. Democracy, even student democracy... councils, even student councils... Governments, even student governments... are against students. Like the worker's councils, like the worker's democracy, like the worker's government, so the Student's councils, the student's democracy, the student's government, all lies against the worker, lies against the student! If one is going to partake in Student elections, I would only say to you, do it satirically, make a joke out of it as I attempted, point out the obvious humor and insanity of the Student elections and its totalitarian one-party (the teacher's party, the vanguard of the students de-facto!), make fun of it in your participation and find in it a way to bring fun, entertainment, and laughter to your fellow comrades of your class. It can be funny if you try.

On Post-Leftism and uhhhhhwhatever the fuck ultra-leftist anarchy idk hhh ughh yeah:

i feel sick. I will begin with Evan Jack's A Farewell to Post-left anarchy, write my thoughts here, then I will read 5 published books by bob black, then I will get back to you. okay.

Evan Jack's A farewell to post-left anarchy(

anarchy 101 & Anarchy after Leftism, both by bob black(

1. Organization can take any form in sees fit, dogma and rigidness is unacceptable for the ultra-left

2. roles are a sign of hierarchy. None will be had-- in a way not contradicting principle 1\

3. ...is equal to Cathedralization

4. Ideology and truth are tools for me-- and the eternal revolution is under me, it is mine

5. Affirmation of Self-negating "identities" leads to their abolition, it is not the "affirmation" of those identities that is done in the cathedral or by the liberal

6. work is to be destroyed alongside value

and

1. Leftism has always been counterproductive because they always look for and work through escapes that always do not work-- it is a failure

2. no dogmas / no truths / no ideologies / no reason that is above me... It is my tool

3. Morality is nothing. Morality is a tool, self-negating morality (revolutionary vs reactionary) finds itself useful for me but it is not over me, or else it itself would be reactionary

4. whatever you want to do...

5. Self-negation is to be affirmed, liberal "affirmation" is sublation, negating self-negation, a "sin".

6. abolish value, abolish anarchism, my anarchy before all---

(

added later to further add my point, Bob Black's "Notes on "Post-left anarchism"", 2015

1. My "Politics" or what I have called "real politics" has always, if i am wrong here then at least has now, been anti-political. Traditional Politics, or, modern politics, or, any politics you can see on the fucking news or something because it is hard to worrd this in my opinion, is fake, and is obvious to see is fake, and has no real potential, not even as a leftist "escape", nothing. Leftists escapes are also potential-less and lead to nothing.

2. See: Necrophilic Orgies

3. See: Evolution as Devolution. Technology, as I see, is a natural part of Evolution. I see Evolution and Devolution as the same thing, and Devolution and Evolution as the same thing, therefore neither are good options. Technophilia and Primitivism alike, the same thing in my eyes.

(

extra

4. Organizationalism is reactionary, as I now see (Past writings suggest otherwise, but I have learned in my reading of the post-left), and this is clear in the non-seperation of means and ends, or, the unity of means and ends as the same thing. Organization only leads to organization. Neo-Platformism, and platformisms like the Noveltists have, fail because of this very reason.

5. Same as above ^^Means and ends are united as one thing, meaning the same thing, and Vangaurdism fails for this reason.

)

)

)

Bataille... Evan Jack's critique of Bob Black using Bataille and Bob Black's own definition of Post-Left anarchy is actually extremely good (as in, i like it). I have geenrally critiqued Bob Black before for trying to abolish labour/work without abolishing value and life----- I said previously that, if value and life still existed, if necessity and value-of-life still existed, people would value necessary play more than unnecessary play AND necessary Play would gain a coercive nature to it, "Play or DIE", a consequence that gives it value, and so both the player and those in harmony with the player would pressure the player or a player into doing said "play"... and because this non-con play is valued and also necessary and also, again, non-con/coercive, then it is work. Also, Harmony is not in line with Stirner's associations as far as I know and care, if bob black wishes to be accurate to Fourier. In Evan Jack's Critique (Evan Jack, a farewell to post-left anarchy), it uses Bataille's Play against Bob Black's... It can only be play as minor play because major play, better play, lacks temporality (I do not believe in and wish to abolish the concept of time) and teleology (this, too.). then, Evan Jack (with A farewell to post-left anarchy) punches me in the face with a critique on stirner... now the last portions of my book must be read before this portion, therefore defeating my point in putting all the stirner stuff at the end of my book-- well, either way, I do not idolize Stirner and must move past him-. .... oh, It in the end just calls the individual, the unique, the " ", an institution, and i assume wishes to abolish it...? Well then, now I have reason to call for the abolition of the Self/Unique/Ego/self-oppressor/I/You. I do not accept Bataille solely because, for me, he bores me, but I accept his critique with open arms if it surprisingly aids my anti-egoism/post-egoism.... Abolition of " ". When I said that, for the longest time, I hate myself but I love Me, I was not kidding. You don't know me, so you wouldn't know I say that. I wish, truly, to Abolish everything, and so, leave nihilism, absolute nothingness, the only remaining thing. When every outside thing is dead, then, like suicide, I take out every inside this. I burn my psychosis, my world, my self, and me. I die a gruesome death,, a pleasureful death, and finally, I fulfill nothing. All value, even existential self-value, is gone. No ego, No institution, no nothing.. organs with no body and no organs, or, nothing. Nothing at all. I abolish all Identity, then I abolish all behind the Identity, behind the mask, behind you. I end everything. Evan Jack in A farewell to post-left anarchy says "The self itself is identity", and he means, the self works just like identity works in idpol, selfpol is idpol--- and so, post-left anarchy fails that critique... Then, I, in order to remain consistent, support the self-negating " " until it hangs itself, metaphorically, and that " " Is I (or, if you agree with me on this doctrine, it is you.. that doesnt mean literal suicide though, because that does not end the selff or " ", it immortalizes it amongst other institutions. You have to destroy all other institutions and then give yourself permanent ego death, then with that, life will be abolished-- you will

not die because, like schrodinger's cat or however you spell it, you are neither dead nor alive, but you do not exist.). aaaaaaaaaTHIS IS UTOPIA, or, since no identity exists, this IS! YHWH! No name, no identity, no existence, this is Hyperborea proper, it is nothing. Absolutely Nothing, FEmininity. I HATE THE SELF>>>> for my ego, I denounce my ego, I actually kinda missed hating on the Unique... Glad I can do so once more. DEATH TO ME!! metaphorically. unless you make it painless. Evan JAck tells me next, with A farewell to Post-left anarchy, of the italian anarchists who, in defining anarchy, defined it as, in my system, Reactionary. Individuation.... maybe instead of reading bob black, I read bataille, because, truth be told, I only knew him for the Nick Land book... yes, boo me, I know. MY anti-egoism had come from LANZA and my own conceptualization of self, but here, In Evan Jack's a farewell to Post-left Anarchy, do i find bataille's critique of self as "a prison". Trvth. "cut off my head! (ibid)". Then... I have work to do. Stirner, in the end, was not radical enough a nihilist because he allowed himself to be oppressed by/as himself and not, instead, become without oppression, aka, decapitation, being nothing at all. WHen, all the way in palingenetic Anarchism I said "Democracy over Freedom", which I did not say but imply, as well as "Death to Freedom and Life to democracy", I imply it because Democracy kills itself, freedom does not. Freedom is sickness, virus, it is vile reactionary self-oppression, it is what the depressed hate--- and democracy, not "democracy" but democracy, is suicide. It has always been a question of nihilism. I will self-critique here, that, in palingenetic Anarchism, my "nothing" was mythologized, made into hyperborea and yaldabaoth and lemurians and atlanteans and acausality and femininity, but it was for the sake of palingenesis and, really, to get a point through... I do not believe such rubbish, in reality, I believe in Nihilism. Not Novatore's "nihilism" but true, value-less, god-less, thing-less, material-less, ideal-less, neither-negation-nor-affirmation, NIHILISM.

Bataille speaks on children as revolutionary (evan Jack's a farewell to post-left anarchy)..... will it be ageist or youth-lib? It is revolutionary, and youth-lib, as far as I care. On an old... thing I made, I had spoke that Children were undomesticated and, like animals, should use that to their advantage in the youth liberationist revolution--- this is why anarchy has always suited us children better, this is why youth liberation is rooted in anarchy and not communism, because we find ourselves wild, radical, anti-cultural (children, at young ages, when growing up, form their own ideas and forms of sociality and communications, their own.... sub-culture linked to their " " and their experiences before they were born. Culture, or, Domestication as Camatte calls it in (against domestication by jacques camatte, quoted in Evan Jack's a farewell to post-left anarchy), crushes these being-cultures, or, Unique-Cults- or, to shorten it, Uncults, --so that Culture

can use these kids as puppets for itself------ I get this from my thoughts on LANZA culturalphilistine transcripts/archive for smiggles.), and anti-work, or, we find ourselves past anarchy. We as children, I am 15 as of writing (4/29/26 3am), We as children are a revolutionary mass of non-humans, of non-domestic unmensh, of Monsters-- and we should, as we should, use that. "Childhood", when i spoke of making all beings have childhood in the abolition of age, I mean Uncults, that is true childhood. Every person their Uncult, this is the only way to truly get rid of youth oppression and age (and kinda probably end culture), and then every person will be abolished with their uncult. Then. nothing. in the abolition of Life and Death, we will all be neither. Evan JAck, a farewell to Post-left Anarchy, georges bataille, The sacred Conspiracy, "what we are undertaking is a war"... perhaps, an eternal war? An.... Eternal Revolution? Against Civilization, against Everything, nothing stands... I often do not talk about anti-civ because, to me, that is just any anarchism--- though I realize no anarchist actually goes against civilization, but sublates it. Evan Jack in a farewell to post-left anarchy claims Bataille is not an Ultraleftist, but he does this because Bataille is not a LEFTIST... I had, previously, said that "true Ultra-leftism" (i spook!), Communization-- the Eternal Revolution model, must not identify as the dead "Leftism" carcass, that leftist dysfunctional capitalistic spirit, and neither identify with post-leftists nor "fellow Ultra-leftists" of the LEFTIST kind, or, hyper-leftists like bordiga... Instead, the Eternal Revolution becomes its own thing, Nihilism, or, "The Ultra-Left".... Now, I split the Ultra-left apart between Nihilists and Hyper-leftists, and I say, what is Ultraleftism? I am a Nihilist! Then, bataille is a Nihilist indeed. i am not a leftist, nor a post-leftist, nor an ultra-leftist. This was Evan Jack's leaving of the Post-Left, and like-wise, It convinces me to leave the Ultra-left... In fact, self-criticism RIGHT NOW, I critique how I implied, no, directly called, Nihilism a form of Ultra-Leftism... It is not, It is absolute Nothingness, it is Nihilism. I am not a Leftist.

Redefining My program: written after the writing above this writing.

I do not care for truth

I do not care for specific, dogmatic, or rigid organization

I do not care for a separation of means and ends--- to me, they are one.

I care for absolute abolition, for Nihilism

I have my faith IN nihilism and Nihilism as my faith--- until I am abolished

I oppose Freedom

I oppose " "

I oppose Culture

I oppose Economy, Labour/Work, Value, and IDentity

I oppose leftism, Ultra-leftism, and Post-Leftism.

I oppose everything in the way of absolute abolition

I oppose everything in the way of nihilism

I affirm Nothingness

I am dirk strider

I am not serious, I will enjoy my opposition, my affirmation, my negation, my abolition

I enjoy my Nihilism, I love.

My Program does not exist, It has no Definition, Because it is Nothing

I will start to read bataille now and maybe probably abandon this redefinition

I have set my affairs on NOTHING.

Writings written while trying to avoid learning about bataille because he makes me repulsed:

0. nothing

1. On "NO! against adult supremacy" AND "Radeviance" (as of 5/2/2026).

1.1 Radeviance

all sources from Radeviance glossary (RG), AND Radeviance.carrd.co (RCC), anti-survivorism -- oppression of sexual abuse survivors (AS), Violation, perpetrator, and violator roles (VPVR), Behaviors, identities, and perceived deviance (BIPD), deviance-adjacent groups (DAG), Queerness as a deviant identity (QDI), Mapness as a devaint identity (MDI), Defining norm, deviance, and liberation (DNDL), all by Anemoen (Lecter A.A. Wierstamann). I may not use all of these and I write while I read. I will make mistakes and, hopefully, if this is called out, I will try to create a better critique with what I have.

"Radeviance is (...) an attempt to explore the meaning and the potential of Deviance - Deviant identities (...) and deviant presentation (...)" (RCC) deviance is "Orientations, including gender-based and paraphiliac ones, genders, neurodivergences, and more" (RCC). though, in RCC too, a deviant is defined as "...someone who does not have traits that are considered valuable from the point of view of the norm" (RCC)... what's a norm? "A norm in the social sense is a system that assigns value to traits and to those who posses these traits, writes and distributes roles, and punishes for deviating from these roles." (RCC)(*** remember this)... It is hard to deny the truth in the basic analysis here, but it is extremely lacking and empty, for a better term. They say that cathedralization (deviants accepted conditionally if they self-police and attack other deviants, RCC) is not "true acceptance" (RCC) and claim the "only way to create a better world is to deconstruct the norm" (RCC), and while, yes this is true, does Radeviance truly achieve this? Deviance as a concept reminds me of old Queer revolutionary definitions of just general queerness, not something only applying to sexuality and gender, but any "queer" or "strange" identity-- Radeviance claims Queerness not to be that old definition (not directly but by not mentioning this old definition and instead pointing to queerness as its own identity that does not include other societally-considered-"weirdo"-groups like Paraphiles, Trans-IDs, Neurodivergents, etc..) but instead the definition it has now, as "deviant SEXUALITIES AND GENDERS* (*that aren't paraphiles or transtrans/transcis/whatever transid-transgender mindfuck exists, and i say mindfuck here with endearment, I like mindfucks as it helps identity abolition)" (not a quote, quotations for emphasis), which only helps to affirm Identities in the liberal way, which is, to oppose self-negation. They also do not, in Radeviance, lay out an actually revolutionary doctrine or solution, but instead what amounts to, in my eyes, accepting and destigmatizing all identities and nothing else. This is to be placed in opposition to Identity-Abolitionists and other Radical queer (not radqueer) theories.With Radeviance, can Gender abolition, Sexuality abolition, Neuro-abolition, or, to use Radeviance's words, any sort of deviant-abolition, exist? if we affirm and celebrate all identities, removing the revolutionary self-

negating natures of the "deviant" identities (which, is a contradiction when one knows that Identity is a Role distributed and written by itself or others, but reproduces itself, and punishes those for going against it, identity also places values on certain traits... Identity is a norm), do we not just fall back into moderatism and liberalism? Sure, such a radical acceptance destroys the "norm", but in its place, it creates not absolute abolition, or, the end of all oppression (it does not create the Left's Utopia, or, what I formally called my Utopia before abandoning Leftism of all forms, but instead, destroys some and leaves an eternal contradiction where the some that were destroyed threatens to come back). Radeviance claims "no marginalized group is immune from oppression that is primarily designed for some other group", or basically in normal lefty terms, all oppression is linked together and one's liberty requires all's liberty.. Yet, self-oppression and the oppressive identities, the oppressive Spooks and so, to say, Oppression by the, basically the goal of radeviance, the anti-norm, remains. What is the Anti-Norm? It is post-norm normality, a rule of millions of Identities that, by nature, are linked to their own oppression and reproduce the old culture and norms of society. It is self-oppression made infested by and hosting the old norm, the old culture, and made into a Radical form of identity oppressing itself and the Unique. It is also the rule of millions of spooks in the forms of Identity, each person their own oppression by a spook, as they realize no uselessness in their identity and their identity feeds off of them like parasites. If men and women still exist as concepts, as spooks, as identities, and men (being made as a concept during the old norm and the old culture) is still what it is today (a concept made during, and containing remains of, the old norm and culture, which cannot be removed out of manhood without destroying the concept), and the same with women, then there is still, or, there still would be, a cultural/normal concept of heterosexuality as it is today, aka abusive and based in power dynamics, lingering in the minds of both participants of an anti-norm girl-x-boy relation, and, too, every man would have lingering concepts of masculinity and manhood in relation to women and non-binary genders that would be influencing their behaviors as spooks of identity. Same with women, but, in women, the self-negating feature of their identity (which I apologize for compairing non-binary genders to women due to their shared self-negating feature, I now understand they are different yet all oppressed byt the same group, like children and women, like NBs and women, are all seperate and united agaisnt patriarchy, their common oppressors... I affirm this difference now as this affirmation increases self-negation in each>) is gutted by Radeviance, which, like liberals, give a Cathedral "anti-cathedralization" affirmation which only leads to it being "Radeviantized" (or, cathedralized not to the cathedral but to Radeviance, the new cathedral). You cannot self-negate if your identity is no longer identified by you to be linked to your oppression, even if, lingering in your mind and in

you, it is. This leads to repression in the formally-revolutionary. I understand that, In RG, Queer is defined as specific to gender attraction, presentation, and identity, (RG), but this is not the case as Queerness historically has been used for just non-normativity in general. BUt, regardless, this can be held as a matter of Semantics, who cares if redeviance decided to ignore the historical definition of queerness, it is not like this will lead to cathedralization. I am used to usually critiquing each writing individually, and because that format is interesting to me, maybe I can partake after this paragraph. Radeviance here, too, claims to be for the abolition of all oppression (in what I desceribed above), yet, opposes the abolition of the age of consent. I am not Pro-contact, nor do I give a shit about pedophiles or pederasts in any real way, I am not opposed to them and I see their liberty necessary for mine but they are not of my concern. My concern is my class. I do not just want tthe "abolition of the age of consent", this is not what I call for, this is not self-negation itself, what I call for is the abolition of AGE. From the abolition of age, the ending of youth oppression by self-negation, the revolutionary answer to Newgon's stupid attempt to divide the youth liberationist movement into sects (UNITY BEFORE SECTS-- unless there are socdems and MLs as they are traitors.) about "contact and pro-c and anti-c" and whatEVER, only the abolition of age can liberate the youth and save them from patriarchy and ageism, alongside abolition of gender and gender binaries. When Radeviance says in RCC "The redical change of the society we're proposing does not imply abolition of the age of conseny (...)", they are trying to separate themselves from NAMbLA and the spiritual successors to NAMbLA, aka, Radqueers, of whom I hate. But, when they say this, they become hypocrites by associating youth liberation, true liberty for the youth, age abolition with child predators and so, like the anti-pedophilic pedophilic conservative, sexualizing the youth (Kids can be sexual, but they are not forever tied to sexuality-- sexualization is tying the identity of children to sexuality forever and nothing else, sexualization is "purity" or seeing age abolition as a "pedophile answer" or whatnot, sexualization is not me saying that, as a child I am sexual sometimes and partake in sexuality, and when I was in elementary school without being groomed or touched, I was still sexual and partook in sexuality sometimes, and that this is normal. I am not saying that childhood IS always sexual, as one implies by saying childhood lacks sexuality (saying childhood is sexual by being asexual), I am saying childhood, like adulthood, HAS sexuality but is not sexual or tied to sexuality.). They also, like cathedralized Radqueers, and like post-NAMbLA-ists, abandon the youth liberationists that NAMbLA "supported" by sexualizing then abandoned and ruined the movement temporarily by trying to turn into sects and whatnot, they, like NAMbLA, abandon the Youth-Liberationists and make their situation worse than before, THey, Like liberals, do not call for absolute Liberation.

Nobody cares about Pro-C and Anti-C---- if Feminism had pro-straight and anti-straight, no revolution would have even started!---- This is a distraction. Now, let me start on my Format. As far as I know, a normless world requires a lack of culture-- and a alck of culture leads to uncults which, truly, only make selves more oppressive-- so if I am to accept the empty-analytical concept of a Norm, it's abolition, a "normless world" (to reference a Lester post of Nnia.cc) would cause Unique-Norms that, as bataille could critique through Evan Jack (evan jack's a farewell to post-left anarchy), only creates a very oppressive self/identity that only reproduces normativity in a worse way for the "individual"--- the society, however, has no norm for now in this hypothetical. If an "individual" was without norm, without bias, without... value, would that not need self-negation, self-abolition, or, absolute abolition that would destroy everything? Norms ***(THIS IS WHY I SAID TO REMEMBER) assign value to traits and punishes deviance to roles violating these values, (RCC), and so, an "individual" without norms would have no way to value traits or reproduce roles, they could not have values, nor could they have loyalty to values, for anything they value or assign value to is technically a trait and loyalty is, basically, to punish oneself to not have a true assigned value to the trait--- a loyal individual punishes himself for not valuing what he is loyal to. Then, the "individual" without norms can have no Identity insofar as Identity is tied to Roles, Values, Norms, and Traits-- He has no traits because he values no traits (value here is more than stirner's value, as stirner technically wishes you to value yourself, or, your Unique--- to act for yourself, for your unique, so technically Stirner's Egoism is a norm, because you value your Unique's wants/needs/benefits.) and he does not value himself enough to opportunistically use traits to his will, he has no values because he values nothing because everything is a trait, and he values not even himself, so then he is a nihilist. He has no Identity. Identity has been critiqued by the Post-Left and Stirner enough, and Evan Jack's a farewell to post-left anarchy compares the Unique to Identity in a critique through bataille for the reason that Identity is now seen as an oppressive disgusting thing in itself since it is all linked to old culture, old norms, and oppression... And the self is oppressive in-itself. THen. THe "individual" is not an individual, he does not exist, he has abolished himself because he has no Unique, because the Unique IS a Norm..... Maybe Radeviance IS useful. It may supply my another critique of stirner. Thank you, Lecter, It's not everyday that a concept that at first seems empty and pointless gives me a defense against Saint Stirner himself! Thank you!

1.1.1 Radeviance as a critique of stirner

Stirner supposes the Unique as 'good', not literally as he opposes all sacred morality, but he supposes the Unique as non-oppressive. THis is because He is his Unique and,

supposedly, he is not depressed. You are, always, your Unique, as I am my Unique,, the basic concept is that the Unique cannot be anything describable and even when, for example, Stirner says even the word "Unique", he does not mean that, but something that this word signifies. The Unique cannot be described, It is beyond comprehension, because it is You. But... You cannot be described, but certain parts of yourself that you cannot alienate from your own existence no matter how much you try can be... TO take from Lanza, one cannot escape Value (CUlturalphilistine archives/transcripts) because everything, anything, all things, even non-thing, any action or non-action, any being or non-being, implies a Value to that. Lanza hated himself and could not DARE to do anything, to act, to balieve, to theorize, to progress or regress, to really be "himself" because "he" implied a value. Lanza's Unique hated itself because he saw in his Unique a Norm, which implied values, which went against Lanza's self-critiquing value of eulavism, and so, he hated himself. He saw in himself value. I do not support Lanza, I see who he is and I see who he died as. He was someone tormented by his value, by his Unique, he was what all of us repress in ourselves--- he died a terrorist, a bastard, a school shooter, he died commiting a hate-crime towards a marginalized group he hated, my group--- children. He was what we repressed uncovered in himself, and, I cannot say that it isn't autism because I hate my value and my Unique too and I am autistic too, but I do believe it isn't autism but instead something inherent to all being--- self-oprpession, self-hatred, self as a norm. The Unique is a system that assigns values to traits (your desires, your wants, your needs, your values, your ideas, you.), and the Unique also punishes itself when it deviates from these values and roles (Guilt, Remorse, Self-hatred, etc.). THe Unique is a Norm against itself, and really, it can never be FOR itself. Stirner tries to imagine a non-oppressive Unique, and he tries to do this by aligning the Unique with itself, aligning YOU with YOURSELF, but that fails. YOU will NEVER be YOURSELF. No matter how much you try, you can NEVER be yourself, you can NEVER even try to align yourself with you, because you aren't yourself, your Unique is not your Unique, the Unique is not itself. The Unique is a Norm, and the Unique is a deviant. The Unique is a religion and its heretic martyr. The Unique is both GOd and the Satan of evangelical christianity. Again, the Unique is a norm and a deviant, this is self-oppression. Because the Unique cannot stand itself as a deviant and it cannot stand itself as a Norm, because You cannot stand your deviation, and You cannot stand your normativity, you hate yourself, knowingly or not (Because the Unique is their own role and their own deviation of their role, they are their own value and their own anti-value, etc. etc.). You are a Norm, You are a Deviant, and so, all people are Deviants, only "groups/identities" are not deviants, and "Groups/Identities" of any kind are Norms, and Spooks, and all things oppressive. We are all Deviants to ourselves. We are all oppressors

to ourselves. So then, why continue to torment ourselves by affirming our own existence in a liberal manner, such as Stirner and Radeviance does? Why be, following Stirner, a Unique Liberal, or, an Egoist, who tries to align the Unique with itself (as Humanism aligns the Unique with the Human, Socialism aligns the Unique with their Class, as Religion aligns the Unique with God/Religion, as Nationalism aligns the Unique with the Nation, etc. etc.), and why not abolish the Unique itself? Absolute abolition does not mean that we treat, like the Humane Liberal and the critical metaphysicist treats Criticism, abolition as a god or absolute, It means to simply stop. To simply abolish everything, and so, abolish with it Abolition as well. It means nothing survives abolition, not even abolition. Criticism cannot critique itself, abolition abolishes itself when nothing exists to abolish anything... Criticism keeps its critics alive, abolitiob does not keep the abolitionists alive, John Brown dies all the same. When all has melted away, when nothing exists, then nothing exists. Stirner, who had wanted to unalign the Unique with everything as to truly liberate the Unique, accidentally fell for the trap of self-alignment, and self-assimilation. Stirner aligns himself with himself when, really, He is not himself. Stirner could not separate himself from the one enemy he loves the most, and the one enemy that coerces him the most, himself. I have nothing more to say and repeating myself does not help my case. Radeviance works as a good critique of Stirner, and a good critique of itself (when you take away hypocritical elements), but i will be critiquing radeviance as myself and not as Lecter, let Lester be Lecter and I be not-I.

1.1.2 Radeviance critique

I will be reading through and critiquing the 7 currently online articles about Radeviance, and, If my post on NNIA brings me any resulting extra information to critique, I will critique that in a section 1.1.3, or whatever of the like.

all quotes come from their specific article and all is written by Lecter A.A. Wierstamann. I do not hope to cause antagonisms with this critique, I just require stable grounds for adovcating absolute abolition and radeviance is an issue to overcome here.

--Defining norm, deviance, and liberation--

1. Already here, Wierstamann clearly can be critiqued from a Stirnerian position-- "The principles by which something is considered normal or deviant are connected to historical and cultural backgrounds of each particular society and humanity as a whole and DO NOT CORRESPOND WITH HUMANE ETHICS" (the capitalized letters are not in the original, it is emphasis. Most quotes with capitalized letters in this book are not in the

original but are for emphasis. I write most of these by hand, not all of them, most.) "(referring to deviant features/behaviors being "benign")... There exist important exceptions, such as taking someone's life, destroying or dtealing personal property, or sexual assault". How many spooks can we count here? Values being placed on certain traits, Roles being (maybe not WRITTEN nor DISTRIBUTED, but REJECTED and REMOVED, aka the writing and distributed of an anti-role), and a punishment for deviating these roles. THis is a Norm. This is also full of spooks. This respects "personal property", aka, a form of property is held sacred. This respects "life", or, life is held sacred and humanism to some extent is pushed with this statement. On sexual assault, I want to note that I am entirely against it (Like how Stirner, if he knew (assuming he doesn't) about the power dynamic of incest, wouldn't personally support it but would, as he did in Max Stirner's The Unique and its property, use it for his critique of the state and the "progressive revolutionary" (not his quote, but mine), regardless.) but will still have to critique this from a Stirnerian persepective... Lecter holds Self-Autonomy here, too, Sacred. One can oppose things like Sexual Assault and Murder without making Life and Self-Autonomy Sacred, as a PERSONAL opposition, or by reasoning in a non-religious way (without any sacreds). Here, too, Lecter sets up Microfascistic non-self-negating quasi-statisms, just like almost every self-proclaimed "anarchist" (if you ask me why I am not an Anarchist, I will tell you "Because no Anarchist believes in Anarchism nor Anarchy, but some weird Quasi-Statism and/or Microfascism, and so, I am disillusioned. I no longer am the anarchist teen, but instead, a really disappointed teen. Anarchism, or Anarchy, does not exist."). Is this the revolutionaries that the 'Deviants' need? The Religious Radeviant?

++

2. "Actual Deviant liberation would require destruction of the norm", I have already talked about how Radeviance lacks a true abolition of norm, and how, instead, like anarchists "abolish" a state, Radeviance "abolishes" norms by no revolutionary means nor by any real abolition. THey, also, do not go far enough nor are they consistent. Here we also see Direct parallels between the Unique and the Norm, or, confirmations that The Unique is a Norm--- "That includes deconstructing the entire system of what is considered desirable vs undesirable (...)", here, desire is brought up. If we destroy desire, the distinction of desired and undesired, then we destroy the Unique which needs desire and that distinction, because it is a norm. ABolishing desire is abolishing a Norm, desire decides what is desirable and what is not, so this quote wants an abolition of desire to abolish norms, and so, abolish Uniques... But then, what else happens? No Uniques, no Desires, No Norms also means No radeviance (and radevience is an alternative Norm, as we see in complaint 1 of defining norm, deviance, and liberation.). It also means no age,

no age of consent, no more such thing as "rape" (consent no longer exists and neither does violating consent), no more such thing as harm, no more distinctions of anything, no more life, no more property, no more self-autonomy, no more self, nothing. This abolition of desire, abolition of Norms, leads to something that Radeviance outright rejects in RCC (Answering potential questions, the fourth answer, as of 5/3/26). It also leads to an abolition of everything. It leads to, again, ABsolute Abolition, it leads to the territory-of-absolute-abolition that I have been advocating in this critique. I will bring up that later in this paragraph where i got the quote on the top of this complaint, it also says "As an outcome of this change, it still will be possible to doncemn nonconsensual acts against others' autonomy, but their existence will be approached as a flaww of the system, noy a character trait of particular individuals"... This does not make sense for a consistent and radical application of what Radeviance claims to want, you cannot condemn when you do not exist, and their treatment of abuse/assault tries to claim that all abuse/assault is a flaw of the system and not of character traits of an individual. Lecter blames the society and not the individual (well, to avoid the obvious problem of not holding individuals responbile here, he instead puts blaming society against blaming TRAITS, so that it seems acceptable), and so, not only erases abuse that could happen even in a "utopia" (even marx, anarchists and communists, and all different leftists admit that individual abuse still happened, happens, and will happen, outside of the state. THey still recognize individual evils) and so creates a utopian and not scientific utopia and erases the individual out of his abuse and misdeed against the person. He ignores individuals and only focuses on how one individual's actions are caused by outside things (and claims only by outside things) and so that outside thing, society, is to blame. Society is often to blame, however, so are individuals. Individuals can want to harm, they can desire harm, they can be against Radeviance's morality... THey can be deviant to radeviance, a radeviance-deviance. Is this not what Xenosatanists are an example of?

--Mapness as a deviant identity--

1. I'd like to point out the Heterosexuality of Pedophilia and how Pedophilia was incredibly accepted in culture and was just slowly turned into a priviledge of the elite patriarchs in order to hold power against other men and child predators... and pedophiles who do not offend and actually care for the child class. Here, Lecter bases his thought on adult supremacy, a concept that I will critique eventually for its oversimplification and inability to move further, and scapegoating. Here, Lecter does not outright say it, but he implies a shared beneficial relation between pedophile and child, though he is anti-c---he, like NAMbLA-ists of the past and boylovers, act as if the pedophilic interest of the child and prioritization of the child does anything for the child outside of being a "given freedom", or, for stirner, not a freedom at all (Max Stirner's The Unique and its property)

(I say this from my experience but usually when a freedom that should be natural is given to me by someone above me, it feels fake and disgusting. One should not GIVE me equality, then it is a fake equality given by an authority, I should TAKE equality, then it is enforced by me and is MINE.). The pedophile does not benefit the kid in treating then equally, this is something that the kid regards as something they should've had from the start, it is a pathetic "sorry". Lecter speaks of ADult supremacy in place of the patriarchy's oppression of youth, something that ignores the patriarchy and their inherently child-predatory (based in old cultural pedophilia/pederasty, see egypt and rome and greece) nature. Patriarchy oppresses children with sexual violence, with "pedophilia", like patriarchy oppresses women with sexual violence, with "heterosexuality", it is a parallel. He forgets that, to some extent, like how heterosexuality was used to oppress women (and even though most patriarchal elites are not heterosexual, they still partake in heterosexuality as an abuse). pedophilia was used to oppress children (and even though most patriarchal elites are not pedophiles, they still partake in pedophilia as an abuse), he forgets that children parallel women in the eyes of patriarchy because both are the subject of its abusive "love". This is another reason why Pedophilia is not something revolutionary to the Youth Liberationists, a gaining of equality means nothing from them, because entering a heterosexual relationship with a man who "opposes sexism" and so gives you equality is still not true equality or liberty, and so, the same with pedophiles. I understand that Lecter is against pedophilic contact, that he is simply saying that the pedophile treats the child better than other adults, but it's rooted in the same arguments that NAMbLA and other pro-c Pedophiles like the boylovers used... It still ignores Radical Feminism's critique of heterosexuality. The main reason why modernly Pedophilia is oppressed amongst the masses and praised amongst the Elites is hard to explain, it's like asking why the Elites abuse and take drugs and murder and whatnot yet stops the masses in doing those same activities... It's because the Elites cannot allow for their power to be shared--- and the power shouldn't be shared but abolished, just to point out. Like how many of the activities that defined Heterosexuality has been stripped from the actual heterosexual themself and instead scattered amongst the culture and societal structure, how heterosexual oppression left heterosexuality and became fundamental to society, Pedophilia left pedophilic relationships (though pedophilic relationships, unlike heterosexuality, has not been assimilated into liberalism and so remains strongly pedophilic... Heterosexuality now is lightly heterosexual due to liberalism, but still heterosexual nonetheless) and became fundamental to Society, in every single form of societal oppression, in every single atom of reality you will find pedophilia, it defines patriarchal oppression to some extent and the same with statism and capitalism, it is mixed with the justifications of oppression and finds itself everywhere.

Every oppressive structure in external society is pedophilic to some extent. So then, what of the Heterosexual proper? The abuser? The cathedral declares them a criminal. And the Pedophile proper? The abuser? Also a criminal proper... now, Heterosexuality became less proper due to liberalism and a cathedralized feminist movement, but Pedophilia lacked a cathedralized youth liberation nor was it assimilated by liberalism in a proper way, so then all pedophiles are seen as pedophiles proper regardless of their non-proper existence, regardless of their anti-c doctrines nor their non-child-predator existence, they are seen as pedophiles proper regardless and treated as abusers, as criminals. Therefore this deviance of the Pedophile is not due to being a deviance of the norm since it was born, it is not naturally deviant, and it is not revolutionary, it is special in the way that it is only deviant for the non-elite, the non-patriarch, and it is only (somewhat) revolutionary in the non-proper, the non-abuser, the anti-c.

++

2. Lecter criticizes the MAP-seperatist, or, the NAMbLA-ist, or, the Pro-C here with his talk of ancient greece and he vaguely implies it is not really pedophilic because no "actual preference for children" exists. This ignores that the early moments of pedophiles as youth-oppressors defined the term back then and now before lecter, such as heterosexuality was defined by straight men oppressing women and was until liberalism. Lecter is immitating Liberalism in this deviant-cathedralizing of terms into his program, trying to redeem it, trying to save it from its history.. this is impossible. As a child, I find the call for Pedophilia to be redeemed oppressive, like as a Trans Woman and a Radfem, I find the liberal call for heterosexuality to be redeemed oppressive. He talks about how pedophilia in ancient greece was oppressive and had an "age-hierarchy", a dumb term meaning, basically, it had a Patriarchal-pedophilic hierarchy. The term age hierarchy here is not appropiate because it was not just AGE that defined the oppressor, but also gender. No Women were part of the patriarchal pedophilic elites back in the start of patriarchy, even though women can oppress children they were unable to, because heterosexual pedophilic patriarchs were the ones with sexual power here-- they abused the kids and the women alike. He continues, speaks of the old abused young boy of greece as an underclass citizen and modern kids as house pets-- pets are oppressed differently to children but the comparison isn't inaccurate enough to get my attention. Lecter also speaks of how many Pro-C Pedophiles argue on a semi-cathedralized platform, as they usually cannot defend pedophilia that is not hebephilic, they usually oppose baby-lovers, and it was interesting enough for me to point out that, yes, reactionary pedophiles do tend to be more cathedralized to liberalism, as if they wanted to assimilate.... hm, now why? (/sarc). In this way, Pro-C pedophilia is a liberalism.

--Queerness as a Deviant Identity--

1. Not much to say, but I find it funny that he speaks on assimilationism (or, as I use to be specific on what is assimilating it and what method is being used, or, how it is being assimilated, Cathedralization) like this yet fails to escape his own cathedralized philosophical position, which he declared in order to go against radqueers and xenosatanists... aka, Supporting the concept of age is what I am referring to, but I guess some more things could be pointed out by other people. I am not other people so I have no care in pointing it out.

--Deviance-adjacent groups--

1. Nothing to say, it requires assumptions already made and anything I could say against it I have already said. Youth oppression is simplified but I can't hold it against him, "No! Against adult supremacy" has already simplified everything for everyone enough.

--Behaviors, identities, and perceived deviance--

1. "however we will not achieve the liberation of self without allowing people to identify with concepys they were not already given.", this is the only thing that I can really criticize proper in this entire essay, because It ignores the self-oppression and the oppressive nature of Identity. It forgets that Identity was made from Social Perceptions turned away from the general social perception itself, it still remains a self-perception that limits, controls, and oppresses you. Closeted people can exist in non-transphobic (non-gender-abolitionist, because real non-trasphobic societies lack genders, so in this hypothetical society, it is a contradiction where transphobia does not exist but gender does... dont think about it too hard) societies (which does not exist) because the self and life is transphobic in of itself. You (not YOU YOU, and any "YOU" I write after this is for emphasis, but this fictional you based on a specific trans person. Every trans person's experience is unique and different. This is just one of them.) identify yourself and your identity with you, your gender, your existence, your sex, "you". When you find that you are someone else (which, regardless of being trans, the Unique is never itself, but in this case it's taken from the depths of the unconscious and thrown into our face via gender), that is not just something traumatic due to transphobia, it is traumatic due to the realization that YOU, the concept of you that you have made up, this you that for the longest time you believed in, was nothing but a lie. YOu realize you are not who you thought, the you that you (and others) have loved for so long, you find a fear of the unknown, of your own self-deceit, you feel anxious. Do you really want to become someone else? There is a fear in becoming something you weren't before, despite it happening every microsecond of the day, and Gender Transition throws it in your face. The Fear often finds itself represented in the tearing apart and reconstruction of the Genital (I am being metaphorical, you do not need bottom surgery to be trans but it gives a good metaphorical, a good psychoanalytical feel to my words), where you become

anxious for the new genital, the new form, and scared of losing your old genital, what you used to believe was you. To forget your past, to physically end your past self, it can be scary, it feels like dying, but it is just another birth. None of this is even to do with transphobia but a Fear of losing yourself, even if replaced with a new self. This is not to say that identifying is bad, and I really did get lost in writing and forget my original point... so i digress. The Self/Unique, Identity, and all these other Norms as we have already declared them, are oppressive either way and cannot stay in a normless world. it must be replaced for nothing, it must be abolished.

--violation, perpetrators, and violator roles--

1. This is true. I will give them that.

--anti-survivorism -- oppression of sexual abuse survivors--

*1. First off, this is not a complaint so i put a star before it. Newgon's anti-survivorism, thank lecter for this term, is originating in NAMbLA's anti-survivorism which is rooted in defending child predators by all costs as a 'pedo-liberationist praxis' which in reality hurts pedophiles and children alike. I wrote about this in on radqueers x2. the term does fit and I will use this if it comes up in the future.

----FINISHED.

This is not as long as the noveltist critique because.... there's only 7 and it's shorter and not as bad/reactionary. I do not have many complaints, I feel as myself personally.

1.1.3 information found on NNIA.cc and whatever. It is lacking

Lecter in a post on 2/15/25 claims to want to formulate what a normless society looks like, and also suggests a disconnection between feelings and intentions. Unfortunately, this does not make much sense to me and seems like something that would be psychologically damaging or, basically, repressive. Surprised he didn't think of self-abolition. the first ever post on radeviance according to fedilabs, 12/1/23 by lecter, is just an excerpt of existing articles. I will still say that Radeviance in-of-itself works as a norm too, seeing as it calls for needs, morality, and undoing normative biases (or, replacing old normative biases with new ones that are radeviant-- or, replacing the old norm with the new norm (funny x/twitter show hehe)). The specific Article may be the Radeviance.carrd.co writing, now as I read it. as of 5/3/2026, Lecter has said that Transhumanism requires some form of norm-deconstruction and so is related to radeviance in some form... Technology's growth is not something to be encouraged, it is parasitic andcauses an evolution/devolution that threatens to destroy itself and cause mass death-- abolition of technology and abolition of the primitive is necessary.

1.1.4 Dialogue with Wierstamann (after 5/3/26) (clickbait-- this is probably not the dialogue with wierstamann sorry to disappoint but such a dialogue takes time i do not have.. it was meant to be but I am being rushed to release this book before the chance most likely. Sorry again. --5-26-26)

starting at 5/3/2026, this is an nnia.cc post/reply dialogue with Lecter A.A. Wierstamann. I will only write this here if I have permission and, If i do not, I will remove this section. I keep this here for now so I can remember to write it. Since as of now this is my latest writing, I wish to also take time here while Wierstamann prepares his dialogue to speak of how the critique of Radeviance has effected my view of my own absolute abolition (which is not and cannot be summed up to being an "ism", it is not an absolute abolitionism as that prevents abolition by being an ism)... Mainly towards rape and opposition. I made the same mistake that wierstamann makes in his inconsistent opposition to Xenosatanists, that was my now self-critiqued inconsistent opposition to Noveltists, and so In his inconsistency I see reason, I see disgust, I see the same moralism that I felt back then-- and so I see something that needs to be critiqued. There is a difference between Opposition and ABolition, and while many claim that abolition requires opposition, abolition is both the ends of abolishing and the means of abolition (the ends are the means and the means are the ends) and so, Abolition only acts as a quasi-opposition but is never opposition. I oppose nothing because opposition refuses to abolish, I abolish everything instead. When one opposes something, they make it a taboo (here i am influences by tears of eros, the fourth chapter of benjamin noys' georges bataille a critical introduction) and so, since transgression and taboo are infinitely linked, they affirm it as something sacred and affirm that it will and must be broken by transgression a million times (not to be "destroyed" by transgression as transgression does not end the taboo but affirm it), and so, opposing Rape in this way affirms that Rape is Sacred and so Rapists will exist, you Reject it and so make it Sacred (Sacred is a nice way of putting what Benjamin Noys speaks of in his Georges Bataille a critical introduction with the "celebration or rejection" as the same... to celebrate or reject still makes it sacred, you must leave it as not something sacred at all, do not celebrate nor reject). So, to make Rape not sacred, to abolish rape, to stop rape, is to, like lanza speaks, devalue it (Culturalphilistine). Not like how lanza wants, which is this utopian idea of just not seeing rape as serious, but by dissolving that which makes rape so horrible and bad, the source of most suffering, aka, self and boundaries and the sacred itself, identity and autonomy and the Unique, or, to abolish everything that makes rape "rape". I know rape is a serious concept and that this may come off as insensitive, in fact I felt guilty (due to my oversocialization) writing this, but no moralism can stand in front of absolute

abolition unless it helps absolute abolition. Rape being abolished is a good for most moralists, the means may seem contraversial but also necessary for the abolition of this reactionary, harmful, evil thing that has caused and maintained the oppression from the patriarchs and racist whites and rich to the minority and unhuman and poor and working, rape causes or at least is used to maintain a lot of the forms of oppression around and so abolishing rape is a main concern for revolution and a oppression-less world... including the abolition of "world". Wierstamann means the best but in his opposition to Xenosatanism's reactionary doctrine he creates another reactionary doctrine, sometimes the negation of bad is not good but another form of bad. This is the case for wierstamann and xenosatanism (,)(/)(and) I and noveltism. This dialogue is meant to be a short summary of the critique, if after the critique / book is out Wierstamann wishes to critique the critique, this section should not be seen as a representation of his critique of my critique and more of a summarized dialogue that lacks the specifics that a critical dialogue would have. This is because it takes place on NNIA and not, like most dialogues, irl. A critical dialogue would find itself difficult. maybe just a normal critique of my critique would be better..? I don't have much time.

1.2. NO! Against Adult supremacy by Stinney Distro(???)

Really, all I have to critique for this book is their lack of historical and critical Youth Liberation Analysis, their creation of the extremely-simplistic and dualistic concept of "adult-supremacy" (Which does EXIST, but is more complicated than that), and the fact that, as far as I know, it is not written by Children, it feels like a male feminist writing about feminism--- one doesn't know what they are saying. I don't know if it is just a failure of anarchism as a whole to create good historical analysis, because I swear some anarchists make good historical/critical analysis, or because nobody (especially not Youth Liberationists) are concerned about writing ABOUT youth liberationist historical/critical analysis. And I understand that Praxis is often more important than theory, but theory is really important for understanding the enemy, the solution, the self-negating nature, the oppression's nature and methodology, and more. It is not enough to just prove Pro-Youth-oppression arguements wrong via science and modern proof and reasoning/logic, it is not enough to critique the arguements of the statement, one must critique the statement itself using historical/critical analysis and showing just WHAT the oppressors are advocating for, and that is Pedophilic abuse in socially accepted forms. One must not just critique the words of the oppressors, or their theories, or their ideology, but the oppressors themselves. One must not engage in the arguement but prove that the opponent wants nothing but misery, suffering, abuse. NO! Against Adult supremacy, for what I've Read, is not too good at actually critically/historically analyzing the role of the

youth in society, nor their oppression, nor their oppressors. It fails at actually criticizing the Oppressors and so just makes do with their words. It is really only good as a revolutionary text to give a basic introduction and that is it. I believe that Youth Liberation does not need an introduction, knowing the historical/critical role of children in history is enough to make them desire liberation, because it is torture. Truly, the only issue is Age-Chauvinism and No! Against adult supremacy doesn't go over that either. I understand that making a critique of Age-Chauvinism finds itself hard because the concept is, honestly to me, absurd but real. It only exists because they (by which I mean the age-chauvinists) find the liberation of all youth to be absurd, and I find the concept of total youth liberation being absurd to be absurd, so it is hard to logically critique something that is rooted in just a disbelief in the non-existent absurdity. No matter if one says it is necessary, the age-chauvinist just doesn't believe, and says you are "absurd". What logic is there to the age-chauvinist (cough neo-zapatista twelve year olds cough)? I digress. Other than those few things, it is certainly a book. That is all.

_____END OF 1.

2. Lanza short writings

Lanza to me is interesting. Not many people know about this side of him, this theorist who, because of his own being, could not dare to be. He was someone who wrote but could never get anywhere, he was a masochist not in the sexual way but he could never hold his contrarian positions back from harming him. He did not cut himself as far as I am aware, but psychologically he harmed himself more than anyone could. It's sad he wasn't for humour as he wouldn't have ended up dead if he was. If he could just laugh at what terrifies his psyche and tears at his eyelids, forcing him to watch as, to him, the "baby-raping orgy" begins (Culturalphilistine transcripts). He lived a life of disgust and fear, then, he became disgusting and feared. He hated even the concept of harm, he was a vegan, he was against culture and anything that hurt people, he hated what he saw in the world, and as he aimed the gun at his head, he was all those things. He became a terrorist for a culture, he became harm, he became what he saw, because he saw no solutions and only could see himself, his hatred. Regardless, it's important not many people take an interest in him, only a few are allowed to like his theory until it becomes an appropiation of his works-- and his work is torn away from his life, even though it MUST remain together, he is nothing but his life. Lanza is a mistake. Lanza is a mistake that gave us the solution to those same mistakes. He was not necessary, every time I bring him up I cannot ignore that he had created unnecessary bloodshed that disgusts and repells me, He was not necessary nor was he really anything but a freak. I can't reject him, I can't even possibly celebrate his existence (Benjamin Noys, georges bataille, a critical introduction).

To me, he remains a Trauma I cannot repress because his concept is so important to me but not even that can I celebrate. I am stuck between hate, fear, disgust, and interest, relatability, remorse. I feel guilty for what happened, I didn't do it but his crime lingers in my head regardless. It adds to every time I quote him, I feel. It's something that adds to the meaning and, if he were appropiated, that would be lost. The sense of guilt would be gone. Then, you lose many of the meanings you could get from Lanza! The guilt and hatred, the shame in relating to him, the fear of people like him, the fear you could die any moment because of a new Adam Lanza of this world, that feeling gives outside meaning to his text. I could not express it, I would not. But this would be lost. I feel responsible for his death by writing this, and, Because he was so disgusting and scary, I do not feel guilty for killing him. I fear him, not in a respectful way but in the most disrespectful way I could, he takes the form of a savage creature to me, a freak, a mindless incel murderer who, in his madness, wrote something intelligable. That is what Culturalphilistine is to me. I laugh at him, though, and his pathetic attempts at speech, because he is nothing but a mindless murdering entity lacking any sense of being, a creature, again. He can't even say a word now that he is dead! I hope to spit at his grave.

3. an even shorter topic about politics and philosophy

I believe in nothing. Politics gives the promise of a release, of an orgasm, but it holds you back by only ever promising. You get nothing from it. Only here and now, outside of politics, can you cum. If you try to force yourself onto politics, you only end up dead. So then, why bother? If your desire can only be achieved outside of it, then destroy it. Destroy the distinction between politics and the outside, let it all be nothing, and you will see that only then can they have no reason to give you your own desire. They only have this power over you because you are either solely political or solely outside, and never non-binary. there is a revolutionary denial in the Enby, a Gender-abolition that is from a different desire and position to the Womanly Gender-abolition, as it is based not in self-negation but instead from an affirmation of the NON. Non-binary is an identity of non-dialectical negation, or, non-identity in terms of binary and identity in terms of non-binary, its affirmation does not negate any specific thing but threatens the Binary from sheer coincidence, the Binary feels threatened at something that does not care for it because it DEMANDS hegel. Non-binary ignores and affirms itself, and so, gender degrades. Then, with no binary, non-binary finds itself in opposition to itself, the last remnant of a "binary" where everything is non-binary, and so ends. Likewise, a non-binary to Politics gives you release. Politics is stupid, It is just fucing liars and swines thinking they're advocating for an idea but never actually having or instating or being or doing that idea. no anarchist believes in anarchy nor anarchism, and they're meant to be

the less "politics proper" beings. Even the Post-leftists aren't post-leftists, how stupid! Politics is all Identity, and so, It is an enemy to me. Identity is to be abolished. Likewise, Philosophy is for truth. What truth is there for me? I do not care for truth! Truth is a falsehood, a delusion! I eat truths for breakfast! Philosophy finds itself to me as the activity of cultists looking for a truth. Stirner, neither bataille, neither nietzsche, neither lanza, neither many others, were never philosophers. Philosophy implies something sacred, it implies a religion. If I don't even believe in physics, how can I believe in Meta-physics? To return, I do not propose political democracy nor any democracy that actually means democracy. I have used, and use, democracy as a signifier for anything I want it to mean, and typically, it means anti-democracy, or, the death of democracy... Democracy to me means, actually, the abolition of democracy and nothing more. I hate Democracy.

4. Self-criticisms in the name of

4.1 Cuteculls

A community must communicate with its outside, with the foreign body, it must not be a community at all in that way... It must be formless (not without form as that is a form, but intercepting form and without form as to have no form at all metaphysically, headless). Benjamin Noys, Georges Bataille, a critical introduction (this entire 4.1 is based on that book, and so is 4.2). Cuteculls fail because they turn fascistic without being formless, they must be made formless or they are nothing but a fascist cult. They purify away from the self but replace it with the cult, the cult must be replaced with nothing instead.

4.2 Meowcoms

A nation, likewise, cannot be a nation. THe Meowcom finds itself as a quasi-nation claiming temporary "anarchism" which it knows as fake... Is this not still a quasi-nation, something that closes itself off from everything else, something with borders? a revolutionary Meowcom must not be a nation, must not have borders, but like the cutecull, must not be entirely without form-- formlessness has form (as antifa cannot be purely without fascism, or that is a fascism, but it cannot have fascism in it or it is a fascism, antifa always falls into fascism) in it but not as it or around it or giving it. So then, the Meowcom must be formless in the bataillean way (well, bataille will be misunderstood by me no matter what I do, so s remember that much). It must not even be a quasi-nation but it must communiccate with the foreign body and all outside it naturally. A palingenetic feature is still demanded by the revvolution for its antifa characteristics, however, but that nationalism cannot be nationalistic nor palingenetic in a way, it must be in interaction with the outside. Benjamin Noys, Georges bataille, a critical introduction. Nothing I wrote in 3 matters. In fact, anything I say on bataille in

this section is not a full understanding (full understanding never exists, but you understand what I am trying to say) as I have yet to finish all the books I have gathered on Bataille, in fact, I am still on the first. Revolutionary path has never meant literal revolution, it could take on any form, but now, to me, it is irrelevant. update 5/3/26 I am having troube finishing this book due to events irl threatening me and my abilities. This may go unfinished, hopefully not. At least, I think , I may not be able to finish bataille due to this. I only have a month or so left, and i want to reach 200,000. I'm not even allowed to use the laptop i am using for this. ----as of 5/8/2026 this has been sorted out. I do still have limited time. I am allowed to have the laptop used for this.

4.3 my former critique of Primitivists....

I had, in my former critiques of the primitives, which really were more-so handwaves, used teleology, that sin, to argue against them. I regret this, Teleology would destroy me. So, instead, though i previously have Invoked unabomber, I now Invoke Lanza and Feminism. This will be short, Lanza does most of the work for me in Culturalphilistine transcripts/archive. Primitive society is not anarchistic, it remains with hierarchy, an unjust one, and through their patriarchal set-up (well, most are patriarchal as far as I know, in which I mean, the existence of gender implies the oppression of women in any society, I am not talking about the patriarchal nature of tribes (most would agree that tribes are quasi-states and so not anarchistic) but instead just how the existence of gender implies an oppression of women (and children as age generally exists in these societies too, age implies an oppression of children) and a growing patriarchal system, even if not fully developed or made.), a proto-statism or at least a micro-statism reaching too far in---- Too, it remains the birthplace of culture, a form of the evil collectivism that makes oppression, and still oppressive to children and women. Culture is very linked to Primitive society, this much is obvious, and collectivism causing hierarchy is simply explained by how individualism cannot bring a hierarchy unless a collective is made. Primitive life is still cultural, it is still with a self, with a patriarchy (most of the time, if not all), with youth oppression, with speciesism (this is not necessary to explain, all of human history is marked with speciesist tendencies.), with discrimination and bigotry, so, without Anarchy nor Anarchism, and, it must be abolished. of course, I should, too, complain about Technology... Not much to say that primitivists have not already, it is a parasite that forces our dependency on it and makes more misery amongst us, it creates more, not less, labour and threatens to kill us all in climate change (which, I'm severely sorry in my former celebration of climate change, I do not celebrate it anymore, it is reactionary in nature (too, i no longer state a human nature, what a speciesism.)). Though, I am distinct in only one way, I see technology as nothing more than part of evolution, and a natural part of evolution, and so instead of blaming directly technology, I find that

Evolution is the root of the issue instead, or, as I say in Palingenetic Anarchism of Hyperborean Ideology, "Evolution is Devolution", as I believe. The more you evolve, the more you devolve... this is not to say to go back, because that is still an evolution and a devolution, this is to say just stop. No more running, just simple slumber. No more evolution, just sleep. This is my solution to technology and primitivism, a lack of motion and an abolition of the present, this along with, and a part of, absolute abolition. One must get pretty-quickly tired of me saying absolute abolition, I believe, but it is something i will say a lot. I do believe that much of Palingenetic Anarchism means nothing to me at this point in time, I fear reading it again solely because it confuses me, and it can easily cause me to write a large critique against it, wasting even more of my time than i already have on self-critiques, of course I disagree with my past and of course my future will disagree with me, because these are, technically, different people, and nobody is the same, every one is unique (liberals ruined that phrase with their "self-help" nonsense. Though, most societies use suicide as a way for eugenics--- Canada to rid themselves of the physically disabled or ill, and America to rid themselves of the Queer and Mentally Ill or Neurodivergent---- they all claim to be against it through endorsing 'self-help' that is nothing but gibberish and reactionary lies. True self-help is stirnerian egoism, or taken further, abolition of all misery). The end of this book means nothing to me right now because it isn't even the real end, and as I reach the end of my patience for my former doctrines, I renounce much of what I formally wrote about-- For example, what the hell does Organs without a Body without Organs even MEAN??? It does not match with the conceptualization, or lack there-of, of the abolition of Self, and It is inherently just a contradictory mess-- along with any sort of Maoism that is not purely Aesthetic, for outside of shang-wu-lien, I find no interest in Mao at all at this point, he is a reactionary conservative who promised revolutionary non-escapism to the people and instead went to an escape. Gonzalo is worse, an ageist and speciesist hate-crime commiter. The only concept I can truly claim to believe in, which itself hates itself as a concept and wishes its own death, is abolition. Nothing else, unless tools for my path, matters--- meowcoms and cuteculls are tools but, really, if the means and the ends are the same, then I cannot use Meowcoms which (are not the ends, according to my past self), not cuteculls which are reactionary and so cannot be the ends either. Many tools are proclaimed to not be ends, but if the means and the ends are one, then they are not means, or tools, either! They are nothing to me! Abolition is the only means and the only ends, because it is the only (ization). I always thought, when I saw theorists like Bob Black and Wierstamann hold their philosophical systems back, that they were scared, maybe fearful on how their ideology could destroy itself (this is written before the DIalogue with Wierstamann, to clarify) or lead them to implications that were too much for them, but

nonetheless scared... now I see that it's just hard to properly and consistently apply your system-- other people critiquing you is necessary because you aren't going to be able to perfectly critique yourself, and so, I do not fear critique (if the critique is about inconsistency) but accept that critique and fix my writings once more--- this is not to say I will accept, or at least not defend myself from, all critiques, just that many critics may as well functionally mean the best, even if they don't "internally", because they help to fix up holes and inconsistencies in one's own thought. I clearly had many inconsistencies in my writings before and I am sure I still have many more!- like, maoist nondialectics to me finds itself as meaning nothing and being nothing, yet I use it later on in this book for no apparent reason, though right now I am denouncing the system of nondialectics as nothing! I do not fear for where this could take me, in fact, i enjoy the confusion.

4.4 "revolutionary culture"

what the fuck was I saying? Had mao zedong fucked up my head that hard? NO CULTURE IS REVOLUTIONARY, no culture is "better" than another, all cultures are BAD and should be abolished! This is like the anarchist (anarchism's only true enemy is anarchism itself) supporting Cuba solely because it was in conflict with the USA... all states are to be abolished, all cultures are to be abolished, all economies are to be abolished.

Learning from Bataille (read this after the final writing, after the on post-leftism writing, and after Redefining my program and others. Honestly, I learned not much. I suck):

he is incredibly hard to understand.

I have finally gotten to tears of eros chapter (4?) of Benjamin noys' Georges bataille a critical introduction and I find it funny how bataille is, as far as I can interpret this, self-destructive in his philisophical approach.. His concepts are, and are meant to, destroy his philosophy in this way, he defines sovereignty and that definition destroys his definition and so on, he finds himself always against himself. Maybe this is benjamin noys' bataille, the only other bataille i have tasted other than nick land's a long time ago and evan jack's not so long ago, but i find it tasty in this way. Both men I can truly use to critique Stirner

and destroy him, The Bataillean and Radeviant approaches, they seem to destroy themselves whenever they are left to themselves and, with bataille it is on purpose, but with radeviance it is so much more simple and useful, it is a great way of analyzing and deconstructing everything as a reactionary "norm" or system. Everything is destroyed accidentally with wierstamann's touch, and Bataille does it on purpose, finding it humorous. I wrote this before the dialogue with Wierstamann so apologies if any new information denies this conceptualization of radeviance as good critique and ungood ideology, as a tool. Either way, it all leaves me with a glorious nothing, with waste, trash, a nothingness that i appreciate in its denial. I do think an end of history has been achieved insofar as history is the history of "leftism" against its old decaying escapes for its new proto-decaying escapes. There are no more escapes, and so, no more history, Leftism is dead and so a leftist "history" is too. Only a nihilistic rage against everything, pure abolition outside of history (unless it wants into history and abolition of all in), pure misanthropy, can fight now. And fight against nothing, its own idol, its enemy, itself, it is self-destruction. It is beauty. Nothing is also abolished by abolition (abolition even abolishes itself, again, to clarify), or, the distinction between thing and nothing, or, the defining outline of nothing will dissolve, therefore not even nothing exists, not even abolition, there is no statement I could say in this language or any language to describe what the end of abolition would look like, because the end is abolished in its entirety with the means and the start as well, the only way i could even try to describe it is through saying "not even nothing, not even less than nothing" (less than and more than and equal to will all be abolished, alongside lack and surplus). Oh well, it is not even an ideal. It is not even anything, it just is not, or, "it isn't". It isn't impossible either because it abolishes possibility and impossibility, so not even that, it is not NOTHING because it abolishes it, it is not even itself because it abolishes itself.

I have finished the book. Nothing to say.

((Bataille, unfortunately, finds himself not just cathedralized (which, to some degree i still believe he is not fully cathedralized. Maybe i'd say he's been fully cathedralized if his book was referenced in a netflix or hollywood movie. That's one of the shooting points of the cathedral, i'd say), but turned into a necessary part of the vital blood of capitalism and culture itself. He was birthed by a (not-so-far-away, or, near) future capitalist existential crisis, which is not to say deconstruction or a capitalist self-negation/self-destruction (I got bored and do not know where to put this. I will put this here. This: (i like shrimpo and i think that Dandy's world gives a good example on how Social Constructs grow, how Microfascisms about those social constructs begin to rise, how it creates taboos and so leads transgressions, how taboos enforce transgressions and transgressions enforce taboos, and how all of these things ruin an experience. Nowadays, however, I don't think

anybody cares about extractor/distractor discourse but while it was happening it felt like a good way to explain how social constructs are made... and how Hyperstitions work (qwel and pebble). I never liked the inherent reflection on real-life society that the dandy's world fandom gives me, but it is useful sometimes-- that being said, the fandom does help to understand bataille's words on transgressions and taboos and their intertwined, linked, destiny to support each other under the guise of "opposition"... it affirms eachbother while pretending to negate. I point here to tiktok ragebaiters and the puritan (inherently cultural, extremely microfascistic, ageist, often homophobic and paramisic, they discriminate, are bigots, and justify it on the lines of psuedo-progressive ideology... I say that the way toodles and gourdy (gourdy being one of my favorites as well) are treated in the fandom is very much a reflection of how culture/politics treats children generally, and I also believe that the way deadtoons treat toodles and gourdy is just as ageist, cultural, and bigoted, too, often either in stereotypes, sexualization, or dehumanization... to a point, all of these things also describe the puritans' treatments. Really, fandomized fiction (in which I mean a fiction being interpreted by the fandom, as fictions interpreted by their authors or specific individuals can change and leave behind bigoted and stereotypical understandings, and fictions can be rooted in said leaving behind in the first place!! Fandomized fictions, however, tend to lose their abolitionist conceptualization, if they even have one, and stick to a cathedral-adjacent understanding of the fiction) can only change and leave behind bigoted and stereotypical understandings once reality leaves behing those same understandings, and so, I find that I do not care about fandom discourse as much and see that most fandom problems can be solved in more general, "political" (which, i really mean anti-political), solutions, like abolition. mainly abolition.) main side of the fandom, in my pinpointing to a real example of this happening. It is not a perfect 1:1 model but it is similar enough in my eyes to point out.)), but in fact the opposite, a capitalist disillusionment of Capitalism. I've spoken about maoist nondialectics and, to some degree, i regret it, but i need it again to describe bataille's birth here. In maoist nondialectics, an antagonism is caused by a clashing of contradictions (contradiction here is difference, a unique difference for each thing that is unique to that thing and not in relation to anything (in relation to everything)), where one needs to end the other to survive and, likwise, the other needs to end the one to survive. Though more forms exist, as one needs to end the other to survive but the other needs the one to survive (this I'd call a simplified version of what one thinks of in capitalism-- proles die because of the rich and the rich live because of the proles, this is what i'd call marx's corruption of (though this is more about kojeve, everything is ALWAYS about kojeve and we call him hegel) hegel, though marx links mainly to hegel and not this, because hegel implies mutual dependency) is one example, i think the first is most

important here. Mutualism, or, Leftism to give it a more appropiate name, still fights against Landlordism, or, Capitalism to give it, too, a more appropiate name, and this dynamic is "capitalism" at all. The reason why i call what i formally called mutualism "leftism" is because fundamentally mutualism's evolutions match exactly with my description of the leftist spirit, the men haunted by leftism always are of mutualism's evolutionary form, and leftism and mutualism's evolution are intertwined so much that it is not inaccurate to describe it as one of the same. This also means that History proper starts with Capitalism, in which we define History as "The story of Leftism", which ends today with the death of Leftism due to the closing of any escapes, since leftism strives off of escapes. And likewise we see today the rich plotting economic genocide against proles to match the death of leftism, what shock! Landlordism is also Capitalism (capitalism in capitalism) because this Landlordism is the sons of the old Landlordism and so must be distinguished. Feudalism and tribalism and primitivism and everything else is also a Landlordism, the feudal lord is a landlord and too is the tribe leader a landlord but only thr Capitalist is a Capitalist-landlord, or, a historical landlord. So then Historical Landlordism translates to Capitalism. I return to my main point, Capitalism requires the death of Leftism to become what it wants to be, and what is wants to be is a "general economy", in which I mean what contemporary hyperpsychosis has created by appropiating General Economy into "general economy", and if Capitalism cannot achieve that then it will rot away from its own cancer. Leftism, likewise, requires the death of Capitalism in order to become "Utopia", or, "Hyperborea", and this transformation is necessary for Leftism to survive as under Capitalism it will decay from its material conditions... because leftism is utopian, it looks for escapes, and it never truly defeats capitalism, so it rots as it does now. Capitalism, however, controlling hyperpsychosis and making science its property, finds itself scientific and so able to genocide leftism... and, in this moment, it has a break. Capitalism (now) births bataille (in the past) in order to create itself now through Georges Bataille's The Accursed Share and all the misinterpretations stemming from it (including georges bataille's own in the same book, see Benjamin Noys' Georges Bataille a critical introduction), and so, Bataille is a tool of a hyperstition he fought against. Bataille runs through the veins of modern day capitalism and yet finds himself nowhere in it. Capitalism now hates "Capitalism" and seeks "general economy", and to achieve this, like the scientific socialists, or, "marxists" (ml), it goes through a transitionary state/economy to suppress class struggle, called "Neo-Feudalism", or, 21st century fascism. This takes place amongst the united orange capitalists as Dengist Neo-Feudalism and amonst the Top-of-the-world rich assholes as NRX Neo-Feudalism. Either way, we are fucked, and Bataille is nowhere to be found. "general economy" is still twice removed from real "General Economy", and real

"General Economy" (by which i do not mean General Economy, but the concept of General Economy or the conceptualization of General Economy in modern Hyperpsychosis... we do not know it and I don't care to know it!) finds itself nowhere in russia and nowhere in the rotting corpse of "Leftism" (again, Leftism's lack of revolutionary potential is what now disillusions me from the idea that just misery is enough to make a class or group of people revolutionary... the myth i made that misery makes revolutionaries forgets that misery can also make copes, copes like the huge cope labeled leftism, that escapism that controls almost all groups and classes under misery... Misery makes potential, but only an actual understanding in abolition can make that potential revolutionary, and not the leftist "abolition" or "abolitionism" that is nothing but escapism but actual abolition. In this, I find that maybe my own class, the youth, may fall for escapism if not disillusioned by leftism, even if it illusions them to a Cuba-infected Post-leftism (which, I will give them credit for all their non-fourierist ideas. I do agree on the abolition of technology (and, I say, abolish nature (Nature must be abolished. Unlike primitivists, I understand that everything is natural, as, we are natural, and everything we do stems from nature and everything we use comes from nature. Everything primitivists call un-natural is natural.Nature is "absolute" (i laugh here), as schellings would believe, though I say that solely in saying that, in russia, nature is the ultimate dictator. Nature is not only the mother of all oppression, all suffering, all misery, it is the totalitarian fuhrer, the Gonzalo-ist ("maoist") Chairman, of all Oppression, all suffering, all misery, all life-- it is the head of yaldabaoth herself. Mother Nature is a cruel, evil beast, and must be slain. Technology is not the negation, or antithesis, or even enemy of Nature, it is, like futurism to culture, or liberalism to conservatisn, just the modernizing and futurizing extension for preserving Nature. Transhumanism preserves nature just-the-same as Primitivism preserves nature, and so, i must reject both, as nature itself is a totalitarian bio-culture alongside a literal culture itself (biological culture, or really, instinct and biology and whatnot, which works similarly to culture-- too similar. Humans have their bio-culture in nature, and too, wolves have theirs, and these are limits to despise. Limits that cause misery. Also, Bio-culture and/aka Nature itself is transpeciesist, and so, transphobic.) that threatens any existence of an abolition of misery and suffering. Nature must be abolished, and so, I must reject any technophilic or nature-worshipping ideology as nothing but mistaken reactionaries. Technology cannot abolish nature because it is a part of nature, in fact, it is nature and nature is it. Technophiles and neture-worshippers are one in the same being--- a primitivist and a transhumanist, really, together, makes two nature-conservatives, two nature-preserving conservatives, two reactionaries, in a room. Conservatives conserve nothing but suffering and misery, and this is not me trying to redefine conservatives like the noveltists try, I mean in the sense that conservatives

conserve culture and traditions (a commonly agreed upon definition for conservatism), and to me culture and traditions are forms of suffering and misery, conservatives then conserve suffering and misery. I do not seek to redefine words for my own sake, mostly because redefining words does nothing for my sake at all.) as well), and I do agree with most Post-leftist critiques and replacement-ideas of leftism.) instead. Children (and, I say, I also mean Lumpen-Youths... Young gangs, illegal collectives, runaways, delinquints or however you spell it, or, basically youths that have already realized the antagonism of the system and decided to work, in a way, "outside it"... they already have the desire for liberation and, in this way, are more accepting of the revolutionary youth-lib spirit... I will not treat Lumpen-youths as marx treats lumpen-proles, they are comrades to me and I find them more understanding than many youth in my school today. They understand the struggle and act upon it, even if not in a revolutionary way, simoly trying to act upon it implies a desire for liberation and so must be appreciated as such. Typically a rise in Lumpen-youths represent a rise in youth class consciousness.) understand the hatred for labour, the hatred for work, the hatred for spooks and spirits, the hatred for authority and moralisms (what you "MUST" AND "SHOULD" do), children understand the failures of leftism and how its rot has affected us (youth liberation failing for like 50 years or smth (I blame this on the lack of realizing anarchy OVER leftism at that time, making the youth, an anarchistic class/group, try to fit a leftist mold, and so failing. Let us not try to mold ourselves into anything but what we already are.)), and so, post-leftism may be the best path for now until the post-left "anarchists" turn fully into castroists), and the reason why I say the rich want "general economy" (a rejected and celebrated bataille, an appropiated "bataille") is because one must wonder what happens to historical landlordism-- no, post-historical landlordism, once Leftism is dead and gone, once Neo-feudalism fulfills its genocidal purpose, what do you get in a post-historical world where only Landlords exist? ---later- Surprisingly, since I have just finished Benjamin Noys' Georges Bataille a critical introduction and Benjamin Noys's Georges Bataille's base materialism, i find not much to say about bataille. He, to me, does not give me much to say. This is probably my own fault, as most things are.))

extra writing:

All is not "meaningless", that is a cold nihilism that implies all is meaningful in its "anti".. a Nihilism of the Absolute Abolition does not care whether meaning exists or not, solely the abolition of meaning or the delusion of meaning. I don't say any longer that nothing exists, i do not care if anything exists, i only care for abolishing everything or the delusion of everything. This is not about the existence of it but the abolition of it, its death. I do not care between idealism or materialism, i believe (that both idealism and materialism are both forms of hyperpsychosis, and so, I believe solely) in hyperpsychosis

(that unstable constantly-changing mass-delusion) and i believe in abolishing hyperpsychosis for that reason and the reason i've already stated. The world is what 'i desire to be abolished'. To a certain point i have grown entirely disillusioned with new democracy, and absolute abolition has no time for the national-elite nor the mother (who sticks to her power in a patriarchy (which is little but she seems to grasp to it like life support... it is a reactionary grasping that seems to oppose the path that would truly liberate her-- anarchy)). A problem I have with leftism is their belief in utopia-- the concept of a world after where no oppressive system, or, suffering/misery exists, I see this as an impossibility because to destroy all suffering/misery is to destroy the world and everything in it, and so, no utopia (note here tha utopia means the same as "anarchism", but not anarchy. Anarchy falls for the same faults but in different ways, but noting that utopia and anarchism are both the same thing here will make other things i say here repetative, and I'd like you to know how annoying I am through telling you directly.) will exist after that, only nothing. Utopia is a utopianist (cough cough do i think im smart saying this? Hopefully i realize the obviousness of such a statement.) concept that ignores that suffering/misery is caused by everything, and so, utopia is just as dystopian as any other world-- they look for escape, they look for escapes that, in true honesty, is inconsistent and flimsy, hypocritical and half-assed, escapes that can be summed up to being a strawberry-flavored version of modern society. All society must be abolish, and with that, anarchy must be abolished, anarchism must be abolished, Communism and Communization must be abolished, any economy, any commune, any noneconomy, any of that must be abolished, Anarchy is-- an impossibility, there are no true anarchists and there is no true anarchy, there is no such thing as a world without misery, without suffering, only nothing lacks suffering/misery. In this, I say that not only is life suffering (culturalphilistine / adam lanza transcripts), i say everything is misery. Every existing thing is misery and, what anarchy and anarchism alike want is every existing thing without misery, and so this is an impossibility-------- then, I say, abandon every existing thing and abolish misery, then, anarchism and anarchy alike are both moderate idiocratic systems (or, "anti-systems" or "non-systems") thag achieve nothing due to its nostolgia, or, its love of the world. I hate the world, then, because i hate misery. Misery is so inherent to life that no living being can say honestly that they have never been miserable. Everyone has been miserable once, even the most high, the most unhuman, the most powerful of elites and patriarchs, of richies and statists, have felt the internally wrecking feeling of misery. The happiest utopian on earth would shoot himself six times and fail (ironically, i said this in reference in saint-simon the sufferer and not a happy utopian. A happy utopian is Charles Fourier, who, according to 'hakim bey' in 'the lemonaid ocean & modern times', wished for a continual orgy (if Fourier was a Necrophile, I am sure myself

writing Palingenetic Anarchism would have instantly and readily converted to fourierism.. In fact, if i knew about fourier at all while writing Palingenetic Anarchism, I would have named it "Necrophilic Fourierism" and identified as such, I am sure!)--- that being, in the sense of Harmony, a Sexualized, an attractive, a (harmonious/playful) life, which sees itself always at risk of failure without an abolition of life/death distinction and necessity, which fourier fails to give. In fourier's failure, he finds, in himself, a Cuban Utopianism that forgets to account for their posadistic opposition. In this, I take J Posadas against Fidel Castro, and yell and kick, declaring nuclear warfare and an asexual castration for the almighty eternal orgy of corpses-- no more living continous orgy of life, no more hypeecultural coercive "harmony", only dead, phallus-failure (the estrogen transfem dick that just stops working, asexual in nature as it can never cum but so it only ever wants to try and achieve what it can never get, ever read snuff puppy carnage society by snek remilia ketter, blood machine, and jane gorelove? Puppygirl acceleration) death orgy with corpses (Necrophilia is the original Sexual attraction where "Reproductive Sexuality" was born as a perversion out of.. Reproductive Sexuality became Heterosexuality and, too, Had their perversion in Homosexuality, which became closer to Necrophilia's Lesbianism than Heterosexuality's abuse-ism. Necrophilia is the core of all libidinal action), never releasing and never ending, and nuclear warfare, annihilation of this world for perfection, to make the world beautiful (and kill Yaldabaoth, a Godless world!). J Posadas laughs at Fidel Castro, tells Fidel that killing his gay lover brings us no closer to utopia, that a Harmonious Cuba (a Hyper-Utopian, Guevara-modeled, Cuban regime) will not happen as long as the Posadists stay as Marquis-De-Sade's schizo-autistic lost children, nuclear declaration. J Posadas declares war on Cuba----- The Outer declares war on Harmony----- for the sake of erradicating leftism (Fourier's Utopian Social-Fascist dream, The great Harmonious aeon, meets itself with the nuclear chaos-loving Posadism. Fourier cries, "you pessimist, you are mistaken, Harmony and Utopia is possible on earth and it is coming, it always has been! God has promised us the path to Perfection!", and Posadas yells back, "And so what? Harmony is cruelty, and Utopia is Hell, Long live the annihilation of the human species! Teleology dies as alien invasion becomes more probable than the scientific inevitability of Communism, and so, Alien Communism kills marx and your pathetic human 'harmony'! Who cares about God's path, why not enjoy the misery and infinitely multiply it, become misery itself, and orgasm to your own corpse! Hail Chaos!". Fourier stares in disgust, and whispers under his breath, "Harmony must rid us of such anarchists...". Likewise, Fourier once said to J Posadas, "Why do you hate so much that you wish death upon the whole of humanity?", Posadas only responded with "Because it is the purest expression of my love", and both concluded that the other would die later today. Posadas, the lover, finds himself not anti-nuclear but,

really, the most enthusiastic advocate for nuclear warfare and actual annihilation that the world has ever seen, and Fourier, the pathetic old grumpy man who sticks by his love for God and pleasure and Harmony, is alienated from the true new aeon, the anti-aeon, of a nuclear-winter in russia where nothing survived. Instead of an ocean of lemonaid and the antartic being warm, the ocean is deadly and every place is in a permanent winter, never to be saved by any Harmonious living... Aliens find the planet and simply move on; they are wiser than fourier, they understand that death is the only true harmony, the only true communism, Harmony and Life cannot co-exist. Life and Harmony must both be destroyed, therefore.). 'Fourier' is the last dying breath of Leftism's rotting body, it is the spores from Leftism's escapist nature as a failure attempting to, and successfully (as far as bob black goes) doing so, infect Post-leftism with its cancer. Fourier, play (which, Bob Black says In Withered Anarchism, is a Synthesis between work and play ("The synthesis of work (production for its own sake) and play (Activity for its own sake) is what I have long called, and long called for, the abolition of work.", Bob Black, Withered Anarchism)... This, to me, seems not an abolition (see: coercion) but instead, as Bob Black says, a Synthesis (Hey Bob Black, I actually think that the Production of Use-Values is part of the REASON Work is like that-- It's not a "good part of work" (Bob Black, The Abolition of Work), but instead, part of the many roots to the problem, and as the communizationists claim to want to abolish value (they never do), I take it further, and say that, in the name of abolishing Use-Value Bob Black's Play is murdered for a REAL abolition of work!)! It is a synthesis as corporatism is a synthesis of the rich and the poor, aka, a masking... A masking of the rich behind the idea of the poor, or, Using the idea of "rule of the workers" to push "rule of the elites" in a form where the workers cannot reject! Work takes a new, hidden form, Play, not "play" but Play, and in this, stays Work but cannot be objected to, it is hidden, like social democrats--- or, social fascists, in terms of the corporatist economy model, which I agree with MLs in saying Social Democracy is a Social Fascism, because I am not a contrarian pointlessly. An abolition of work that is true must not synthesize work to get rid of it, but simply Abolish it, by affirming Play as Suicidal. Evan Jack in A Farewell to Post-Left Anarchy speaks of bataille's Play, and honestly I could not tell you one thing about Bataille's play, but I can tell you that the play I am suggesting is not synthesized with work or corrupted by work, but affirmed (negating work) to the point of suicidalism, it is solely play and lacks any coercive element from work or life or value. This "fourierist" (I say it like this because as far as I know, only Bob Black has described it like this, Charles fourier has not(?)... A critique of Castro does not signify a critique of Marx, Castro is not Marx, Castro is different to Marx, maybe "better" in this metaphor (where castro is bob black and marx is fourier) and not in reality (where castro is castro and marx is marx). I will be reading

writings by fourier as I have finished with the few Bob Black books I could hold my focus to even read. Charles Fourier, as far as I know right now, is not the subject of my complaint. (I do find that he is ageist, speciesist, and anti-semetic alongside a few lot other things... In selections from the works of fourier by julia franklin and Charles Gide(? its hard to read the title is blurry as a pdf, sorry if i am mistaken). In this ageism of trying to perfect children, get rid of their natural anarchy, and submit them to the culture of harmony, I find not only a state in this cultural practice (what else is forced submission to culture) but also a leftist hate for anarchy, and an anti-youth sentiment which is made even clearer in fourier's stereotypes about the youth. Harmony, a Cultural dictatorship of the fiume kind, is still very Oppressive in its culture and replicates the state through culture, it wants submission to harmony-- Harmony is antithetical to Anarchy here. Harmonyy tries to do away with what it cannot assimilate, for Children/Anarchy, it tries to do both. It tries to assimilate Anarchy/Youth while doing away with it, it tries to ignore, to synthesize, to suppress, like corporatists. Children do not want to play a productive coercive play, they wish to have fun without Coercion nor Value ("Productivity" and "Use-Value"), because they know use-value kills you inside and spreads like a canceer, they know that work assimilates play, they know that harmony is not anarchism, they are wiser than Marx's Harmony. While Fourier finds no need for the physical violence characterizing the state, and in this way, seems very anarchistic, he still lives in the statist method of coercion and grooming, violence towards autonomy and selves, in order to push Harmony--- Marx's quasi-state is a state not because it is violent traditionally--- it is not--- But because it is violent to autonomy, it is based in coercion and grooming, manipulation and the erradication of consent, and in this way, it remains statist after the state, or, Beyond the State. Fourier is, basically, a post-violence statist. He still wishes to erradicate Khaos, Hostia, Naos, the Anarch, even if he does it without violence. while bob Black, at least, like guevara to marx, rejects (as far as I know) almost all of the reactionary, capitalistic, conservative, and anti-revolutionary ideas of fourier, he forgets that Fourier's attractive labour, later made into bob black's Play, is rooted in these same reactionary ideas (though Bob Black's Play does seem different ever-so-slightly to fourier) and itself in the original theory proves compatible with the existence of class (inequality, misery, suffering, property, and more included... though fourier may have promised a trnasition of individual property into common property... this is still property regardless and it has a transitional period like the marxist delusion.. Maybe even a little like chomsky.) and, in fact in his promises to the rich, more wealth!! Implying economy, implying work. Fourier, really, is worse than Bob Black on this degree, he is far less Radical or Revolutionary than Bob Black makes him, because like all the coward philosophers of the past, Fourier refuses to take his anti-civilization anti-work ideas to its

consistent application (probably due to his love of order and hate of anarchy)... Bob Black attempts this, but fails because of his want to live, his unwillingness to die a (good) death, and so, blocks his consistent application by falling back into synthesis, into fourierist inconsistency, into failure. Then, let I say in my rejection of Fourier, of Marxism, that I apply fourier's system, Marx's system, to a consistent degree-- Destroying Harmony (J. Posadas: You wish, my dear lover fourier, to destroy suffering and perfect pleasure... when you do this, you either destroy pleasure or perfect suffering... I, instead, wish to perfect pleasure and suffering by destroying them both, together united in death!), Destroying Utopia, nihilistic joyful play. Fourier contradicts himself and Bob Black follows. Regardless of this, most of fourier's original economy before Bob Black was highly more capitalistic and not concerned with misery or suffering, with inequality or oppression, with even anti-work, but instead with harmony. Harmony, to me, to me harmony is nothing but the annihilation of anarchy on a mass-scale, without violence (that violence would bring anarchy, annihilating anarchy requires no violence, but other means) but with manipulation and grooming (let me point out the existence of children and patriarchs, even if seemingly "beneficial to eachother" and "spoiling" (neither of these are quotes I read, and so, are not quotes at all.), implies and enforces the oppression of the Youth and the continued liberal-affirmation of Age. Also, Fourier's system is opposed to children naturally, as seen previously. Remember that I, obviously, am not a worker. I am of the Youth, and as far as I care, Me, My liberties, and My class (the best way to achieve my liberty)and it's abolition, is more important to me than prole liberation and the workers as a whole. I am not a communist, I cannot be a communist, because to a degree, I despise the workers! I mean, insofar as they fail, are reactionary, refuse to abolish anything (only oppose), and never achieve anything beneficial for the youth, they are useless to me, and in fact, a burden on/to me. They are annoying at best. Youth do not need to be fundamentally opposed to workers, in fact better that they aren't (see: critique of opposition), but they should (if they know what is good for them) be very skeptical. The workers are blind rats as far as I know or care, and us youth, though most of you also annoy me (sadly), are far more revolutionary and far less stupid! Fourier is, still, despite everything, a Worker's man and a Worker's Ideology, he is not for the youth no matter how much he pretends!), it is literally "harmony" as in, in the most leftist-fascist way, utopia as in homogeneity(?), or, homosexuality. I do believe nationalist-(syndicalist/post-syndicalist-)corporatism of the italian fascist kind, and Fourier's false solutions, share a common thread, in which I also add on social democracy (social fascism). Fourier is not less a dictator than Marx, but more a dictator! He is, and I say this truly, the hyperstitional mother of all Leftism, He birthed leftism as it was born way before him, but it was him, fourier, who birthed it. No wonder that, in leftism's death, fourier came in

spores to infect thee post-left, Fourier birthed the left and wanted more victims to hyperstitionally birth-- or to infect, and leave rotten! Fourier must be abandoned by any true, non-authoritarian, "anarchist"-- which is to say, Fourier probably will not be abandoned as no true anarchist, anarchism, nor anarchy exist. Fourier also makes one fatal mistake (outside of the main fatal mistake that birthed his system, the mistake in assuming that yaldabaoth is smart, good, and able to plan things out-- all of these things are most likely wrong, she is a dumbass, to put it in the nicest terms I can, and she has the morality of a self-absorbed autist (like myself) on drugs (unlike myself, i am not on drugs). Fourier is blindly following a blind kakistocrat who isn't smart enough to bio-plan the economy like fourier believes she is. Also... Central-planning is a historical failure, even when done by a God, the USSR would not have been fixed if YHWH or Jesus decided to become the next Russian/georgian Dictator instead... In fact, The Jesus-apostle-backed Commune of the Book of Acts was a pathetic theo-ML commune and, Fourier here, falls into the Theo-ML bullshit train, though not in the way the apostles of the commune did.), which is, forgetting that humans are sado-masochists. His Attractive labour based on passion, pleasure, is no different, according to Lanza's theory on sado-masochism (Culturalphilistine transcripts/archive, actually... No, one of his Smiggles posts), than the most wicked, criminal, and lumpen/anarchic of works, and too, no different from the most self-degrading, harmful, and torturous of works. All pleasure comes from pain, be it the pain of yourself or someone else, it will cause pain somewhere to feel pleasure. Fourier often ignores the anarchistic, violence, sadistic, masochistic, mentally ill, and "inhumane" parts of humanity, he is like a humanist but instead of degrading others for not being human enough, human as in their essence, making man a God, (feuerbach, Max stirner, the unique and its property) he instead simply ASSUMES everyone acts as feuerbach's human (feuerbach had not made his theory yet as far as i knoe, this is hyperstitional), he closes his eyes to humanity, and any crime by a human someone tells him, he blames on that wicked, chaotic, anarchic civilization, not the innocent humane human! Then, washing the blood off of the individual and kiling civilization, he pretends that humanity will now be his humanity, a harmonious humanity, and anarchy can just die outside of civilization... But, with the knowledge that pleasure is pain, pleasure comes from self-pain or pain to others, pain is pleasure, Harmony, the world of order and pleasure, now finds itself as the anarchic and painful hellhole! It cannt exist, it is what it hates, it is civilization made perfect, oh lord! Then, Harmony kills itself, anarchy lives on, because ignorance is only temporary bliss. fourier is teleological and makes many mistakes people critique in marx, fourier is only treated easier because his system or philosophy is not what they care about, nobody is a "fourierist", only his solution, *harmony*, is cared about. In which, I critique Harmony before all, because,

really, that is all people care about fourier (who also maintained the wage system, and so work, and so against play and attractive labour, and so against socialism and for class, and so capitalistic and like civilization. Fourier has a tendency to cower from his own radical ideas and revert back to reactionary and life-affirming and civilized ideas in order to stay in his comfort zone. He does not reach the natural logical conclusion out of fear, bob black only fears self-hatred, unlike fourier. I, at least, enjoy Bob Black' semi-consistency. Fourier (who, though I am trying to critique, is very admirable to me and quite a favorite... Along with the Bob Black creature.) lacks this and it defines most of his statist, reactionary, and Cuban-Marx positions. This is also why Harmony tends to be contradictory.) for. Harmony finds itself Utopian, contradictory, Reactionary, Unattractive, Statist, Cultural, and set to fail since the start, and while Bob Black's harmony is not Fourier's by any extent of the word, Bob Black has much more of my respect in his Harmony, it still is very Fourierist in theory and not completely free from the sins of his cuban and european ancestors... it is very leftist. Bob Black, supposed coiner of the term Post-Left Anarchy, is a leftist accidentally, and because of that, Post-Left Anarchy begins to become unstable in theory, contradictory, (no-longer/never-was)-itself, it's really falling back into the leftist escapism and has caught the virus of fourier. Fourier probably birthed leftism in creating the first Escape, Harmony! Harmony truly is the first leftist escape, forever cursing russia to suffering and misery, the death of revolution.)) synthesis of work and play as Play, the foundation of Harmony, or as I mean (in this specific case-- my use of this Cuba metaphor has multiple reasons and meanings when applied here, including a reference to Stirner), this idea of "ending an oppressive structure" being made into simply synthesizing the revolutionary path with the oppressive structure and calling that middle-harmony, that thing, the way, is what led to the Castroist and Guevarist regime in Cuba--- Guevara more ideologically, the Quasi-Fourierist insanity that still possesses cuba today, and Castro more literally, that bastard who killed guevara (according to posadists... really, guevara "died" to become Castro, so, Castro "killed" guevara like a man's new identity kills his old identity, in a literal faked death and a metaphorical real death.). Guevarism changed, metamorphed, into Castroism, because as Bob Black says in "defacing the currency, selected writings 1992-2012", specifically 'Zerowork Revisited', "I say that you can't abolish the state without abolishing work."... how right you are, Chairman Black, how right you are...((In Bob Black's Smokestack Lightning, in Friendly Fire, he says "If productive play is possible, so too is the abolition of work", I find this to be wrong. Productive play is possible, that much is clear, but the abolition of work is not dependent on productive play as bob black wants/implies, which turns back into work in the coercive lands of value and economy, but instead the abolition of said coercive lands. Work cannot exist without coercion if,

like Bob Black says in the Abolition of Work, work is "Forced labour, that is, Compulsory production", Because Work is, basically, Coercive activity, and coercion is based on values-- the main value being necessity, however nowadays majority of work is based in other values that are not necessity, however people still only work out of necessity, so the reasoning now is necessity to make it work but the work does not need to be necessary anymore, not like how work started, as necessary labour, the first form of work, the first coercive activity.---, and Play is ultimately just an activity, the only seperation between work as play is coercion, or, value. Bob Black in the abolition of work stresses the destruction of all un-necessary work and the transformation of all necessary work into play, so then, Play starts where work started, at the core of early economy, necessary activity. People, if Harmony is not a post-violent statist dictatorship like I believe it is (I am giving bob black a reasonable amount of trust here... fourier would want that Harmonious post-violent dictatorship (A state that no longer needs violence, because culture and coercive "attractive" labour, fordist-like "pleasure", grooming, and work under the guise of talent when really it is only for necessity, where it is much more statist than any other state because not even violence is seen and comprehended in this society so disharmonious rebellion finds itself impossible... a State without violence, yet still based upon, like all states, Coerced Consent (Coerced Consent is a reference to Bob black's the abolition of work and other essays, Lying in state --- and elsewhere, "our complex society, resting on coerced consent, has come up with modes of manipulation so advanced that falsification can be minimized, even eliminated without the truth getting out"). Harmony is Hell, a Fascist hell.), bob black only maybe.), will most likely still value their lives, which is to say, even if they try to make all activity based on consent and want, passion and attraction, sexuality, that coercive will to live will still introduce Necessity into action, therefore, the old worker still acts only to live after his illusionment with play has fallen, he still needs to live. Then, if people still work OUT of necessity, and the labour here is NECESSARY, and so the coercive element of work isrebuilt, the will to live and labour as the way to continue living, in what way is this not a coercive activity, a forced labour, a compulsory production? THey must work to live, and they want to live, they NEED to live, so necessity. Economy starts here, Value strengthens itself here, Work is resurrected.)I also wish to add that, If one properly reads Adam Lanza in the Culturalphilistine transcripts/archive, they find that you also cannot abolish the state without abolishing culture, because culture is inherently statist, microstatist, microfascistic (to a degree comparable to a small version of Fascism and Nazism), oppressive, hierarchy-based and hierarchy-producing, ageist, discriminatory, cruel, and a source of misery-- Culture, too, reproduces the forms of hierarchy seen in the state when not abolished, and arguably, gives birth to many hierarchies giving birth to the

sate, like how culture births patriarchy and patriarchy births culture. Bob Black defends culture quite a lot, for example but not limited to, since it is almost everywhere, Anarchy 101 by Bob Black and his alledged, I have no way to fact-check this but i would not be surprised, associations with national-"anarchists".)), is nothing but a delusional escapism that threatens to inspire Cuba once more, to resurrect Fidel Castro, and end any potential of revolutionary action after leftism. Bob Black's concept of a "post-left anarchy" (in which I mean his interpretation and not the general movement... really, not many post-leftists agree fully with bob black's solution (his quasi-abolition of work is a failure, like fourier's utopias). People who mistake bob black with all post-leftists are mistaken, Evan Jack does not do this even though it may seem like they do. Also, Camatte shows the thin line between ultroids and posties and, surprisingly, makes it thinner in his theory... in this, I find in the Ultra-left (ignoring bordiga and other "leftcoms" aka right-ultraleftists) nothing but an explicitly European post-leftism (see the Communizationists... I mostly refer to them in this example as they really are just European, Marxist, forms of Bob Black's Post-leftisms),, unfortunately Europe (here one could say it represents "marxism", but it represents a lot more than JUST marxism here) is not a good replacement for Cuba (again, one could say the Cuban spirit here could mean "Fourierism" but it means much more than JUST that, even if che guevara was very "fourierist" (in quotations, because I mean the spirit of Charles Fourier) in many of his writings), seeing as no difference matters for the oppressed individual "Cuban" or "European". Regardless, it is post-leftist madness that lacks any real strength... in which i mean it still connects itself to the concept of leftism, and so, seens infinitely tied to leftism and unable to reclaim itself as anything else. To truly abandon leftism, one must abandon the identity of "post-leftism", for it infinitely ties you down.... and Anarchism as a delusion, as a(n empty, meaningless) husk puppeted by the parasite called "Leftism", as a "concept", which really it isn't, more an anti-concept, must be abandoned. Anarchism is why Post-left Anarchism cannot simply abandon their relation to leftism, and so, it holds them back from "true anarchy", or, a lack of any utopia/escape, or, as acting with the revolution.) is especially Cuban in theory, and thankfully, it has not been as cuban in praxis. Thank(s, but) (/) (God), nobody needs another Cuban regime. Though, in Anarchy after Leftism by Bob Black (a good book, if he lets me say so.), I find "but i've often been tough on anarchists i considered authoritarian, dishonest, or stupid.", and I believe that if he were to truly look at himself long enough, he could easily deconstruct himself... which would be a mercy for many anarchists, but bob black is not very far from ending his cuban nature, only a good self-critique away.). Nothing can save us now. ...One thing that I have truly forgotten about and I must critique in myself, maybe this is apparent in this book maybe it is not but it is apparent when i look in a mirror, and I am reminded of it by Bob Black's Anarchy after

Leftism (just getting to chapter four (update at 5/12/2026, regardless of my opinion of bob black, his books are, at least, sometimes fun to read, especially when critiquing others. I do hope he lives for a bit longer.)), is the actual joy of revolution. I have forgotten to not act as some sort of soldier causing myself, for my cause, to suffer and to prevent myself from enjoying what I can, I have forgotten to act in the joy of knowing everything will eventually be burnt and liberated. I have forgotten to enjoy my life, or, more exactly, the abolition of my life. I believe this is important for everyone that they learn, like I must eventually, to enjoy. The revolution will not be Nechaevistic (in terms of the lack of joy and the structural self-misery of acting as serious men, lacking joy and playfullness, lacking childishness), it will be feminine, playful, joyous, and it will be fun. It will not be the strong masculine army but the feminine abolition rave! It will be the drunk catalonia and not the sleep-deprived (like an O9A beginner) spanish fascistic soldier, it will be the women with wine skipping around in circles (notice how organization of a centralized, or, hierarchy-based, or even, "democratic" (representative and direct democracy, along with parliamentary and industrial and syndicalist and whatever different form of democracy, are all reactionary, cathedralized, toothless forms of "Democracy" that do not realize the self-abolition that defines Revolutionary democracy, aka, the abolition of Democracy, the establishing and so decaying and so abolition of Anarchy, and this is why I oppose "democracy". "Democracy" is slavery, a true Democracy is equivalent to the post-left concept of a lack of democracy (5-22-2025: I am pathetic, no "true democracy" exists, what am i, a noveltist? What next, I call myself a consistent democrat? HA! Democracy is Ableist, Ageist, and alwas oppressive and a Dictatorship of Culture-- Democracy is de-facto, like the dictatorship of nature that is the "natural order", a dictatorship of Culture.). Must I point to the Statism of the Neo-Zapatistas, which does seem inspired by Proudhon, CNT-FAI, and whatever statist "anarchist" system/theorist exists out there... I say, i have many issues with them and their "democracy", which depends on a majority, and implies a government or many governments as their slogan states "the government obeys" means the government exists, and so, the People rules the government and the government rules the individual. I, again, have no power here, and a hierarchy remains, and so, it is not anarchist at all. And I believe that the fact you have to be older than twelve to vote is an age-chauvinism (and follows a restriction on democracy, ironically, making it a false democracy! And if the people over twelve decide to make the people under twelve slaves?, how can the people under twelve defend themselves? (This is seen in the reactionary feminism of the Neo-Zapatistas, where women are not abolished and, instead, are given the "freedom" to own as many child slaves as they want... Radical Feminists roll in their graves! Women can only be free at the death of all patriarchs and all structures infected by patriarchy, aka,

they must abolish all structures and, with that, take the hand of the youtg-liberationist comrade and destroy this world in joy, playful joy, feminine joy.. the Neo-Zapatistas to me are nothing but Crypto-Patriarchs, look at them defending their culture (Culture, too, is an oppressive force against the Youth... Youth Liberation KILLS culture (leftism (aka left-wing capitalism, as called by post-leftists and ultra-leftists alike. This is true, leftism's escapism always results in a new form of capitalism. Mutualism was a proto-capitalism, the paris commune was a dysfunctional "anti"-capitalism, the soviet union was state-SocDem // state social fascism // state capitalist, China under mao was proto-dengism and after Deng xiaoping was dengist, Makhnovschina was a return to some dysfunctional proto-capitalist model as far as i know, Catalonia used syndicalism aka "red proto-fascist capitalism" or "red yellow brown socialism", which is capitalist, and every other escape made by and for leftism has always been "against" capitalism and also/so FOR capitalism. This is a few examples but applies for everything-- charles fourier was just a harmonious capitalist.), as attractive as it is to the youth, has only ever promised things to the youth and never GIVEN things to the youth... The USSR had vaguely pederastic views on Youth Liberation, and often, the only power the youth had as "equality" was via adult patriarchs, or, pederasts. Too, as seen in the Neo-Zapatistas, if youth liberation is explicitly stated, it is in an age-chauvinistic form-- though neo-zapatistas, as far as I know, are not explicitly-stated youth liberationists nor youth liberationists at all, it is simply a comparison. Leftism, being cathedralized so often, never fully goes through with youth liberation because the cathedral finds it absurd, and so, Leftism gets embarassed and runs away from their promises to the youth. Us children get no liberty under leftism anymore, we must leave it behind, that dying cathedralized spirit!).)!)) and, too, an ageism at all, for it only lowers the age of voting and not abolishing age at all. They also, last I checked, had families and micro-statisms / Micro-fascisms, and worst of all, 'Democracy'.) form always fails? Only an anarchy lets out an anarchy, only abolition lets out abolition) and not the men with guns in straight, unresting, lines, looking for victims. Joy defeats misery, Joy defeats suffering, Joy is the process of abolition, and as the Means ARE the Ends (Communization is Communism and the Communist Utopia), Joy is Abolition and Abolition is Joy. Abolition abolishes all suffering, and so, it is Joy, and it will abolish itself to perfect itself. In the end, as no end exists, Joy. Abolition never ends, there is no end to Abolishing, and Joy never ends, there is no end to the Joy, it is eternal (I mean, abolishing everything implies an end to abolition and so a utopia. No utopia exists. Abolition is the end of abolition, or, it never ends.)! While I am alive, here, and kicking, I might as well offer a small bit of self-critique for something I say in On Radqueers x2, which is. "on the question of animals" (not a quote, not even a quote of myself).... I, a human, tried to present an answer to the question of animal liberationism,

(my answer was, therefore as I am not fully capable of analyzing the material conditions of non-human animals) a utopianism that I cannot even begin to describe as idiotic, and forgot a basic tenent to the question of the oppressed--- only the oppressed class in question knows how to liberate themselves, and only the oppressed class in question CAN liberate themselves... Like how I say the Youth cannot be given liberty, they must take it, and I complain about how many adult "youth liberationists" give half-assed theory, I must also realize that only the nonhuman animal can fully understand themselves and the solution for their liberty in its entirety, and only they themselves can take their freedom, and human-made half-assed writings on Animal Liberation (as I had done) are just as annoying as adult "youth liberationists" (like "Men who are Feminists", very annoying). I had been reactionary in thinking I could solve the animal question, even though my job was to and only to critique the Noveltists' barely-disguised cultural cleansing and genocide of non-human animals who happen to be predators or in a culture based on violence, like.... THe entire culture we call "Nature"... The Noveltists were extremely speciesist and had no consideration for animals and their culture, like imperialists they stand, rainbow imperialists. I was not much better in my stupid "solutions", and I should have sticked to Critique. As a Trans Girl and a Minor, I could speak on age and gender abolition, but I am not a non-human Animal and find that I have failed to speak on the solution for the nonhuman animals--- because it is not my job (my job is to, instead, get traumatized by Tiqqun and run away like a coward.). That is all.

again, unrelated text? Yes?:

Anarchism doesn't exist, nor do Anarchists--- Anarchism isn't Anarchist, nor is Anarchy Anarchist (abolish not just unject hierarchies (a hyperstitional reddit definition of anarchism that has caught on... most people say it comes from the non-anarchist noam chomsky but others say that it was used and said before noam chomsky, for this reason I refuse to claim to know where this slogan comes from at all) but all hierarchies, you authoritarian swine, no hierarchy is justified ever! And with that... I mean all anarchists want some sort of hierarchy, I have already spoken on this. I do not say this as a "true anarchist", such a concept implies an anarchism, I say this as, basically, a being wishing to abolish anarchy and anarchism (and let me clarify that I do not consider myself a communizationist anymore either, so that anti-communizationist post-left anarchists don't attempt to take a hit at me here, i stand (solely) with abolition), and as a Being who cares more about her own class, the youth, than admittedly she does about proles (What does one expect from the girl who supported youth liberation and ideas along the line, if not exactly, anarchistic, since 5th grade-- before 5th grade, I was still against authority and power, so it was just making that hatred into a political struggle that 5th grade did with me. It has been a consistent part of me since 5th grade, and even in my most disillusioned

moments, I never denied it.) (why I say youth must take their own liberty... when Proles give youth liberty, like when proles "abolished child labour", or "fought" for the abolition of child "labour", aka child slavery, instead of doing what the Youth would have done if they took liberty, aka abolishing age and becoming equal to adults, proles decided to make the situation of the youth "better" in the way that social democracy is "better" for proles-- life-wise bad but not as bad, class-consciousness-wise fucked-up. Proles in the abolition of child labour fucked up real bad, mainly because they are not children, this is why I do not trust them with youth liberation for any longer--- only the youth know whats good for them, FUCK AGEIST PROLES! This only proves my point further that Youth Liberation would benefit from trying out post-leftism for a while and seeing if they like it, because leftists got us where we are now--- not much better. With this, Though I have always defended Democracy, mostly by, like an idiot or a noveltist, changing the definition, I am forced to drop this delusion now--- Democracy is reactionary, and my idealized forms of democracy as "real, non-cathedralized" democracy only further pushes the ideas of cathedralized reactionary democracy, so even the term democracy finds itself unable to EVER be revolutionary. Cathedralized Democracy requires voice and movement, so does the Moldbuggian patchwork system, this is ageist towards babies who cannot walk and newborns and infants, and abelist towards people who cannot walk due to any reason, usually disability, and mute people of any kind, even the ones that have selective mutism, as they then cannot move or voice by their own, and because the people around them or more likely to want things differently to them, even if the unable-to-move voices their wants to a abled person, it can be ignored by said person, and mute people could have their "words" (you know what I mean, i dont literally mean words as in voiced words, other ways of communication exist) corrupted or changed if not everyone or not enough people speak sign language or understand other ways of communication, or, other things. Babies or other youths on the extreme ends of age, usually cannot express themselves or have control over their movement at all, in which case democracy is always reactionary for them, and no democracy of today respects the votes of extremely young, or even young people (unless you're twelve in a neo-zapatista area, you age-chauvinist) at all. Democracy always finds itself reactionary--- See Anarchy 101 and Debunking Democracy by Bob Black for other arguments that I believe are important. Animals take no interest, typically, and I mean non-humans, in voting and so that can be used against them as it is all over the world right now. democracy has always worked not for the people, but against the people, by "The People", or, "Humanity", and, "zeitgeist". Age cannot be abolished in a Democracy nor a Democratic System, At best it creates Age-Chauvinism (neo-Zapatistas), Age Abolition needs Democracy torn down and hanged. While we are getting rid of un-needed things, Leftism was never Revolutionary,

and the definition of what is "Revolutionary" must be changed in my mind (i do not say, for it would be a stupid microfascism, that the word revolutionary must be changed in YOUR or EVERYONE's minds, because I do not care, I only change the definition here for my book as I have already established "Revolutionary" and "reactionary" as temporary moralisms that are under me as an egoist and hold no power over me and is a tool for my ends--- so it is my right to change them when my ends change. What is Revolutionary, for me and this book, is what leads to Absolute Abolition or affirms Absolute Abolition, aka, whatever Fights against or Destroys / Reduces Misery &/or Suffering (which, since everything is Misery and/or Suffering, means destroying everything), and what is Reactionary, for me and my book, is anything that creates/affirms/supports Misery &/or Suffering, aka, what is not "Revolutionary" in that sense. This does not mean we are Pacifists, because while the ends are the means and vice versa, The abolition of misery/suffering takes self-negation, and so, "War is Peace" (George Orwell, Similar to a Quote by Mao Zedong, which I forgot but basically meant only War can lead to Peace or wtvr). I Digress. I reject the concept of an Absolute Abolitionism on the grounds that Abolitionism has never been FOR Abolition, but (which is Surprising for everyone who does not know Abolitionism *truthfully*,) In-fact, against it. Abolitionists do not Abolish, but instead, Stand in Opposition to. They stood in opposition to Slavery, they never abolish Slavery, or why would Slavery still be around now, now that everyone is against slavery (or, "abolitionists") (this is not true anymore due to rising rates of fascism and nazism but you get my point)? Or, why, with leftists, they claim to want to abolish Capitalism, but only ever stand in opposition to it (or worse, in opposition to A SPECIFIC FORM of it, becoming capitalists themselves)? Though, that second one is probably because of leftism's escapism. Abolitionism only every Opposes, or, WANTS to abolish it, or, treat it like a taboo. Abolitionism only ever ends up making it a sacred taboo (Benjamin Noys, Georges Bataille a critical introduction is where I get this concept of taboo and opposition's relationship), never actually abolishing it... SO, like Democracy, Abolitionism at all is a reactionary thing even as a term. Abolition abolishes Abolitionism with no care at all! Then, let dead men lay in their coffins... or, not. Antifa, I must reject, not because fascism has died-- Hell no, Fascism is alive once again-- but because it is alive, and certainly alive in Anti-Fascism. Before, as I enjoy the fact anti-politics is politics (just not *politics*), I enjoyed the fascism that was Anti-Fascism, while making clear that Anti-Fascism was a Fascism-- I was a Fascist by being antifa. I still hold that when you are "anti-"something, you are that something, it happens quite a lot, and I care not to explain (I explain here that "anti" just makes you a reverse of it, and so, a form of it-- even if not true logically, it is true in practice as far as I've seen. With this, now i've found something else, something in ideology, that I, too,

cannot logically explain... Every Ideology is never itself. Leftists are never leftist, or, Leftism itself is not leftist, as Bob Black and the other post-leftists have proven (and too, evan jack proved in his writing, A farewell to Post-Left anarchy, and I have proven on bob black's cuban ancestors, that Post-left anarchism is not post-leftist nor anarchist, and, Post-left anarchism has caught a bad case of leftism. (now Post-Leftism has caught fourier, it has now become infected with Cuban blood, and it finds itself unable to escape itself... where else have we seen this happen? Yes, true, the Ultra-left and why I had abandoned it at all, because the ultra-left had caught a bad case of leftism as well, and it has already overtaken most of the ultra-left (what I formally called right-ultraleftism, councilists and bordigists and situationists alike and much much more ideologies, even communization is now more marxist than it should be due to catching this infection of leftism... Now, it is only a matter of time untill the whole Ultra-left is a new Leftism). Post-leftism caught Fourier, mother of Leftism, while Ultra-leftism caught Marx, the savior, the god of leftism, its entirety as one embodiment. The Ultra-left is weak and marxist, too leftist to be revolutionary, and so, I say to the Post-left that, since they already have gotten the virus, to be prepared for their inevitable decay into leftism and so irrelevance... There is nothing you can do once you have caught the spores of leftism's corpse. Be it Marx (european blood) or Fourier (cuban blood), either way, it is fatal.)), and too, Rightists are never rightists, or Rightism is not rightist, as Unabomber that Post-Right anarchist has proven! Too, Libertarians are never libertarian, libertarianism is not libertarian, and anarchism is not anarchist, no anarchist is an anarchist (on this point, I also want to note how often "anarchists" want a society, even though a society requires order, and order requires punishment of some kind, I include exclusion as a form of punishment here for all those that want to object and say "we'll just kick them out". Anarchy is not order, order requires microfascisms, homogeneity (to some degree), microstatisms, and culture, especially culture. So when "Anarchists" want primitive society, I say that is statism, for it is society! So when "Anarchists" want Harmony, I object, for that is totalitarian! So when "Anarchists" want an orderly anarchist society like seen through-out history and modernly, I yell, "THAT IS A STATE!". Makhnovschina (makhno died a platformist, or, An anarcho-bolshevik. What this says about makhnovschina, i do not know, but they were a quasi-state regardless of platformism or non-platformism), Catalonia of the CNT-FAI period (syndicalism requires AT LEAST a quasi-state), CHAZ (the "anarcho-police" brutality rates, an ironic occurance!), Neo-Zapatistas to some degree (they are rad-democrats, bigoted statists, not anarchists, but anarchists see them as anarchists alike), and all primitive societies of the past, they are statists exactly because they are nothing more than (marx's idea of) the quasi-statist. They do not abolish the state, they transform it. The abolition of the state requires the abolition

of everything, something the "anarchists" reject, even the most Radical of Anarchists will still defend something. Nothing should be defended, everything should be abolished. Society, Order, Harmony, all these things, are rooted in and require coercion to function, they are oppressive therefore, and need to be abolished. In Lecter A.A. Wierstamann's Ideological system/theory, Radeviance, Norms are the main oppressive structure used to analyze suffering/misery, I believe Order is the main Norm and the Mother of all Norms because all Norms work for some sort of concept of Order and Order itself is a Norm, the Unique for self-order for self-enjoyment, the identity for definition, or, metaphysical order of words basically, for identification, the state for order for state rule, Ideologies for order for utopia (or, the imagined ends / better world, or, sometimes even the means), etc. Culture for order for cultural reproduction, for another example. Every oppressive structure does not need to be a norm, but a norm is always oppressive. In this, I say, every Anarchist reproduces or maintains a Norm in some degree (ignoring the Norm that is ideology for a second), and so, they cannot be anarchist insofar as anarchism is against oppression, suffering, and misery-- or even just against the state and/or statism at all! Quasi-Statism is not the abolition of statism, it is just a gentilean synthesis between anarchy and the state, or, it is a misreading of gentile as marx, and so, all these "Anarchists" are, to me, misguided disciples of both giovanni gentile and karl marx, fucked up and idiotic, fumbling about and clearly drunk, creating a quasi-state that is sure to be worse than even the most brutal dictatorship of history if you give it a few centuries. Anarchism is a LARP.), as I have proven before. Authoritarians and Totalitarians and dictators are never authoritarian and totalitarian and dictatorial in its absolute consistency, because it is anarchy and anti-authoritarianism for the state, the religion, the dictator, the... in 1984 by george orwell most of the masses lived in chaos, this is semi-similar to some dictatorships which use chaos and anarchy for control and order, like Nazi Germany and the purges. Authoritarians never have authority over everyone, rebels remain, and totalitarians likewise are never total, outsides remain. Nobody is ever the ideologt they claim, or further, no ideology is itself, it is only ever LARPing as itself, or, All Ideology is a LARP. Therefore, I reject Ideology and Identity as LARPs. See how progressivism simply re-enforces culture by, like futurists, adapting it to modern times and making it even harder to abolish, preserving trraditions by "evolving" them, and so, clearly these progressive men are conservatives saving culture from itself and its idiocratic rule! True abolition slits culture's throat, not marry culture and modernity together, culture the traditional wife and modernity the traditional husband, the "progress" of the progressive is just a last-resort save of culture for when it really gets in danger, and too, to assimilate any real threat to culture by making them believe that these progressives and liberals are against conservatives, instead of the truth, they *are*

conservatives. Here, I self-critique, no such thing as revolutionary or self-negating culture, ALL cultures are reactionary, ALL cultures create suffering and misery, ALL cultures must be abolished, and so, nationalism of any kind, culture of any kind, are enemies to me.)------ Abolition is not "anti" anything, it is always never "anti" anything, it seeks only to ABOLISH and not to be an anti. So then, Why am I not a Fascist anymore?-- or, why am I not antifa anymore? While I still seek to abolish Fascism, and destroy fascists, I find Antifa against just that. Antifa is, basically, Profa. Then, on that charge, that antifa is fascist, do i reject it, and my fascism. I hate fascism, I hate antifa, I desire the abolition of both! In fact, with that, I abandon Anti-Politics too, for its political nature! (ignore past writings of very recent. I self-critique.) Only abolition.).). I like saying random phrases that are unrelated to the topic. again! (On"Wild Justice", Crime as an Anarchist source of social order, in Defacing the Currency selected writings 1992-2012 by Bob Black, and again, By bob black. everything that has (WJ) after them come from said writing in said book by said authorr, Typically I will use the (WJ) after references or quotations, or I will use (WJ) as a replacement for the book name. In (WJ), Bob Black tries to, basically, see to it an Anarchist society, or, Order under Anarchy, and he decides that the best way to do so is via crime itself ("But recently another sociologist, Donald Black (no relation) has argued that some crime is really self-help social control." (WJ)). In this, order is practically the result of a deviant individual being punished by their "victim". Basically, an eye for an eye. While I cannot oppose this model by claiming it to reproduce statist power dynamics, outside of the most obvious microstatist and microfascistic terrorisms which bob black enjoys thoroughly, and while it does not create a "Norm" in the traditional sense, instead letting each person be a Norm and oppress each other, in which liberty and freedom is still limited regardless but now it is by others and not myself-- quite similar to democracy-- it is Bob Black's plan after all since "you can abolish law but you can't abolish consequences" (WJ), i take that as a challenge---, I can, at least, see to it that people know that it is an unnecessary system in general. This system of Wild Justice, the "inevitable consequence" (not a quote in (WJ), but just a reference to the previous quote of (WJ) written here) that forces one to either surrender some of their liberty or die ("The "anarchist response to crime" is not to bother with crime, which, by definition, anarchy abolishes, but rather to resolve problems between people, or at least, to provide means for their resolution, such as mediation and arbitration, or if all else fails, banishment or execution" bob black, an anarchist response to "an anarchist response to crime", Defacing the currency selected writings 1992-2012, bob black) (liberty of location counts because liberty does not exist). I as an egoist despise all society that tries to tread on my actions, that tries to limit me, dominate me, control me, order me, or kill me, without my consent. I don't care whether it is the state or my neighbor, no

domination should take place, for as far as I care, power of the people over me, social comtrol, or when mixed with the state it becomes 'democracy', is still power over me, is still *oppressive*. Maybe I do, in fact, want to abolish consequences (reference to WJ)! Bob Black has no problem with execution, with social control, with powers above the individual limiting them, as long as that thing that brings execution, social control, and is a power above the individual limiting them, is not a state but instead individuals, or, as logic tells us (see anarcho-leftist critiques of nilssonian"anarcho-""fascism"), what would eventually devolve back into some sort of union or association (and so no longer an egoistic one but a sacred one, a people, a democracy, a quasi-state) forcing its power, morals, microfascisms, and worse of all, Culture, down the individual deviant's throat. Culture and microfascisms still spreads through Domination and often Vengance, or, revenge-crime, is about domination or resolves in one party submitting. Domination is sickening, a disease, and any defense of it cannot be anarchist but, at most, anarcho-democratic. Wild Justice is anarcho-democratic (or, Anarcho-democracy itself) to me because the people (seeing as if the people are in the same area, and no cultural revolution by the Harmonious dictator can occur because this is Castro (Bob Black) and not Marx (Fourier), I assume the people share a Culture because they would have to live in the same area and, typically, people in the same location who live there all their lives tend to share a culture, like nations or states or cities or whatnot, and so, have shared moral codes unless they are deviants (bob black and national-anarchism..? What connection exists?)... Then, the people may begin unions against deviants to enforce that moral code, and many would want to) have power over the individual, and the individual is limited in this way. Sure, it is not a statist rule of the people, it is Social Control, a Norm, done by the People instead! from one Norm by the People (democracy) to another Norm by the people (Wild Justice, or, Anarcho-democracy)! As a Deviant, what benefit do i have to want this model? I will be killed (not my suicide, my love, but my martyrdom to a cause i don't even care about, the people!)!------- Also, if Wild Justice AND Bob Black's Abolition of Work are to be implimented in the same society, I do believe that play would be extremely coercive and Wild Justice would be very necessary, or, bringing value into this---- Productive Play as a concept when mixed with a quasi-statist "anarcho-democracy" (not a quote), when mixed with coercive norms and social control, when mixed with Democracy without voting-- Wild Justice--, results in the de-facto giving of value to productive play, not any value, but a coercive democratic value, where those who do not help their fellow individual can be punished for that or suffer consequence, and can lead to, if WIld Justice ends up resulting in a union, the illegalization of play as non-productive. If an individual can punish you for anything, can punish you for not working, for being unproductive, for being a parasite, then they can

coerce you, force you, make you work, make you a slave (or, a wage slave without the wages). Consequence in-of-itself, with or without people/individuals, with or without it being used as Social Control (normalized quasi-statist coercion and an oppressive norm that defines many of the state's structures and actions against the individual-- law is inspired by and a perfecting of social control, but social control is always bad), is Coercive and brings back Economic value, and so, Economy. Every part of this, the "anarcho"-Democracy, the Quasi-statism, the opportunity for degredation into statist democracy, the Coercive elements, and the defense of consequence, fails to bring any other thing but a new form of civilization-- Civilizing and Social Control cannot exist without one another, and where Civilizing is, Civilization is. Civilization is, seeing anti-civ writings and Fourierist writings, one of the most oppressive structures to come out of Nature---- and it must be annihilated... Not recreated in a new "anarchist" form using social control (social control civilizes someone, civilizing can only be done with social control, if social control ceased then and only then can civilizing cease, and if civilizing ceased then Social control would be impossible as social control only civilizes-- Social control, after all, is the control of individuals by a social entity, be it the State, the people, democracy, or the individual/s. Controlling an individual civilizes it, and so, Civilization depends on social control and social control can create nothing but civilization. Then... and ignoring that social control literally coerces people by forcing them to act, be, and do a certain thing and/or in a certain way, Social control being, again, control, and all control being coercive/coercion, and oppressive-- Control is oppressive, this Stirner could probably have told you!--, then of course Social Control is coercive... Since Social control is the root of all civilization and leads to nothing but civilization, and civilization is nothing but coercive and itself a form of coercion, then Social control itself is Coercive and nothing but a form of coercion itself. Then, Bob Black's defense is meaningless to me.), as Bob Black advocates in (WJ). My critique of Bob Black's play was already skeptical before, but knowing about wild justice, I find that there is no way thay play will not turn back into work! Bob Black admits that Society is limiting, is, so, Oppressive, yet he continues to create one? ("Since society itself is interpersonal interaction when it assumes definite forms, it is implicitly limiting..." (WJ)) Limitation is oppression, that isn't rocket science to understand, and so why would any individual partake in a society that limits it and threatens it with death? Social Control, Bob Black defends, "is not necessarily coercive." (WJ), then, he references (I assume Donald) Black's "Social control as a dependent variable", with "It may just be influence." (WJ) Influence and Coercion are not exclusive to one another, in fact, many influences are Coercive (Culture could hypothetically be listed here) and many coercive forces are influences. Coercion is not only influence, influence is not only coercion, but there are links and relations

between them, intersections, and quite a lot. Social Control is one of those intersections. It is a coercive thing, and it is an influential thing, it can be described as an "Coercive Influence" by some (not a real quote). That being said, any Coercive Influence is, therefore, Oppressive on account of it being Coercive... Work is Work because it is Coercive, no(Bob Black, The abolition of Work)? Coercion can never be consensual, it destroys consent. Therefore Social Control is never consensual, it destroys consent, like Democracy, it is non-consensual self-slavery to the people, but disguised as "anarchy" via the lack of a state. How brilliant, replace noncon with noncon! I suggest, instead, the abolition of Values and Individuals, and so, with that, the abolition of consequence. That would help to eliminate suffering and misery, meanwhile Wild Justice only continues it. Consequence is based on the delusion or existence of values and individual beings (karl schmidt may disagree with his shitty critique of stirner, and I will not critique him because i do not care for stirner-- i care only for myself, and that is why i devote my praxis and theory to absolute abolition, it is the solution to all my problems and so is attractive to me, it is not sacred--- but, personally, I do not want to only be myself, that is like stirner's love of his unique, i instead, because I love myself, abolish myself. I am referencing Karl Schmidt's book "the realm of the understanding and the individual", of which i got an uncredited (for translation) pdf of and so do not know who to credit for translation. Either way, Karl Schmidt's "anti-idealism" (which is still idealist in the sense of materialism, which, seeing hyperpsychosis and the lack of reality present, seeing nothing (nothing at all), creates a material world (usually this means just recognizing yaldabaoth), becoming demiurge, to have an objective reality. Unlike the great revolutionary soulists, abolishers of personal property and every law of reality, Materialists are oppressive idealists forcing an objective reality with laws you cannot escape nor abolish. Return to soulism, against materialism, this is a necessary step in overcoming idealism and all its oppressive forms. soulism started as the last dying breath of mao-spontex, the limitation of an anarcho-maoism now escaping its teleological history by becoming severly autistic and psychotic, and now it is new. Disconnected from its past, found outside of everything, the outer is no match for it, it is born again, no longer limited by mao. soulists are, at last, also the only philosophical current seperate to radqueers and their seperatist post-rq descendents (I, Noveltist, Wierstamann, msot of which deny radqueer and want to, and most likely will or already have, escaped its reactionary grasps, but one realist could still tie us to it with the clad, like nick land has to his enemies) to ever, practically, though not specifically, argue for transID liberation-- they imagine this through soulism. soulists find themselves more pro-transID than even most radqueers, and so, can be described as revolutionary and possibly, if a future transID liberation movement occurs, the spirit of the TransID liberation movement.

Soulists are, despite what their theory and their praxis might make people think, the most radically anti-idealist people (if they identify as people, i do not know, they could very well not) on earth. And so, since Soulism destroys all oppressive structures, including bio-oppression, self-oppression, natural-oppression, and laws of reality, therefore allied to xenofeminists, I accept it as part of the radical solution to the end of oppression, and a necessary part of absolute abolition, though absolute abolition identifies with nothing, not even its own name. Soulism is the cure to the virus of reality and the ideal, the objective, the material, the truth, soulism destroys it with a quick slash. Absolute Abolition is only imaginable without realism and reason. Soulism rejects reason and realism. But, too, I must critique Soulism. Due to their mindless acceptance of hyperpsychosis, not in theory but in praxis, they have (as seen in A history of soulism by vi-grail) historically fallen for idealism and left the anti-idealist idea of it as nothing. An Antirealist manifesto by vi-grail, a soulist manifesto de-facto, allies with religion (despite it being a reactionary idealism) and so, aligns anti-idealism with reality (not in the writing but as a logical consequence), when this is false and reality itself, as materialism or hyperpsychosis, is an idealism. They also align with culture due to their acceptance of the arguments of cathedralized "progressives" (reactionaries) who see minority cultures as deserving of respect and not, too, an oppressive force, one that has also been used by cracker whites against their enemies, be it outside the culture being used or even the own members of the culture, to oppress and suppress them. Also in their abolition of Reality, despite that requiring a radical anti-idealism, they instead abolish reality by replacing it with an idealism, therefore a new reality. They fall for the same issue all the other anarchists do, they never truly abolish itm and instead replace it with a quasi- version of it. Quasi-statism for the anarchist, Quasi-reality for the soulist, even though soulism could, if liberated from itself, bring a real anarchism into place, they limit themselves and refuse to be radical enough... moderates. They also continue to follow reality in their day to day lives, as their "theory into praxis" (an antirealist manifesto, vi-grail) is "not taking their perceptions literally (an antirealist manifesto, vi-grail), when the logical praxis (if any even need to exist for such a revolutionary ideology like a soulism outside of all this reactionary reality-acceptance) would be to live outside of reality, or specifically, outside of the structural limits of reality (hyperpsychosis), non-reality (which is unknowable for the reality, unlike fiction), and fiction (a non-reality trapped inside of (and assimilated by) reality). It would be, like situationists going on walks, soulists living like they advocate, without reality. Moderatism, a fear of itself. Too, Soulists accept "Divine hierarchies founded upon enthusiastic consent" (an antirealist manifesto, vi-grail) implying that, if we remember that the divine is not divine, hierarchies could be founded upon enthusiastic consent, and that if one, if possible, was founded upon enthusiastic

consent, that means that it will stay consensual (look at history), stay enthusiastic (perhaps... but not consensual), and it would be justified (NO hierarchy can be justified, this is a moderatism that even some ancoms reject (not knowing ancom-ism requires some level of justified hierarchy, lol), and they call themselves fringe?), even when regardless of justification, consent, or enthusiasm, hierarchy breeds power breeds parasitic bastard non-consensual sadists (consensual sadism is fine but, again, hierarchy leads to oppression, suppression, repression, hell on earth.). Also, all religions reconstruct a reality for their members, Soulism here only deconstructs mass hyperpsychosis and brings small little cult hyperpsychosis, or, mass psychosis (like jim jones). and, because the soulist, Vi-grail, in an antirealist manifesto, is so obsessed with magic, they forget that soulism, by destroying the real, destroys magic, and so magic is not the "core of praxis" at all (Vi-Grail, an antirealist manifesto)! So may mistakes are made by not realizing the conclusion of the ideology itself, really, but how could one forget the abolition of reality itself? Vi-grail's A history of Soulism also tells an interesting story, and a fracture (temporary) between soulists and 'soulists'. Here, too, Soulism aligns itself with idealism despite everything and despite even admiting that the foundations of reality are rooted in christian idealism! Here, too, I find that disgusting place, PCM, as the founder of soulism and I will just pretend like they didn't. "irl" (if soulism could ever be irl) soulism is much more interesting than the strawman. So, accordingly to Vi-Grail's A history of Soulism, it was co-opted by authoritarians at one time because the 'founder', Rayne, deleted their accounts, leaving soulism (though it is an idea, not a movement) into azsula's, their partner's hand. Azsula (who has no pronouns) then made a server resembling, if one looks closer, like a structural movement (i'd say that SOulism, following the last international and Situationist international, should not be a structural movement at all and this is a contradiction, but oh well). Azsula then, despite rayne and rayne's idea of soulism being anarchistic, became an authoritarian (supposedly). I do believe that soulism does not allow for authoritarianism as the distinction between authoritarianism and anarchism is a realist distinction, and too (seeing at the quasi-state), an ideological distinction, more than anything else (And this is also why i believe, though the authoritarians are not soulists, they are more soulist than the anarchist "soulists"! The authoritarians realize that soulism makes authoritarianism and anarchism the same, maybe they do not realize this in theory but most definitely in praxis! And, still though, neither side is soulist nor anarchist.). I say Azsula's incel-aligned (accusations by VI-grail in A history of soulism paints Azsula as a pro-child-predator incel-aligned individual due to azsula's pluralkit having blackpilled and loli-- loli is a slur for children along with shota, only children can reclaim it, and.... probably shouldn't as of now because of it being used almost exclusively by pro-c pedophiles and ficto-pedophile darkshippers (the

former way worse than the latter, the latter only to emphasize the sexual usage of the term by pedophiles, even if not child predators, which most pedophiles aren't child predators). So then Azsula is ageist and, maybe even, and this wouldn't be surprising as ageism and misogyny is linked, misogynistic. Not very soulist of azsula.) "soulism" that pushes bigotry and authoritarianism is, obviously, not soulist in any real way, but too, Vi-Grail's rejection of the abolition of age ("Azsula has said that [This is not part of the quote but Vi-Grail, transphobically, misgenders Azsula here. I try not to in my writings, and if i do, i severely apologize to azsula.] do[es] not believe in age..." said in a negative way by vi-grail in a history of soulism) is also defining a realism, being ageist, and a moderatism that is not Soulist by any degree. Vi-Grail also claims that Azsula's server is a cult (Vi-grail, a history of soulism), and, what did you expect by accepting 'voluntary' religion? That is the end result! And the synthesis (Vi-Grail, a history of soulism) by Jacob, to unify the non-soulist 'anarchists' and the non-soulist authoritarians, which happened via direct democracy (the fascist rule of the "people" against the "individual", the athenian torture model for socrates, that authoritarian anti-anarchist model, really Jacob?) failed due to Jacob's authoritarianism (OF COURSE) and Azsula's "soulists" spamming fascist imagery and raiding the server (not soulist of you. Vi-Grail's a history of soulism). Of course this Jacobian model was just even less soulist than the others! Vi-Grail also, in a history of soulism, does not support "pedophilia" (Vi-Grail, a history of soulism). Like, the sexuality/paraphilia itself? that is paramisia. But if you refer to child predators, the word usage is paramisic, but the idea is good. Vi-Grail in a history of Soulism has showed how no soulist has really realized soulism, and with that, I am forced to abandon such a self-contradictory, liberalized, moderate idea. It simply fails at what it was good at, and is highly bigoted-- Soulism.net.) finds no point. Why should we not act for a world that is not this shitty one? Even if we do not make it, which i believe absolute abolition will never happen, working towards it is living in it and so enjoying oneself. Why not enjoy oneself? Karl Schmidt, as far as my pdf can show me, i do not know if it is innaccurate, is not a threat to me, last young hegelian and it shows!), or, " ". If those two are eliminated, Consequence ceases to exist. Considering that I already want absolute abolition, I already hope for the abolition of consequence, so i do not care for social control outside of my desire to abolish it, it is not problem to me and so Bob Black's Solution, (WJ), is an unasked for waste of time for any true person trying to eliminate misery and suffering. We do not need social control, nor do we need crime, nor anything else, there is no question, and so, no answer is needed either. Consequence brings misery. ("The revolution was a Lie!", Against Me!, 'I was a Teenage Anarchist', does show a disillusionment with Revolution which, in the end, as we see from post-left anarchism, is the evolution of anarchism away from leftism (apparently). It, too, for me, despite not

passing my teenage tears as of 2026, reminds me of my disillusionment with anarchism. For a long time, really, I've been an anarchist of all sorts (of anarcho-leftism). For me, it represented the last hope of the revolution, of actual abolition of misert, that last hope of utopia, harmony-- it represented hyperborea. And, of course, it represented the end of the state, and so, to be consistent, of hierarchy, of domination, and of any remnant of the state (including microstatisms and microfascisms). Anarchism has always failed at this. Anarchism has always, for as long as it has existed, only argued for Quasi-statism (even the primitivists, who claim most of human pre-history was anarchist, argue solely for quasi-statism... really, quasi-statism was humanity's form for all of human pre-history. Culture/Nature, the first form of oppression, does not allow it to be anarchist as long as it is under its rule). Every tiem i think i have found a truly anarchistic ideology, it falls into Quasi-statist delusion, or a Quasi-statism trying to convince us all into revolution (all revolutions have only ever been opposition, and have never abolished anything-- not even fascism, feudalism, totalitarianism, chaos, "communism" (Nick Land, Xenosystems fragments, something along the lines of "America is a Communist nation"... ACP maga communism proved this right---- FUCK.(De-Facto, And I speak truly and literally here, America is ruled by the ACP-- Maga communism--, it is a One-party totalitarian dictatorship under Trump, a secret member of ACP, Trump's rule. The ACP is the ONLY legal american party, and it is disguised under the "republican" or "democrat" myth. I have been to america, take my word, it is ruled by the ACP and anyone to say otherwise or any evidence to point to otherwise is a myth made up by the ACP or ACP propaganda. The ACP is a cursed party, a Nick-Landian Dengist-Fascist (specifically, really, hitlerite fascism and the Dengism of modern chinese accelerationist economics, which is a synthesis 100% inspired by Nick Land writings and so is especially Landian in its synthesis) post-libertarian hellscape ruled under juche-like nationalism and censorship where nothing but the ACP exists. It is a Nazbol resurrection, Pol Pot's second coming, trust me.)), nothing has been abolished by revolution. Revolution is reactionary.) or some other idiotic concept that has only ever failed us. All anarchist ideologies are not anarchist, and ever since i learned this fact, this law of ideology and nature alike, i have met absolute disillusionment with anarchism.. It is a fake ideology, a husk, a lie, a delusion, a psychosis, a falsehood, the puppet of leftism, it is nothing, it has never existed at all-- anarchism doesn't exist. Palingenetic Anarchism was the last dying gasp of my "Anarchism". before becoming nothing but decay, rot, and mold. DEATH TO ANARCHISM, and of course, FUCK ANARCHY! I was promised STATELESSNESS, not whatever THIS is... Lying bastards! You decieve, you Satan, you lie to the vulnerable and convert them into your totalitarian ways! Anarchism is the most authoritarian ideology in the world to me, it is the hidden Big Brother, the Angkar underground, It is

the oppressor in the sky, equivalent to God! Anarchism is a failure to our world and our people, and truly, if we are to find a better solution to the world, if we are to abolish misery, we must ('we must abandon must', i need to clarify, I reject morality and do as I please, i stray far from asbolute abolition because it is not my god, but when i want to use it, i will. I have no 'must' to do anything, i only have 'want'. Even if I reject, for example, and I do, Democracy, if I want to vote for whatever reason, I will-- I would not vote typically because it is pointless, reactionary, or boring, but if for example it benefits me, like free food or free housing, of course I would vote in my favor, even if I despise voting itself, because to say otherwise is moralism... And again, my moral system is pragmatic and not real to me, revolutionary and reactionary are only ideas to my ends and i do not care for them at all. you should not either. Even if you are vegan, even if you are a humanist, eat meat and eat humans! Even if you oppose capitalism, go buy fucking taco bell! It's good. Yes?) abandon Leftism and Anarchism alike, both the Reactionary Prole class (I understand that I, too, In my youth liberationism, am a class patriot and therefore a hypocrite, but again, I cannot extinguish my love for the youth class and their liberation, even if i know that no class is revolutionary. I could never, EVER, love the working class, so perhaps, when i am an adult (if that happens at all), I will be forced to abandon my patriotism for my class and fall to my knees in revolutionary dispair, or, joy. I understand that I tend to take the marxist love for the worker, critique it, and instead of getting rid of it, i transfer it to my own class-- I only do this not because i believe in it, i do not believe in potential nor revolution nor teleology nor the 'revolutionary class', but because Youth liberation lacks theorists and I, a youth liberationist, have decided that I want to step up to at least contribute to this dead movement of my class, my people, and me. I do this because i want to, not because i must, and so, I am no hypocrite-- but again, i also don't care about being a hypocrite. I love loving youth liberation, bite me.) and the "anti"-Authoritarian scum of the earth, and especially now with post-left anarchists (oh, and, Individualism as far as I am concerned is a quasi-statist collectivism of each neuron, each organ, each part, and the unique for itself. Any individualism or egoism trying to set the unique with itself, aka any individualism or egoism itself, that tries to clarify that you are yourself, you are you, that tries to lie to you (you are not you, friend!), is an individualism or egoism trying to control and unify, trying to sublate in a way, you-- when really, you should die (let me clarify something about death and do a self-critique about necrophilia... Death does not strip you of personhood. Corpses are people, objects are people, as far as I care, everything is a person regardless of what the thing is... People/person is meaningless. Necrophilia, moreso the (and i was wrong when i called it "Post-sexual necrophilia", it is in nature VERY sexual and libidinal and originates in sexuality and libido) Societal Necrophilic desires that defines all actions, is again still a

power dynamic and abuse between two beings, one kills and eats the other, and so Necrophilia is not free from relation to oppression, but it is also found in each and every person, everything is a necrophile and that is why everything is necrophilic, and why necrophilia must be abolished... ANd with this, especially with this, Love must be abolished. Unlike many radeviants which I have yet to fully understand the critique of love from, I do not understand, again, why Love outside of its relation to oppression must be a bad thing? Love itself, outside of abuse, which is, a spiritually mutual necrophilic sado-masochism, a consensual mass suicide, is beautiful to me, and while some Radeviants may want to abolish it (or, i assume so, seeing how they believe it to be bad, oppressive, and cruel?) via annihilating it, I wish to abolish it by getting rid of the distinction of love and non-love, and so, love everything and not-love everything, and really, live in necrophilic consensual mass orgy--- which is metaphorical here because nothing, not even nothing, exists after abolition, but abolition is similar to and can be felt as a destructive necrophilic orgy, to resurrect my old utopianist emotional feelings towards revolution once more (the abolition of suffering also requires its synthesis with pleasure and life, though it does not end at this synthesis (it happens alongside the other requirements, not before or after, and so does not end the abolition with synthesis yet is the ends/means together with the other defining requirements of the abolition.)-- then i'd be no better than bob black!). Love to me is only an issue because of its duality with non-love, apathy and hatred, and all other things, when really hate and apathy and love and everything else should have no boundaries and become limitless, abolished, and in unity, free. I cannot understand NNIA's hate for love, really, Instead I love Hate! Hatred is a perfect emotion, a thing indistinguishable from love, to hate and to love, both Necrophilic consensual driving forces that leave you feeling wasted, stripped of autonomy, bleeding, dying, consumed, assaulted, abused, and destroyed, but beautifully! Really. The Love for Abolition is Necrophilic, even when abolishing Necrophilia, it is extremely Necrophilic and can even be the love for death itself. Again, Death does not end the Self (serial experiment Lain, everyone is always connected), Death does not make your consent pointless as I used to say (ONLY CONSENSUAL NECROPHILIA, and with that, as objects are people too, ONLY CONSENSUAL SEXUAL ACTIVITY WITH SEX TOYS, CONSENT FIRST!!!), instead Death is (life/)Death and is not anything else, it is not the transformation into object (we are object/subject/nothing/nonexistent), it is not the end of self, it is just death. Also, Cannibalism is very interesting to me and I find that the opposition to Cannibalism is rooted in Necrophilia (though again, everything is), Necrophobia, and extreme Racism.)! Individualism has always been, to a degree, oppressive and, inherently, leftist. And, really, The Post-left has not moved away from this. Nihilism does not stand for the Unique, Novatore, It doesn't stand at all. It is

meaningless, no- that is incorrect-- It isn't at all. Nihilism is not an 'ism', and too, it is not stirnerian, really. Nihilism has the desire for the abolition of life, it cannot be defined but it does hold desires (these desires do not define the nihilist nor nihilism), it has the desire for misery, for the abolition of misery, for death and the abolition of death, suicide and the abolition of suicide. Nihilism hates, but it is not hate, it loves hate but it is not the love for hate either. I am also a nihilist, Novatore. Nihilism does not mean negation nor abolition, nor nothingness, but again, it desires all these things and desires to destroy, to abolish, to hate them, but not to oppose them or make them sacred. The Nihilist does believe in god, not because she believes in god, but because she desires to kill god, to feel god's blood pour out of her. Atheism is cowardice, God must be slaughtered, and the Nihilist does not feel cowardly desires against the face of God-- only violent desires, violent pleasures. One is raped by yaldabaoth since even before birth, and until even after death, yes, and with this abuse, one slowly begins to carnally desire to violently do the same to God, YHWH (yehowa), Yaldabaoth, any other name. I have been raped by God enough, we all have been far too long, our skin is fragile and torn and we jump at the slightest touch from anything divine, we cry when we are not hurt and fear any sexuality in our repressed fear that god will rape us harder if we do not listen, we find ourselves and every inch of it disgusting and draw God as perfect and pure and holy, we pretend like it is all our fault that we are punished so, as if we rape ourselves, because we cannot cope with the rape by god in a healthy way. We begin to hurt ourselves in order to forget how God hurts us. We starve ourselves so that God likes us more and hurts us like that less, we play our part and submit to god out of our fear of being violated, but either way, no matter what, we will be violated by god again and again. Atheism says to just ignore the rape, the rapist isn't real, and so we strip God of responsibility, we strip him of the consequences of his actions, and though he doesn't deserve it, we forgive him. We let him roam free to rape as much more people as he likes, and really, we still suffer from the aftermath of his abuse regardless of his existence. Then... Why don't we just take the desired option, the one we naturally wish to do.. Why not Rape God? If God is a Rapist (and a fascist, and a Nazbol ACP-following cucklord rape-lover DiCE ORG member who prays to MORTiS the dog fucker tween), a cruel sick bastard, it is only our most natural desire to want to make him feel every centimeter of pain we do, every tear, every cut, every breakdown, every assault, every violation, every second of burning pain. The Nihilist wants to Rape God (I realized, in an argument, that i do not give a shit about the revolutionary or reactionary nature of anything... Everything is right, everything is just, everything is lovely and beautiful and cute, everything is especially cute, everything is especially cute (again, everything is nothing, nothing is cute, everything is cute. too, how do I know everything is nothing? 'nothing exists', that's why. Nobody can deny the

existence of nothing, ot at least, those who do deny the existence of nothing therefore usually deny the existence of a thing at all and so are back to nothing or the abolition of nothing--- when one says 'nothing exists', it is a common statement, of course there is such an existent concept such as nothing!-- but 'nothing exists' is not just a radical affirmation of nothingness but also, in the same way, (again, remember that affirmation and negation are one-- or more accurately, raping each other but never actually one at all, moreso dead) an annihilationistic hyper-negation of thingness, and so, everything. 'nothing exists' must be true for things to exist, but if 'nothing exists' is true, then no thing exists and so everything does not exist and so is nothing-- admitting the reality of nothing makes nothing devour, and really, vore, the entirety of reality and everything into but a hyperpsychotic mess. 'nothing exists' is the birth of hyperpsychosis, or, really, it has always existed.. It is undeniable, too, and unassimilated as it assimilates all it sees instead, voring it (more accurate than assimilation), eating it, cannibalizing it as a necrophile. Then, then I know that there is no reality! Nothing is not a reality nor a lack of a reality so it cannot be reality! Hyperpsychosis is the only explanation. Then, Theory is just a fiction, a fanfic of reality (RPF?), LARPing as a sacred scripture, an explanation of reality, a real thing and not fiction... It is unfiction, like ARGs, and so, pretty satirical.). Everything I see, as I now see, it is the cutest thing to be, adorable, each crime, each sin, each necrophilic sado-snuff rape is now adorable and cute to me and I can only help but whine in pleasure/pain at the overwhelming sensation of absolute pedophilic attraction to everything. As the Pedophile sees the Loli (again, i can reclaim), I see in everything, which is to say, highly attractive and too, unviolatable-- even as i want to violate (and do violate, it is also, contradictory, violatable, and always violated)-- and sacred, and divine and to be drowned in the blood spilling from my innards... Dragged to dirt and disgust. I want to enjoy everything. Everything is a cute shota as far as I am concerned, a shota who tears out and consumed my eye, who fucks the eyehole and ignores my screams, who drinks the blood flowing out of my neck, and who rips and tears a vulva out of my disgusting, horrid, cursed, and self-hating phallus, and proceeds to violate me in a way i could never feel naturally or alive. Shotas everywhere (i can reclaim shota on account of it being a slur to all children and not just little boys). Shota Materialism, call it, or some idiotic fuckslit satirical name (is it not obvious yet that I am a satirist who's real beliefs sound more satirical than her fake beliefs? Shota Materialism, Loli Materialism, Koda Materialism, I do not care, it is a satirical name for this short rant about my sexual/adorable, or, in mutual-raping, "Loli-core", attraction for everything and of everything in the same boiling mess of hellfire. It is not, however, love. This sexual-adorable, or again, lolicore attraction to everything is not equivalent or even comparable to love, it is so, so, so much better. It hurts and it's sexually gratifying, it can be

comforting and sacred, but it is never love, even when it is lovely. It is too different to be love. This is my lolicore attraction to Yaldabaoth herself, maybe, really. This really isn't a materialist theory as far as I care to comprehend at 1am, maybe it is but right now i lack any understanding of it, i just find it interesting enough to add to the book and... too... It is still nonetheless a theory.), when I find in everything the most divine love and the most sacred and adorable and cute love and that same love, tied and drowned to disgusting cute snuffish necrophilic and cruel sadism, and that togather, that as one, where it is also too a sick and disgusting lolita disturbing waterboarded snuffish love for the msot sacred and divine thing and so cute, the same terms for ewach and slowly... The subject of the love and the love are the same thing. I no longer love the shota, I am the shota, the shota is the love and the love is the shota, and I am no distinction to that i love or desire. I find disgusting cuteness in the most divine cuteness and divine cuteness in the most disgusting cuteness... and they are all the same with no distinction nor boundaries, raping each other (not synthesis nor division, a constant and eternal violation of the other's boundaries and, too, that mutual violation and sexual abuse, necrophilic abuse, being its definition... Necrophilia creates reality now! This... may not be materialist but i will continue to use the term.), as they are one cuteness, the perfect cuteness (perfect nothing, cute=nothing, femininity... Also, Perfect Nothing animation meme youtube lol), femininity of the pederastic rapist, for the shota. Everything is Shota in my view, and so, I crave to torture it, I crave to fuck it, I crave to kill it, I crave to care for it, to love it, nurture it, become it, be it fully, merge flesh, communicate, groom, exist as the shota, i am the shota i love. I am everything. The Sacred is Snuff, and vice versa, and too, both Sacred and Snuff is the Shota, and the Shota is both vice versa, and the Shota is all. The Shota is also a Maoist. I AM A REACTIONARY! (of course I am if being a "revolutionary" just means being a (self-proclaimed "self-negating") Fascist... Fascism can never self-negate, morals can never self-negate, how much of an idiot was I?) I yell out, against... seemingly only myself, and too, a critique of myself... Shota materialism abandons the revolutionary path and loses track or way ,, descending into ... not even nothing (the loli is not even nowhere) --5/26/26 2am-- and now I do not care about any morality and do solely ... uh idfk. I am a Loli (Okay, 5-27-26 2am, I write again. Insofar as the "revolutionary path" is actually the path to absolute abolition, and the means and the ends are one, and Absolute Abolition in its Joyous nihilism and liberation of desire-- then abolition of those said things-- is both the means and the ends and in unity... Is it not ironic that the path to liberation, as abolition is basically and literally just another word for liberation-- Absolute Liberation is my desire--, comes in the form of this strict narrow tunnel where I cannot be reactionary nor desire as a reactionary, I cannot have my desire be free? If absolute Liberation, absolute Abolition, seeks to liberate desire (and so abolish it insofar

as we understand desire as unliberated desire, which we do, and so liberated desire would not be "desire" as we know it and so abolished... Desire is also oppressed and unfree due to value, and nihilistic desire would just be the abolition of desire as we know it, as no such thing can be as a nihilistic value, a contradiction, nihilism in its russian ethnicity is the end of all value and the liberation of desire), then why does it continue to oppress it in the "revolutionary path"? If i claim it is a suicidal oppression (Nature is a suicidal oppression by this claim too, it creates everything including its own death, yet i do not defend nature nor idolize it! I, instead, abolish nature... as if nature isn't abolishing itself, but the suicidal nature of nature matters not to me because nature's suicide is actually just another reactionary palingenetic resurrection from the ashes of the old suicidal nature's death... I say the same about the revolutionary path now, it is just a reactionary palingenetic resurrection, a faked suicide to both hide from the shooters (from us) and for attention and praise (for us), and so after we shoot ourselves and the praise ends, the revolutionary path revives itself once more like nature after climate change reaches the end, and creates a hyperborea--- WE realize hyperborea as oppressive, i betray and hate hyperborea, we are traitors to hyperborea and wish its demise... The revolutionary path is still, after all this time, just the path towards hyperborea. I am against all hyperboreans, i wish a hyperborean genocide, and so, I abandon the revolutionary path for this reason too! FUCK HYPERBOREA AND HYPERBOREAN IDEOLOGY!), as I used to claim in my earlier days before this book to justify my Ml-bordiga conceptualization of transition and self-negation, then I have moved nowhere since that stage of Cuban LARP patriotism... Then I realize that no oppression can ever be suicidal, like a state or an economy or a culture, it continues on... The revolutionary Path is reactionary, yes, but it is also not the revolutionary path at all, or at least, it is the revolutionary path like Mao Zedong is a maoist. The Revolutionary path, regardless of my "consent" to its abuse of me, is abusive and so therefore must be abolished here and now-- the ends are the means and the means are the ends-- and so, in shota materialism, i turn it down and shoot the back of its head, its brain thrusts out its face. Absolute Abolition, or, Absolute Liberation, HERE AND NOW, starts with living HERE AND NOW eternally, and so, I accept nothing less than absolute liberty for me. Nothing stands against, over, around, or as me, I become not even nothing and so free from myself and free to all... Use me. Abuse me. I am not myself. we start at the beginning, hyperpsychosis begins--- Aka, we start, really, never, and hyperpsychosis has always existed, eternally, in a never-ending path inwards to no ends. Cuteness is warped into a serious LARP for the self-repression of the shotacon who, despite so needing it, rejects themself for instead a hatred of the cute (cute here, nothing, too can be seen as death) shota-- so that they can realize themself as not a shota themself, or then, so they can be not an autophilic shota but instead a shotacon they

must then deny their shotacon characteristic to be such. Then, Identity becomes the repression of Auto-Necrophilic / Autophilic-shota desires, and so, Value begins to control "Necrophilia"/"Pedophilia" (which is not Necrophilia nor Pedophilia, as both of those things are copies (paraphilia) of the copy (desire) of what i am referring to here (that is unnamed and unspeakable)) and mold it into "Desire". "Desire", the unliberated form that is desire, is always and has always been unfree, and too, the movement of hyperpsychotic insanity, or, sanity, or, reality(?). Everything becomes serious, serious to the point of one feeling absolute overwhelming love/hate/pleasure/pain/fear/adoration at even the smallest sight of something cute (in which i mean a non-hyperpsychotically-warped cute, or, an unserious thing... though seriousness only makes it cuter!). Cuteness becomes break, phemonological overconsumption to a shatter, and desire only for a second is, really, a shotacon. Cuteness has a tendency to shota-ify all that worships the shota, an auto-shotafication virus that finds itself paraphilically spreading wildfire and liberating, in which you begin to truly feel like a sickening madman. Everything around you becomes... yes. It is really, really attractive in ways words cannot describe without limiting its expression (lolicore is a limitation of expression). Hyperpsychosis starts to break down psychotically and you find cute. Cute is the only thing -- ex nihilo is accurate solely if nothing is cuteness itself -- and it reproduces itself wildly... The Sun to Bataille can be found to me similar to cuteness, the accursed share is not from the dying sun (that shota) but instead cuteness itself (all shotas in harmonious auto-orgy hell), in which the question of too much remains but answered in the lack of 'too'. If the world will die out in the end of giving, if it will die out in the end of taking, if giving and taking becomes abolished in and so lack a difference, then, let it die out! Cuteness accelerates (cute accelerationism, amy ireland and maya b kronic) alongside the gender shredder (Gender accelerationism: a blackpaper, n1x land / nyx land) and, with the abolition of gender through and through shredding, too, Cuteness infects all and through and through assimilates into abolition of cuteness itself, which is, really, the end of seriousness. Satire is adorable in my opinion, even when others do not see it, because it is a state of wholesome and lovely laughter, the same laughter you hear in the box of kittens and the house of puppies, it is a laughter of joy, and joy is cute. Satire and Cute are not the same, but they are related, thoroughly so. Both laugh similarly, for starters, though that is not all. The egoist continues to affirm his identity-- the Post-leftist calls out, 'I, an egoist, reject idpol and so with it, down with the self-oppressing identity', I say that is all identity and the Post-leftist does not seem to complain with that as far as i care, if they did then they clearly did not understand what identity was, really, an always-oppressive masochistic spook. I continue on, I say, The egoist is an identity-- the post-leftist says that the egoist is a representative term and not an identity-- i self critique, that is true, but

then I continue on... the self is an identity, and in fact, the worst and most oppressive identity and it is a metaphor for the first ever identity (shotacon) where oppression was finalized (hyperpsychosis is oppression too, but it is not the finalization of oppression). The egoist rejects this on the grounds of the Unique, I instead say that the Unique is oppressive of itself, self-oppressive, and suicidal people are right. The egoist asks how, I refuse to explain, the egoist thinks he won. I write on without him.-- and so, in affirming his unique as an egoist, he never experiences true absolute liberation/abolition, he only ever exists as a unique and never as not existing at all. He misses out on the parade and celebrations and joy and fun and parties and orgies, he misses out on it all, because he is an emotional masochist! my eyes hurt. Sex and reproduction was never the end goal, castration as a fear only originates cultually and hyperstitionally (gender) from the elitist patriarchal pederastic mass that wished not to be the "b" in NAMbLA's "Mb", the b is castrated by age according to them (boys can fuck fine, so this is a delusion), and the M enjoys this but also fears becoming like it... the Pederast fears auto-shotafication, like the shotacon, castration originates from a fear of liberated desire itself (not desire at all)(A fear of becoming the subject of its own attraction, becoming a shota or loli, autophilic loli madness.... a fear of cuteness by a cute fagtranny dumbass-- aka, yaldabaoth's fear of her adorable girly existence. the Patriarchs are repressed transgirls and repressed transage shotas and so take it out on girls, trannies like me, and the youth).) now...(i fear sometimes that when i release this, it will be censored... be it by publishers, cathedrals, my own future or past self, my present self, my relatives, or anyone else. I say too much bullshit.)... what can I say? I am outside the boundaries of reactionary and revolutionary, and probably, so are you! I am a Maoist, you are a Maoist, not ideologically like I was in my mao-spontex bullshit, but instead, In the way of Pol Pot, aka, Outside the lines of revolution and reaction, an outer level, an unknown, Democratic Cambodia was the first Outer-- not Leftist (not from the spirit of leftism) but entirely outer LARPing as inner and leftist, and with it, the Outer massacred as much as it could in a snuff loli necrophilic (.again, you cannot desire necrophilia, that is redundant, you simply *necrophilia*, or, desire.) rage. The Outer, the Angkor, it does it for fun, not for revolution, but because it is not reactionary at all, truly, its fun is a fun from the outer...(What Nick Land finds in Hitler, Nick Land's Xenosystems fragments, I find in Pol Pot along with much, much more, except I am not a Pol-Pot supporter... not to accuse Nick Land of anything.... Or..... anything.....) the Angkor is the posadist alien invasion (it is the destruction, deconstruction, and end of my final moral gasp, my revolutionary dualism, not via dialectical synthesis or simply being a gray point, but by being undescribable and unexplainable by my system in any way that reduces it to not any longer pol pot at all... I cannot call Pol Pot neither revolutionary nor reactionary, i cannot support nor denounce

him, he just lives there now. Eternally, he does. Now... I find... I believe in year Zero, I am inspired by Pol Pot's cultural revolution, I am disgusted and attracted as a loli to the Angkor's traumatizing and disillusioning crimes and their insane history... Reading about Pol Pot both sends me up to a maoist illusionment that gives me cravings for an ultimate annihilation of culture and a permanent eternal year 0 (Year Zero, glorious, the dream year, Utopia, perfection of the posadist and fourierist alike!), and seconds later, absolute and actual disillusionment, disturbed sickened stomach, headaches and dread and guilt, feelings worse than when I was a marcionite reading about the death of jesus in the testimentum or whatever, where i feel nothing but hate for pol pot and nothing but despair for cambodia and all its victims. Pol Pot (who, listening to the MLs who are surprisingly right a second time, is probably the most anarchist individual of all time, the true absolute sublation of anarcho-leftism... and from the anarchists, also right, the most clear example of the sins of authoritarianism and totalitarianism... my only rationality for Pol Pot's anarchism and his totalitarian creation is that perhaps he is a satirist and democratic cambodia never existed at all, but i eventually always realize that this is reality, again, and pol pot had commited a horrible auto-genocide that cannot at all be justified and is not satirical at all. Pol Pot is dead serious, he is a contradiction, and yet... it makes perfect sense, after all, what is the sublation of anarcho-leftism if not anarcho-totalitarian post-leftism?) plays with me worse than mao zedong, I cannot seperate Pol Pot into two as I had with Mao Zedong, because Pol Pot's Maoism was also Pol Pot's Maoism, aka, his theory was his praxis and his praxis was his theory, he was himself, nobody is more Pol Pot than Pol Pot. Then... Pol Pot changes me. I cannot any longer be a maoist nor can i be not a maoist, i cannot be for nor against pol pot, i cannot do anything at all. Pol Pot scares me to the point of suicide sometimes, yet of course, I live because i am unable to. Pol Pot changes you as a person... and he ends Posadism by declaring the aliens not saviors, not revolutionaries, but extreme sado-masochists and outer, and limitless, and pure carnage... Posadism waits for a savior along the lines of gnosticism, but jesus does not come down, instead, the order of the nine angles (O9A) flies down from space and gives them all guns and tells them to kill and rape each other for fun. Posadism dies there, nobody is a posadist after pol pot, and Pol Pot as Anton Long forces the ex-posadists to rape and kill each other without remorse. Pol Pot is...), and now, after killing so many cambodians, they left. Posadism in ruins, Posadas cries, he wishes he was cambodian and shoots himself. Corpse of the Posadist international, and now, no leftist remnant remains. Posadism was just another fourierist spore in my throat, and too was my moralism just another ML-Bordiga-Dengist spore entering my eyes, and now, my Lolihood frees me of this. I am going to molest myself.) (see: Nick Land's The Thirst for annihilation, georges bataille and virulent nihilism, a great work by nick land

and nick land's abomination of georges bataille that does inspire me much and heavily), and God probably deserves it for raping so many people, because God is a sickening being that should not be excused from his acts... He must pay for his actions, an eye for an eye, a tooth for a tooth. God is the baby-raping orgy (adam lanza, Culturalphilistine transcripts / archive), we are the babies and the forced participants at the same time, and we seek revenge for our loss of autonomy. We must take away God's Autonomy, then his personhood, then him. We must cannibalize him after slaughtering him, and we must enjoy his flesh as we eat. God will suffer at your hands. This is what transforms Yaldabaoth into that feline lack of divinity, the TCG, the idiot autist loli (i can reclaim loli as a slur (unlike NNIA. NNIA has a bit of an issue with ageist slurs and their usage... many posts on NNIA say ageist slurs like brat, shota, loli, koda, etc. etc., even though most of them (the ones saying it) are adults (typically maps) who cannot reclaim those slurs... I will give it to wierstamann that at least wierstamann understands that brat is a slur and i don't recall him even saying the slur 'brat' (though wierstamann may be able to reclaim via transage, i am not sure of the actual reclaimation of a slur nor its process... and honestly i do not care either, slurs are stupid and lack any real venom nowadays by being so overused. Honestly, being called a faggot or a tranny loses its venom when everyone does it, so i could care less about shota, loli, brat, or even racial slurs, it seems extremely overdone already.). Regardless, NNIA is a tad bit ageist in their usage of ageist slurs in order to experience map pride-- not that map pride is bad, i am fine with map pride as long as it is not against Youth liberation, just that no pride should come at the expense of using the slurs of another oppressed group you can't reclaim.) and autist as a term) cat, the lack of a god at all, eternal suffering and loss, TCG is no god but instead the eternally-suffering remains of a hollow husk of a demiurge, it is the raped god (I do not, really, hate Sado-Masochism, even when it creates oppression and statism, because it is also that of which its oppression defines oppression itself, or, it being oppressed is the definition of oppression itself, even when it is and always will be only ever oppressed by itself. Every Anti-statist, every anarchist, every Sado-Masochist oppressing Sado-Masochism, is therefore the same as every Statist, every Pro-statist (and every Prostate (give yourself prostate cancer to truly be an anarchist...(I am joking but literal, i am also metaphorical here, Raping god kills you (i am no longer human), after all. Prostate cancer tends to make you realize how anti-anarchist your anarchism really is, a beautiful display of hell, and so, i recommend it for those seeking self-critique))), aka every Sado-Masochist oppressing Sado-Masochism. Sado-Masochism must be liberated entirely (not statist nor anarchist), it must be neither freed from itself or for itself or to itself, it must be these things in order to also be abolished for good, and too, to realize the end of intelligence therefore is not kakistocracy but instead a lack of -cracy or -archy or -ism. To

abolish Desire is to liberate it, and vice versa). it suffers forever, the pathetic feline, and you condemned it to this punishment... Or, you will. I love you.), I say, Kill Anarchy before it is too late!))

There is a moment between life and death where all pleasure is undone to its bare level, to what it truly is, without Hyperpsychosis... At some point before you die, you become cured of the insanity of humanity called reality and you see that the basis for everything is mental sickness, not an ideal, not a material. Pleasure and Pain ceases and you begin to feel numb. A blade is slammed into your eye, penetrated, and disposed of, yet you do not respond. You sit there, trembling, and feel no pain. It elicits a moan from the tortured man on your right, his veins torn out and exposed, yet your own mouth can barely even respond. At this point, you have no options, and you find your material body forcefully vulnerable and as distant as one can be all at the same time. You cannot see anymore, your other eye has been removed. Your senses begin to fade, you begin to smell the pee and semen and blood and feces less and less and you begin to not feel the textures on your body, your hearing rings so loud it stops and your taste ceases, everything begins feeling a lot closer now. The distance is destroyed, or really, the distance becomes one with the closeness, and so, space is abolished and you feel everything everywhere. Your body is gone, it has been for years now, if years even exist to you and your unbeing, and yet, like marcion's disciple said, while your soul (vitality, Uniqueness, Body) is gone, your spirit (sado-masochism, self-oppression, Self) is now immortalized... That is, if a difference between soul and spirit even existed at all or if now you're a german cat both poisoned and not poisoned due to some bullshit science. Maybe, and this is always true, you have just passed from a united Soul as Spirit and Spirit as Soul to the ever-existing Spirit as Spirit seen is Hyperpsychosis, the You that everyone but you knows, and your Soul now ceases-- Or maybe, you never had a Soul, most likely. Nobody is around to hear your soulful screams in this isolated forest, so really, do you have a soul at all? Now... Instead of the greater plane you prayed for, you have dropped into the same mess a thousand times worse. This is not hell, since hell isn't a part of Russia, and so, You are just experiencing your life again... Differently. In your death, you are at the ultimate point of self-alienation where there is no self, but instead, interpretation of a copy of a self that never existed, a copy of a copy, or, Abominations. Abominations to "you", which, again, are you and is all you have ever been, and is that original copy as well, nothing but a copy of a copy and you are too. Then, You have died, but you are not dead. You are not repulsed by Death, you never have been, you tell yourself lying through your teeth, It is actually life you are repulsed by, and that is why you're dead. You lie again, you yell out to nothing that you yourself wanted to be tortured to death, of course, you wanted this!

But again, you didn't, you didn't want to live but you most definitely didn't want to die. Though, the more you think about it, it feels like you never died at all. The suffering is the same, the misery, the location is the same, only you have changed, and barely a real change! Castration of the Necrophile (too, necrophilia is not a teleology of desire but desire itself, it has no strict path, only hyperpsychosis molds it to have one if that sickening hegelian beast dares. Again, you are a necrophile, which actually means nothing at all, it is not an identity, anyone claiming it one is mistaken)---- i am tired while writing, it is three at morning---- You find that you do not care anymore about the morality of violation. You wish to gut and consume everything, you wish to burn and bathe in the ashes, you wish to tear through your skin and begin drinking your blood and puking it back up. You hate the taste of yourself, you bodily reject the taste of anyone else, and so you eat only what you cannot stand and repulse what you can stand. You are not human, you are not humanized, you are the central plan, you are the demiurge's individual's passions, you are hatred, you are love, you kill and eat, and you are not you anymore at all, because "you" are human. it tears off its skin, it realizes this was always it for it, no free will exists and no teleology exists, only this and it and what does not exist in existence and everything else psychosis, it is fate without fate, it is waking up drunk in your bathroom where yesterday does not exist and you always were in the bathroom... you are the bathroom as far as you are concerned, nasty, disgusting. You violate yourself, Morality be damned, you slit your throat and begin to bleed. You laugh-- you fail to laugh, actually, and choke on your blood. Death after Death, you realize you were always repeated, you are looping, repetition, each time something new, a new death, this is life. Every time the same, every jump the same falling and reduction into broken skin, bone, organ, and blood. The four true movements of the human-- Blood (pulsating vitality, still depression), Skin (Limiting boundaries and comfort, Abolition of normality and love of discomfort), Organ (Functioning Followers of the Lord, Broken Disillusioned Lumpens), and Bone (Structural Fascists in our body, Anarchist freaks hoping to destroy the body's statist regime). The spine, the perfect example of the bone, is absolutely suicidal, and so, extremely sexual. It is a failure, an underdevelopment, a pedophilic necrophilic zoophilic perfection in failure to be human, adult, and alive, it is a loli corpse creature and finds itself as the basis for every Human, every Adult Living Uncreature. We are freaks to the human because we will never be human, we are simply too paraphilic, too subjected to paraphilic desire, too full of paraphilic love, too evil. The spine drags us from hell to heaven to hell to heaven, and with the unity of the Fascistic Body, the Anarchistic Body, the Anarcho-Fascist (you are an anarcho-fascist because everyone is.), it unites Heaven and Hell together in what one calls Atheism, or, Death after Death (always theistic, the belief in a non-god, or, NONG (Xenosystems fragments, Nick Land)). Rusty hooks

impale your skin and you begin to bleed. You cannot feel it, still, and so do not awaken. You still do not feel as they cut you open and begin to shove their hands inside your organs. You do not awaken when they begin to feast on you. You feel... nothing. You are left boneless, organless, bloodless, and are nothing but skin to be worn, a sensitive cope that in itself violates the self and destroys the cope-ist, you are now addictive, a drug to the people, marketable, beautiful, bodiless, skin without insides and so, though penetratable, unable to be penetrated. Nothing is in and as itself, not even nothing, or, You. When you were younger, a small boy, a demon, you could tell you were abnormal-- not to society, not to existence or hyperpsychosis generally, but to your own self. You often thought about skinning yourself to get away from this self-hatred, as every metaphorical one does, but could never go through with it. You stood in relation to your family, not out of choice or desire, but force, as you are forced not affection towards your mother but affection from your father, a painful affection, and your mother apathetic and nonexistent. You stand attracted by nothing and attractive to that which hates you, and so, Castration to you finds itself the same as sex. The father is a predator to the son, and too, the s*n is an enemy to the desires of the father, as it threatens to reduce him to a greek shota (which, shota is a slur for children, again, like brat and loli) slave. The son lashes out, and in the middle of the night, you take a knife and plunge it into the phallus of your father, then tear it out and slit his throat. In this sense, are you disturbed by the lack of a mother in which you assume the father to have castrated you with the removal of, or instead, do you wish to castrate the father in order to save your own skin? In this case, of course, a metaphor to the spiritual rape of all children via their forced participation in the oedipus complex (which, really, is the Father attracted to the Mother and child, and the Mother coping through an attraction to the child as well, in which the child either copes through fawning or ends repressed and mental. The son lusts not for his mother but instead to kill his father, he has bloodlust for his father, and so, wants to kill and rape his father alone), the Son ends up doing the natural end of the oedipus complex in reality (though, again the Oedipus complex is just a hyperpsychotic myth trying to overwrite necrophilia with incestuous desire instead, replacing the abnormal necrophilia with the normal and cultural incest... Here we find Oedipus return to his necrophilic state), aka, his Hate/Love for his abuser and getting his natural revenge (WJ? hee-hee maybe). In this, the Son is not a son, but instead, Bigender, Boygirl, Lesboy/Turigirl, he finds himself hating gender as a whole-- he does not want to be the manipulated abusive/abused mother and she does not want to be the patriarchal pedophilic groomer dad rapist, they wish simply to die in orgasm. You slit your throat as you cum into the corpse of your dad, and fall dead onto his stomach. The S*n (this is a reference to & by Tally hall, but I do not mean it in the way they do at all, it is just a useful wording

device), the shining path of the world, the Bright traitorous raping genocidal mass, the revolutionary leader of the people, the Pol-Potist fascistic palingenesis, Chairman Gonzalo and the corpse of Oswald Mosley. The S*n is Auto-Necrophilic and also, supposedly, brings Necrophilia like an infection, until the day it kills and eats itself. Heaven shines down cruelty, an overfill, in which necessity (aka a creation of overfill, too much, and a need to starve their people, Pol Pot's quote about hunger as most successful and such) is necessary and so necessity births itself through the heavens, God creates Economy, and she mindlessly begins to tear through the poor and the rich alike. You are a Noveltist... and so am I.

((5/10/2026-- before you read this, I wrote On Radqueers very early on in the book and not everything I say here is up-to-date with what is written above, because this book isn't read up to down or from past to present. I'm just warning about the dangers of maoism. Also, see my critique on and breaking-with radqueers after this for the sole reason that the writing below is written before I left radqueers and saw them as reactionary, and so terms I said or things i believed in this writing should be ignored. I Want to, with my current position, add some things, hopefully short, about Noveltists. As far as a Stirnerite or any Post-leftist is concerned, the Noveltists clearly are still oppressed by spooks and only acting in a form of ultra-humanism (in which ultra-humanism would be applying humanism to also anything non-human or between the two or anything else... though Noveltists are extremely speciesist, transpeciesist (see when they basically mis-species

non-cis-species individuals), and want carnivore genocide) that still oppresses the unique and denies people liberty. The VSRs are still non-consensual and are not voluntary, making them contradictory. The Noveltist idea for economics makes no sense, and is dysfunctional, anyone reading economics just needs to look at noveltism and it is clear, and I refuse to re-read the noveltist writings so i could not explain it today. I say Noveltists are (by traditional definitions, not the noveltist one, though noveltists are also by noveltist definitions) conservative, and especially conservative in their defense of culture and refusal to oppose actual conservatism, aka, conserving traditions, only when actual conservatism happens to be the noveltist definition of conservative. THey do not oppose culture nor tradition, they want a noveltist status quo, they oppose absolute abolition by setting up VSRs and a noveltist ideological system, and literal system, in which they forget that culture is always non-consensual because you cannot not have culture, like work is always coercive because you can choose your job but you MUST work, and culture spreads through coercion and grooming, culture is ableist. Status Quos in an anarcho-totalitarian, dare i even say "left-wing" rainbow anarcho-fascist, society like the noveltists want, will find itself oppresssive because being anything but a noveltist will have you killed, and so, liberties and freedoms are restricted, and because such an oppression would require a consolidation of power, or, a monopoly on violence, Noveltists are not anarchists at all! To maintain the noveltist Society, to maintain the rainbow-fascist death cult, the meat factories, the murdering of political opponents, the maintainance of what basically is a one-party "anarchist" (de-facto statist) commune, the genocide of animals, the maintainance of the status quo at all, and to oppose "counter-revolutionaries" (not a quote, noveltist calls them conservatives instead), one requires a state, and not just a state, but a centralized state. WHile Noveltisms advocates for decentralization in theory, de-facto to uphold their society or even bring about their society, as seen in the Noveltist "platformist" cult, noveltist IS a cult leader like bob avakian, they need a centralized group, one could say, maybe even a vanguard. The Noveltist platform is even worse than traditional platformists and neo-platformists, de-facto anarcho-leninists, because the Noveltist platformism is an anarcho-Avakian mess, one could even say Anarcho-stalinist at best. It says it wants decentralization but it is currently centralized and has purges and authoritarian abuse cases and acts like a cult, and even its theories require a centralized state to maintain, even when claiming to want decentralization! The VSRs will inevitably lead to the reinstating of oppressors and oppressed, especially when mixed with the other Noveltist theories and beliefs, it is inevitable. And, too, Noveltists maintain suffering by maintaining a structure and a system, by not abolishing everything, they oppose any true abolition of misery or suffering because they'd been unable to have an ideology is they didn't! Regardless,

Noveltists are statists, Conservatives, Consistent Conservatives in their definition of the term, Fascists, Trans-speciesist, speciesist, genocidal freaks like pol pot, authoritarian, Cultists, Ultra-Platformists, Centralists, reactionaries, Stalinist solely in their murdering of political opponents, against liberty and freedom, against individual uniques, and for not even the people, not even their ideals or real "ideology", but the idea of their ideals, twice removed. Noveltist decentr@l only cares about power, that is what i believe, if they cared about noveltism, or, "consistent progressivism", which spoke about decentralization, they wouldn't be a centralized Cult Leader practically LARPing as Chairman GOnzalo right now (The Noveltist farm system, the noveltist hunting system, the theory of the meat factory, and just the general system of meat production under the noveltists requires either Conservatives or farm animals (that supposedly are okay with being farmed even though no animal has ever been okay with being farmed, the farms themselves are speciesist and an inconsistency in consistent progressivism's ideology), and since I don't have to explain how farming animals are bad and also would probably end up changed by the noveltists if implimented in praxis due to its conservatism, I will focus on the Conservatives part. Noveltist, too, emphasizes this part. The hunting of Conservatives is supposed to be the main source of meat, already here Noveltist is supposing they will forever have enemies, or, in more incriminating terms, the perfect noveltist world will never fully happen and they will forever be at war and need to be the stratocracy that it finds itself like in a real praxis and vaguely implied in theory and propaganda by noveltists. Carnivores are, apparently to noveltists, to be seen as conservatives who give up their rights if they engage in violence, even if with eachother, and even though violence is part of their culture (and violence as arguably good), and this would be an act of imperialistic erasing of animal culture to make them more "human" and so a humanistic, human-supremacist, fascistic choice, this is what noveltist wants. If the Carnivore hurts another living being, and ignoring how this can prevent animal rape victims from attacking their human rapist who "swears the animal consented", and since the animal usually cannot speak human language, the rapist is believed more often than not and put the animal to death possibly--- ignoring that, i said, i digress, i said-- that also means that carnivores participating in their own culture, carnivores who refuse to basically be domesticated by the noveltists (domestication is quite literally the erasure of animal culture and the assimilation of animals into modern day society, usually as slaves or, aka, "pets", a concept that noveltist defends as he supports the concept of pets. Domestication is also bad and oppresssive, see Jacques Camatte's "On Domestication") and reduced to slaves, animals who are starving and needed food (referring to carnivores), and animals who are egoists, would all be labeled as conservatives and would be allowed to be hunted by the Noveltists for meat and sport... Most Noveltists,

may I remind you, are humans, and noveltism has an issue with speciesism. Since now Carnivores will need to rely on Noveltist-approved Conservative meat in order to survive, and Noveltists do not push veganism so the current demand for meat will also apply to the noveltists, and the murdering of conservatives will basically be the main way of getting meat, the supply and demand for meat under Noveltism finds itself requiring a lot of conservatives, not just some or a minority but a lot, and so, is incentivized (or however you spell it) to label even more people (usually animals as they are easiest to pin the consevative label on) as conservatives to have more conservatives to hunt to keep up with the demand for meat... or, they turn to the farms and start non-consensually slaughtering mass numbers of animals under a "hypothetically VSR" system to catch up with the supply. EIther way, animals will be genocided for meat. If they do not take the farm option, which would be like a state-mandated slaughter house for the oppressed class / group called "nonhuman animals", and instead take the hunting option, tons of animals still die or get domesticated into slaves, but now, they get the fascistic death cult system of labeling more and more of their own members as conservative and killing them, like stalin and the old bolsheviks, the old bolsheviks shot or something! So then, cultural cleansing of animals, genocide of carnivores, non-consensual mass murders, and, since the supply and demand of meat is the main incentive, basically something equivalent if-not-worse-than a blood quota under shining-path rule. I'd actually take the Gonzaloist blood quota if the other option was the Noveltist Supply and Demand for meat, the blood quotas seem more ethical, surprisingly. So then, Noveltists, if they did get in power, would be even worse than Chairman Gonzalo! and that's not even mentioning how many Noveltists support and defend the practice of raping conservatives and keeping them as sex slaves, or, normal slaves, which i can only say, Not even chairman gonzalo, hell, not even the USA would say something like that (okay... MKULTRA....)! Maybe the Imperialist Japaneses but they were fascist-from-above and evil! Noveltist, in theory and advocacy, you ARE worse than Chairman Gonzalo! How?! In practice... Chairman gonzalo beats you by your own goals wtih 2 million animals brutally genocided, Chairman Gonzalo is a reactionary fascist speciesist bigot who mass murders and tortures animals for no reason and he deserves to rot in whatever special layer of gell he is in right now. fuck chairman gonzalo, shining path is evil and I hope they all die. The dogs who died in the "deng xiaoping's dogs" incident were innocent dogs who, despite what some may say, were not dengists at all and did not deserve to be brutalized for politics they weren't even a part of. I hope those dogs are at peace now. Kill Chairman Gonzalo in hell. The Noveltists would love Chairman Gonzalo, those bastards.). These people are decieved to follow such a dictator, truly, Chairman Noveltist Decentral, the most honerable, peace be upon you and Avakian, how do you do it? Noveltism, if we are being

honest, will absolutely fail if actually attempted, and harm many, many people while doing so, so thank god they know their place as nothing but an online cult like MORTiS and DiCE ORG. Noveltism is a mistake of god, or really, Yaldabaoth's favorite creation. In terms of progressivism, revolutionary potential, and actual opposition to oppression, they are moderates... but their ideology is very radical and extremely, just solely in the bad way, like fascists and neo-nazis... truly, I wouldn't be surprised if Noveltist was a fascist, as far as I care they are the same thing! Noveltism is probably the worst kind of fascism, though, because it is so totally incomprehensible and idiotic that I think it can count as a Kakistocracy if one was to really look at it! Primitivists point to the obvious abuse of animals and rejection of "true anarchist societies" (tribes), or at least they could, if anyone cared for noveltism.. From the point of view of a Fourierist like Bob Black (not a fourierist exactly.. a fourierist like Fidel Castro is a "marxist"-- let's be for real here, differences are apparent), one could say that the nature of punishment, law, and practically rule in the noveltist "anarchist" area shows a state model (similar to the sin of neo-platformism), though again, I am non bob black and cannot critique like bob black. Anyways, enough of me bullying these poor cultists, time for the critique. Noveltists, dem Big Brother (Angkar) Noveltist re-centraliSSed neo-pink-fascist scum flavor-aid drinkers, what to say about them... YOU SUCK:))

On Radqueers (again/2x):

The Fluffy Radqueer (Or, FLUFF, a term that seems cute enough YET also breaks past the blankqueer trend by declaring itself MORE than Radqueer, but HYPER-queer, or, FLUFF itself. Like 1984 teaches us (1984 by George Orwell), make words not require (self-)reflection of the word, “FLUFF” does not need you to think about “what does it mean to be FLUFF” like how Radqueer does, FLUFF does not have connections to any concept BUT itself as a term (despite being short for “fluffy radqueer”, though we are more than radqueers, we are not radqueers to an extent, we are just "FLUFF", we do not support any of the old radqueer definitions' causes nor many of the modern definitions' causes, we have our goals and they have theirs. (As of april 2st 2026, I do not know what will happen and i am generally paranoid of sinister-ification. FLUFF is not radqueerism, it is something different, do not treat it as a radqueerism or a radqueer sect.)), FLUFF is just that, FLUFF. It just is.) must realize their Contradictions against other unscientific Radqueers and enjoy them, collaboration with unscientific radqueers can lead to the corruption of the FLUFF movement, and this must be made as clear as possible. Scientific forms of Radqueerism (other than FLUFFs), when they emerge as a fully comprehensive ideology, can and should be collaborated with, as opposed to the other

option of platformism of the Noveltist kind. Making the sins of the unscientific Radqueers clear requires an extended critique of said Radqueer groups and what exactly their sins are, and this is what I bring today: An extended critique.

To further critique the Noveltists and the Utopian Radqueers, and to warn of the dangers of Sinister Radqueerism (the link/connection between Utopian and Sinister Radqueerism is large, but they are not the same. Utopian Radqueerism can be extremely sinister in praxis and application, but they are fundamentally Non-(Far-Right) and their harm comes from their wrong application of progressivism. Sinister Radqueerism (Xenosatanism falls under both categories but is the best example of Sinister Radqueerism) is usually Echo-chambered and turned Far-Right via the collaborationism and mixing with Far-Right groups, they tend to work like Fascist Cults and copy groups like 764 and DiCE, their harm is intentional and radically different to the Utopianists. Sinister Radqueers cannot be saved, but Utopianist Radqueers can be corrected), I will go in depth on the errors and false dogmas of Noveltism ("Consistent Progressivism", a huge misunderstanding) and Xenosatanism, and i will also bring Fluffy-Radqueer (FLUFF if you will) affirmations to replace the old Radqueer praxis and ideas. To quote the Noveltist Consistent Progressive wiki (https://g.progressivism.lgbt/):

"Consistent Progressivism is a political worldview/ideology advocating the complete and total abolition of all forms of conservatism, in favor of a consistent queer decentralized society in which conservatism is nonexistent, allowing for all beings to flourish in hedonist/libertine pleasure through freedom of identity, identifying in any way they please and forming Voluntary Social Relations with whomever they desire, while living free from persecution & stigmatization over their identity."

Consistent Progressivism also wants to Radically Eliminate all Conservatism ("Consistent Progressives vehemently reject all forms of conservatism, analyzing conservatism as one of two all-encapsulating worldviews (the other worldview being progressivism) existing in a binary, with the worldview being ever more destructive & genocidal the more consistently it is practiced." https://g.progressivism.lgbt/), creating a Dualism between Conservatives and Progressives where Progressivism is a Negation of Conservatism and NOT its own affirmation. (Definition of "Progressivism", (https://g.progressivism.lgbt/), "A political worldview defined by the negation, rejection, & opposition to conservatism; an acknowledgement that no identity turns one into a "subhuman", & an advocacy of what would follow from the nonexistence of conservatism: statelessness, egalitarianism, decentralization, freedom of identity, free

love, & an established solidarity where all races, gender identities, sexualities, ages, mentalities, & general beings are viewed as equals & held to the same standard of non-hierarchical organization, anti-discrimination, & respect of identity.") In this way, Deleuze's critique of dialectics comes in handy, for why does Progressivism need to be defined by a lack of Conservatism and not by its own progressive definition? A Negation cannot bring change, and an Anti-Conservatism is conservative by its nature, so a Progressive movement must be its own non-conservative (NOT anti-conservative, conservatives are redefining themselves as anti-progressive and that is grounds for a progressive cultural revolution enough. We must not define ourselves in the hegelian way like conservatives do (negation of negation), but be revolutionary instead!) affirmative revolutionary movement. Even the Communists, who define themselves by the negation of Capitalism, understand this well enough to create the affirmation of Communism. Also, defining Progressivism as "acknowledging that no identity turns one into a "subhuman"" quite literally attempts to destroy the Fascism of Anti-Fascism (The Revolutionary concept that Fascists are Subhuman) and defines itself as a Centrist Ideology, YET they also do not hold this position in reality as the Noveltists ("A case against Consistent Progressivism, Anemoen (Lecter A.A. Wierstamann, who I got permission from to quote in this writing.), https://wierstamann.net/2025/05/29/a-case-against-consistent-progressivism/) are Extremely Centralized and "Platformist" but in the way of denying any other Radqueer or progressive identity the label of progressive and defining them as "conservative" (to say, ONLY noveltists are progressive), and this only matters because they treat conservatives as not only Subhumans but animals needed to be hunted down, killed, and eaten (https://www.tumblr.com/trinketkitten/781633175388635136/i-want-to-share-my-story-of-what-happened-to-me-in?source=share, https://www.tumblr.com/trinketkitten/781925868704497664/bro-nzism-is-not-when-killing-any-group-of?source=share, ("What's wrong here is that not treating ideologies equally has nothing to do with rape or torture. These aren't attacks on someone's views and liberty to promote these views, but on their existence as a living being (human or no). If you can say "someone is like an object to me because they believe in things I view as bad" (which is, by the way, the whole mass of political views that are not Consistent Progressivism, since they don't believe in anything else being a viable alternative), you are not longer arguing about their political views only." describes how this is no longer a lack of political plurality quite well, Anemoen (Lecter A.A. Wierstamann), https://wierstamann.net/2025/05/29/a-case-against-consistent-progressivism/) (i disagree on the definition of nazism, but it is defining of fascist cults based in self-identification on a mass level and the idea of an "other") This is a case of Anti-Conservative Fascist

Cultism growing, it is not like Cute cultism and it is extremely Conservative) (The anti-Conservatism is a Fluffy idea as well, but it is with recognition that we are not exterminating just the people but the conservative ideology itself, and we must do it democratically and revolutionarily, not in such a barbarian and reactionary matter. Cultural revolution, not Hunting down anyone you call conservative. Cannibalism is based, however, but they like Cannibalism for the wrong reasons (the fluffy defense of Cannibalism is that Consensual Cannibalism is an entirely revolutionary releasing of Desire into pure form, and that Corpses count as objects and so do not need to consent regardless), as Noveltists do it because (they see Bodily autonomy as one of the 3 most important part of a revolutionary Right to Identity (https://g.progressivism.lgbt/, “From the right to freedom of identity can be derived a fundamental right to bodily autonomy, which is the right to complete and total unilateral control over what is to go into one's own body and how one's own body is to be modified in any way, shape, or form, if at all. Central to this right is the freedom to create and/or join VSRs (Voluntary Social Relations) with other beings, VSRs being the sole basis permitted for social relations as a whole and allowing beings within them to freely interact with each other, so long as none assert themselves as an authority or ruler over anyone else in the relation and all remain in said relation only as long as they find it to suit their desires. This right through the VSR model defends personally-applied concepts (such as free abortions, free alcohol/drug usage, prosthetic modifications, etc) so long as all are undergone exclusively by those desiring it done to themselves; no individual should ever be forcefully inhibited from taking any action upon their own body, nor should any action be taken upon their own body by another that all involved have not explicitly agreed to through VSRs. (This necessitates youth liberation, neurodivergent liberation, animal liberation, and the abolition of the family institution, among many other concepts.)”) so) They want to Get rid of the Bodily Autonomy of Conservatives and so, according to their principles, see them / define them as Subhuman (https://wierstamann.net/2025/05/29/a-case-against-consistent-progressivism/, “Consistent Progressivism is an ideology founded on the idea of a conservative versus progressive dichotomy, where “conservative” refers to the idea of separating people into worthy and unworthy and the bigotry that follows this separation, and “progressive” refers to rejection of said separation and bigotry. There exist only these two ideologies, and nothing else. They disagree with the idea that concepts of tradition and preservation have anything to do with conservatism and do not wish to discuss underlying economic problems, such as capitalism. They take a negative stance on either left wing or right wing political visions. People expressing interest in this ideology are encouraged to accept it in its entirety and express violent wishes towards those labeled “conservative” (up to rape and other methods of torture)”, how

conservative) (principles of Identity Liberation (https://g.progressivism.lgbt/, “Consistent Progressives promote and defend the right to freedom of identity- this is the right of all beings to a TransID, to openly express and affirm it in any way one desires, and to have it respected as their intrinsic selves. Every individual has the right to identify in any way, shape, or form they want, as well as to modify themselves in any way they want to affirm their identity. No individual should ever have any restrictions whatsoever placed upon them because of an identity characteristic (i.e., race, gender identity, sexuality/romantic orientation, age, mentality etc), nor be inhibited in any way, shape, or form from expressing their identity, nor have any identity characteristics assigned to them at birth, as all beings from the moment they exist have the right to complete and total unilateral control over their own identity, whether they use it to identify in the way they feel is natural for their being, or to change it into something they feel better suits them. (This necessitates the abolition of any & all restrictions to any being delineated by an identity characteristic, thus bringing about LGBTQIA+ liberation, BIPOC liberation, radqueer liberation, gender abolition, liberation of TransIDs, the freedom to as well as destigmatization of public nudity, the abolition of corporations, & the abolition of all states/governments, among many other concepts.)”)). The Noveltists are Conservative because of their Radical Anti-Conservatism making them (what they define as) Conservative, ironic.

“FREE LOVE” (https://g.progressivism.lgbt/)

“All beings have a right to free love; this is the logical extension of the rights to freedom of identity and bodily autonomy, as all individuals have the right to freely and openly express their romantic and sexual orientation, and engage in any romantic or sexual activity with any other beings they want, so long as it is done through the VSR model; no individual should ever be inhibited from pursuing a romantic or sexual relation with any beings they wish to through VSRs, nor be stigmatized, shunned, or otherwise persecuted in any way, shape, or form for their choices in doing so, so long as all involved are progressive and have opted-in to the relation through VSRs.”

I HAVE ALREADY CRITIQUED “FREE LOVE” (in a consistent application, meaning the inclusion of heterosexual relationships in the “free love” doctrine, including pedophilia, zoophilia (in the context of human-oppressed, not animal-animal), and Straight couples, abusive relationships by-nature conservative unless made queer/anarchistic through radical equality.) AS CONSERVATIVE (and, from a noveltist

perspective, if progressivism is the negation of conservatism, why are we supporting what conservatives have supported, like incest and pedophilia and zoophilia (generally just, the pro-contact label in general,) and heterosexuality? Especially when all these things are microstatisms?) however, I'd also like to critique the various paraphilic relationships (of the HETERO kind) supported by Noveltists using the “VSR” concept (“A progressive social relation structure that any non-conservative who is not a BWUI (Any individual entirely incapable of communication* of any kind (both speech and movement), placing them in a position where they are essentially "Beings With Unobservable Intent" (as they can't speak in any way, nor can they move, thus leaving them with no means of making other beings aware of their desires). Other than conservatives, BWUIs are the only beings incapable of joining VSRs, though this is not because of some ageist or ableist "subhuman" factor like what is present in conservatism; quite the contrary actually, as BWUIs are viewed & treated equally to everyone else. There's nothing external stopping them from joining VSRs at all; the only reason they're incapable of joining is because due to not being able to communicate, it would be impossible to know whether a BWUI is opting-in to join a VSR or not (having any way of knowing this would mean they can communicate, and thus would no longer be considered a BWUI), & as such it would be assumed that they aren't until they indicate otherwise, thus negating the BWUI condition) can join, requiring that every single being involved has chosen to opt-in to it solely of their own volitional pleasure desire, remains there only as long as they find the relation satisfies their desire, & is free to exit at any point without any restrictions or barriers to doing so. Short for "Voluntary Social Relation", VSRs would be the universal all-encapsulating model for relations in progressivism, taking the place of all social interactions as a whole but especially the coercive ones existing under conservatism, including but not limited to: the family institution, marriage, education, production.” https://g.progressivism.lgbt/) as well, more than just “it is like le ancaps”. The VSR concept is a Utopianist concept ignoring the Fundamental Power Dynamics and Systematic issues behind Oppression and Conservatism as a whole; the people on top dislike the idea of losing their power and will fight against it by any means or co-opt it by any means, and the people on the bottom are often societally controlled by the top due to the position in power, they will never synthesize and they will never be able to get rid of the power dynamic unless the entirety of society has been done away with. The VSR system is often established in Noveltist groups DURING society, and so often turns into abuse and abuse cases hidden up by the Noveltists on top centralizing the entire group (https://wierstamann.net/2025/05/29/a-case-against-consistent-progressivism/, & personal experience with Noveltist groups), making it Reactionary in its Application. Also, the BWUI’s lack of VSR is a VSR itself

and so does not need to be made clear, a lack of consent to do something is consent to not do something, BWUIs consent to nothing. The VSR system also does not understand the complexity of consent and Voluntary Relationships because of their narrow-minded view of the world. This is wrong, and is only “Anarchist” in its allowance for anything to go by, a centrist “anarchism”, letting Heterosexual and Statist Relationships and Abusive Relationships go by and not caring.

On the Utopianism and Openness to Sinister-Radqueerism that defines Noveltism, Lecter A.A. Wierstamann gives a great argument for it in “The case against Consistent Progressivism”, and i quote them quite a lot for that very reason, but to summarize, they say this (The quotations are the exact words, the words under them are my thoughts on the matter, usually just summarizing the actual text from the Argument (https://wierstamann.net/2025/05/29/a-case-against-consistent-progressivism/, that one.)):

1. “Consistent Progressivism destroys the tools needed to analyze oppression”
   This is done via Dualism, the "Conservative vs Progressive” concept and the reduction of its societal and ideological meanings into just an individual mindset definition (coming from nowhere, ignoring Microfascisms and just implying these Mindsets came from thin air) and negations. It also denies Intersectionality, a tool for analyzing Intersectional bigotry, and ignores the economic basis of things in its denial of Communism and Anarchism as “Conservative”.

2. “Consistent Progressivism is bigoted and anti intersectional”
   For this, they point out the Ableism in Noveltist’s writings themselves and the anti-intersectional understanding of oppression seen in their writings.

3. “Consistent Progressivism is not consistent”
   This one is obvious, it is (CONSISTENT) Conservative by nature to its own definition of Conservatism, but that is not what they are referring to. Consistent Progressivism LARPs as Anarchist/Anarcho-Communist yet critiques anarcho-communism and denies the anarchist label its progressive nature, calling for its deprogramming. They’re also Human-Narcissists and use words that they claim are conservative.

Now, outside of the Wierstamann argument, I also Believe that the Centralized methods of the Noveltists, who apparently want to destroy Centralization, shows an inability to

understand anarchist analysis as any form of a State (which, yes, the Noveltist cult would count as a state when the people on top control the violence and have more power) would fight for its own existence and the existence of Statism, regardless of Ideology. States cannot be Self-negating, Historical Analysis shows this, the Marxist Theory of Knowledge. They also do not seek a Self-Negating Culture, Economy, or System, which any Fluffy Progressive would see as the Core of Revolutionary Ideology. Their "Progressive Culture" is a sham, as Progressivism is the Road to Nihilism, aka, The destruction of Culture itself and the Self. The Noveltists keep a form of Culture around ignorantly, unknowingly creating a new conservative system. Noveltists, too, Take from the Xenosatanists in their Post-(Far-right) Absolute-Individualism that advocate for the Reactionary "progressive" Absolute Affirmation of the Self and the Self's power over itself, they Glorify the Individual but not in the Revolutionary way of the Stirnerite. They Support Culture, the Self, and they deny the importance of Capitalism, then are they even close to Revolutionary? They fight for a false Progressivism. There really is only Progressivism (Revolutionary action) and Conservatism (Reactionary action, including centrists), but Noveltists fall under the latter, not the former. "If it isn't progressive, It's conservative" as their slogan goes (https://g.progressivism.lgbt/), and Consistent Progressivism (and Radqueer groups under the Utopianist or Sinister label in general) (are/)is not Progressive.

Critique of Theories (all quotes will be linked by name and author, not by link), this will be written as it is read and quoted.

=

The Noveltist Analysis of the State:

First Mistake in the Analysis against the state: The lack of defining Conservatism, only implying that conservatism is rooted in Discrimination (subhuman-ization) "... opposition to conservatism ((defining progressivism)); an acknowledgement that no identity turns one into a "subhuman"..." (The Noveltist Analysis of the State, by Noveltist Decentr Ⓐl aka Noveltist)

+

Second Mistake in the Analysis against the state: Absolutely no proof of Causation between the totalitarian regimes' conservative actions and the state's nature itself is given, only correlation of the "examples" type. "As the state grows, it only ever becomes more discriminatory…" (The Noveltist Analysis of the State, by Noveltist Decentr Ⓐl aka Noveltist) this is true, but that is because of the State's nature as a tool for Centrism (aka Conservatism of the Liberalist kind, or "Liberalism", the Cathedral (The reterritorializing beating heart of the enemy, Yaldabaoth's arteries)) which is shown in the history of the

creation of states (like social contracts meant to keep one's power over another), the material conditions controlling the state (like the rich, white, cultural, patriarchist controlling society and affirming the state), or any other example. But the Noveltist, ignoring that, claims that more state power = logical consequence of state, when actually less revolutionary power (like neoliberal society and culture) = logical consequence of state. This is especially seen with what they call "Consistent Conservatism" ("The USSR is an obvious example of statism becoming Consistent Conservatism (when taken to its logical conclusion… this happens in every single case…)" (The Noveltist Analysis of the State, by Noveltist Decentr®l aka Noveltist)). They claim that Consistent Conservatism is the logical conclusion to the state, and they quote the USSR and later China under Mao ("...Mao Zedong's China… "Sexual castration of "sexual deviants"""" (The Noveltist Analysis of the State, by Noveltist Decentr®l aka Noveltist)) as two examples, alongside Pinochet, pol pot, and Hitler ((The Noveltist Analysis of the State, by Noveltist Decentr®l aka Noveltist)). They even claim Marx's Antisemitism inspired Stalin's ("and the idea of a special jewish predilection for capitalism is of course to be found in Marx"" (The Noveltist Analysis of the State, by Noveltist Decentr®l aka Noveltist) (https://www.jewishhistory.org/stalin%E2%80%99s-anti-semitism/#:~:text=Stalin%E2%80%99s%20attit ude%20%5Btowards,found%20in%20Marx Is where the original quote is from, a jewish history organization that speaks about the Zionist project as not a genocide (https://www.jewishhistory.org/the-zionist-movement/), supports israel and hates palestinian liberation groups (https://www.jewishhistory.org/plo/), these conservatives are quotes against the JEWISH Marx)) despite Marx's Jewish blood and the fact that Marxism should not glorify nor follow Marx the old Racist (see: Marx-Engels Correspondence 1862, Marxists Internet Archive, Marx To Engels In Manchester ("The Jewish nigger Lassalle who… (unless his mother or paternal grandmother interbred with a nigger). Now, this blend of Jewishness and Germanness, on the one hand, and basic negroid stock, on the other, must inevitably give rise to a peculiar product. The fellow's importunity is also nigger-like…") WOW). The "Consistent Conservative" Regimes talked about by Noveltist Decentral are not Consistent in their Conservatism, and are only called that to be made radically other to Consistent Progressivism (Conservatism negated creates Consistent Progressivism and that negated creates Consistent Conservatism, negation of the Negation / Sublation, CLEAR hegelian dialectics with no affirmation!). The USSR did instate Progressive ideals (Women's rights (https://www.graduateinstitute.ch/communications/news/lifting-iron-curtain-gender-policies-soviet-union), Worker's Rights and abolition of the old Rich pigs and Tsarists (Russian Revolutions), etc.) and it had instated Conservative ideals (State Capitalism, criminalizing homosexuality after decriminalizing it, Stalinism, racism), and it was very much an inconsistent regime of different ideologies

Contradicting each another. Same with Mao's China (Fighting with the Red Guards, the UltraMaoists, the Maoists, Mao, the Red Capitalists, the Yellow Capitalists, Deng, etc.), who literally committed to a progressive cultural revolution (that wasn't enough). These regimes were inconsistent with their Conservatism, so defining them as consistent conservative regimes would be lying.

+

Third Mistake of the Analysis against the state: Simplifying the State's Cathedralist system down to "monopoly" ("The government is not a passive entity at all, but rather a monopolistic one" (The Noveltist Analysis of the State, by Noveltist Decentr Ⓐ l aka Noveltist)) ignores all the other material conditions that led up to it, and ignores the inherent conservative and reactionary character of hierarchy and its relation to the state and statism. The State is not, as the noveltists believe it is, "arising from a specific set of human beliefs… the "conservative mindset"" (The Noveltist Analysis of the State, by Noveltist Decentr Ⓐ l aka Noveltist), but a living entity born out of a history of material conditions and machine-interactions between different entities, such as it is also evolved from the old tribes and patriarchies that also created Culture, and it is evolved from Hierarchy and the culture of social darwinism. But, the Noveltist ignores this, and claims that the state just came from Ideals and forced progressives to fund them (The Noveltist Analysis of the State, by Noveltist Decentr Ⓐ l aka Noveltist) through violence, even though progressives only get more progressive as history goes and so early progressives actually tended towards pro-statist ideas for their ideals. Historical revisionism. Inconsistent conservatives are defined by noveltists as "any individual following an ideology advocating for the continued existence of the state, even if they deny the inevitable implications of it" (The Noveltist Analysis of the State, by Noveltist Decentr Ⓐ l aka Noveltist) despite that just being Statism and a belief under a consistent guideline and a conservative one.

+

Fourth Mistake of the Analysis against the state: Inconsistency. This is an attack on the Movement's methods. "...Consistent Progressivism must therefore necessitate decentralized force to abolish conservatism" (The Noveltist Analysis of the State, by Noveltist Decentr Ⓐ l aka Noveltist), yet the Noveltist Consistent Progressive Movement, back then AND now, has been a Centralized inefficient "attack" that seems to just copy the aesthetics of anarchism and the structure of a state via its Anti-Collaberationist cult-like rule, its purgings, its use of cyberbullying and (the closest thing to violence you can get on the internet) against enemies and traitors, its lack of praxis to actually change anything, and its maintenance of its own regime without doing anything else. Unapologetic Progressives (One noveltist group) has not ever actually fought against

Society or Conservatism, has not ever done revolution, has not ever done Praxis, and seems more like a politics-LARPing non-defined radqueer group that just so happens to be heavily centralized and act like a cult. If a Consistent Progressive must be a force decentralized, why is Noveltist Centralizing their groups and making like a Leninist with undemocratic platformism? Even the actual Platformists were not so Centralized and extreme, this Noveltist Platformism is nothing but an Anarcho-Totalitarian Statism.
=

Centrism as an impossibility:

First mistake in the analysis of Centrism: Misdefining Centrism. Centrism, aka Liberalism, is the ideology of the Cathedral and controls/creates Conservatism. The Centrists and liberals of today become the Conservatives of tomorrow by appropriating and reterritorializing Leftist history and revolution into a Centrist Liberal Framework and stripping it of its Leftism. Centrism is more than just “the ‘horseshoe position’... and the ‘syncretist’ position…” (Centrism as an Impossibility, by Noveltist Decentr Ⓐl), it is one of the main ideological enemies, if not THE main ideological enemy, of leftism. Centrism is not an ideology in the way progressivism (revolutionary) and conservatism (reactionary) is, aka one that defines and categorizes every decision in one or the other IN THE MOMENT, it is a categorizing ideology of the future that only defines all actions taken as “good, needed, societally agreed upon” only AFTER it takes place, it is a destroyer of dualisms by using time itself.
+

Second mistake in the analysis of Centrism: Not making any actual argument against centrism’s liking of conservatism except “it misunderstands conservatism cuz uhh the dictionary gives a bad definition” (“...Conservatism as meaning Conservationism… Conservatism in actuality has very little to do with ‘conserving’...” (Centrism as an Impossibility, by Noveltist Decentr Ⓐl)) even though the common definition of conservatism is accurate and IS the problem. Conserving is the problem with conservatism, to say otherwise is to defend conservatism. They then explain their definition of conservatism in the writing, to try and change the definition of words. Ironically, the Noveltists arguing against Horseshoe theory is the best argument FOR horseshoe theory as the Noveltists did indeed “become so progressive” (not really) that they became conservative. This is also where they could, technically, critique real progressives for being “reverse conservatives” (“Conservatives as of currently due tend to view non heterosexual adult cisgender white men as subhuman however, so it would certainly be unorthodox to discriminate against them, but still conservative by virtue of being discrimination” (Centrism as an Impossibility, by Noveltist Decentr Ⓐl)), but i’d like to argue that “reverse conservatism” is idiotic as a concept, and this is just anti-haitian bullshit. Not only do the Noveltists discriminate against conservatives, they even

threaten to genocide conservatives because they are conservative, so they have no right to talk. Oppressed victims have a right to hate their oppressors, and it is ONLY conservative if it oppresses an oppressed group (like NOI and the oppression of women), black nationalists are only reactionary when they oppress non-white cultures because the only way to progress is the abolition of oppressors and the abolition of oppression. Allowing oppressors to stay reinstates oppression and conservatism and only "destroys" oppression by sidelining it into systematic hidden oppression that will only get worse over time. This shows that Noveltists do not understand critical theory and underlying material conditions, again. Reverse Conservatives (even the reactionary kinds) are not the same as "TERFs, superstraights, and "LGBs without the T"" (Centrism as an Impossibility, by Noveltist Decentr@l) EVEN with the similarities, as the latter are minorities trying to appeal to the conservatives, to appear "Normal" by betraying their people, they are traitors. To use the metaphor-story found in page 4-5 of Centrism as an Impossibility (by Noveltist Decentr@l), the centrist waits until the black woman is hanged or saved by progressives, then years later either acts like the hanging wasn't that bad and done by non-centrists or like the heroes were centrists who would be conservative today. The syncretist centrism critique is fine, it only is too dualistic.
=

Abolish Families:

First mistake in the analysis of the family: The image appearing at the start of this analysis is an inaccurate analysis of the state-economy connection and an inaccurate depiction of the family. "Corporatists" aka Corporation owners AKA the noveltists' replacement term for the rich to avoid a serious economic analysis that would lead then to communism are not controlled by the government, as most marxists and deleuzeans and economists know, but usually they are equal or serve each other's interests, and governments (and the Rich) DIRECTLY oppress people, not just through corporations and such. Too, Families are not controlled by the Government but INSPIRED and CREATED the government structure (through patriarchy and tribalism) while now under the government, and the government AND Patriarchists directly oppress people, not just through families. Also, it is a simplification of the family model. Patriarchists control and oppress the Mother just as much as the children slaves, and the Mother used to be a slave too, allowing for a New Democracy of the Maoist kind to work between the Youth and the Matri"anarchs" against Patriarchy. By simplifying it to parents vs children, they ignore the role of the Mother and the feminist revolutionary potential, and the Patriarchy's preference of male children over female children and sometimes even male children over mothers (emotionally incestuous homosexuality is key to patriarchy's

grooming of future patriarchs), it also ignores intersectionality itself and a need for familial new democracy.

+

Second mistake in the analysis of the family: Child-Slavery is defined by a lack of autonomy and being owned, ignoring slavery is also a lack of property, which children do not own property either. They ignore the Mother also used to be a slave and imply that the State and Conservatism birthed the Family, and not the other way around, wrongly ("The way they (the government) do it is through the perpetuation of the social organizational structure that can be identified as the "family institution"..." Abolish Families by Noveltist Decentr Ⓐl).

+

Third mistake in the analysis of the family: outdated theories for property and ownership, based in human narcissism and bio-supremacy. In the Fluffy analysis of property, any ownership is slavery, property itself is slavery, Use and Re-Use only does not count as slavery because it works in a dehumanizing way for the object just like the fluffy "anarchist" would need to have happen to them or others for one to be revolutionary. Using is dehumanizing in this context, and that is fluffy and cute. They assume Ownership to be a right, but any true progressive realizes, ownership, even self-ownership, is reactionary.

+

Fourth mistake in the analysis of the family: "...because conservatism specifically requires socialism (in the "central planning" definition) to even exist in the first place. … the market would inevitably promote liberation through progressivism, and there would be nothing that conservatives would be able to do to stop it except for, of course, resorting to Austrian-defined 'socialism' , which is central planning." (Abolish Families by Noveltist Decentr Ⓐl) This is supposedly a Mutualist argument, without ever quoting proudhon. My main critique here is that, while the Free Market (mutualism) would've lead to progressive liberation before its mutilation by Capitalism, historical analysis now shows that Mutualism is now suicidal and would lead to either corruption into capitalism again or accelerationism of the global free market, so then, it would lead to a divide of mutualism against capitalism, aka Free market vs Central planning. "Socialism" here is just a Mutualist understanding and economic understanding of Capitalism, and using "Socialism" here' even in quotations, is misleading and ancap-immitating (they quote Hoppe here and critique him(?)). Hoppe was right, however, as the Noveltists here talk of MUTUALIST rights of property, and Hoppe talks of CAPITALIST rights of PRIVATE property, this again shows that the Noveltists do not understand economics. In Mutualism, there is no Private Property in the way there is in Capitalism, it is just Property. That is what makes it a free market at all. They call this Agorist

Countereconomy in the text (Abolish Families by Noveltist Decentr@l) despite it fitting more with Mutualist tactics. This Critique is in response to the Noveltists' critique of Hoppe's critique of left-rothbardians, and this is important because the Noveltists have no reason to critique hoppe's Critique of the left-rothbardians unless they are claiming a left-rothbardian (which makes sense when they quote Rothbard in argument 3) position or at least a support of it, in which they are doing poorly due to their misunderstanding of ancap-economics, marxist-economics, anarchist economics, agorism, and mutualist-economics, causing them to sound blundered in their misidentification of different property forms and their pseudo-Ancap support of private property while meaning to support Mutualist Property rights. Yet, they do show good critique against Hoppe's anarcho-Pinochet madness "hardly sounding voluntary at all even if you ignore that the "libertarian order" Hoppe wants to maintain is built on an authoritarian structure." (Abolish Families by Noveltist Decentr@l).

+

Fifth mistake in the analysis of the family: Consent. While the Noveltist Argument for Children being able to Consent is scientific, and Children CAN consent, there is an issue on the sexual aspect. Oppressed victims cannot consent to oppressors due to the inherent power dynamic rendering consent meaningly and arbitrary and so ending autonomy and creating a microstatism in the dynamic, this microstatism is called heterosexuality because it was first found in Straights, but it applies to all Oppressed x Oppressor relationships (which, by the way, were supported by conservatives. Historically, Conservatives have supported Pedophilia, Incest, Zoophilia, and more all around the world, be it in the UK, in european monarchies, in the CURRENT americas where Trump rapes children, or anywhere else. Conservatives pretend to be against rape and every other "disgusting thing", but they support it. They are horrid creatures. Consistent progressivists also support the Pro-C (a conservative position-. A fluffy position is for queer-anarchism and CONSENT, contact is not a question) position huh? Were they not supposed to be a negation of the conservatives? Oh, and consistent progressivists support oppressed x oppressor? But that's conservative and microstatist! And if you are to say "But conservatives SAY they oppose these things, so lets go off what they say" then, every conservative and nazi claims to be against the rape of their people, and no progressive is going to be pro-rape. Noveltists support all the things Conservatives support when it comes to Contact, huh? What happened to "...The only contact progressives oppose is conservative contact"(https://g.progressivism.lgbt/)? Pro-C (to any HETERO relationship) is conservative contact, it is rape itself, it is abuse.). Children can consent to other children of the same age as long as no power dynamics are in play, women can consent to other women as long as no power dynamics are in play, POCs can consent to other POCs as long as no power dynamics are in play, t4t transferring energy

into c2c inflation accelerationism squeeze, but Straight, White-interracial, c4t, pedophilic, zoophilic, HETERO relationships are rooted in societal power dynamics. Of course, some relationships can be clear from this as long as the oppression has been minimized enough that its not ALL oppressors (c4t as not all cis people oppress, “straight” (not really straight if it isn’t HETERO, more so quasi-straight) / turigirl / lesboy as not every man oppresses (just most do, so VERY rare), interracial couples are (currently, not historically) fine as not all whites oppress and there is enough progressive anti-white whites, etc.), but certain relationships are rooted in the oppression themselves, like zoophilia and pedophilia, as pedophiles make up most of the oppressing class and, like men, are extremely commonly oppressive. Even then, the pedophilic oppression is rooted IN sexual relations. The Utopian queer “free love” principle undermines queer anarchism and liberation. I do agree fundamentally with the noveltists on children and consent, only the sexual question draws a line in the sand. Emancipation is ageist and anti-(Youth Liberation), and EXIT is cowardice.

=

The benefits of decentralization:

First mistake in the writing: Ignoring that they repeated their new definitions for progressivism and conservatism, in which my critique of such has already been written and WILL NOT be repeated for my own sake, the first mistake is saying that the state requires the popular opinion of the masses to succeed (“The state exerts its power through coerced public opinion” (The benefits of Decentralization, Noveltist Decentr Ⓐl)). Neoliberalism and most modern countries have disproved that already. People may hate the government, MAJORITY, and no change will come unless a vanguard is ready a counter-state anti-state. The government’s power comes from its literal power, the military and police, the cultural and economic system, the hierarchy, the monopoly on violence defining a state, more than just public opinion. A state uses violence, not voice, they are terrorists as lanza says (CulturalPhilistine Transcriptions, on Cults and Culture, Adam Lanza). “Any institution as small as the government will never be able to exert an all-encompassing authority over any society” (The benefits of Decentralization, Noveltist Decentr Ⓐl) is also wrong, because while any revolutionary institution must rely on the masses and be by/for the masses, it is well known that terrorist groups have taken power despite being a minority before and will again. Russian Nihilists, through small groups, also took out many Tsarist statists at their time. This misinformation about the state is rooted in their idealistic Quasi-(Dialectical Materialism) anti-marxist understanding of the state “called the “conservative mindset”” (The benefits of Decentralization, Noveltist Decentr Ⓐl), literally setting them closer to the Stalinists in philosophy despite its similarity to the microfascism theory, as the microfascism theory is well-thought and makes sense with the state and the conservative mindset theory does not. The

conservative Mindset theory is Guattari's microfascism theory processed through post-stalinist dialectical materialism, and made in a Progressive vs Conservative dualism.
+
Second mistake in the writing: Now they are bordigists? "The reason having majority rule or "rule of the people" as the underlying principle is bad is because it's the principle put there *instead of* progressivism" (The benefits of Decentralization, Noveltist Decentr Ⓐl), where have we heard this before? And what is worse is that, while some may argue "Bordiga meant representative/Bourgeois democracy" (which, he didn't, his organic centralized position makes it clear), Noveltist means it completely AS democracy itself, they do not recognize the liberation of everyone (democracy par excellence) as the LIBERATION OF EVERYONE. "What… are the people "ruling" over?... other people." (The benefits of Decentralization, Noveltist Decentr Ⓐl), not quite true, though, as the question presupposes an imaginary other- the people, in a direct democracy par excellence (small commune, patchwork), rule over themselves, as there is no other, and so there is no people. Ruling over nothing, ruled by nothing. People cannot rule over "other" people in a democracy par excellence, there is no "other".
+
Third mistake in the writing: Another Personal Attack: The Noveltists "chose" decentralization over centralization (like I would due to the Russian Nihilist way being best for a technologically-mixed society) but do not actually choose Decentralization (the NOVELTIST platformism is centralized) and do not actually do radqueer revolution (RADQUEER REVOLUTION!!!), they just speak about it. They also reject the Anarchist principle of Democracy, for what? Strange inconsistency. Their Platformism has also lead to them lacking the support of the majority or at least enough radqueers for a movement or vanguard, as they limit themselves to a scrict small community of noveltists who only allow their own- and they have done no praxis, they haven't done anything to suggest real support of radqueer revolution, but maybe it is because their platformism and centralized cult-like form does not allow them to organize any sort of political revolution… their anti-collaberationism makes them weak. In this writing, Collaberationism is referring to Leftist-Unity and especially to Radqueer-Unity, as Noveltists see all leftists/radqueers but themselves as enemies-- real collaberationism (outside of new democracy and maoism) is a sin.
=
The Spectrum of Conservatism:
First few mistakes of the analysis of Conservatism: We already spoke on this: The image reverses the material conditions, putting the conservative mindset first, and then the government as a result of it. This is idealistic. Then, again, the redefining of conservatism

and progressivism, and the making of progressivism into a negation with no affirmation. In fact, the entire analysis I am critiquing in this writing, “spectrum of conservatism”, is trying to refute the critique of the Dualism problem by claiming “Conservatism is itself a superset category of various linked positions (progressivism only has one position, being the universal negation of all of them, thus making it static)” (The Spectrum of Conservatism, Noveltist Decentr®l), which is idiotic as making it superset vs set only defines them more toward an US VS THEM problem than a Dualist problem, yet still a PROBLEM. It also still claims negation over affirmation. The one-position law forces inconsistent progressives into the “inconsistent conservative” category, which literally shows an US VS THEM problem forming right in front of us. Along with this, they reveal that Consistent Conservatism, the STATIC POSITION, takes two forms, but they reveal this unknowingly and idiotically through a definition “...and consistent conservatism (which is static because the only position is the advocacy of genocide AND/OR slavery of an identity group).” (The Spectrum of Conservatism, Noveltist Decentr®l), CRAZY. You either affirm the difference by making the other a slave, or you destroy the difference by killing the other, Fascism of the Non-Fascist kind or Fascism of the Fascist kind, yet the Noveltists pretend these two positions are the same so that they could claim Consistent Progressivism needs ONE position only and therefore a platformist centralization. LOL.

+

Fifth or so mistake of the analysis of Conservatism: Suicidal Conservatism and “Conservatism”, the two other positions put in place to claim “look, we don’t suffer from the dualism problem!”, are practically unexplained and are ignored by the noveltists for this exact reason. They cannot explain internalization without intersectionality, nor can they explain a conservatism against consistent conservatism, so they leave it there. Which is sad, I'd like to know the Noveltist explanation for the AOGNJ’s psychology or for the average conservative-centrist’s non-genocidal psychology. They do a good enough, not thorough, job of critiquing liberals.

+

Sixth or so mistake of the analysis of conservatism: empirical ideologies exist now? This just defines an apolitical force’s user/abuser at the time, but of course, no noveltist can have an apolitical thing exist. “...History has been empirically conservative.” (The Spectrum of Conservatism, Noveltist Decentr®l) just means, idiotically, History is an apolitical force and is currently being used/abused by Conservatives. Yet, the noveltists can’t analyze that much as that would create a third ideology of apoliticalism/opportunism, so they just create an empirical category (yet they allow the third ideology of pacifism, which they define as “the death of any ideology that practices

it” (The Spectrum of Conservatism, Noveltist Decentr®l) and so “not an ideology”). Wow. Also, History is Centrist, as i have discussed, it belongs to the Centrist after-ideology that defined the Cathedral, claiming History can be conservative is false as Conservatism supports Centrism (and centrism controls history. The fascist burning of history books and historical revisionism is also centrist, it is just overt control over history). Then, they call Religion another example of an “empirically conservative” thing (The Spectrum of Conservatism, Noveltist Decentr®l, “...empirically conservative other than history itself is religion…”), which in this case is another idiotic way to describe the Scapegoating of Religion done by Culture, something that I have already discussed as well. And with this empirical category, then the intrinsic category comes to be its opposite. Nothing to be said about that.

+

Seventh or so Mistake of the analysis of conservatism: Defending Tradition. As the Noveltists have separated Conservatism from Tradition, and history from Centrism, they now try to claim that “If & When consistent progressivism wins out, history would become progressive from that point on, and eventually progressivism would become tradition.” (The Spectrum of Conservatism, Noveltist Decentr®l), which is wrong. History would still be centrist / liberal, revisioning far-left groups and pretending they are liberal groups, deleting the worst of the history out of history itself, and hypostitionizing a lot of progressive ‘history’. Then, Tradition would still be Conservative and wrong, it would corrupt and distort progressivism, like the German antifa falling into Zionism. Tradition corrupts progressivism, Tradition is core to conservatism, you cannot conserve a tradition without becoming conservative, that is why progressives must be nihilists against culture. Progressivism cannot become tradition, that is impossible, unless it is self-negating tradition (but the Noveltist progressivism is not self-negating, it is a negation that affirms itself instead and deludes itself).

=

Abolish Families (An Appendix):

Nothing to speak on this one

=

Para-Progressivism:

First mistake on Progressivism and Paras: Complaining about the disunity between the marginalized communities is ironic when the Noveltists stand on a platformist anti-(leftist unity) anti-collaborationist position. “The solution seems like it would be a fairly simple one: to stop throwing each other under the bus and fight conservatives instead” (Para-progressivism, Noveltist Decentr®l), yet the Noveltists call any position unlike them as conservative and threaten to hunt them down, and they stand against

communism and against (at least using the word, and the communist currents of,) Anarchism and against almost all positions of marginalized groups, they stand from their armchairs and speak of disunity but not attempt any unity nor praxis nor reaching out to people and explaining radqueer theory, they stick to their echo-chamber instead of teaching the masses. Bordigists. This sentiment is also seen in An extension to Liberation, by Noveltist Decentr@l, where they say this “All of the blankqueer communities, paraphile corners, and transID safe spaces share one other thing in common aside from being left behind by other queers, and its that they lack any sense of structure or unity with even each other. They’re splintered and spread out” (An Extension to Liberation, Noveltist Decentr@l), which once again, a “Platformist” anti-(non-Noveltist) separation that has disunited radqueers even more, would not help with.

+

Second mistake on Progressivism and Paras: “anti-incest” (“and all anti-incest stances can be easily found to derive from that conservatives want to prevent “bad genes”.” (Para-progressivism, Noveltist Decentr@l)) in the family only exists on the sexual (sometimes not even that) level, Families are built upon and supported by incest itself. Sexual incest has been used as a form of eugenics many times (european monarchs, white southerners, white crackers, whites, whites, whites, and fascists alike), emotional incest is tied to majority of familial structures and familial laws/traditions, and implied incest is core to the oedipus complex core to the family. Families cover up incest cases and ignores incestuous abuse, there is nothing anti-incestous about the family, and the Conservative “Anti-Incest” position only exists so that conservatives can support more incest. In fact, Family abolitionism would HAVE TO, by nature, abolish incest, as without a family there is no such thing as incest. Incest does not exist when families do not exist, to repeat, so to support incest is to support families. (on the zoophilia issue, I say one thing: Animals can consent, but they won’t. Animals are conservative, they are species-supremacists, you see this in their social darwinistic culture called “nature” and their actions against the weak. All animals actually capable of sexual intercourse would not consent to sexual intercourse due to this, so one does not need to defend the animal from the zoo, let the animal just tear the zoo’s face off like how an animal would with a robber. If the zoo is serious about consent, unlike most zoos that drug animals before sex, then the zoo would realize how almost no animal wants to fuck them and give up. These issues solve themselves. While I believe in Animal Rights, I do realize that the Vegan anti-meat movement, while having points about capitalism’s unethical treatment of animals, is reactionary by denying the animals the right to self-negation and self-destruction, our treatment of humans should be equal to animals and vice versa, cannibalism should be legalized. Humans and animals live together and get eaten

together, no more farming OR we are all farmed, equality either way. Noveltists try to limit the rights of animals by declaring carnivorous animals basically all conservatives because they need to attack other animals to live, yet, isn't that the same to a radical anti-animal veganism? Why not let us all cannibalize each other, instead of genociding carnivores? THIS IS A GENOCIDE OF CARNIVORES (and wild animals generally) THAT THE NOVELTISTS FIGHT FOR. sickening. Only allowing domestic PACIFIST animals into VSRs also make them easier to rape, go figure. Noveltists want to genocide a group due to their diet, how actually absurd! If no animal is killed for meat, carnivores starve. This is Human-supremacy (looking as the praising of domestic "human-like" animals over the wild animals) and conservative by nature, noveltists are conserving human supremacy and are being speciesist. Noveltists cannot exterminate a group for something out of their control!! They deny the concept of pets as reactionary but basically threaten to kill off all wild animals. The "meat factories" of the noveltists will eventually run out due to Evolution as carnivores slowly get domesticated to not attack each other and will all starve to death, and feeding carnivores what will usually be their own meat will only make them sicker, causing the non-offending carnivores to die out either way. This system is not sustainable without causing a literal genocide. Likewise, the demand for Conservative meat would incentivize the noveltists to throw their own out and call them conservative in order to hunt them down for meat production, it would turn into a fascist death cult gradually kicking more and more groups out for conservatism. First, conservatives, then carnivores, then wild animals, then what? It's a cycle of hate, similar to fascist Italy, and inconsistent. To claim to be for animal rights, yet to genocide whole groups of animals systematically with Meat Factories and revoking Rights to said whole groups of animals, is entirely inconsistent and horribly genocidal. It is a consistent conservatism, even, to genocide whole groups.).
+

Third mistake on progressivism and Paras: raping conservatives. okay. "Rape can effectively be described as a form of conservatism,((which doesn't make sense (it makes sense in a noveltist way*), as rape, LIKE INCEST, PEDOPHILIC SEX, and ZOOPHILIC SEX, has been used as a tool for conservatism and reactionary grounds, and so is reactionary, but it isn't a conservative idea or conservative at all. Negation of the negation does not make affirmation.)) (thought, that being said it's not exactly possible to be conservative to conservatives)" (Para-progressivism, Noveltist Decentr®l), what? Advocating the rape of conservatives is extremely Reactionary. Rape is by-nature a form of Violence, the Destruction of Autonomy, a Sexist tool (as a lot of Sexist oppression came from rape as state power), creates literal abuse and power dynamic, a pure form of hierarchy, and is part of what creates oppression at all. To Rape is not only disgusting

and Reactionary but to normalize Rape and possibly lead to more Oppressions later on, it is not the solution to any problem. By any means necessary, yes, AND self-criticism and anti-reactionary, PURELY REVOLUTIONARY, tactics. Both (as you will never get a turtle from a chicken egg). (*"…as it is the dynamic of one's identity being placed above the identity of another and thus able to dictate how their body is used without their input…"(Para-progressivism, Noveltist Decentr@l))
=

An extension to Liberation:

First mistake of the liberation: The three Fundamental Rights. No Revolutionary peoples nor leftists can stick to a program that includes "Human Rights" or "Fundamental Rights", all rights should not be fundamental but instead flexible and loose for easy use in the Revolutionary battle (the right to starve is not a rigid "human" or "fundamental" right, neither is the right to work nor the right to exist, all these rights are used opportunistically for when they benefit the Revolutionary battle or not.), and all Rights must be voluntary and Democratically agreed upon by said commune that the right applies to in order for it not to be a "Right given from above" and so only enforceable through statism (Fluffy "Anarchists" have the Cutepatch system and the direct democracy system, the patchwork commune itself decides its "rights", not the ideology. The right to starve or work are suggestions. Noveltists lack democracy and lack ideology-as-suggestion as they are "platformists" of the noveltist kind), this is why Statist-enforced rights are invalid (like "Human Rights" LOL). The Noveltists fundamental rights (which are in violation of both principles laid out earlier on what is an anarchist-enforced right) are: "Identity, Bodily Autonomy, and Free Love" (An Extension to Liberation, Noveltist Decentr@l), and already there is a problem. The freedom of identity contradicts the Noveltist solution to the paradox of Acceptance/Tolerance, as if you are FREE to have an identity, you cannot be punished for that identity, and so trans-conservatives (and possibly conservatives) should not be killed. But they would be, as the Noveltist solution to the Paradox demands it(Acceptance to those who are accepting only). To this, they just go "Conservatives and Rights are mutually exclusive" (An Extension to Liberation, Noveltist Decentr@l), but if they can control who is a Conservative, and Conservatives have absolutely NO rights, they'd control the violence done to the people. They control who it is legal to, or, who it is not a violation of rights to be violent towards, and so, they control violence; the Noveltist decentralized group would have a monopoly on violence through their defining of who is conservative or not. They are Statists, like Stalin and who is a trotskyite or not. They control whether you have rights or not, whether you get killed or not, how anti-statist! Bodily Autonomy contradicts the Progressive Anti-Self cause that is core to fluffy revolutionary action, but we'll let it go as noveltists are not fluffy. And Free Love- I have already Critiqued twice already, i will leave it at that.
+

Second mistake of the liberation: BWUIs. BWUIs, because their radical difference to non-BWUIs or “BWOIs” ((and their different relations to the means to productions (though this matters less, as noveltists haven't talked about how the economy works in a noveltist utopia and if the MOP matters in that utopia (although, they do put emphasis in militias and the work of defense and offense, which BWUIs cannot do and so creates a quasi-class difference too (and the fact they’d be kicked out of EVERY VSR IN EXISTENCE, defining them as less than a slave))))) and because of the inherent being of BWUI-nature, are an entirely different class. Anarchism is classless, moneyless, and stateless, and so, having two different classes is a huge issue. In most anarchist circles, BWUIs are taken care of in some way or form as democratically and anarchistically as they can (yet always not enough), but for the Noveltists– well, they have all the solutions, just don’t let them in! How smart, how hasn’t anyone thought of this? If the baby cries for something, and because nobody can understand what its intent is (unobservable intent), let’s just not let it join a VSR! And if the Noveltists assume that the baby is hungry, then if it isn’t hungry then the Noveltists are non-consensually force-feeding a baby, and the baby won’t fight against food because psychoanalysis of the lacanian kind already shows us that when we feed babies every time they cry, or even try to, it shows psychological repercussions due to the misguiding of desire. This does not only apply to babies, obviously. Or, actually, any person who will be in a BWUI state for a long time will probably perish or be groomed / nonconsensually acted on– VSRs would replace ALL relations and structures of society, so kicking a being out of all structures of society would be fundamentally lethal, but if the BWUI is fed or educated or taught how to talk (An Extension to Liberation, Noveltist Decentr Ⓐ l), they’d be being groomed and all actions lack consent. Teaching a language is a cultural virus (Lanza culturalphilistine transcripts, on Cults and Culture), Educating will always have ideological and cultural biases that makes it grooming, and feeding can cause mental issues (lacan), and what if the BWUI is suicidal? BWUIs are mistreated. In a Fluffy cutecull or cutepatch or MPC, (nobody gives birth (so no newborns), and) every being would become BWUI in the face of the OWB-system and democracy would be provided to actual BWUIs through technology or cybernetics in order to help communication without just abandoning them. And while not giving inanimate objects rights makes sense under a fluffy framework, the Noveltists’ refusal to give rights to Objects and Permanent-BWUI makes it so that BWUIs and Objects are considered Objects (AND OBJECTS AS A CLASS) to noveltists, giving a good defense for newborn trafficking and mute-amputee (as that is what BWUIs are originally referring to according to old members of the Noveltist movement. Which, BWUIs are being called objects, remember. (https://web.archive.org/web/20241212080518/https://consistent-

progressivism.on.drv.tw/Politics/progressivism.html) (this web link (which, one of the outsources resources is n1x land's gender accelerationism: a blackpaper blackpaper, which is founded in lesbiaNRX ideas and really not Noveltist at all. Strange.) is found ON https://wierstamann.net/2025/05/29/a-case-against-consistent-progressivism/ to give credit to them) as "just market activity" if one wanted to. Objects share BWUI status, by the way, so raping a newborn or a mute-amputee is equivalent to using a sex toy to the noveltists apparently. Wow. (fluffy anarchists, remember to make sure newborns and mute-amputees aren't considered objects in your minds, because that is incomprehensibly gross) "applying rights to permanent BWUIs cannot be done with any coherency without rendering the very act of existing to be impossible." (An Extension to Liberation, Noveltist Decentr Ⓐl) (If noveltism becomes consistent in their theory of BWUIs, then even educating(GROOMING) them on anything or feeding them would be conservative (which it is), and so they'd be permanent BWUIs). (I must admit that a 2.5 critique on An Extension to Liberation, critiquing the Carnivore genocide program, the Domestication program, and the Noveltist meat factories, has been relocated to the second mistake of progressivism and paras).

+

Third mistake of the liberation: The ignoring of the progressive strive in Communism/Anarchism, seen in 2.3's ignoring of Communism's progressivism and the ignoring of economics' importance on oppression and conservatism. How will you tell me that Capitalism, the Abuser of the free market and one of the Big 3 Reactionary Forces (Culture, Capitalism, Self), is just an "economic distraction"(An Extension to Liberation, Noveltist Decentr Ⓐl), There has been class reductionism, race reductionism, and now Noveltists have birthed out "Ideological/identity reductionism" or whatever. Ignoring Intersectionality once again in their ignorance of material conditions. I will not talk about how the Noveltists revised the definition of words and literally did a "Newspeak" from George Orwell's 1984 because I have already spoken on this before.

+

Fourth mistake of the liberation: "...the phrase itself implies there is some intrinsic nature to humans, which can be fairly obviously shown to not be the case by the existence of free will." (An Extension to Liberation, Noveltist Decentr Ⓐl) This phrase is reactionary. It, like white people denying white culture's inherent racism and the lasting effects of racism, ignores humanity's inherently discriminatory and disgusting nature and its lasting effects on every other species. Yes, human nature exists, non-human animals are oppressed and genocided and culled and harmed by global warning every day because of our Human Nature, our Human Nature is evil and we should hate ourselves for it. Of course, animals also partake in this evil of global warming, like trans-species, therians,

otherkins, and dogs and atlanteans and whatnot, but Humans (and atlanteans, kill all atlanteans, they don't count) make up the majority and CREATED the issue in the first place. This line reads like a denial of the future Critical Species Theory to come, conserving human nature through denying it exists, it is human supremacy. Also, free will DOES NOT exist, that is aa conservative reactionary myth. "The phrase has speciesism…" (An Extension to Liberation, Noveltist Decentr Ⓐl) you cannot be racist to White crackers, you cannot be speciesist to Humans (and atlanteans), they are the OPPRESSORS, not the VICTIMS. Humans are always trying to be the victims, it is ANNOYING.

+

Fifth mistake of the liberation: The denial of different minds, denying neurodivergency. The Noveltists keep using and associating neurodivergents with "queer identities" despite it being radically different, this is because of their denial of intersectionality and their belief of free will. "But because identities are something intrinsic to the self that only the self has any influence over, the end result is always paras, neurodivergent beings, and queer individuals…" (An Extension to Liberation, Noveltist Decentr Ⓐl), this is wrong. Neurodivergent selves did not choose to be neurodivergent, neurotypical selves did not choose to be neurotypical, we lack free will and our minds/selves are forced onto us (SELVES ARE OPPRESSIVE, the self is oppressive, learn!), every mind-form has their own sufferings be it the neurotypical sufferings or the psychotic sufferings, but it is clear some have more sufferings than others and more revolutionary potential than others. See autists and schizophrenics for the most common examples, Deleuze and Guattari wrote a book about schizophrenia in fact. Our minds were made before we did, so to claim that the self controls the mind and makes the mind is to create a transcendent, metaphysical, self that hyperstitionally creates the mind that forms it, it is delusional and idealistic. Neurodivergent people are not just people who chose to think differently or identify their minds differently or queer individuals, they are people with radically different mind-forms, and to erase that from them is to commit ableism and microfascism by assimilating all into one way of being-ing. It also denies Intersectionality by claiming that even the mentally ill neurodivergent queers do not experience differently from the neurotypical queers, which is insane. And the ignoring of how Ableism affects Neurodivergents first BEFORE queers is insanely ableist in-of-itself. And as a mentally ill Autist, I will be using the world MENTAL DISORDER when I please. Being mentally disordered is fine, good even, neurotypicals aren't better. They are only treated better and more accommodated to society and allowed more autonomy and generally treated like gods compared to most mentally ill people.

+

Sixth mistake of the liberation: The denial of free speech. How can Anarchism and Self-Criticism exist without free speech? In a fluffy program, free speech is kept in its absolute democracy (and as a freedom it is eradicated with all freedoms), but a noveltist lacks free speech? Anarcho-Totalitarianism, I tell you, the Noveltist meat factories paired with the Noveltist Stalinism makes it so that the Noveltist totally-not-a-STATE has a monopoly over violence, has an US vs THEM mindset, and craves the blood of the THEM (or, the meat specifically), and even before the instating of an actual Noveltist program, they are calling for the genocide of wild animals and carnivores.

—

Proof of the genocide/domestication/$$ytematic-genocide program (makes more sense in the context of the actual document, please read An Extension to Liberation by Noveltist Decentr Ⓐ l in its entirety to better understand the allegation):

“The communication consent basis of consistent progressive VSRs can be applied here quite simply in saying rights are not applying to the specific animals that are going out of their way to attack non-animals or other animals; should animals indeed have rights then it would be the case that these ones are violating each other, thus cancelling themselves out” (An Extension to Liberation, Noveltist Decentr Ⓐ l)

+

“Animals in domestication then would be shown to be of the caliber in which rights can be applicable to; these specific animals do not attack other beings and a communication can be built with them with experience” (An Extension to Liberation, Noveltist Decentr Ⓐ l)

+

“...this gives animals (SPECIFICALLY, DOMESTICATES ONES, ALONGSIDE THERIANS AND OTHERKIN ((underlying queerphobia… recognizing animals who are usually seen as human by majority of the population due to socially constructed species bio-norms as “the specific animals allowed to enter VSRs, outside of domestic ((AKA animals with their cultures obliterated and imperialistically colonized by humans)) animals ((and so making it clear they do not see those animals as domestic nor wild animals but a third kind… queerphobic))” instead of just as much of an animal and a part of animal culture as any other animal and treating them like the animals they are, is that not conservative in its queerphobia?))) the ability to enter VSRs, and subsequently, applicability for the rights of progressivism ((The rights of wild animals and carnivores just stripped.)).” (An Extension to Liberation, Noveltist Decentr Ⓐ l)

+

“It should be noted that this does not mean the concept of meat or structures such as FARMS and PETS would disappear completely in consistent progressivism, but it would be reasonable to expect small reductions in the production of such… allowing for there

to be VSR farming ((this is an impossibility, the culture of animals aka Darwinism prevents majority of them from ever accepting suicide of any degree, including farming, unless coerced. No animal volunteers to be farmed, they are coerced into being farmed. This is sickening.)), with the concept of having ‘pets’ ((slavery. Pets are slaves, beings without property nor autonomy.)) changed entirely with the VSR structure now having domestic animals viewed as friends and companions rather than livestock to be owned.” (An Extension to Liberation, Noveltist Decentr Ⓐl)

+

“Meat production would now be most frequently done with the remaining animals that are still going out of their way to attack other beings, given that in doing that they canceled themselves out of having rights… Hunting would also remain, even if reduced due to only being able to be done on non-communicating animals ((BWUIs count here)) ((such as wild animals)); hunting for food or sport would much more frequently be done instead on Conservatives… a progressive society could very well choose to switch to an all-conservative diet…” (An Extension to Liberation, Noveltist Decentr Ⓐl)

—

=

The noveltist analysis of pacifism:

First mistake in analyzing pacifism: ignoring trauma/triggers as a mental disability. “Occasionally, pacifists will try to create the excuse that their mindset blocks them from violence because they have trauma from it, thus making it a ‘trigger’ for them. This is, quite simply, a misdirection of fire… the source of that trauma is not the core violence itself… (but) from the fact that the violence was inflicted specifically on them…” (The Noveltist analysis of pacifism, Noveltist Decentr Ⓐl) The entirety of 2.2 is just trying to convince Trauma/Trigger victims to just “feel that trauma towards conservatives and not violence” as if that is something their mind can do, it is trigger-denialism. This is rooted in their belief in free will and the self controlling the mind, because only one believing you could modify or change your triggers would argue against a trigger. Triggers are not changeable through just rationalizing them, getting over triggers (if even possible) requires skill, time, discipline, coping mechanisms, and probably therapy. It isn’t so easy.

+

Second mistake in analyzing pacifism: Conspiracism without proof. While Pacifists are a major cripple to any movement, any maoist would agree (In fact, I AGREE that they are a cancer to any ideology), Noveltist Decentr Ⓐl takes it a step further by writing in 3 that “pacifists are spies” and writing about such (The Noveltist analysis of pacifism, Noveltist Decentr Ⓐl). Conspiracism and defining all problems of the movement as malicious enemies of the movement only degenerates the movement and makes it become more fascist and cult-like over time, it is Reactionary to ignore complexities.

+

Third mistake in analyzing pacifism: “Noveltism doesn’t want genocide”. See: the systematic Genocide program of Carnivorous and wild animals, along with the extermination of Animal culture (darwinism) and the coercion of animals into farming programs that they would never agree to consensually. That is the extermination of an identity group or a group of people based on something they cannot control. The Noveltists give us two choices: Either “we”, the Noveltists, begin a Carnivore-Genocide (Dietocide sounds very fictional but is very possible), or “we”, the Noveltists, Conservatively treat farm animals as subhuman by forcing them into “consensual” farming (and you see how animals are treated as if theyd’d consent to being farmed, but the inferior humans aren’t spoken about in this farming process? FLUFFs at least would say “no farms for any animals or ALL farms for all animals (mostly the latter, noveltists were right about cannibalism)”. Noveltists want to coerce animals into suicide, and lie about it being consensual, and if they truly think it is consensual then this farm will fail as scientifically proven) AND we still kill carnivorous animals that try to retain their culture despite our “moral relativism” (since they’re “conservative”). Noveltists either want the Genocide of Carnivorous animals or they want the Coercion of poor farm animals into suicide and subhumanization. Animals who want to kill themselves has been a huge minority for all of history, barely enough to feed anyone, they would NEED to coerce animals or NEED to systematically eliminate Carnivores (or, take the fascist suicide cult route and let the meat factory’s demands corrupt their mind and make them call innocent citizens Conservative and create more laws on what makes one a conservative in order to have more Conservatives to hunt and kill and eat for the Meat Factory’s Demands). (“...and consistent conservatism (which is static because the only position is the advocacy of genocide((*)) AND/OR slavery((*)) of an identity group).” (The Spectrum of Conservatism, Noveltist Decentr®l), to prove these are forms of consistent conservatism:(plus, the conservative extinction program applying to animals and people of different cultures makes it no longer a question of ideology, as now cultural identity, species-identity (aka Nature(culture)’s subcultural-identities), and race would be being targeted from this. They see certain kinds of people as inherently conservative unless imperialistically colonized by “thee great white human race”, that is what this tells me, and that is ultrapink-conservatism. Ask a Noveltist sometime, “Are some races/species ((which, race and species are completely different things, comparing the two is racist and speciesist)) inferior to others?”)) Literal Genocide-based Consistent Conservatism (genocide*), Coercive-Suicidist (Suicidist as in pro-suicide) cullings-based (like the modern mental health industry trying to cull mentally ill people for capitalism to grow) Consistent Conservatism (genocide/slavery in the form of meat/organ trafficking nonconsensually*), or Fascist Suicide Cult aka another form of Consistent Conservatism

(genocide via fascism, making the requirements to be a person/progressive smaller and smaller over time to justify killing more people*); Either way, the consequences of messing with the delicate ecosystem of the entire planet is the mass extinction of every living being on earth, so it is a program worse than consistent conservatism (as it is a program that will exterminate all beings), it is a program of extinctionism. The Noveltists would kill everyone if they actually won, consciously or not. You cannot mass-eliminate (even a single / a multiplicity of) species in the ecosystem without expecting the collapse of it, nor can you try to domesticate the ecosystem (by "VSRs" preventing the eating of other animals and preventing depopulation inherent (and important) to the ecosystem and such, having all animals be domesticated or forced into human-culture, human-imperialism) without expecting it to kill itself if you succeed. Learn Basic Eco-Science.
≠
The direct analysis of Noveltist Theory has finished.

The rest of this should be fairly short: Xenosatanism, Non-Defined Radqueerism, and Xenoanarchism, then we speak on Sinister Radqueers a little more.

Xenosatanists are "left"-764ists, this I whole-heartedly believe, they are Radical Absolute-Individualist (Absolute-Autonomist) Satanists who have become Post-(Far-Right), and yet, still harmful. They show the Utopianist inability to analyze society, and so support a direct application of Radqueerism instead of the scientific path of a Transition from Culture into Nihilism via Radqueer-Ultraprogressive revolution, and so become reactionary. Xenosatanists are worse than Noveltists in this area as Xenosatanists do not believe in the "VSR", and like their Satanist fathers (like the 764 and Levayan Satanism), take a Socially Darwinistic approach where Rape is freely allowed. It is a disgusting program, one that will also naturally bring about another state ten times worse than before by its Rape-centered power dynamicism. Xenosatanists are openly in support of Trans-Nazis and other ideologies, making them also Sinister Radqueers who entirely abandon Radqueerism to be a Radqueer Edgy LARP. Xenoanarchists have no stable definition, and seem to just be anarchist Radqueers, they still have the Xenosatanist

Absolute-Autonomy position, and that is their main issue. Non-Defined Radqueerism, aka Modern Radqueerism, takes after the general gist of Radqueerism (post-politically)

and practices it on groups and online circles, not caring about the obvious societal abusive power dynamics or the clear issues of doing this and not even wanting to change society to better fit their ideology. They are apolitical (and so Conservative) “radqueers” who just want to be able to do whatever they want sexual-wise and autonomy-wise WITHOUT realizing the harm it will bring if society is not changed first, but they don't care either, apathetic. These groups modernly tend to be LARPs and Sinister Radqueers inspired by DiCE, yet it’s not too surprising. Sinister Radqueerism overall is the corruption and LARP-ification of Radqueerism into a Reactionary Conservative ideology of hate and Fascist undertones. Fluffy Radqueers CANNOT by any means allow their groups and organizations to turn Utopian nor Sinister, as that will be a deadly blow to the Revolution (and still, remember to keep a Radqueer-unity platform at all times, We must not become “Noveltist Platformists” and must keep an open mind to all Radqueer comrades and allies, as long as they aid the Radqueer Revolution and are not a Cancer or Virus to the Movement.. Cultural Revolutions are to be had AFTER the revolution.). Smaller Radqueer Ideologies are to be included in this critique as time goes on and I learn/research more about them, requiring communication, experience, and the consent of these smaller groups. If I include a critique for a smaller Radqueer ideology (in the OTHER RADQUEERS section, if included, if it is outside of said section then I do not consider it small), it is most likely consensual, or the group in question is incredibly harmful to the revolution. FLEURs and FLEURAs are not counted here due to them being non-Radqueers, but they are only too pacifistic and liberal, they fear revolution (from the times I’ve interacted and talked to ones) and, like utopians, believe FLEUR is to be established now… but they are not harmful, as they uplift consent and morality, almost to an uncomfy extent. Most FLEURs and FLEURAs tend to try and just assimilate radqueer thoery into cathedralist, liberal, centrist ideology and deradicalize them, their anti-c position is due to “what is societally acceptable” and what is “good”, and not an end goal nor scientific critical analysis of society and if the group can actually consent in such a society. FLEURs only are anti-c because it is the status quo, not because the groups being “c”-ed in question are actively being oppressed and so are not able to fully consent in any way that does not risk psychological damage, aka Pro-C is Heterosexuality. FLEURs and FLEURAs are mindless, aimless, pointless, and their Anti-Radqueer position is based in semantics. On the Radeviance idea popping up as of april -- 2026, I'd like to state no doctrine as of now. Generally there is a disagreement in doctrines between mine and radeviance's, of course, and personally i feel like, as a queer neurodivergent minor, each sub-community in this "Deviant" category is too radically different from each other for this negation of difference, or, forced unity to function. Deviance in this ideology includes, paraphiles (who are generally just considered part of

the queer community now-forsakened by most radqueers), queers, neurodivergents, whatever else, but said included identities are not too similar-- For paraphiles and queers, paraphiles are now oppressed in a different way from queers because of the cathedralization of queerdom and forsakening of paraphiles, paraphiles generally now have their own unique community that cannot just easily be reconciled with the modern queer community, which, due to cathedralization, has turned very homonormative and exclusionist and fascistic.. And neurodivergent people? They share entirely different struggles to both Paraphiles and Queers, what good does it do to try to assimilate them into this category and deprive them of difference? Neurodivergent people suffer from ableism, Paraphiles and queers suffer from different forms of queerphobia (Though, the Paraphile suffers from Ableism too, maybe this is their point? It is a bit of a stretch, Gays also suffered from ableism back during the homophile ages), what similarity is there? It makes no sense in my view. Also, on the age of consent, when they say they oppose abolition of the age of consent (which, do not take this as me being for the direct abolition of the age of consent under modern cathedralist society, as I am not stupid enough to advocate that, nor take this as me being "Pro-Contact" for never will an adult touch a child under my support, I hate child predators and any abusive heterosexual relationship of any form. I am speaking more of the non-sexual aspects, and of the self-negation of the youth, which would ultimately end the concept of age and childhood, and universalize "Youth-hood" to a degree. This is not a Pro-C position, NNIA.) do they mean they will uphold the general legal oppressive laws that are linked to the concept of an age of consent-- such as, minors cannot own guns, sign legal contracts, own property, publish books, live on their own, be without adult supervision, be economically independent, etc., because that would be reactionary to a degree-- or, even for the question of sexuality, the age of consent is to be upheld until the power dynamic between adult and child is abolished, as that is the reason it is abuse, which is to say it will be abolished when utopia comes and not now nor if youth liberation tragically gets cathedralized, also generally children can partake in consensual sexual activities with people their age (not too younger nor too older, see CoCSA and GROOMING AMONGST MINORS as proof that older minors can groom younger minors due to older minors having more power and being more respected amongst adults, often sometimes being collaberationists against the youth-lib movement.), and I cannot allow people who clearly only care about their own liberation to throw us under the bus like this when they have been, historically, a part of the reason why youth liberation hasn't happened yet (pedophiles sexualizing youth liberation led to youth liberation being seen not as a LIBERATION OF THE YOUTH but as a weird sexual liberation thing made by pedophiles to groom kids legally or something. Even now, critiques of youth liberation

have to do with pedophiles, because of early pedo-libs.)! YOU do NOT get to fuck up our liberation then turn around and just-- denounce it, leave us to suffer and oppose OUR liberty--. but, but this is assuming that is what they meant. I do hope clarity is given to me on the nature of this opposition, I am not for pedophilic contact but i am not for the oppression of my people in ANY form, either. Sorry if this is unprofessional or written differently, it is late as of now.

((Noveltists did do something important, though their current (and also, their behaviors since the movement started, they have ALWAYS been reactionary...) behavior is horrible and reactionary. The Radqueers, from beginning after the Radical Queer-liberation movement was vored by the Cathedral and made into another cultural force as a revival of that old Radical Queer kind (start), then stuck into a niche echo-chamber state of anti-change non-revolutuonary utopianism, were being Reterritorialized to what seemed to have been be becoming a truly political force with potential by the Noveltists, and that was revolutionary, but the Noveltists failed and resorted to Fascism of the worst kind and was rigid and dogmatic as an evolution this "reterritorialization". This, instead, plunged the Radqueer movement even deeper into its old territory, as Noveltists became their own thing.. FLUFF is meant to succeed where Noveltists failed, and it is meant to have already surpassed Radqueerism while identifying itself "Radqueer" (past radqueer, something more.), for it is to make Radqueerism truly political, scientific, and revolutionary. The Consistent Progressivist concept also takes the form of an absolute-negation form of fascism, it is inherently fascist.)) The reason the Noveltists and critiquing the Noveltist movement is/are so Important is because the Noveltists, or the Consistent Progressivists, are one of the only Radqueer Revolutionary Movements to survive for so long and stay part of the Decentralized Internet (and away from centralized internet platforms) and talking about revolution, every other Radqueer movement other than the Noveltists have fallen into anti-revolutionary Cathedralism, Pacifism, Sinister-corruption and Far-Rightism, or they have re-joined the centralized internet, Left the Radqueer cause, or have simply fallen apart and/or died out. Noveltists, despite all their flaws, have survived and remained a (political, though they have definitely regressed, and they were never revolutionary but instead children of NAMbLA) Radqueer project, even if they have more flaws than contributions and lack any real revolutionary or scientific essence, and even if Noveltist Platformism is nothing but a radqueer “anarchist”-LARPing Bordiga-like organic centralization project. They have my respect in only this area, and in this area I hope a scientific revolutionary radqueer movement can outdo them in. DOWN WITH NOVELTISM ↘↘↘

Another necessary analysis-form needed for the self-criticism of ALL revolutionary (or claiming to be revolutionary) ideologies is Specific uncommon situation World-Praxis and how to solve specific problems that would take place if the ideology were to succeed in their goal and/or gain global power/strength, this may seem pointless for now but helps to understand the holes and issues of certain ideologies and their application in the real world. How would they respond to specific situations? For example, isolated tribes and the colonialist/imperialist questions. A communist (of the marxist kind, MLs are questionable) and a fluffy palingenetic anarchist would respond with “The masses should come to their own revolution, isolated tribes are not part of the global market” and the tribes would go through their existence and history until they eventually get to the utopian goal. A post-marxist communist, a post-left anarchist, and a progressive leftist (without definition) would all say “To do anything other than let the tribe exist and affirm the difference is microfascism, and the tribe’s existence is a progressive goal” (a primitive-leaning post-leftist would praise the model, and call them idols). A Lanza-ist (in their misguided ultraprogressivism, turned into a misanthropic depressive reactionary ideology) would want to destroy it, and wrongfully so, and so would a Noveltist. A Noveltist would see it as conservative and advocate for the red(or, in this case, PINK! (pink))-colonialist/red(/pink)-imperialist goal of slaughtering. They already show discrimination against beings they see as conservative with no remorse, how would they care? In that way, they are Reactionary (and ignore their fundamental principle of Cultural Relativism). If the Noveltists claim they’d allow it to survive due to cultural relativism, then that is an inconsistency (It is allowing the survival of Conservatives who see others as subhumans!!!!! Because tribes are often sexist and discriminatory to what they consider abnormal, just like ALL HUMANS because tribesmen are HUMANS!!!). MORE than an inconsistency, as their extermination of wild animals and carnivores (while, not comparable to natives, are a clear sign of not respecting foreign cultures like NATURE) shows them as exterminators of conservative cultures and cleansers of conservatism. Any other Radqueer group would claim that they can exist side-by-side with the tribe, because the majority of Non-Noveltist Radqueer groups understand their battle as not one against conservatism but their (*Global, so not counting isolated lands) system’s queerphobia, and ONLY their global* system’s, they do not claim to be the only progressive ideology like the Noveltists do. Some uneducated Bordigists may also call for the extermination / ”Liberation” (contact or inciting revolution with such a tribe WOULD kill them all>) of a tribe, seeing as most rejects national liberation on the grounds that the global bourgeoisie knows no nation, but a well-versed one knows the marxist position and accepts it as the global capitalist system does not apply to ANY

isolated nation regardless of economic system. This is why Juche / North/Real Korea, while not being a revolutionary communist/marxist ideology, can (if they fully, completely, 100% to every other nation close off all economic connections and systems, actually get their citizens with the program consensually to prevent illegal outside-trading, and generally actually improve the lives of the people in order to make them pro-Juche) actually go beyond capitalism to a Post-Capitalist non-marxist economic system unlike any economy we have seen before, but this requires a radical change of and redefinition of Juche itself, and the current monarchs of North/Real Korea would disagree. They would also need to abolish all remaining forms of Capitalist social relations and fully exterminate the old ML state-capitalist order of the past. Juche (an already post-marxist (clearly non-communist) ideology which seemingly reinstates hegel (a korean hegel) as the core of its philosophy, best friend of zizek the post-communist ("Contradiction and Juche, Philosophical Deviations from Traditional Dialectical Materialism by Kim Il Sung and Mao Zedong Necessitated by Socio-Political Conditions", Thomas Bidewell)) can be a true post-capitalist (non-revolutionary) society that can teach us (paradoxically revolutionarily) how to beat capitalism but ONLY if they overcome the communism-delusion and the ML-delusion and truly isolate themselves completely (absolute isolation from the global capitalist market.), and truly be made organically by the Korean peoples (not the current euro/asian-influenced ideological set-up with the monarchist spiritually-white dead matter system). I say this because if such a case comes where we must answer the North Korean question ((which is,) is North Korea Reactionary?), we must realize its complexities and allow our view of North Korea to be a perfect gray zone, and we should encourage North Korean (organic) Democracy (or, ANY organically made system, be it organic centralization or any other organic system) without imperialistically (and like colonizers) trying to tear down their regime like white animals, as it truly could be a post-capitalist society if made by the people and from the ground up by the north korean zeitgeist-- The North Korean people on this path towards ACTUAL-JUCHE could, even, become their own Aeon completely separate to the history of the Hyperboreans and Russians and Atlanteans(and lemurians too)- The Korean Aeon. To add onto the original subject of the Noveltist's colonialism, Noveltists do not believe in taking the oppressed's side against the oppressor as it's core belief, but instead, via the Noveltist solution of the Paradox of acceptance/tolerance, taking the "consistent progressive's" side against the "conservative's" side, which is to say that they will not, by any means, support a conservative, so that their progressivism stays "consistent"… Natives are conservative to them, same with tribalists, and same with religious minorities, and same with any other group with microfascisms that aren't of the Noveltist kind… and while what I might say may be insensitive, in which case I

apologize and sympathize, I do believe that the Noveltists would most likely (if applied consistently, though noveltists are known for not doing that so maybe what I will say next is false) not support Palestinians fighting against the genocide and colonization happening in the canaan by Israel due to their “conservative” islamism (islamism is simply the ideology of including islam in the government, it is not “islamism” as in how the americans see it, as a “terroristic islamic regime ideology”, that is extremely narrow-minded and there are islamist governments that have been peaceful and fairly secular), nor support Black nationalism and african liberation due to a large portion of africa being islamic and african liberationists and black nationalists being rooted in Communism, that “conservative” ideology, nor support any other revolutionary (or progressive) movement due to what the Noveltists see as inconsistency and conservatism, since they are seeing in only black and white. I do wish to clarify that Palestinians, Africans, and any other peoples being genocided and oppressed deserve absolute liberation and freedom regardless of their “reactionary features”, as to oppose that absolute liberation is conservative in-itself. The Noveltists could justify this by pointing out Islam’s hate for queers or Communism’s (specifically ML’s) oppression of queerdom, but not only is this question completely unimportant when it comes to genocide (Jews were also following Judaism and oppressing others before and during the holocaust, yet Jews still didn’t deserve to go through the holocaust, since the holocaust was evil), many palestinian and african queers would rather have their nation liberated regardless, those issues could be solved later. Killing all conservatives seems bad on paper, but in praxis it implies the genocide of mass groups of people for their culture, their religion, their ideology, and more. This is not what a progressive should strive for, instead, it is what a consistent conservative, or, a Fascist (or any Far-Right ideology), would want.

Before the next section, I want to say a few things concerning NAMbLA and the homonormative (cathedralization), because while I do still blame NAMbLA and many homosexual (homo-fascist) (spiritually-heterosexual) men,, I do still acknowledge that the Queers, even without NAMbLA (who later complains about the cathedralization as if it were not THEM who led up to it by giving reasons to create exclusionism, by scapegoating lesbians and feminists, and by completely abusing the queer revolutionaries and sexualizing youth liberation, "Pederasty and Homosexuality" by David Thorstad comes to me as hypocritical. David here "advocates" for everything historically reactionary pedophiles scapegoated or ruined and blames said ruining on the fact THEy didn't like NAMbLA/"Pederasts" when it was NAMbLA's fault in the first place. He both

to a degree defends male culture as pederastic and acts as if pederasty is countercultural at the same time, just like Tom O'Carroll has a tendency of doing later in LANZA. David even defends Catholics, obvious religious child abusers, solely because LIKE NAMbLA, he does not care if the pedo or pederast in question is reactionary or, even, part of the oppressors (of pedophiles and pederasts, as they oppress themselves), he only cares for a unified pederastic/pedophilic community-- which can only be as "revolutionary" as a unified manosphere.... not so much, huh? It is hypocritical to act all radical and intersectionalist and revolutionary when you and NAMbLA quite literally are responsible for reactionary unified circles, corrupting real revolutionary pedophilic circles (anti-c), scapegoating people against male culture and patriarchy (despite david's supposed dislike for them--), and pushing homonormativity-- pederasty takes the form almost all the time, and usually is not allowed to take any other form as, Mb, or, MAN-DOMTOP and boy-subbottom, as if that is queer, spreading across the homosexuals--. I will say that, at least here, David does not agree with pederasts of the past and complain about them (I mean, specifically pro-pedagogy pederasts, more proof of a link between patriarchy and pedophiles/pederasts)-- but that is the least you can do. He does larp as a socialist or leftist of some kind here, but it does not interest me, because then he wouldn't care about this pederasty thing at all since it would be resolved in the negation of all classes-- children are a class--. While I do not support any Paramisia, I do find that if a Child hates all pedophiles, It is his right-- as it is the right for any woman to hate all men-- or even just fear. Pederasts and Pedophiles have, and in this I refer to reactionary pedophiles and child predators, been oppressors to children and even worse. NAMbLA had defended epstein, they do not DARE to do that today, but before they had, because like Anton Lavey, they only care about being a contrarian/negation to the Anti-Pedophiles/Anti-Pederasts (a Negation of the Negation) and not about AFFIRMING/BEING a Pedophile-Liberationist, which needs an Anti-Contact basis in order to be revolutionary. Such as the Men's Liberationists, Such as the Pedophile Liberationists, a side-effect to their own oppression of (women/children).

update 5/19/2026 on Noveltism--:
Re-reading the noveltist doctrines and articles, I wont' complain about everything, but i wish to point out some issues again.
1. On conservative socialism again-- this is because Socialism, insofar as socialism means leftism, is conservative-- it is cathedralized and made to fit the status quo, and the status quo is Conservatism. The free market requires and craves conservatism as well, seen in the dynamic relationship, often an abusive relationship with the husband wife-

beater capitalism and the wife masochist Culture. (Abolish Families, Noveltist Decentr®l)-- The free market not only pushes "progressivism" (in truth, opposition and not abolition of conservatism, false solutions used to trick progressives into seeing modern day as progressive) but also conservatism, abuse, fascism, and everything else, because it is pragmatic and does not care-- too, wherever capitalism exists, oppression will form and bigotry will be capitalized on, because it is the most useful mindset for capitalism and fascism alike.
2. A defense of Culture is a defense of misery, coercion, and oppression. YOu cannot have any VSRs under a culture, nor can you consent to a culture, Culture is coercive by nature. (Abolish Families, Noveltist Decentr®l)
3. BWUI is a concept and an identity pushed onto a being without their consent where they will be treated a certain way (or not treated) without their consent where they will be oppressed by being unable to join VSRs and by having an identity forced on them and by the culture they grow up in--- Even if its the nature of the BWUI doing this oppression, it is still unsolved oppression that the Noveltists do not solve (Abolish families, an appendix, Noveltist Decentr®l)
4. Noveltism "validates all identities" (An extension to liberation, Noveltist Decentr®l), and so, affirms identity (and the oppression of identity) and so affirms the oppression the identity is forged in. By affirming "woman" or "child" or "man" or "adult" or "black" or "white" they affirm the oppression rooted in the existence of the identity-- the oppression can only die with the abolition of the identity as well.
5. "most animals regularly kill and eat each other" (An extension to liberation, Noveltist Decentr®l) yes, and due to the culture they grow up in, darwinian nature, this is typically consensual in a way-- or at least, not oppressive--- outside of the fact it is cultural. the only oppressive part of the predator/prey relation is the cultural coercive aspect, other than that, there is no domination, and so, it is not oppressive. In nature without culture, aka, without nature itself, there is no such thing as predator and prey, even if animals kill and eat each other. Most oppression outside of culture comes from collectivism, aka, what noveltism keeps from nature. This single quote is most likely the justification of animal oppression under Noveltism's system, and too, the justification for animal genocide.
5. "Animals in domestication then would be shown to be of the caliber in which rights can be applicable to" (An extension to liberation, Noveltist Decentr®l) While this does not mean that all wild, free, non-oppressed animals will be without rights and seen as conservative. it does mean noveltism already sees most wild animals, free animals, as conservative and undeserving of rights just for having their own culture, and it, praising the oppressed, groomed, domesticated animals, therefore sees oppressed animals as

superior than wild free animals, and so, wild animals as inferior. The oppression of animals by noveltists (see On Domestication by Jacques Camatte) is justified by this shitty framework of VSRs, yet, because of this, it contradicts both VSRs and Consistent progressivism. see "VSR farming" and "the concept of 'pets'" (both in An extension of liberation, Noveltist Decentr@l--- the second part continued by claiming that the concept of pets will change in a VSR system and animals will be seen as friends and not livestock owned-- this is just a change of view and belief, many petowners believe their pets as friends, that does not change the slavery aspect. A white devil owns a black man as a "friend", claims to be an abolitionist because under his system, all black slaves are "friends" and not tools for labour to be owned-- either way, a power dynamic of the worst kind continues to exist).

6. "Meat production would now be most frequently done with the remaining animals that are still going out of their way to attack other beings" (An extension to liberation, Noveltist Decentr@l), admitting a desire to genocide all wild non-domesticated animals, it is not going to be a "remaining amount" but instead most animals that are wild ("because this empirically is a great many of them", An extension to liberation,Noveltist Decentr@l, spoken like a true nazi)-- this will also take away the very few rights wild animals already have. This also forces animals to domesticate.

7. "Hunting would also remain, even if reduced due to only being able to be done on non-communicating animals" An extension to liberation, Noveltist Decentr@l... Animal BWUIs will be slaughtered? This isn't even about animal "conservatives", but apparently, just any BWUI animal, or, non-communicating animal. is this also not an oppression of BWUIs, or at least, a sub-section of BWUIs?

8. In Para-progressivism by Noveltist Decentr@l, The author glamorizes the Pederasty movement's history when, in fact, Pederasts made up most of the patriarchy and were the oppressors of non-elite pederasts and queers and children, Pederasty movements like NAMBLA and others also fucked up youth liberation, queer liberation, and led to the cathedralization of the queers and the end of youth liberation as of now. Nambla also argues many conservative talking points and defends culture and other methods of youth oppression. Pederasty as a liberationist identity is false, and many pederasts in political movements were reactionary. Like homosexual cis men...

9. "freedom of identity" para-progressivism, Noveltist Decentr@l. Freedom of Identity is a false narrative, as post-leftists reveal, it is freedom to be oppressed BY identity.

10. The conservative mindset, literally just the mindset that conservatism is true, that subhumans exist (The benefits of decentralization Noveltist Decentr@l), is the worst form of analysis in existence. it does not explain where it comes from or how it can be gotten rid of, it is just accepted as a phenomenon. Okay?

11. The Noveltist critique of democracy is just a shittier version of a post-leftist critique of democracy. "Debunking Democracy" by Bob Black was better and opened my eyes clearer than this.
12. For the sake of not repeating myself nor wierstamann, i will not critique the idiotic choice of redefining words to make consistent progressivism make sense and the worldview not fall apart. If you must change what words mean, and i mean, EVERY word, then your worldview is already unstable. Also, The state comes from Culture, nature is Culture, Everything comes from nature, there you go.
13. "the conservative war against human happiness", the spectrum of conservatism, Noveltist Decentr®l... So conservatives want misery and suffering? And the abolish misery and suffering, you must... abolish everything, right? And genocide causes... misery and suffering, right? Wait, but you genocide animals, it says HUMAN happiness, it all makes sense now! The VSRs cause misery and suffering as has been discussed, and so, you join this war against human happiness not against the conservative but against human happiness itself! Or, maybe, "Conservative" means animal happiness, and you really are against "conservatism", you human-supremacist (or, humanist, practically the same things historically-- humanism always justifies oppression)!

LANZA:

Though not "radqueer" (nor a far-rightist), his existence (moreso) and his essay on pedophiles (less-so) has had the most influence on me and my former identification with the noveltists // general radqueerists, and to refute him and his quasi-radqueerism and especially him is to tear away from that old QUASI-quasi-anarcho-primitivist idea. This will not be a critique on his person, as his life and death is a critique in-of-itself, no other critique is needed to dispose of him.

((additional writings about SMIGGLES. How did this autist surprise me again with a new batch of insanity? I thought he was dead, and he is. ...)

SMIGGLES:
again, doomed to idiotically repeat himself, we are back to one. The self, or, unique hyperstitionally becomes real, from Lanza's (Smiggles online archives) "The self is a delusion" to Max Stirner's "The Unique and it's property" book, and like the self, lanza hyperstitionally continues to write (before his grave) after his grave. this may not be as long, nor as explained, as Smiggles, unlike LANZA as LANZA, does not give us much

words yet gives us much of his beliefs. In my old forms, those disgusting freaks, I had spoken about religion as an improved form of culture, a coercive "voluntary"-LARPing culture, that failed due to that improvement and was soon used as a scapegoat... what proof do I have of this? Adam Lanza, "Me", "why do i oppose religion (...) It is cultural.". What does that prove? if delusion decreases happiness, then why are the non-hyperpsychotic, truly sane, mental asylum patients always depressive? (Adam Lanza, "Me", "The more delusional you are, the less you're able to be happy"). Adam Lanza, "Me", "how is happiness attained?", it never is. Happiness can only "be achieved" by abolishing happiness alongside everything else, you will never be happy, happiness is traumatic. In reference to Adam Lanza's "Me", i do believe that it should be counted as a rape regardless of the mental effect it has on the person and the reason it was done, as long as it is rape, it is rape. "Mutualist anarchist" (Adam Lanza "Me"), truly, is a logical result of post-left conservative yearning for the old revolutionary path, now dead and "evolved/devolved". cultural assimilation is cathedralization, or at least, one of it's definitions. The trauma from Rape is hyperstitional (Referring to Adam Lanza's "Me"). pedophilia among the elites is not pedophilia, but patriarchal-cultural pedophilia, or pedophilic culture, enforced through sado-masochism or "intelligence", made sadistic for the sake of sadism, "pedophilic", child predators. Civilization is disgusting, non-consensual and traumatic, reactionary and conservative, it is hell. Many things are hell. "Life is suffering" (referring to Smiggles' rant on life-apologists) may be true in multiple ways... as an individual, like leisure is a part of work by trying to ignore work, happiness is a part of suffering by trying to ignore suffering (both yours and others'), so then happiness, anti-suffering, is suffering. As otherwise, sado-masochism, the defining feature of intelligence, is happines from suffering, all happiness comes from someone else's suffering, and/or one's own suffering, so then even happiness is suffering but instead a pleasureful suffering of one's self or/and others, then it is still suffering. "Life" is not the negation of death (or death the negation of life) here, for the self continues to suffer onwards in death, but instead is the process of being, or being as, or being of, or being by, or being owned by, a self. Self, the Unique, is suffering (as in, both it is the concept of suffering, or "suffering, and it is experiencing suffering, at the same time.). My unique is my enemy as it is my friend, my satan as it is my lord, my heretic as it is my saint. Smiggles' alienation theory is nothing new. "Dead people (...) recieve more respect (...) than (they every would have had) while alive" (Smiggles archives), yes, necrophilia is core to humanity. For now, that is all I have to say about this post-leftist mass murderer.

FIN)

1. Pedophilia

why do pedophiles oppress other pedophiles? Patriarchy, heterosexuality, the family, the state, and fascism are/is all rooted in the pedophile minority that rule most governments (especially seen in the USA), yet all the pedophilic-roots of these oppressive structures seem to mean nothing as they all oppress pedophiles as well! The Men's Liberation had a reason, men oppress other men for being too feminine, the "why" is misogyny, but pedophiles oppress mostly children, but they do not oppress other pedophiles BECAUSE they are childish… that is not the case, historically. Maybe it is a question of queerness, but I could not answer this as of now. Pederasty used to be one of the main forms of femboy oppression, a misogynistic practice, and a form of youth oppression (and still is,, no man/boy relationship is free from the systematic abusive nature of heterosexuality), it was OPPRESSIVE, yet now oppressive structures rooted in the same things also oppress that oppressive structure.. how silly, how strange. "Families against pedos",, families are rooted in pedophilia, the patriarchy is a group of child-grooming women-raping young-girl-loving incestuous Grown and Old men, they spread culture and power THROUGH raping and grooming and incest and pedophilia, yet now patriarchy opposes pedophilia? I do agree with Lanza that ("What's there to "get" about sex?", zerocontradiction's refining of Adam Lanza's Pedophile essay), as It really is, if you are a materialist and/or a nihilist, just increasing pleasure, reducing pain, preventing pregnancy, enforcing consent (keep a gun around you at all times when having sex-- this is what I believe is the best way-- if they try to ignore your boundaries, shoot.), undoing power dynamics, realizing the cultural ideas about sex and their falsehood, and understand how it affects the brain in all sorts of people. A child can understand this, I could at Nine years (I was not assaulted nor was I any sort of groomed), and it needs not much thought. But that is not the problem in pedophilic sex, but the impossibility of enforcing sex unless the child is to, maybe, have a gun at the pedophile's head through the entire act-- which is absurd to most people. Children are also cynical (in response to Zerocontradiction's refined Adam Lanza Pedophile essay saying "They mean that children don't have the cynical, ugly, jaded view of human beings as manipulating quasi-psychotics" because I promise you, I remember my childhood and I and most I knew were very cynical and distrusting-- maybe due to the internet aka the "free market of pedophiles" scarring any child on there, but it works.), they are not innocent angels that assume the same innocence in everyone else, I understood the threat everyone was since I learned about the black market, very early on, but that does not mean to be paranoid or live your life in fear. Also, manipulation IS bad regardless, and the patriarchal want to keep children innocent and easy to manipulate is pedophilic regardless of form-- Children can understand these

concepts, the oppressors just want them to stay ignorant, like proles unable to read. (Adam Lanza in-- Zerocontradiction's refined lanza pedophile essay, "someone… might concede there are individual children who are capable of consenting…" "children consent to things all the time") yes, children consent to things all the time, it is their will, of which they are and own-- But that is not the issue, but the power dynamic, the heterosexuality, the ABUSE. Pedophilic elites, reactionaries, are why the youth often are not allowed to consent, so then following the 4b movement, why the hell should we please them as they strip away our rights? Even if I wanted to, I would not fuck a Pedophile, he has taken my liberty via the patriarchy and I owe him nothing. I do believe all people should own guns, I do not believe the mentally ill or the young or the queer or the POC (blacks especially) should not own guns, in fact I believe they need guns more than anybody else-- Any minority NEEDS self-defense, and children historically have been able to wield guns properly when TAUGHT, it is only a matter of education-- Children bearing arms would harm the patriarchy and pedophilic rapists massively as one could easily just act in self-defense and take a rapist's life. School Shooters only arise out of a lack of support, something a proper youth liberation organization (I TRULY ENCOURAGE THE YOUTH TO CREATE SECRET YOUTH LIBERATION GROUPS IN THEIR SCHOOLS< MASS LIBERATION IS THE ONLY SOLUTION) can easily prevent through actual support and care, not the fascist grooming that causes shooters, or the lack of support that leads to shooters. The myth of "development" has been debunked not only by Noveltists and scientists alike, and lanza himself in the essay, but also by lega laws defining consent among adults who are "underdeveloped" (though, I do not believe in such terms, as they are harmful, just as harmful as the R slur, which I will not say.). "What kind of child would want to be in a pedophilic relationship" (Adam Lanza, Refined by Zerocontradiction, Adam Lanza's Pedophile essay)… what kind of animal would want to be in a zoophilic relation? Yet, animals (despite usually being anti-interspecies relationship) often have interspecies relationships, they often do and will allow it to be known if they consent or not--. One cannot speak for all kids, but (I know I have when I was younger, and I must clarify this was before any sort of emotional incest, it was completely unprompted and based in kink, but also) even if just one child wants to, that must not be denied from them in a world without power dynamics, though in a world without power dynamics, neither identities would exist. Women often want to be in straight relationships despite it being just as abusive, disgusting, evil, and reactionary as pedophilic relationships, but denying the woman's desire only silences her and pushes misogyny and stereotypes, even if heterosexuality is abusive (In Zerocontradiction's refined Adam Lanza Pedophile essay, by Adam Lanza, he says mockingly "I will not be tricked by you, despite whatever justifications you might contrive, the relationship is

fundamentally based on the decadent sexual attraction of a male to a female. Women are subjected to patriarchal manipulation and thus are not in any position to willingly participate in a relationship with a male.", this is my unironic position on heterosexuality, just with less inherent misogyny and more critical analysis of heterosexuality.). Children can want adults, some call it "childhood crushes" of adults, even though it is abusive, and this is often normalized (from what I've seen in my own and other families from the spainish-mixed bloodline) in hispanic families in a non-pedophilic form and in cartoons and white families (I am not white, take this with a grain of salt), as a child liking an adult who does not like them back is a normalized meme. How could you deny that? I do agree in some form that educating children is the only was to allow them to escape abuse, anything else only affirms it. (Lanza in the Adam Lanza Pedophile Essay, refined by Zerocontradiction, now speaks of Children being sexual, affirming that they are sexual. I can agree on this point as baby animals tend to rape eachother, especially rabbits, and monkeys and general primates are no exception. Child on Child sexual abuse where neither child was groomed or touched or rapes does exist and is common among puritan families who do not want to teach or talk about sex, children will rape eachother due to not having education, and there you cannot hold the child responsible if they did not know and so rape is practically allowed with no consequence, horrifying. CoCSA is a problem, and the myth of innocence only makes it worse and invalidates victims of CoCSA). WE have reached 5 and 6 in Adam Lanza's (refined by zerocontradiction) Adam Lanza Pedophile essay, where he speaks on geniune things to do with Youth Liberation and Ageism, which confuses me how somebody seemingly for the liberation of children could commit a hate crime against us children.. it's only as confusing as one makes it, since lanza has only advocated sexual liberation. Children are compared to women In this section increasingly, and the comparison makes sense, children ARE women before they are groomed into a gendered role, basically. 6 practically exposes the ageist core to pedophillic discrimination, but it is not by any means proof of a "consensual pedophilia", speaking of the minds of parents and the hypocrisy of the family was in 5, but 6 is more loud.. "Children are better of dying than having … sex" Adam Lanza, refined by zerocontradiction, Adam Lanza Pedophile Essay, this quote is talking about a real case where a mother practically wished death upon her child as a better fate than sexual assault, which, sexual assault is bad, but most SA survivors consider dying to be a worse fate as they would be, well, dead, unable to heal. Wishing death on a victim of sexual assault, regardless of age, is sickening, and this sort of thinking is common in parents and adults, who act just like pedophiles but in a non-sexual way. To summarize Lanza's Radqueer, Youth Liberation former perspective: "Hidden beyond the overt treatment of pedophiles in this society, it is instructive to see

the treatment of children. What is the life of a child like? Battery of children, euphemized under the cute-sounding “spanking”, is fully legal in the United States. Bizarrely, the penalty for loving a child is significantly worse than the penalty for hating one. Children’s free wills are suppressed and annihilated in every conceivable manner within families. Their associations, location, and every action are subject to the arbitrary caprice of their parents. They are denied their own thoughts, opinions, values, and religious beliefs, instead being manipulated into adopting their parents’. Within the rest of society, children are denied property. Their parents can instantly siphon it from them, regardless of how the child obtained it. Furthermore, they are forced into all of this through being denied employment, and they are denied the right to have even the remotest impact on their government which institutes all of this.” Adam Lanza, refined by Zerocontradiction, Adam Lanza Pedophile Essay. And it is true, the situation and material conditions of children are horrible, and this is often ignored by all adults, even Pedophiles claiming to “love” children-- the NAMBLA tends towards a generally negative view of children who were sexually exploited and speak against it, despite the NAMBLA claiming to respect consent, this is especially seen in “The hypocrisy files” by editorial staff, on brooke shields, which also reeks of the homosexually fascist common feelings of misogyny (and David Thorstad's Pederasty and Homosexuality's defense of catholic child rapists... aren't you supposed to be a socialist?), and how many gay men tend towards misogyny and obsessing over roman times or whatever. “I can only come to the conclusion that adults do not fear pedophiles at all. Adults fear the freedom of children” – then, let us children realize their nightmares into a reality, bear your arms and fight your oppressors, DOWN WITH THE PATRIARCHY. The quotes in 6.2 are one of the main reasons I believe pedophilia to originate in attraction to femininity and cuteness (this is also expressed in a way similar to misogynists expressing their sexual “love” for women… I do think the sexualization of minors and the struggles and oppression of minors is a huge issue in pedophile-liberationist circles, who only care about and analyze youth liberation in regards to sexuality and Pedophilia), and not “children” as it is forced onto, a group that does not exist, the same age liberation concept is emphasized in 7, 7.2 specifically is very revolutionary and lays out things needed to liberate children, though this is still coming from Lanza. Consent must become the basis of EVERYTHING in order for true liberation, and so, Pro-Consent is the only true revolutionary position. I do want to emphasize that I am not sure about the actual importance of age of consent laws due to the fact that most actually-dangerous and abusive pedophiles are allowed to get away with it and even be part of the groups controlling the world (trump and epstein and his peoples), and it has become almost only a tool against children since pedophiles can just rape a kid on the streets and get away with “connections” or whatever. What purpose do

these laws serve when pedophiles run the government, except just to keep us from fighting back? The age of consent is a way for pedophiles to disarm children and rape them, to make them vulnerable and weak. To finish, as I have finished my review of adam lanza's pedophile essay by adam lanza and refined by zerocontradiction, I believe that only after the destruction of the child Identity-group and the adult Identity-group can any sort of real consent exist, and that Child Liberation is infinitely more important (but still connected to) Pedophilic liberation like feminism is to men's liberation, one cannot exist without the other but the importance of one is more than the other, The revolutionary subject is the child, not the Pedophile, as the Pedophiles oppress themselves mindlessly (as far as I know as of march 16 K+26) and children are the oppressed group made suicidal. Consent is not biological nor neurological in the eugenicist sense, it is something that anyone can achieve and do with the right amount of education, and the preventing of children from educating themselves is one of the main forms of oppression and pedophilic intent, as to weaken children's minds and continue to socially and literally torture them as seen in the USA. I sympathize with all oppressed people, including oppressed pedophiles to an extent, but it must be made clear who they are and their role in society and the history of oppression and oppressors; They are equivalent to Heterosexual Men. However, I do believe the Pedophile liberation movements to basically be made up of revolutionary and reactionary currents, and that the general movement would have been good if-not revolutionary for the greater needs of youth-liberation, if it were to have been accepted by the Cathedral. The Queer movement's abandonment of its old radicalism and so also abandonment of the Paraphiles was one of the worst moments for the queer community as a whole, as it is the moment the Queer liberation movement was psy-oped and vored by the Cathedral, just becoming another half-baked "liberation" achieving nothing in reality. If the Queer movement stayed radical, it would have caused, basically, a ton of other Revolutionary movements such as a rise in Youth Liberation, Communist and Anarchist movements, Animal Liberationists, and generally Radically Queer adjacent liberations to become mainstream. It could have, very well, achieved an actual end to the cathedral at all, and so, something close to a utopia. Of course, like the Noveltists, after the betrayal of the paraphiles by the queers and the voring of the queers by the cathedral, both movements became part of the Cathedral, speaking of liberation but never attempting or doing anything greater, a failed revolution (or they resort to the harmful utopianism of the Pro-c pedophile and the Utopianist Radqueer). We must understand modernly that our revolution will never end and never win, It is eternal, we will never achieve our goal, and that is why we must fight- so, then, every failure must be met with another wave, and another wave, each one more radical than the last, and not more moderate like other

movements. We must not surrender to the Cathedral, we must stay fringe and extreme, let us not compromise EVER. This is the path to Revolutionary action. We mourn the dead Radically Queer Revolution and its failure, and we hope to resurrect it, modernly and critically, with absolute love of consent and absolute hatred of heterosexuality, with liberation for ALL. ____2_. In many NAMbLA writings (even, I say, in their website ((https://www.nambla.org/How%20Can%20I%20Participate.html) "man-boy relationships (not necessarily erotically expressed) are a vital part of the social fabric, now threatened by hysteria." (ALSO, READ THE ENTIRETY OF NAMBLA'S "WHAT IS MAN-BOY LOVE", IT IS VERY MUCH JUST AS REACTIONARY)), where they take a conservative stance often accepted by homosexual men that "gayness (and pederasty) is a natural and necessary part of society that should be restored", combined with their misogynistic fascist-aligning obsession of the masculine-- it is part of the Homosexual Man's queerphobic, often anti-lesbian, obsession of patriarchal-restoration. Lanza also, in section 5.4 of the Lanza Pedophile Essay refined by Zerocontradiction, defends what can be summed up as the manipulating (possibly grooming) of young people into sex, through claiming benevolence and seemingly mirroring pedophilic manipulation/grooming to parental manipulation/grooming (an accurate comparison) as a way to justify pedophilia and not critique parenting in its entirety (via youth-lib analysis).. They say pedophiles are like parents, and I could not agree more, for alternative reasons. The exact quote used for the entirety of section 5.4 is from “Tom, O’Carroll, Paedophilia: The More Radical Case, “Consent and Willingness”” (to be fair, from the same source listed before this comes “ What the sensible parent does not do is to drag his protesting six-year-old screaming towards the edge of the pool and throw him into the deep end. Interestingly enough, were he to do so, and providing the child were not allowed to drown, this would probably not qualify as a criminal offence, although for the child it could be as nasty an experience as rape. It is not an activity in which the intervention of law is thought to be necessary. There is no elaborate questioning of whether in any particular case the child actually consented to be introduced to the water, or was manipulated into consenting. It is presumed that the adult will be benevolently intentioned, and that all will work out well” and argues for youth-liberation from parents in the section 5.5 of Lanza’s Pedophile Essay Refined by Zerocontradiction, however it still can function as a reactionary defense despite being an argument presumably against parents. It is, still, an issue with the pedophilic community of undermining non-sexual youth-lib struggles and youth-lib individuals in the pedophilic community, be it for their age or for the non-connection to pedophilia of the sexual kind, and with the ignoring of critical youth-lib theory/analysis and youth-lib voices, the Pedophiles in these communities seem almost ignorant and bigoted.). I do believe this is another instance of a

connection between the family and pedophilia, outside of the inherent pedophilia and pro-pedophilic roots of anti-pedophilia and the family model // puritan culture. Almost every mainstream cathedralist critique of pedophilia tends to be pedophilic, romanticizing or sexualizing or idolizing children and childhood and “innocence”, they wish to preserve innocence for their attraction like the pedophile’s attraction to children themselves, it is inherently pedophilic to be against pedophilia in this way-- but it is not pedophilic to critique pedophilia In the way of critical and material analysis recognizing children as 100% equal and only crippled by power imbalence and oppression, which is my critique by my intent. Pedophiles against pedophiles, I once heard a quote from one of my friends irl, “The pedophile free market”.), and other writings of Pedophilic groups (especially seen by certain quotes in Adam Lanza's Pedophile essay, any translation or form), there exists a Patriarchal misogynistic conservatism, a heterosexual (in as their attraction to the female-like nature of child-boys) homosexuality (in the masculine-obsession of patriarchal same-sex gayness) of the fascist (hypermasculine palingenetic patriarchy-restorationism) degree, that seems to be extremely prevalent and important to these people (aka the pederasty movement's equivalent of homo-conservatism and homo-nationalism/homo-fascism).. Most pedophile groups (Newgon, for example, supports Free Speech to a Reactionary degree (the kind of degree that lead to, as I have experienced and witnessed, the corruption and sinister-fication of Radqueer communities) and too struggles with taking children and youth liberation seriously outside of sexual liberation.. “the implicit assumption that those with opposing viewpoints cannot be acknowledged, let alone worked with…” (“Newgon man bad” and the “Lecter cult”: Two permanently online myths reviewed, by Jim Burton, on behalf of Newgon themselves) and from the same source is “...we will tolerate participants with competing worldviews… Men’s rights, inceldom, Feminism, Socialism, Anarchism, etc.”, these quotes are in response of the accusation of being fascists for their acceptance of these groups. They also, idiotically say (like the old radqueers now-made-sinister) that White Nationalist Pedophiles would not become a huge harm to the community if let in, which has been proven false (seen in the image next to the previous quotes on “Newgon man bad” and the “Lecter cult”: Two permanently online myths reviewed, by Jim Burton, on behalf of Newgon.. talking about moderation). They also, on their page about themselves (https://wiki.yesmap.net/wiki/Newgon), choose the age of consent to be 12 (and so, ageism against any under 12, they are then not youth liberationists but instead wish for a 12+ class/group chauvinism against all those under 12, a cathedralization and identitarianization of youth liberation... REACTIONARIES). They do ask, in said essay (“Newgon man bad” and the “Lecter cult”: Two permanently online myths reviewed, by Jim Burton, behalf of newgon), a question to anti-c youth-libs… “...when they say yes?”

(and the answer... This is why Newgon and NAMbLA and all reactionary pedophiles take the feminist to be their enemy, the lesbian, the Radfem, because they give an answer identical to the correct revolutionary answer of the youth to this question. When a Woman says yes to heterosexuality, they are being deceived, groomed-- Heterosexuality, as long as the power dynamics stay in place, aka as long as heterosexuality exists, is abuse. One is not to limit Women by denying them the choice of dating a man, no, but they are to give freedom to women by destroying the dynamic that makes it abuse, aka destroying Heterosexuality, aka destroying gender/sex. Then, when Gender and Sex do not exist, and so no Sexism or difference between "male" "female" "man" or "woman" or "any other non-binary", as they are all one "feminine"-- the patriarchy fear this because then they cannot abuse women but instead they become equal to women (but, because this is not the negation of difference, aka, synthesizing man and women, but an affirmation of differene, aka accelerating the antagonisms between man and woman until woman wins and eliminates man, I believe this should not be critiqued on the level of it being dialectic-- it is not.), they are no longer men, no longer patriarchs... Likewise, Children are to say to the Reactionary Pedophile-- I WISH TO ABOLISH YOU, I WISH TO ABOLISH MYSELF, then, no abuse can exists, and then and only then can the power dynamic be gone and the child truly able to say "yes"-- I will kill the adult, by which I mean abolish age- and so, no such thing as pedophilia will exist. Then, likewise, the Patriarchy, reactionary pedophiles, begin to fear and call for the oppression and division of youth into identitarian "tribes", because they fear the loss of their age like they fear the loss of their gender, the source of their power like their gender is, and they become "childish" and equal to children, cute.). I say, youth liberation ends in the abolition of the child identity-group and child class, there will be no children to say yes, only people. When the material conditions that define the discrimination and oppression and alienation/exploitation of the Child class, Child oppressed group, and Child Identity group, are destroyed by a Youth-centered Revolution unvored by cathedralism, the logical and absolute result is the abolition of said Child groups/classes, as there would be no material conditions defining them as unique in their Youthfulness… Too, the attraction of the pedophile to the Youthfulness must be regarded as a fetishism (boylover manifesto “…a special kind of relationship…”, by jay_h translated by red baron (from the same source, “a boylover will go to great lengths to protect a boy from negative influences, or any physical and emotional harm”… playing the role of the parent-oppressor (I say parents are equal to pedophiles (Family, School, Patriarchy, Pedophiles/Pederasts of the reactionary kind, Ageists, and Age-Chauvinists are all Child Molesters in their own right, they bring harm to children and psychologically damage them.), both oppress the child in similar mechanisms and work in pedophilia and for

pedophilic reactionary elites-- pedophilic not in desiring kids but desiring the abuse of kids, as those who desire kids ironically make up very little of child predators or, reactionary pedophiles, but instead most child predators desire to abuse children-- similarly, most heterosexual men have no care about the women, they do not love the women and they despise femininity, they only love to abuse women-- they (patriarchs) are attracted to the abuse.), but supposedly, in a “queer” way. Though the manifesto realizes the power dynamic and similarity to parenting, and tries to fix it by rejecting abuse of power and oppression of children, it does not argue for the equalizing of the man/boy love but instead for the Man to just “be responsible” (not a quote from the book, is for emphasis), I say if a pedophilic relationship is to happen, which it by average should not, it should be made equal by the arming of the boy with a weapon or some sort of control against the Man… though, all Youth should be armed regardless, it is a revolutionary “right” (a requirement for the Youth Liberationist revolution, for sure). That is another answer to the question, not a negation but an affirmation, I do not care of the question of boylove, but the question of queer love regardless of form and the abolition of Heterosexuality… The question is irrelevant yet solved twice.), reminding me of the homosexual pederast’s misogynistic spirituality… which is, man/boy love obsession against the woman, though the boylover manifesto is not misogynistic on its own.) that is rooted in dehumanization and discrimination, like chasers to transgenders and the old incel communities (sexual harrassers mostly fetishizing women for being women) to women… one can be a pedophile like one is a “straight”, which is, in a queer and anarchistic way with equal footing and no manipulation where no fetishism nor dehumanization should exist by any means, not in the old heterosexual way. The phenomena of “Grooming” originates in the pedophilic Elites and the Patriarchy, but as we all have seen modernly and historically, the Pederastic and pedophilic communities’ rape culture has normalized the Heterosexual manipulative dehumanization process among them, and to a point where NAMBLA and other pedophile-lib groups defend and praise historical and modern groomers respectively. Grooming must be abolished like the zoophiles’ animal drug-raping must be abolished, it is a normalized form of oppression, even in its micro-oppressive forms.) i could not bring myself to support, even when advovating for the end of pedophilic oppression, due to their oppressive conservative (almost fascist in their words) doctrines and support of the pedophilic elites and patriarchs (people forget hitler was a pedophilic groomer, yet he oppressed and discriminated against pedophiles and other paraphiles-- trump does the same. The oppressor group of pedophilic elites do not CARE for you lesser pedophiles, do not support them! At least NAMBLA is anti-maga...),, no woman should support a group for the freedom of the oppressors to oppress further (such as by denying a sexually-exploited

minor's exploitation) even if they claim to be for the liberation of the oppressed, especially when such things are lies (see: NAMBLA's lack of modern action),, the truly oppressed pedophiles would not and should not assume defense of the oppressive pedophiles who have ruled and oppressed the world since the beginning of time, as the truly oppressed pedophiles ARE oppressed by those pedophiles, so it is entirely disgusting to see pedophile-liberationists and NAMBLA supporting/defending pedophilic elites as if they are not oppressors, and denying geniune exploitation victims, blaming women for the lack of child autonomy instead of the pedophilic patriarchy that actually caused it. They reject responsibility for the oppression they have caused, just like the Men's Rights idiocrats. I do hope to, and really, plan to look more into the historically material role that pedophiles play and have played in history outside of their connections to **Patriarchy, Ageism & Sexism, Capitalism & Culture, Desire & Self, and whatnot**-- I hope to be able to critically analyze Pedophiles as an oppressed and oppressive group of people and how/why they are so unique and abnormal as compared to other groups of people (the closest group of people I can compare to pedophiles are men, and even men are radically different to pedophiles,, the oppression of men is rooted in misogyny (NOT MISANDRY typically) and a fear of being feminine, making sense as men are the oppressors of women, but pedophiles only make up a majority of multiple oppressive structures that are rooted in pedophilia**, they themselves do not oppress a specific group (they do, however, have a reputation of abusing a specific group sexually-children. I do not say this because “all pedophiles are rapists”, that is false, but because pedophile movements and general pedophile culture, and especially as it is mostly made up of gay-man culture (and in the past “and vice versa” was an applicable way to describe the relation between the two) which also suffers a rape culture problem (them especially, but it is an issue in the entire queer community and especially radqueers as well), suffers a rape culture problem. Pedesrasty quite literally originated in the sexual slavery and human trafficking of underaged men dressed femininely, said civilizations of which who practiced it (especially Greece, ancient) are typically adored by Pedophiles, Homosexual men, and Pederasts.) and are not oppressed by a specific group (one could claim the “cishet queerphobes” oppress them, but “cishet queerphobe” just means cisgender (oppressor of Queer Genders) Heterosexual (oppressors of Queer Sexualities (including most paraphilias, save for Pedophilia-- Zoophiles are not inherently oppressors, though a huge rape culture surrounds it due to a lack of typical animal consent, as only really the upper-class oppressors tend to oppress animals in any meaningful way that doesn’t correspond to animal culture, and Sadism//Necrophilia//cannibals is inherent to desire of all forms and so is not oppressive by nature, and it is not generally a group of people cared about outside of rare cased, leaving only pedophilia.)) queerphobes (a general term

for the oppressors of all queer people-- this group is not consistently defined, so it does not count), so that cannot work. Transids are often oppressed by Cis-Identity reactionary microfascist individuals and currently-existing non-consensual mental health industries and generally also transphobes, as it faces the wraith of the ableist, transphobic, reactionarily microfascistic, Anti-TransID groups, so even there, Trans-IDs are accounted for. Pedophiles are not oppressed by non-pedophiles or “anti-pedophiles” as they do not make up the majority of the ruling groups of the world, they are not oppressed by Children nor Heterosexuals nor Culture as they created Culture and Heterosexuality and Children as a group have not oppressed any other group, then who? Many Pedophiles claim parents, especially Mothers, but that is untrue, the family structure was made by pedophiles and rooted in pedophilia (see: Patriarchy is child-grooming pedophilic exploitation based in pedophilic incest), and Mothers are generally the most aligned with children in a Family Structure (see: NEW DEMOCRACY as applied to the family, mother and children against the father, daughter most revolutionary) (the claim of the mother as worse than the father, though the mother does contribute to child oppression as they are a parent, is a misogynistic claim rooted in a want to return to overtly-pederast patriarchy instead of our new subtle form), and other Pedophiles say “whatever group oppresses the child”, yet that group is made up of mostly pedophiles too!), and if we are to believe that pedophiles oppress each other, then even there the question of why has no solution! The oppressed pedophile is not more childish, children are attractive to pedophiles and so the oppressed pedophile would be sexually dehumanized, not like current pedophile oppression, so then the comparison to men’s oppression ends with “men oppress eachother for being too feminine, or, attractive to the straight man despite the gender, pedophiles do not”), it is a subject of interest and of importance to me, as it directly related to both the radqueer (On Radqueers, though, I do not believe as of april 2026 that Radqueers were ever revolutionary, but what they tried to be was and should be the base level of a real political force for para and transid liberation, which is, a political movement for absolute queer liberation. Radqueerism was founded by sinister Radqueers, it was not sinisterized as i had thought but birthed by the sinister, and so I cannot claim to be or call myself a Radqueer, but now i am FLUFF>,,, FLUFF stands for FLUFF and nothing else. Radqueers were always what they are now, but what they wanted to be was what I identified them with, and now it is what FLUFF attempts to sublate. Radqueers were birthed from NAMbLA philosophy, and so, FLUFF is radically against "Radqueers" ad it stands like that, but instead, the platonic "radqueer", or, absolute queer liberation.) and youth liberation agendas, along with many others, and have serious implications undiscovered. Hopefully, I can/will understand the irrational self-hatred of the Pedophile category in the near-future. Generally, the category of the self-oppressed (Men in Men’s

liberation, Pedo-lib, etc.) goes ignored, and for good reason-- the liberation of the oppressed will naturally, organically, and democratically, find the solution and liberate the self-oppressed in the best and most progressive way possible-- absolute anarchy for everyone destroys all categories, then there are no differences and no questions. “Anarchy is Order” (Anarchist Manifesto (1850), Anselme Bellegarrigue), as true as a statement can be and as contradictory/functional/“true” as a movement’s definition must be, this is Anarchism as it is the fundamental every Anarchism as it is the fundamental of the revolutionary entity. There is a unique feature of the Youth-lib movement that almost no other revolutionary liberation movement shares, and that is, while almost every revolutionary liberation movement tends to be rooted in communist concepts and ideas (with maybe some future anarchist branches), the Youth Liberation Movement seems to be rooted mostly in Anarchism (though, the early Worker’s strikes by the youth were proto-syndicalist in nature, that was not for youth liberation but prole liberation, that was before the youth were forsakened by the proles), it is an Anarchist movement by nature and seeks Anarchism in its solution, not Communism, nor Liberalism, and especially not Cathedralism. As much as I support pedophilic liberation, and oppose NAMbLA-ists, I realize indeed that if the Pedophile-liberationists become cathedralized, my class/people, the youth, are twice as fucked societally from that step foward (as what happened to women after feminism's cathedralization). Now, one could accuse me of being a Pedophile (I, as of April 28, cannot legally be called a pedophile), a Pro-C Radqueer (I, too, am not a Radqueer nor Pro-C), a Child Predator Defender (I am not, CHild Predators deserve to be shot in the back of the head), or even a supporter of NAMbLA (which i am not) for what I will say next, but none of those things apply to me: I want the youth to abolish-- no, NEED them to abolish-- the Age of Consent. I do not sexualize the concept of an age of consent, it is not about sex nor is it about everything outside of sex, it is quite literally the age where your consent starts to matter, the age where your "yes" means yes and your "no" means no, the age of consent is the age where your parents can't molest you any longer, where you are an "adult". When I say to abolish the age of consent, I mean alongside a radical change-- abolishing the age of consent WITHOUT this radical change IS PEDOPHILIC, as when it gets cathedralized, we will suffer twice as much, but WITH the radical change of the abolition of AGE and PATRIARCHY as well, and universalizing CHildhood (age-shredding), it becomes non-pedophilic. With no age, no power dynamic, with no such thing as adult nor child, with no patriarchy and no rape, then no pedophilia, no "Pro-C", no child predators can exist, as no children nor adults exist. If, however, one tries to abolish age without abolishing the age of consent, then age and age-chauvinism (THat being, the tendency of certain age groups, both from the youth and the adults, to think of themselves as superior to those younger and/or older than

them... Teens who hate adults and Children/Tweens, Tweens who spit at the Teens and adults but are disgusted at toddlers who wish to befriend them, Young kids who see Adults and Teens as the same mature rubbish but support the oppression of babies and newborns, young adults who hate kids and the elderly, etc etc etc... this usually works to corrupt and destroy the youth liberationist movement by causing infighting on which age is "able to consent", aka why I do not support lowering the age of consent-- it will only create Age-Chauvinism. I have seen among even my own youth-lib allies that they, to some degree, show an Age-Chauvinism... One can say "well, Toddlers cannot handle guns, nor could they handle rights, but Teens and Tweens obviously can!", but that is also a discrimination based on Age. A Youth Liberationist Child, as I was in 5th Grade, could despise the Teens as lumpen-children, say they do not deserve liberty, but still wish liberty for elementary schoolers... this is also wrong. Teens, even in their "Lumpen" actions, are still CHildren, and in fact, many of their "lumpen" behaviors are caused by both/either CONSCIOUS and UNCONSCIOUS responses to their oppression. A teen may escape the oppressive Family Model (maybe because they are conscious, usually they are, of the oppression that is family. Sometimes, they are not conscious of this and do it for "no reason" or a different reason) and just run away, creating a situation, an event, that makes them feel a true, rebellious freedom-- I admire this even though it can be dangerous-- and while it is Lumpen, it is also Revolutionary, it is the expression of the Oppressed. Though, of course, Teens can also take out their feelings of oppression in the way the NOI did, aka, becoming Reactionary-- see School Shooters, oppressed alienated Teens who, instead of finding liberty or looking for Liberty, take their angst and lack of liberty out on their own people, or, In an Age-Chauvinistic way, Children younger than them. Age-Chauvinism amongst teens can also be a reactionary method of expressing their oppression-- Maybe their family keep molesting them (as in annoying them, not the other kind) about future kids, or they are a queer (or an afab) teen and the parents are trying to make them straight "child-pumpers" (I say this to express how dehumanizing and gross such a concept is, especially pressuring afab teens, Queer Teens, and Teen Girls into becoming said "child-pumpers") for the family bloodline, and because of this, they not only hate the family but also Children and Babies, because they associate the life of the younger children with said Heterosexual Microfascistic Bullying from their family. Sometimes, in children, Teens find a lack of knowledge about their own suffering due to adults, they find what adults see in said Teens, they find an "innocence", and they despise that because of their hatred of their own oppression-- instead of bringing youth class consciousness, though, the teens end up age-chauvinists and hate those children that remind them of what their parents see in THEM. Age-Chauvinism is bad, though it is still an expression of Youth Oppression, exactly because it replicates Youth Oppression and

Ageism-- but, of course, I will have to forgive these people for now, not much one can do about Age-Chauvinists while the Youth Liberation Movement is so young (pun? not really) and underdeveloped-- one cannot expel these individuals or the movement is FUCKED, as they make up much of it.) will prevail again and cathedralize said attempt at abolition. Lowering the Age of consent does not work either for multiple reasons, only an abolition can meet the requirements needed legally for abolishing Age itself. I do not say this in the way the Reactionary Pedophile says it, in which that Reactionary Pedophile means a SEXUAL abolition/lowering of the age of consent, for they usually still limit the liberties of youth even when claiming to be "for them", just not in sexual ways. I say this in the way a CHILD, which I am as of april 28, says it--- I want liberty and acknowledge that for that, all children need liberty-- and so, The Limits upon how young one must be to have theur consent and lack of consent recognized msut be abolished, for all people have a right to say "NO" and to say "YES".

1+: Zoophilia

Rambling vlogrant of a ruminative vagrant (Part 2/2), “how could you possibly say that having sex with an animal violates its rights but killing the animal does not?”, Culturalphilistine transcripts, adam lanza. There is a contradiction between Animal Rights and any animal’s right to consent, that much is due to Human supremacy, and to a degree I do agree with vegans against the concept of “Farms”-,- in-so-far as only animals that are not humans are farmed, and domestic animals are freed along with animals that queerphobes often label “human’.. While animals are conservative typically and follow their far-right natural selection culture, and that is to some degree reactionary, it would be colonial and imperialist to intervene with the culture of non-human animals-- They must solve their own issues independently of one’s help, and if an animal wishes to join the human’s society, it must be allowed to (anti-anti-immigration). Domestication cannot be undone, but it can be prevented, which is to say animals can choose to work with humans as equals consensually instead of the old ways of “domestication and pethood” which is equivalent to slavery, as a property-less being who is seen as inferior, this must be accepted as the new norm. Noveltists deny Animals their culture and become discriminatory speciesists in their hatred of animal culture and their want for animals to assimilate into human culture through domestication and their accepting of animals that queerphobes label as “humans” as the noveltists basically imply that animals labeled “humans” by queerphobes are more likely to be assimilated into human culture and “less animal’ in a way, this is queerphobia (see: my critique on Noveltists on the question of animals, that is my source here). Animals must be capable of consent, and even more capable of consent than humans, as they seem to not only be able to enter and leave

subcultures (or, species cultures) to fit their needs (animals often leave and live with other kinds of animals and assimilate into their culture, animals who grow up with other animals act like those animals willingly and adapt to them), they also seem to be able to completely forget their culture / subculture if necessary (domestication is the process of humans abusing that ability for slavery), and they even lack dominance in inter-species relations typically (cases such as animals who are called “humans” by queerphobes who realize the potential for dominance and use it do not count here as it is a minority, mostly the relation of predator/prey is not a relationship of dominance and submission, the prey typically would rather die and the predator typically does not care, only craving the other’s death, no dominance can be made here as dominance is typically present in the same species collective subculture or in interspecies relations relating to humans). The problem of animals and consent is the same as the problem of children and consent and workers and consent and the problem of women and consent and trans people and consent and POCs (especially black people, previously enslaved by crackers and other europeans) and consent and etc.-- oppressor x oppressed leads to a power dynamic that tends towards abuse or is inherently abusive, there is no actual biological difference in mental capacity as that would be eugenics, it is an issue of heterosexuality. This is not to say those relationships are inherently bad, but they can only even be non-harmful when all oppression is destroyed. Rights, Human Rights, Animal Rights, and/or any kind of Rights, are reactionary as it creates a limitation (that is not the important limitation of the means being reactionary, as ‘by any means necessary’ means that unnecessary means are pointless and ANY necessary means are justified just by being means at all, justification is not needed for means but necessity is.) that is unnecessary, but there are behaviors that must be applied to everyone in order for a person to be revolutionary (similar to rights), and Consent and a lack of oppression is one of them. Changing of subject as now I am writing at 3/28 as previously I was writing on a different day of a currently unknown date-- I sound annoying-- I believe that this needs elaboration although I am never sure. On Ownership and pethood, it is extremely close to the oppression of children in a shallow analysis, as it shares the property-less entity supposedly of “lesser intelligence” and “unable to consent” being babied and parented by a property-owning entity, but children are meant in society to be tools, grooming victims of culture oppressed by not “adults” as a category like many youth-libs assume but by patriarchy, while animals are oppressed by the human species and human-supremacy and are victims of aeons (by “aeons”, I mean metaphorically, though it was extremely long and undescribable) of eugenics. Either way, ownership… property is the method, the stealing of autonomy under “benevolence”. To own an animal is as much slavery as it is to own a child, which is to say, it is slavery (not to compare it to the genocide of black people often called

“slavery” by the cathedral, as that was not just slavery but much much more, an act that one could say was worse than the holocaust, though to compare tragic acts of genocide to eachother is insensitive.). The ultra-woke ultra-left soldier should strive to end all ((non-consensual-- which is to say “real”-- (as larping, or, acting out a roleplay situation in a sexualized or kinky way, a heterosexual relationship is fine as long as it is not real heterosexuality… examples include power-dynamic-play, age-play, pet-play, slave-play, and more.))) oppressive structures, including ownership and “pethood”. This should most likely be achieved by giving choice and autonomy to the (in this case, domestic, but any animal is included) animal over how they live and if they wish to live with other species like humans, or if they’d prefer to assimilate into their original culture of Nature. If the animal likes their current culture, then fine (WITH an extreme amount of autonomy regardless), but if not, their choice must be respected and admired. Zoophilia would be abolished in the revolution as it is still technically a fetishism caused by misdirected feminine desire, but zoophilic relations could and would exist as long as it is absolutely and radically consensual, and it most likely will be uncommon due to the nature of the culture known as “Nature”. I would like to make it clear that all doctrines or commandments in this text over how a truly feminine revolution should act (are to be radicalized and made ultraleft as time goes on, but also) are referring mostly to the revolution and what is probably the result, but refuses to fall into utopian socialism by being pessimistic and assuming the revolution to be never-ending, eternal, as so that we fight thinking we will never win-- we have nothing (but absolute freedom (from oppression)) in mind for after the revolution. This is not to say we are unprepared for the end of the revolution, as it will come organically and democratically (organic democracy). The main reason why I do not care about zoophilia is because it is not special in its oppressive style. Zoophilia is not directly linked or at the core of animal oppression, so it is not unique, like pedophilia is unique in its parallels to heterosexuality yet different in pedophilia's nature as forsakened by culture (and supposedly the patriarchy, though epstein showed us otherwise), but instead just another paraphilia that is, person of an oppressor group being attracted to the oppressed group, like those attracted to disabilities, oppressed races (POCs), etc.

2. Culture

Not much to say on the topic.. Adam Lanza understands culture for the most part and its problems, but he lacks solutions and so ends up nihilistic in a cold, lifeless way. He does speak on feral Culture as a culture, or, Nature as another form of culture, and that is really all that I can say on that (Rambling vlogrant of a ruminative vagrant (Part 2/2), My Anti-Natalism, Culturalphilistine transcripts, Adam Lanza, though he denies it in

Somethingsea response 2/2, Culturalphilistine transcripts, Adam Lanza.). He lacks any mistakes outside of failing to analyze self-negating culture when it comes to Culture.

2+. Cults

“Cults behave in that way because they are trying to subvert the dominant culture and propagate their own cultural values. Dominant cultures do not have to resort to cultish tactics because since they have the luxury of being viewed with legitimacy, they have a monopoly on the most efficient mechanism of cultural infection, the raising of children. Yes, the belief systems of all cults are completely delusional, but it has nothing to do with their manipulative tactics. All cultural values are delusions which exist entirely as a consequence of coercive impositions.”, On Cults and Culture, Culturalphilistine transcripts, ADAM LANZA. This is to defend Cuteculls against criticism for worshipping cultism-- self-negating culture is a must.

3. Self & Value

“It’s not really culture that’s the problem, it’s value that’s the problem” Adam Lanza, Culturalphilistine trnascripts, Rambling vlogrant of a ruminative vagrant (Part 2/2) (from the same source listed previously, says “Life is the source of value”, incorrect. Value-delusion is from Yaldabaoth and her archons, she lies and deceives (cough cough, aka, value mainly comes from self, you can live without value but you can't be a self without value).. Value is the creator of Culture, and the roots of Culture that allows it to live, however.). Often, I quote Lanza for my hatred of culture as if he was an anti-culture advocate, and while he does hate culture, his true enemy is value. Ironically, Lanza’s ideas on Value and lanza’s own self-negating Value was one of the main inspirations for my concept of the revolutionary as the self-negating, yet Lanza never fully comprehended this (aka why he opposed counterculture in “My Anti-Natalism” or at least grew apathetic towards it, and he opposes both diversity and segregation in (“Rambling vlogrant of a ruminative vagrant (Part 2/2)”), he does not understand the concept of self-negating culture despite mentioning and being self-negating ““It’s my Value that leads me to hating Value” Rambling vlogrant of a ruminative vagrant (Part 2/2), Culturalphilistine transcripts, Adam Lanza”-- see?). In the videos about somethingsea’s response (Somethingsea Response 1/2 and 2/2, culturalphilistine transcripts, Somethingsea and adam lanza), we see an issue in the concept of Values, and that is, Values make culture and culture is rooted in values, culture makes values and values are rooted in culture, but then what came first-- Culture did, in the form of Nature or “Feral culture” or Evolution, but that is still only a metaphysical, almost-idealist, answer… Who actually makes Culture and Value?_-, or,, at least, where does Culture and Value

manifest from, grow out of, infest and use as puppets, who? Selves, which is their own oppressive being-- Lanza has a different theory on the self, one more inspired by his unabomber influences, painting selves in two-- feral and cultural selves, or, ID and EGO in psychoanalytical terms (Somethinsea response 1/2, Culturalphilistine transcripts, Adam Lanza and Somethingsea), and with that, he points out that this just has to do with values again, as in the unabomber psychoanalysis that lanza believes THROUGHOUT the culturalphilistine transcripts (adam lanza), Value is in two, base and cultural, the first is what we now call nature-cultural and the second human-cultural, lanza hates both since he hates value but he understands that values bring happiness after completion, seeing it as a parasite creating dopamine as a drug that one does not need but is forcefully addicted to-- yet, in his obsession with youth liberation, he treats base values as inherently better (or even good) than cultural ones (Somethingsea response 1/2&2/2, My anti-natalism, Antinatalism at light speed!, etc. Adam Lanza, Culturalphilistine transcripts). Selves are their own form of oppression, however, outside of Value. They are also the dictators of desire, who oppress desires and oedipalize (as in systematically order and reterritorialize desire in a way that destroys the rhizome of the mind) desire itself and control/dominate the body. They are just as sinful.

4. Anti-Natalism

Adam Lanza speaks on his anti-natalism quite a lot, it is actually one of his known beliefs, and his arguments are to some degree about consent. I do believe consent is important enough that we should adopt an anti-natalist standpoint against birth, but it must be kept in mind that living itself is not a sin, nor is it a tragedy. Any opposition to Birth must not be based in morality, or moralism, but actual questions on consent… A child can consent, babies can consent, but the doctors cannot figure out the question of whether or not the baby consents to the birthing and to just assume consent is, practically, non-consensual and a trauma. In this way, someone could point out that this applies to abortion, and the issue with that is that it is a question with no answers. The baby cannot tell us whether it wants life or death, and life and death are equal (you do not pick life nor death by default, that is reactionary and supremacist), so then we cannot birth nor kill it… then what? We just force it to stay in? Then we'd be also violating consent as we cannot know whether the baby wants to be birthed or not, but we also cannot let it be born! The only solution one can supply is either to not get pregnant at all (it is more complicated than just "don't get pregnant", though, obviously.) or to end the being before it can be considered alive – then consent does not matter for an object. The only thing one can do is just abort it, that is the only way to defend consent. I'd like to point out, too, that Abortion is not murder because it is done before the child is alive, therefore pre-living, so

it is the only solution (life and death are false solutions, abortion is real solution for now). (5-22-2026 update: I am stupid. With the abolition of everything, the abolition of life and death, the difference between childbirth and abortion disappears and both are abolished-- Birth's abolition is better thank any anti-natalist absolute-abortionism... Too, from a consensual standpoint, the issue of the non-consensuality of childbirth can be solved with making suicide a right-- if you did not wish to be born and still do not wish to be born, death awaits. Suicide is still not a good solution as it can be used for eugenics, but the same can be said about abortion, the only true solution would be abolition again. Abolishing life is the true solution to the question of abortion as a consent issue, as then, there is nothing to consent to, you are born into nothing and so aren't born at all.)

4+. EFILISM

Suffering is Pleasure, Pleasure is suffering. (as opposed to Lanza's, Culturalphilistine transcripts, Adam Lanza, "suffering is life-affirming-Life is suffering")… We are Sado-Masochists because that is intelligence, but even then, all desire is Necrophilic, sadistic, and related to cannibalism, it is more natural than yaldabaoth herself. We are only labeled "sado-masochistic" because it is the realization of the sadomasochism that is desire into the (well, the best word I have for it is "conscious mind") and so reterritorialized as part of the being and as a tree, or, Dominance, that is intelligence and is the problem, not Sado-masochism itself-- Desire is great, but Intelligence is the mutilating of desire into dominance and power, and that is the problem. Pain hurts, yes, pleasure hurts. Efilists just never orgasm.

5. CRITIQUE AT LIGHT SPEED! (or, eulavism kinda fails…)

>

lightspeed! Culture and value can only be killed by self-negation, opposing self-negation affirms culture and value, therefore being against self-negating culture and value is being pro-culture and pro-value! (Reference to 'Antinatalism at light speed!', culturalphilistine transcripts, Adam Lanza)

>>

or, even shorter…

>>>

cold nihilism is pointless-- hot nihilism is solution!!!

>>>>>>

<FIN † this quote comes from Peter Thiel's essay, The Education of a Libertarian, 2009. often quoted by Nick Land for his Dark Enlightenment and Neo-Reactionary (NRX) desires. It should be noted that Nick Land is both a Satanist and a KJV-supremacist, and Peter Thiel's name translated to 666. Any christian white patriarchs who like trump for his "christian" fascism should realize they are burning in hell. Just say HEIL SATAN already. Anyways… go read an actual book (you lazy crackers (referring to white christian patriarchs who like trump,, who probably can't read. Also, nothing else in this rambling makes sense past this point. I was sleep deprived.)), The Conquest of Bread by Peter Kropotkin (marx and bakunin's hate-child, who, loves everyone, ironically enough.) or like The Unique and its Property by Max Stirner (After finishing The Unique and Its property by Max Stirner for the second time-- the first time was a few years ago, I may have still been in middle school or maybe even elementary as I am not sure too much about it--(yes, AND STIRNER'S CRITICS BY MAX STIRNER, I READ THAT TOO FOR ALL YOU STIRNERIAN-DOGMATISTS__) I find that many of my complaints on "Egoism", which have never been complaints on Stirner (I do not remember much of what I wrote in "Palingenetic Anarchism"... I do not remember much at all of any of it, except Hyperborea. Re-reading portions of my "writing" on stirner feels like cutting open my veins, in the way that it is excruciatingly painful and so obviously critiqued by Max Stirner's Stirner's Critics... Though, I do still draw a Connection Between Jim Jones' (early) gospel, that YE ARE ALL GODS, EACH AND EVERY A GOD ABOVE ALL ELSE, ONLY YOU ARE A GOD... But now I know Jim Jones is Weitling's Second Coming, and therefore, Jim Jones in a Weitling in Stirner's clothings, or, Stirnerism-Weitlingism in the way stalin is "marxism"-"leninism". Jim Jones used the masses' want for Stirner to bring back weitling, then to bring back Bennito Mussolini IN Jim Jones, what an Insane bloodline! The Unique, which is not the unique at all, but instead the name for something that language lacks any name for, and so I will call from now on, for accuracy, " ", is a God. Feuerbach, like me, tried to argue that the Unique was just another God in Stirner's game of Dialectics, which both Feuerbach and I took too seriously and didn't recognize as a game, despite I playing a game as well at the time, and both of "us" failed to get that the Unique is not " " but a name for it. If I had known, if I had realized, that the "ego" was not the "ego" but a name, the unique not the unique but a name, a name for " ", I would have realized that the critique is pointless, to critique " " is pointless. Of course, my main point, suicidal Egoism, still is-- and still is just egoism proper for a suicidal person, nothing else. WHAT is post-egoism? Of course, though, Going from the idea that " " is a Hyperstition, you (and I) are (a) hyperstition(s), birthed from hyperpsychosis, one could say that " " does not exist, such as I do not exist (and, If you sau I do exist because I have declared myself to not exist, I tell you that

since I am me, I own and am owned by me, and I am an Egoist, THE egoist, I declare myself to not exist and IT IS SO that I do not exist. You say that your thinking means you exist, then you say your existence means you exist, you mean you exist, but I say I think therefore I am nothing but a Character (will wood said this before "you're not your thoughts, you're not your brain, you're just the character you've made up in your head, down in your heart, what seem like separate body parts come together to believe they're you and not just chemistry" (Will Wood's "Marsha, Thankk you for the dialectics, but i need you to leave."), but I had thought this before listening to any of his music before, and Lanza quite literally predicted this. Will wood also said something similar to Lanza's anti-culture-ism once, but I cannot remember what song. I always liked those parallels), I do not exist because hyperpsychosis declares my existence (and so, hyperpsychosis owns me (or, I 'owe' it like i 'owe' my mother and father) unless I declare me to not exist, or, declare me my own, create my own psychosis, my own... world (Max Stirner, Stirner's Critics)), I mean I do not exist, and so I do not. Then, as Only I exist as an Egoist, as only I exist as the only, the " ", I say to you, "You do not exist"... and since Only you exist (to you), only you exist as an Egoist and as the only, since you are " ", you say to me that I do not exist, instead... both is true to me, insofar as truth serves me, as I am truth's God. Then, nobody exists, not even me, I declare. Likewise, I declare that the name for " " has also hyperstitionally developed, in which I mean the nature of our " "'s turning into idea, which Stirner does in calling it the Unique (but acknowledges it and explains that there is no other way to explain it, Max Stirner Stirner's Critics) and I do in this " " talk, has developed in a patter outside of time-- in my fictional truth, my psychosis (or, again, to repeat, my world.)... From Lanza's non-self it developed into Stirner's unique, then as my " ", in which I ignore teleology and go for an NRX quasi-hegelian idiocracy and combine the former two ideas for one that benefits me and nobody else... Lanza-Stirner's " " is a neo-reactionary conception in the way that it denies teleology (I do not sublate, I do not evolve it, I do not add onto it, I regress it), yet still, like hegelians, sublate (ironically as I said i do not sublate it, I do, contradiction. Synthesis, in a way), or a fitchean synthesis madness. Either way, I do not negate stirner or lanza, but affirm my own, and just so happen to affirm the other two from mere coincidence or however you spell that word. I am not going to act professional here, this is not a published book last I checked but a draft, how do i spell coincidence. It doesn't feel correct/right. It might be, i dont care enough to check. Defining Lanza's Non-self makes it no longer Lanza's Non-self, Defining Stirner's Unique makes it no longer Stirner's Unique, so I will not define the " ", and leave it to be an unfixed idea, a representation for something outside of words.), are mainly about Modern "Egoists" who label themselves under Stirner yet act and speak more like Randians, or, Laveyans without their Hedonism, self-deniers who

worship Stirner to an insane degree and do not allow any person to truly do anything with their lives (and if one dares to call himself a "Stirnerite" or an "Egoist", he must believe in completely Stirner's Sacred words and must act perfectly like a "Stirnerian Egoist", what trash! To modify a real quote from stirner with words stirner had not said-- page 212 of the Unique and Its Property by Max Stirner "And 'The Unique and Its Property. What is it, what was it, to each one? Certainly only what he made from it! For those Catholics who make nothing but a critique of anarchism out of it, it is a critique of the extremes of Anarchy.. For those who see it as an aesthetic and IDENTIFIES as it, and LARPs it, it merely has the value of a roleplaying character... For those who, like philosophers, agree with what they find truthful and disagree with what is not to them, it is just simply a book. Now, the cathedral demands that it should be the same for all: for instance, the Sacred book, the Dogma, the Rigid Ideological manifesto, the fixed idea, the... Spirit; it is a Spook.")--- they denounce Suicide (and, I must admit, despite all my Suicidal talk, I truly have never felt absolute Self-Hatred-- the closest I've gotten was shame, usually over losing things that could benefit me over pointless mistakes-- or disappointment.--!- My Unique, I have never truly hated, I simply find life without one is better for me, Freer, more romantic to a degree.), they denounce Hedonism (By which I mean that they deny ALL Hedonisms-- Stirner does speak against those who do not master their desires, but he does not say that one shouldn't indulge in his desires if he wants-- Stirner understands that freedom, being master, can be given up for Ownhood, being, as love is unfreedom yet absolutely for the Ownhood, and Hedonism can be, at times in specific forms, a form of love. They call Hedonism a sick love quite often, the similarities are damning. Reduce pain and increase happiness (suffering), is this not a form of enjoyment (it is, however, dialectical as a struggle takes place between the two forever-more. Dialectics mean nothing to me.)? Though, I do oppose all those forms of crude hedonism with the Bob-Blackist/Lanzaist synthetic theory of Happiness being to suffering what leisure is to work, aka, anti-work/anti-suffering, and so work/suffering respectively... I say, instead, to abolish Suffering as I say instead to abolish Work, and so, happiness does not exist and, through Stirner's self-enjoyment and our radical nihilism, we achieve JOY. This, too, is a hedonism to find in all things joy, to be absolute nihilists and enjoyers, but not a crude hedonism... It is rebirthed.), they denounce mindless chaos and violence (ANARCHY proper (The revolutionary Path / Eternal Revolution MUST destroy itself as well, as self-negation, as all communities/collectives of all forms, all movements and unifiers, all "comrade-makers", are reactionary in said collectivity/community, the abolition of self must not recreate self with community/collectivity nor with individuals/hyperindividuals/cells, but anything beyond that, not beyond the unique as there would be none, but beyond "that'... It is ego death

eternal)), they denounce Egoistic Hate (Because they see Egoistic Love as a commandment and not a suggestion, something which Stirner sees as Equal to Egoistic Hate (Max Stirner's the Unique and Its Property, he does not use neither the term "Egoistic love" nor "Egoistic Hate" but it is there). This, I blame on their strange and ironic Non-Goldman "Ego-Communism" (Not in reference to Dr. Bones' Egoist Communism, which, really to me is not Ego-Communism (Ego-Communism is the modern Egoist Communist who, in his madness and cathedralization, recreates morality for the commune and defends it using Stirner and Dr. Bones-- it is a sacred tendency) but just an economy based in Max Stirner's Union of Uniques, and so, A part of Max Stirner's Economics-- Though, Max Stirner's Economics is more than Dr. Bones, and Dr. Bones finds this fine by him and, in fact, does not need to critique anyone for calling this out, as it is not a call-out but a purposeful decision by the Doctor-- Max Stirner also advocated for power as economics and nothing more (which is not bad nor reactionary, I must point out, not in the way he meant it. If, though, one interprets Stirner's NON-FASCIST words to be a FASCIST "Might is RIght", one is a reactionary, and also unable to understand Stirner at all.), what I can do then is what I do and what I must do and vice verse vice versa.)-- Emma Goldman was not an Ego-Communist, she was an Egoist and an Communist/Anarchist, she was not a synthesis of the two but instead enjoyed the difference between the two and accepted both in their division as one-- not synthesis.), they denounce lawlessness to a degree (as in, most will claim mindless murder and crime is evil, or at least say it is not Egoistic, though all is Egoistic), they denounce Nihilism and Self-Hatred (claiming a narcissistic Existentialist when, Deleuze speak right about stirner in Gilles Deleuze's 'Nietzsche and Philosophy', he is a Radical Nihilist), they denounce Irrational Egoism even when it is commited "Rationally" to their standards-- they reject all because they are, practically, Cathedralized. Stirner stands out to me as a Radical thinker, and most obviously just Engel's Persona-- If only he had responded to Marx's "Critique", which was moreso pointless strawmanning, instead of dying. Tragedies are Tragedies, one cannot change the past yet. Stirner could care less about suicide (read page 205/206 of Max Stirner's 'the Unique and its property' ('A leap from this bridge makes me Free!') about five times, Cathedralized Egoist!), and he says, misquoting Pope Crowley as I am misquoting him, "Do what you will with the whole of the law! 777". I would not be surprised if those men begin saying Stirner hated terrorists-- who else is more of a terrorist but him? Osama? He sung poker face, what terrorist does that?) (ironically, despite this book, I believe in nothing (I owe the Eternal Revolution nothing... In fact, it owes ME (--association, I own it like you own it and you own me as i own you.), it owes me my liberty and I owe it not my participation nor my belief nor my praise, I provide to it what I desire to provide for it, in order for it to

provide to me what it owes me.). The Eternal Revolution is it's own being and property, and I am my own being and property, and I and only I can decide if I want to follow, or, work with the Eternal Revolution for the benefit of my own being and my own property, in which I mean not Rational benefit but my nihilistic, valueless, lifeless wants and desires. I could not believe in a truth for, to believe in a truth, i must have a truth already, or else how could belief exist and how could i believe in something? If I am truthless, then I am lifeless, blind, deaf, mute, senseless, reasonless, without logic nor emotion, I am then, a Nihilist. And, if I am a Nihilist, then I am lifeful, seeing, hearing, talking, sensing, reasoning, logical and emotional, but without belief, reason, truth, sense, life, nor value, or, without anything. A Nihilist is a paradox and must be put down immediately for the safety of the current Hyperpsychosis, it is a disease that spreads twice as fast, it is firery, hell-like. Stirner, Saint Stirner, Slave Stirner, Jester Stirner, Heretic Stirner, Unique Stirner, whoever he is at the current moment, he has explained, basically, how to become a paradox.) are better books than this… hell, even like the Communist Manifesto by Karl Marx and Frederick Engels (who also wrote Origins of the Family which, to a degree, also pointed out that the oppressors of the Youth are not Adults but Patriarchs, or, the Patriarchy.) or What is Property? By Pierre-Joseph Proudhon or ANYTHING by Benjamin Tucker, or like the Communizationists or the Accelerationists (not the effective ones or racists) or like idfk. ANYTHING else. Go read Mao Zedong, Deleuze and Guattari probably know more than an idiot go read them. Or like Emma Goldman. Okay this is stupid, how many people could I name? Tiqqun? Bob Black? Labour bad, wise words, I agree. The bordigists? Maybe. Idk read Gilles (Dauve, or read Gilles) Deleuze's "The Grandeur of Marx" its a good read. Or idk maybe actually do something IRL. That's a good idea, no? Less theory, more praxis, even the bordigists are saying this now! Somehow! JESUS (I could not believe in a Monad, or anything outside of Yaldabaoth that is causal, for what proof, what gnosis, what wisdom could be taken from outside of Yaldabaoth when her skin is so thick, her skull so strong, her being, spirit, so oppressive and Fascistic, Ultranationalistic? All gnosis, all proof, all wisdom is a corruption by Yaldabaoth, a Hyperpsychosis, including the idea of a Yaldabaoth existing! Truly, nothing is outside of Yaldabaoth, as far as one could tell, because Yaldabaoth is eternal and eternally expanding, she is infinite and makes up all of us, for we make all of her, and so, as a calvinist, we can only think her thoughts and speak her words, then... what Monad? You deny Yaldabaoth as God, as Radical Jesuit Atheists, yet go create a new Demiurge beast, and call that God? The Monad is just as oppressive, just as spiritual, just as eternal and eternally corrupt, he is still responsible, and even, even more responsible, for our damnation. There is no Monad, you Mormon heretics ("Gnostics"), listen to Judas and realize that there is only Yaldabaoth, only suffering, damnation, Nihilism! This, too,

is Sexism, as they deny the matriarch, repressed, closeted, trans matriarch, and instead accept an equally violent and oppressive patriarch, because "he is not a fake tranny foid, but a true alpha male!", but again... He does not exist, and, he is still your torturer, you masochistic closeted faggots! Hypermasculine gay man incel gnosticism will be the death of the feminine Nihilistic/cute Gnostic faith... which is to say, it already has been. Do i believe anything I say anymore?). DEATH TO HIERARCHY. Before I let you go, I wish to explain to you something: my beliefs changed and evolved throughout the making of this book, and not all the changes and retcons are spoken about or explained in the “self-criticism” section, this is because this book has taken me an extremely long time and was written while I fed myself new information via reading and studying ultra-leftist, post-leftist, and generally leftist theory (and the fringe, the radqueers, and whatever else is out there). Not everything I say here I still believe in, but most of it I probably do (and I have a tendency to overexaggerate and contradict myself on purpose, like my "radqueerism" and denouncing radqueerism, my neutrality/(maybe-even-positivity,-NNIA-is-fine/semi-good) to pedophilic comrades yet i say "kill all pedophiles" 'ironically', my anarchism while saying that anarchism does not exist, and whatever else). contact me (as of 5/12/26, one email fowarder is getup-self-bucket@duck.com) if its for youth liberation or anarchism, please. Arm the Youth, only they can liberate themselves. Renzo Novatore's I am also a Nihilist, summarized, "Nihilism means Negation.".